Submission on Safe and Responsible AI in Australia

Non-regulatory initiatives to support responsible AI practices:

We propose a range of non-regulatory initiatives that the government could explore:

1. **Public Education**: Investing in public education initiatives focused on AI and its implications to enhance citizens' understanding of these technologies.
2. **Ethical AI Research:** Encouraging AI research and development that places emphasis on ethical, transparent, and accountable AI practices.
3. **Incentives for Responsible AI:** Providing incentives for private sector organisations to adopt responsible AI practices, fostering a culture of ethical AI implementation.
4. **Collaborative Efforts:** Promoting collaborations between the government, academic institutions, and the private sector to jointly develop and promote AI best practices for the benefit of society.

These initiatives can play a pivotal role in fostering responsible and beneficial AI adoption in Australia, benefiting both citizens and businesses while safeguarding ethical considerations.

Suggestions on coordination of AI governance across government:

We propose the establishment of a central authority to regulate, monitor, and promote AI technologies across all government sectors. This authority would provide a unified vision for AI in Australia, ensuring consistent regulations and facilitating communication and cooperation between different sectors. Additionally, the committee could comprise representatives from various government departments involved in AI applications, fostering regular collaboration to share insights, address common challenges, and coordinate approaches to AI regulation and application. By developing a shared framework agreed upon by all government departments, we aim to ensure consistency in the application and oversight of AI technologies, encompassing standards for data privacy, AI ethics, transparency, and accountability, as well as guidelines for risk management and the implementation of AI projects. Such an integrated approach to AI regulation can pave the way for responsible and beneficial AI deployment in the country.

Contact Details:
Bryce Undy
bryce@growright.digital
www.growright.digital
https://www.linkedin.com/company/grow-right

Target areas

Generic versus technology-specific solutions:

We highlight the significance of generic solutions in addressing AI risks that are common across diverse applications, industries, or situations. These solutions encompass universally applicable principles and best practices, such as data privacy and protection measures, regardless of the specific technology in use. Additionally, transparency requirements, including documenting AI system purpose, data usage, decision-making processes, fairness, and reliability, are broadly applicable.

However, we also acknowledge the importance of technology-specific solutions to effectively address risks inherent to particular AI systems or technologies, like facial recognition systems and autonomous vehicles. This balanced approach ensures comprehensive risk management and responsible AI deployment.

Risk-based approaches

Voluntary or regulatory risk-based approach:

Balancing flexibility and standardisation in AI governance is crucial for risk management. While a self-regulation tool allows adaptability and fosters innovation, it might not suffice in addressing all risks, especially in cases of significant potential harm or weak incentives for responsible behaviour. On the other hand, a purely regulatory approach can ensure a level playing field and prevent neglect of responsibilities but may hinder innovation. A combined approach, mandating a basic level of responsible AI practices while encouraging organisations to surpass minimum requirements and continually improve, could be most effective. Regulatory sandboxes can facilitate testing new AI applications and governance strategies within a controlled environment.

Contact Details:
Bryce Undy
bryce@growright.digital
www.growright.digital
https://www.linkedin.com/company/grow-right