#### Poznan University of Technology Object Oriented Programming Design Patterns - C++ code examples Jakub Piotr Hamerliński, M.Eng.

https://www.linkedin.com/in/hamerlinski

https://github.com/hamerlinski

# Object Oriented Programming Agenda

o1. Design Patterns - C++ code examples

"I do not apologize unless I think I'm wrong. And if you don't like it you can leave."

Stanley Hudson



## Design Patterns

## Object Oriented Programming Design Patterns

List of design patterns we will learn more about:

- 01. Resource acquisition is initialization aka initialism,
- 02. Chain of Responsibility,
- 03. Lazy Initialization,
- 04. Abstract Factory,
- o<sub>5</sub>. Specification,
- o6. Null Object,
- o7. Object Pool...

## Object Oriented Programming Design Patterns

#### And:

- 01. Composite,
- 02. Decorator (we skip it since it has been brought already),
- o3. Strategy,
- 04. Adapter,
- 05. Command,
- o6. Bridge,
- o7. Proxy.

Resource acquisition is initialization aka initialism: Resource allocation (or acquisition) is done during object creation (specifically initialization), by the constructor, while resource deallocation (release) is done during object destruction (specifically finalization), by the destructor.

#### RAII

```
#include <mutex>
#include <stdexcept>
#include <iostream>
class Permit {
 public:
  explicit Permit(std::mutex& m) : m_(m) {
    m__lock();
  ~Permit() {
    m .unlock();
  Permit(const Permit&) = delete;
  Permit& operator=(const Permit&) = delete;
 private:
  std::mutex& m ;
```

#### RAII

```
class Foo {
public:
 void Print(int x) {
   std::unique lock<std::mutex> lock(mutex );
     Permit p(mutex_);
     if (x > 1000) {
        throw std::runtime error("Too large!");
     std::cout << "x = " << x << std::endl;
   } catch (const std::exception& e) {
     std::cerr << e.what() << std::endl;</pre>
private:
 std::mutex mutex_;
```

#### RAII

```
int main() {
   Foo foo;
   foo.Print(500);
   foo.Print(2000);
   foo.Print(800);
   return 0;
}
```

#### **Chain of Responsibility**

You may transmit requests along a chain of handlers using the behavioral design pattern known as Chain of Responsibility. Each handler chooses whether to execute a request or pass it on to the handler behind it in the chain.

#### Benefits:

- 01. The sequence in which a request is handled can be changed.
- o2. Principle of a single responsibility. Classes that conduct operations and classes that invoke operations can be separated.
- o3. Principle of Open/Closed. The app can add additional handlers without causing the client code to malfunction.

#### **Chain of Responsibility**

```
#include <iostream>
class Approver {
public:
virtual ~Approver() {}
 virtual void Approve(int amount) = 0;
protected:
 Approver* next approve = nullptr;
class Manager : public Approver {
public:
 Manager(Approver* next approve) : next approve (next approve) {}
 void Approve(int amount) override {
 if (amount <= 1000) {
      std::cout << "Manager approved $" << amount << std::endl;</pre>
   } else if (next approve ) {
      next approve ->Approve(amount);
private:
  Approver* next approve;
```

#### **Chain of Responsibility**

```
class Director : public Approver {
public:
 Director(Approver* next_approve) : next_approve_(next_approve) {}
 void Approve(int amount) override {
   if (amount <= 10000) {
      std::cout << "Director approved $" << amount << std::endl;</pre>
   } else if (next approve ) {
     next approve ->Approve(amount);
private:
 Approver* next approve;
class CEO : public Approver {
public:
 void Approve(int amount) override {
   if (amount <= 100000) { std::cout << "CEO approved $" << amount << std::endl; }</pre>
   else { std::cout << "Amount too high, approval denied." << std::endl; }</pre>
```

#### **Chain of Responsibility**

```
int main() {
   CEO ceo;
   Director director(&ceo);
   Manager manager(&director);
   manager.Approve(500);
   manager.Approve(5000);
   manager.Approve(50000);
   return 0;
}
```

#### **Lazy Initialization**

Delaying the construction of an object, computing a value, or engaging in any other computationally expensive activity until it is to be utilized for the first time is a strategy called lazy initialization. Benefits:

- 01. reduces startup time by spreading out complicated computations over time.
- 02. avoids conducting infrequent operations unless absolutely essential.

#### Lazy Initialization

```
#include <cassert>
class Response {
public:
 static Response& Instance() {
   static Response response;
   return response;
private:
 Response() = default;
 Response(const Response&) = delete;
 Response& operator=(const Response&) = delete;
int main() {
 Response& response1 = Response::Instance();
 Response& response2 = Response::Instance();
 assert(&response1 == &response2);
 return 0;
```

#### **Lazy Initialization**

In this example, the Response class uses the Lazy Initialization design pattern to create only one instance of the class when it is needed. The instance variable is initially set to null and is only created using the new operator when the instance() method is called for the first time. This ensures that the instance is only created when it is needed, and not before.

#### **Abstract Factory**

A creational design pattern called Abstract Factory enables you to create families of linked things without identifying their particular classes.

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>
class Button {
public:
virtual ~Button() {}
virtual void Paint() = 0;
class MacOSButton : public Button {
 public:
void Paint() override { std::cout << "You have created MacOSButton." << std::endl; }</pre>
class WindowsButton : public Button {
 public:
 void Paint() override { std::cout << "You have created WindowsButton." << std::endl; }</pre>
```

#### **Abstract Factory**

```
class GUIFactory {
public:
 virtual ~GUIFactory() {}
 virtual std::unique ptr<Button> CreateButton() = 0;
class MacOSFactory : public GUIFactory {
public:
 std::unique ptr<Button> CreateButton() override {
   return std::make unique<MacOSButton>();
class WindowsFactory : public GUIFactory {
public:
 std::unique ptr<Button> CreateButton() override {
   return std::make_unique<WindowsButton>();
```

#### **Abstract Factory**

```
class Application {
public:
    explicit Application(std::unique_ptr<GUIFactory> factory)
        : button_(std::move(factory->CreateButton())) {}

void Paint() {
    button_->Paint();
}

private:
    std::unique_ptr<Button> button_;
};
```

#### **Abstract Factory**

```
int main() {
    std::unique_ptr<GUIFactory> factory;
    std::string os_name = std::getenv("OS");
    if (os_name.find("Mac") != std::string::npos) {
        factory = std::make_unique<MacOSFactory>();
    } else {
        factory = std::make_unique<WindowsFactory>();
    }
    Application app(std::move(factory));
    app.Paint();
    return 0;
}
```

#### **Abstract Factory**

In this implementation, we define two concrete button classes, MacOSButton and WindowsButton, which implement the Button interface. We also define two concrete factory classes, MacOSFactory and WindowsFactory, which implement the GUIFactory interface and return instances of the appropriate button class.

Finally, we define the Application class, which takes a GUIFactory object as a parameter to its constructor and uses it to create a Button object. The Paint function of the Application class then calls the Paint function of the Button object to display the appropriate message to the console. In the main function, we create an instance of the Application class by passing a concrete factory object to its constructor based on the current operating system.

#### **Specification**

The Specification design pattern is a pattern that allows for the creation of complex logical expressions, made up of simpler expressions, that can be used to filter a collection of objects. It separates the logic of filtering objects from the objects themselves, making the code more reusable and readable.

The Specification pattern defines two main components: the Specification interface and the Specification implementations. The Specification interface defines the methods that need to be implemented by the concrete classes, such as isSatisfiedBy() and and(). The concrete classes implement these methods and define the logic for filtering the objects.

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>
#include <vector>
class Product {
 public:
  Product(const std::string& name, double price) : name (name), price (price) {}
  const std::string& Name() const { return name ; }
  double Price() const { return price ; }
 private:
  std::string name ;
  double price;
class Specification {
 public:
 virtual ~Specification() = default;
 virtual bool IsSatisfied(const Product& product) const = 0;
```

```
class PriceSpecification : public Specification {
public:
 PriceSpecification(double min price, double max price)
     : min_price_(min_price), max_price_(max_price) {}
 bool IsSatisfied(const Product& product) const override {
   return product.Price() >= min price && product.Price() <= max price;
private:
 double min price;
 double max price;
class NameSpecification : public Specification {
public:
 explicit NameSpecification(const std::string& name) : name (name) {}
 bool IsSatisfied(const Product& product) const override {
 return product.Name() == name ;
private:
 std::string name ;
```

```
class Filter {
public:
static std::vector<Product> ApplyFilter(
const std::vector<Product>& products,
const Specification& specification) {
std::vector<Product> filtered_products;
for (const auto& product : products) {
    if (specification.IsSatisfied(product)) {
       filtered_products.push_back(product);
}
}

return filtered_products;
}

return filtered_products;
}
```

```
int main() {
 std::vector<Product> products = {
     Product("Apple", 1.5),
     Product("Orange", 1.0),
     Product("Apple", 1.9),
     Product("Banana", 0.8),
     Product("Mango", 2.0),
     Product("Apple", 2.1),
 PriceSpecification price spec(1.0, 2.0);
 NameSpecification name spec("Apple");
 AndSpecification and spec(price spec, name spec);
 auto filtered products = Filter::ApplyFilter(products, and spec);
 for (const auto& product : filtered products) {
   std::cout << product.Name() << " - " << product.Price() << std::endl;</pre>
 return 0;
```

## Object Oriented Programming Null Object

The Null Object pattern is a pattern that allows for the handling of null values in an object-oriented way. Instead of using null values, the pattern uses a special Null object that implements the same interface as the actual objects, but has no implementation for its methods. This allows for a consistent way of handling null values without having to check for null values everywhere in the code.

#### Null Object

```
#include <iostream>
#include <string>
class Shape {
  public:
    virtual ~Shape() {}
    virtual void Draw() = 0;
};

class Rectangle : public Shape {
  public:
    void Draw() override {
    std::cout << "Drawing a rectangle." << std::endl;
};</pre>
```

#### Null Object

```
class NullShape : public Shape {
public:
 void Draw() override { /* Do nothing */ }
class ShapeFactory {
public:
 std::unique_ptr<Shape> CreateShape(const std::string& shape_type) {
    if (shape_type.empty()) {
      return std::make unique<NullShape>();
   if (shape type == "rectangle") {
      return std::make unique<Rectangle>();
   return std::make unique<NullShape>();
```

#### **Null Object**

```
int main() {
    ShapeFactory shape_factory;
    std::unique_ptr<Shape> shape1 = shape_factory.CreateShape("rectangle");
    shape1->Draw(); // Outputs "Drawing a rectangle."
    std::unique_ptr<Shape> shape2 = shape_factory.CreateShape("");
    shape2->Draw(); // Does nothing
    return 0;
}
```

## Object Oriented Programming Object Pool

The Object Pool pattern is a software creational pattern that allows for the reuse of objects that are expensive to create. Instead of creating a new object every time one is needed, the object pool maintains a pool of objects that can be reused. When a client requests an object, the pool checks if it has an available object to give, and if so, it returns it. If not, it creates a new object and adds it to the pool.

#### **Object Pool**

```
#include <memory>
#include <iostream>
#include <list>
class PooledObject {
public:
 virtual ~PooledObject() {}
virtual void Reset() = 0;
class Connection : public PooledObject {
 public:
 bool IsInUse() const { return in_use_; }
 void ChangeUsage() { in_use_ = !in_use_; }
 void Reset() override {
 std::cout << "Connection reset\nUsage false\n";</pre>
 // code to reset the connection
  Connection () {
    this->in use = false;
 private:
  bool in use = false;
```

#### **Object Pool**

```
class ConnectionPool {
public:
 std::shared ptr<Connection> CreateConnection() {
   std::shared ptr<Connection> connection;
   if (available connections .empty()) {
     connection = std::make shared<Connection>(); // create a new connection
     std::cout << "New connection created\n";</pre>
     connection = available connections .front(); // return an available connection
     available connections .pop front();
     std::cout << "Existing connection reused\n";</pre>
   connection->ChangeUsage();
   in use connections push back(connection);
   return connection;
 void ReleaseConnection(std::shared ptr<Connection> connection);
private:
 std::list<std::shared ptr<Connection>> available connections ;
 std::list<std::shared ptr<Connection>> in use connections ;
```

#### **Object Pool**

```
void ConnectionPool::ReleaseConnection(std::shared_ptr<Connection> connection) {
   connection->Reset();
   in use connections remove(connection);
   available connections .push back(connection);
   std::cout << "Connection released\n":</pre>
int main() {
 ConnectionPool pool;
 std::shared_ptr<Connection> connection1 = pool.CreateConnection();
 std::shared ptr<Connection> connection2 = pool.CreateConnection();
 pool.ReleaseConnection(connection1);
 std::shared ptr<Connection> connection3 = pool.CreateConnection();
 pool.ReleaseConnection(connection2);
 pool.ReleaseConnection(connection3);
 return 0;
```

## Object Oriented Programming Object Pool

In this example, the Connection class implements the PooledObject interface, and it defines the reset method that will be used when the connection is released back to the pool. The ConnectionPool class is responsible for maintaining a pool of connections and providing them to the client when requested. When a client requests a connection, the pool checks if there are available connections, and if not it creates a new one. When a client releases a connection, the pool resets it and adds it back to the available connections list.

#### Composite

With the use of the structural design pattern known as Composite, you can group things into tree structures and then treat those structures like individual objects. Use polymorphism and recursion to your advantage to work with complex tree structures more easily. Principle of Open/Closed. The existing code can continue to function with the object tree without being affected by the addition of additional element types.

#### Composite

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>
#include <vector>
class Component {

public:
virtual ~Component() {}

virtual void Operation() = 0;

};

class Leaf : public Component {

public:
void Operation() override {

std::cout << "Leaf operation\n";

}

}

}
</pre>
```

#### Composite

```
class Composite : public Component {
public:
 void Operation() override {
   std::cout << "Composite operation\n";</pre>
   for (const auto& child : children ) {
     child->Operation();
 void Add(std::unique ptr<Component> child) { children .emplace back(std::move(child)); }
 void Remove(Component* child) {
   for (auto it = children .begin(); it != children .end(); ++it) {
     if (it->get() == child) {
       children_.erase(it);
       break:
 Component* Child(int index) const { return children [index].get(); }
private:
 std::vector<std::unique ptr<Component>> children ;
```

#### Composite

```
int main() {
    auto composite = std::make_unique<Composite>();
    composite->Add(std::make_unique<Leaf>());
    composite->Add(std::make_unique<Leaf>());
    composite->Operation();
}
```

#### Composite

In this example, the Component interface defines the Operation() method that must be implemented by both the Leaf and Composite classes. The Leaf class represents the leaf objects in the tree structure, and it implements the Operation() method with its specific behavior. The Composite class represents the composite objects in the tree structure, and it implements the Operation() method by iterating over its children and calling the Operation() method on each of them. The main uses the composite and leaf objects in the same way, by calling the Operation() method on them.

# **Object Oriented Programming Strategy**

The Strategy design pattern is a behavioral pattern that allows for the selection of an algorithm at runtime. It defines a family of algorithms, encapsulates each one, and makes them interchangeable. It also allows the client to choose the appropriate algorithm without having to know its implementation details.

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>
#include <string>
#include <vector>

class PaymentStrategy {

public:

virtual ~PaymentStrategy() {}

virtual void Pay(double amount) = 0;
};
```

```
class CreditCardStrategy : public PaymentStrategy {
public:
 CreditCardStrategy(const std::string& name, const std::string& cardNumber,
                      const std::string& cvv, const std::string& dateOfExpiry)
     : name (name), cardNumber (cardNumber), cvv_(cvv), dateOfExpiry_(dateOfExpiry) {}
 void Pay(double amount) override {
   std::cout << amount << " paid with credit/debit card\n";</pre>
private:
 std::string name ;
 std::string cardNumber ;
 std::string cvv ;
 std::string dateOfExpiry ;
```

```
class PayPalStrategy : public PaymentStrategy {
public:
 PayPalStrategy(const std::string& emailId, const std::string& password)
      : emailId (emailId), password (password) {}
 void Pay(double amount) override {
   std::cout << amount << " paid using PayPal.\n";</pre>
private:
 std::string emailId_;
 std::string password ;
class Item {
public:
virtual ~Item() {}
virtual double Price() const = 0;
```

```
class ShoppingCart {
public:
 ShoppingCart(const std::vector<std::unique ptr<Item>>& items, PaymentStrategy* paymentMethod)
     : items_(items), paymentMethod_(paymentMethod) {}
 void Pay() {
   double totalAmount = CalculateTotal();
   paymentMethod ->Pay(totalAmount);
private:
 double CalculateTotal() const {
   double total = 0:
   for (const auto& item : items ) {
     total += item->Price();
   return total;
 std::vector<std::unique ptr<Item>> items ;
 PaymentStrategy* paymentMethod ;
```

```
class Book : public Item {
public:
 Book(double price) : price (price) {}
 double Price() const override {
 return price ;
private:
 double price;
class Movie : public Item {
public:
 Movie(double price) : price_(price) {}
 double Price() const override {
return price_;
private:
 double price;
```

```
int main() {
 std::vector<std::unique ptr<Item>> items;
 items.emplace back(std::make unique<Book>(9.99));
 items.emplace back(std::make unique<Movie>(14.99));
 items.emplace back(std::make unique<Book>(12.99));
 items.emplace back(std::make unique<Movie>(19.99));
 PaymentStrategy* paymentMethod = new CreditCardStrategy("John Doe", "1234 5678 9012 3456", "123", "06/24");
 ShoppingCart cart(items, paymentMethod);
 cart.Pay();
 delete paymentMethod;
 paymentMethod = new PayPalStrategy("john.doe@example.com", "password123");
 cart = ShoppingCart(items, paymentMethod);
 cart_Pay();
 delete paymentMethod;
 return 0;
```

# **Object Oriented Programming Strategy**

In this example, the PaymentStrategy interface defines the pay method that must be implemented by the concrete classes (CreditCardStrategy and PayPalStrategy). The ShoppingCart class uses the PaymentStrategy interface to allow the client to choose the appropriate payment method at runtime, and it doesn't need to know the implementation details. It allows the client to use different Payment strategies that implements the PaymentStrategy interface and use the pay method accordingly. You can also add more Payment strategies like BankTransfer, Wallet etc. and use them in the ShoppingCart class.

# **Object Oriented Programming Adapter**

The Adapter design pattern is a structural pattern that allows the adaptation of an existing interface to a different one that the client expects. It helps to integrate new systems and legacy systems by allowing them to work together seamlessly.

#### Adapter

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>
#include <string>
class LegacySystem {
public:
 virtual void processData(std::string data) = 0;
 virtual ~LegacySystem() = default;
class LegacySystemImpl : public LegacySystem {
 public:
 void processData(std::string data) override {
 // code to process data using the legacy system
    std::cout << "Processing data using legacy system: " << data << std::endl;</pre>
```

#### Adapter

```
class NewSystem {
public:
 virtual void process(std::string data) = 0;
 virtual ~NewSystem() = default;
class NewSystemAdapter : public NewSystem {
 NewSystemAdapter(std::shared_ptr<LegacySystem> legacySystem)
      : legacySystem_(legacySystem) {}
 void process(std::string data) override {
    legacySystem ->processData(data);
private:
 std::shared ptr<LegacySystem> legacySystem ;
```

#### Adapter

```
int main() {
    auto legacySystem = std::make_shared<LegacySystemImpl>();
    auto adapter = std::make_unique<NewSystemAdapter>(legacySystem);
    adapter->process("data to process");
    return 0;
}
```

#### Command

The Command design pattern is a behavioral pattern that allows for the encapsulation of a request as an object, separate from the object that actually carries out the request. This allows for decoupling the objects that initiate the request from the objects that execute it.

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>
class Command {

public:

virtual ~Command() {}

virtual void Execute() = 0;

};

class Light {

public:

void TurnOn() { std::cout << "The dark is on\n"; }

void TurnOff() { std::cout << "The dark is off\n"; }

};</pre>
```

```
class TurnOnLightCommand : public Command {
public:
 TurnOnLightCommand(std::shared ptr<Light> dark) : dark (dark) {}
 void Execute() override {
   dark_->TurnOn();
private:
 std::shared ptr<Light> dark ;
class TurnOffLightCommand : public Command {
public:
 TurnOffLightCommand(std::shared ptr<Light> dark) : dark (dark) {}
 void Execute() override {
   dark_->TurnOff();
private:
 std::shared ptr<Light> dark ;
```

```
class RemoteControl {
  public:
    void ChangeCommand(std::shared_ptr<Command> command) {
    command_ = command;
    }
    void PressButton() {
        command_->Execute();
    }
    private:
    std::shared_ptr<Command> command_;
};
```

```
int main() {
    std::shared_ptr<Light> dark = std::make_shared<Light>();
    std::shared_ptr<Command> turnOnCommand = std::make_shared<TurnOnLightCommand>(dark);
    std::shared_ptr<Command> turnOffCommand = std::make_shared<TurnOffLightCommand>(dark);
    RemoteControl remote;
    remote.ChangeCommand(turnOnCommand);
    remote.PressButton(); // prints "The dark is on"
    remote.ChangeCommand(turnOffCommand);
    remote.PressButton(); // prints "The dark is off"
    return 0;
}
```

#### Command

In this example, the Light class is the object that actually carries out the request, while the TurnOnLightCommand and TurnOffLightCommand classes are the objects that encapsulate the request and implement the Command interface. The RemoteControl class is the object that initiates the request, it holds a command and when the pressButton method is called, it calls the execute method of the command. This example shows how the command pattern allows for decoupling the objects that initiate the request from the objects that execute it.

# **Object Oriented Programming Bridge**

The Bridge design pattern is a structural pattern that allows for the separation of an abstraction from its implementation. It allows for the decoupling of the interface and the implementation, so that they can evolve independently.

```
#include <iostream>
class TV {

public:
    virtual void On() = 0;
    virtual void Off() = 0;

virtual void TuneChannel(int channel) = 0;

virtual void ChangeVolume(int volume) = 0;

};
```

```
class RemoteControl {
protected:
TV* tv;
public:
 RemoteControl(TV* tv) : tv(tv) {}
 void TurnOn() { tv->0n(); }
 void TurnOff() { tv->0ff(); }
 void ChangeChannel(int channel) { tv->TuneChannel(channel); }
class AdvancedRemoteControl : public RemoteControl {
public:
 AdvancedRemoteControl(TV* tv) : RemoteControl(tv) {}
 void ChangeVolume(int volume) { tv->ChangeVolume(volume); }
```

```
class LGTV: public TV {

public:

void On() override { std::cout << "LG TV is turned on." << std::endl; }

void Off() override { std::cout << "LG TV is turned off." << std::endl; }

void TuneChannel(int channel) override { std::cout << "LG TV tuned to channel: " << channel << std::endl; }

void ChangeVolume(int volume) override { std::cout << "LG TV volume set to: " << volume << std::endl; }

class SonyTV: public TV {

public:

void On() override { std::cout << "Sony TV is turned on." << std::endl; }

void Off() override { std::cout << "Sony TV is turned off." << std::endl; }

void TuneChannel(int channel) override { std::cout << "Sony TV tuned to channel: " << channel << std::endl; }

void ChangeVolume(int volume) override { std::cout << "Sony TV volume set to: " << volume << std::endl; }

};
```

```
int main() {
 TV* lgTV = new LGTV();
 auto* advancedRemote = new AdvancedRemoteControl(lgTV);
 advancedRemote->TurnOn():
                            // prints "LG TV is turned on."
 advancedRemote->ChangeVolume(10); // prints "LG TV volume set to: 10"
 advancedRemote->ChangeChannel(3); // prints "LG TV tuned to channel: 3"
 advancedRemote->TurnOff();  // prints "LG TV is turned off."
 TV* sonyTV = new SonyTV();
 auto* remote = new RemoteControl(sonyTV);
 remote->TurnOn();  // prints "Sony TV is turned on."
 remote->ChangeChannel(7); // prints "Sony TV tuned to channel: 7"
 remote->TurnOff();  // prints "Sony TV is turned off."
 delete lgTV, sonyTV, advancedRemote, remote;
 return 0;
```

# Object Oriented Programming **Bridge**

In this example, the RemoteControl interface defines the basic operations that can be performed on a TV. The RemoteControl class provides a basic implementation of these operations, delegating the actual work to the TV object. The AdvancedRemoteControl class extends the basic functionality by adding the ability to set the volume. The TV interface defines the basic operations that a TV must implement, and the LGTV and SonyTV classes are concrete implementations of this interface. The client can use the RemoteControl interface to interact with different TV implementations without having to know the details of their specific implementations.

The Proxy design pattern is a structural pattern that provides a surrogate or placeholder object that controls access to the original object. The proxy object controls access to the original object, and it can add additional functionality, such as caching, logging, or security checks.

#### Proxy

```
#include <iostream>
#include <string>
class Image {
virtual void display() = 0;
virtual ~Image() = default;
class RealImage : public Image {
public:
  explicit RealImage(const std::string& fileName) : fileName {fileName} {
    loadFromDisk(fileName);
  void display() override { std::cout << "Displaying " << fileName << "\n"; }</pre>
private:
  void loadFromDisk(const std::string& fileName) { std::cout << "Loading " << fileName << "\n"; }</pre>
  std::string fileName ;
```

#### Proxy

```
class ImageProxy : public Image {
public:
  explicit ImageProxy(const std::string& fileName) : fileName {fileName} {}
 void display() override {
   if (!realImage ) {
      realImage = std::make unique<RealImage>(fileName );
   realImage ->display();
private:
 std::unique ptr<RealImage> realImage ;
 std::string fileName ;
int main() {
 ImageProxy image{"test_10mb.jpg"};
 image.display();
 std::cout << "\n";</pre>
 image.display();
```

In this example, the RealImage class is the actual object that will be displayed, and the ImageProxy class is the proxy that controls access to the RealImage object. The main requests the image to be displayed, but the actual image is only loaded from disk when the display() method is called on the ImageProxy object. This allows for lazy loading, where the image is only loaded when it's needed, which can be useful when working with large files or slow networks.

Additionally, the proxy can be used to add additional functionality, such as caching, logging or security checks before accessing the real image, this is the main purpose for having a proxy object.

# Sources

#### **Based on:**

- 01. https://www.yegor256.com/2016/02/03/design-patterns-and-anti-patterns.html
- o2. https://www.yegor256.com/2017/08/08/raii-in-cpp.html
- o3. https://refactoring.guru/design-patterns

# Thank you

Feel free to reach me via LinkedIn

# Fin