Programmierung paralleler Rechnerarchitekturen, Winter 2012/13

Exercise 2

Task 2.1 (OpenMP theoretical concepts). Recall what you have learned in the classes.

a) Indicate and describe the types of scheduling that OpenMP provides. Indicate the situations for which they are indicated.

Solution: Static: Iterations divided into #threads blocks, which are assigned to threads. If chunk is defined, chunks are assigned to threads in a round robin fashion. Dynamic: Iterations divided in blocks of chunksize (1 if not defined), assigned to threads in the order they finish the previous blocks. Guided: Similar to dynamic but the side of the blocks reduces over time.

b) What are the two main disadvantages of dynamic scheduling?

Solution: **Locality issues**: work is not assigned contiguously, which might impair locality usage. **Overhead**: Assignment of work is costly (need to verify what thread is idle / thread has to signal scheduler, etc), in comparison to static scheduling.

c) What forms of synchronization are provided by OpenMP? Specify and fully describe each primitive/construct/clause.

Solution: Critical: All threads execute that piece of code, but one at a time. Single: Piece of code executed only by one (unspecified) thread only. Atomic: Single memory location executed by all threads but one at a time. Master: Piece of code executed by the master thread. Barrier: Threads wait at the barrier point until all threads reach it. Nowait: Threads do not wait in the end of a for construct, where an implicit barrier is present.

Task 2.2. Complete the scoping of the variables in the code using their types accordingly.

```
#pragma omp parallel for for (i=0; i < m; i++){ y[i]=0; for (j=0; j < n; j++){ y[i]= y[i] + A[i][j] * x[j]; }
```

Solution:

Task 2.3. Eliminate any data race in the code. fib(i) is a function that outputs the i^{th} element in the Fibonacci sequence.

```
int y[55]={-1};

#pragma omp parallel for

for (i=0; i<10; i++){

 y[fib(i)]=fib(i)+i;

}
```

Solution: Exercise with multiple solutions. A possible one would be:

```
int y[55]={-1};

y[0] = 0;
y[1] = fib(1)+2;

#pragma omp parallel for
for (i=3; i<10; i++){
    y[fib(i)]=fib(i)+i;
}</pre>
```

Task 2.4. Indicate the type of dependence (if any) in each piece of code and parallelize them but removing the dependence accordingly.

Solution: Anti-dependence. Solvable with array duplication.

```
/* create a copy of vector y, named aux_y */
#pragma omp parallel for
for (i=0; i<n-1; i++){
    y[i]=aux_y[i+1]*x[i+1];
}</pre>
```

b) #pragma omp parallel for
 for (i=0; i<n; i++){
 y[i] = h[f(1)] * y[i];
 d = y[i];
}
printf("Result = %d.\n",d);</pre>

Solution: Output dependence. Solvable with *lastprivate*. Writing y[x] is an acceptable data-race.

```
#pragma omp parallel for lastprivate(d) for (i=0; i< n; i++){
```

```
y[i] = h[f(1)] * y[i];
d = y[i];
}
printf("Result = %d.\n",d);

c) #pragma omp parallel for
for (i=4; i<n-2; i+=2){
    a[i+1] = x;
    a[i+2] = y;
    h[i] = a[i];
    h[i+1] = a[i];
}</pre>
```

Solution: Flow dependence on a[i+2]. There are no problems with accesses to h. A possible solution, with loop skewing (double loop for commodity - may affect performance if compiler is not able to join them):

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for (i=4; i<n-2; i+=2){
    a[i+1] = x;
    a[i+2] = y;
}

#pragma omp parallel for
for (i=4; i<n-3; i+=2){
    h[i+1] = a[i+1];
    h[i+2] = a[i+1];
}</pre>
```