# Functional analysis course by Dodonov N.U.

Sugak A.M.

Fall 2015 — Spring 2016

# 1 Vector spaces.

§1.1 Metric spaces.

$$X, \rho: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_+$$

Definition.  $\rho$  — metric

1. 
$$\rho(x,y) \geqslant 0, = 0 \iff x = y$$

2. 
$$\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$$

3. 
$$\rho(x,y) \leq \rho(x,z) + \rho(y,z)$$

Definition.  $(X, \rho)$  — Metric space.

**Definition.**  $x = \lim x_n \iff \rho(x_n, x) \to 0$ 

$$X, \tau = \{G \subset X\}$$

1. 
$$\varnothing, X \in \tau$$

2. 
$$G_{\alpha} \in \tau, \alpha \in \mathscr{A} \implies \bigcup_{\alpha} G_{\alpha} \in \tau$$

3. 
$$G_1, \ldots, G_n \in \tau \implies \bigcap_{j=1}^n G_j \in \tau$$

 $\mbox{ Definition. } (X,\tau) - \mbox{ Topological space}.$ 

$$x = \lim x_n \quad \forall G \in \tau : x \in G \quad \exists N : \forall n > N \implies x_n \in G$$

G — open in 
$$\tau$$

$$F = X \setminus G$$
 — closed

Definition.  $B_r(a) = \{x \mid \rho(x, a) < r\}$  — open ball

$$\tau = \bigcup B_r(a)$$

Statement 1.1. 
$$b \in B_{r_1}(a_1) \cap B_{r_2}(a_2) \implies \exists r_3 > 0 : B_{r_3}(a_3) \subset B_{r_1}(a_1) \cap B_{r_2}(a_2)$$

In this sense metric space is just a special case of topological space.

Example 1.  $\mathbb{R}, \rho(x, y) = |x - y|, MS$ 

**Example 2.** 
$$\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \rho(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - y_j)^2}, MS$$

Example 3. 
$$\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$$

$$\alpha \bar{x} = (\alpha x_1, \dots, \alpha x_n, \dots)$$

$$\bar{x} + \bar{y} = (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n, \dots)$$

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \bar{x}_m?$$

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \bar{x}_m \to \bar{x} \iff \forall j = 1, \dots, n \quad x_j^{(m)} \xrightarrow{m \to \infty} x_j$$

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \bar{x}_m \to \bar{x} \iff \forall j = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad x_j^{(m)} \xrightarrow{m \to \infty} x_j$$

Definition.  $\rho(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \underbrace{\frac{|x_n - y_n|}{1 + |x_n - y_N|}}_{\varphi(|x_n - y_n|)}$  — Urysohn metric.

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{1+t}$$

$$\varphi(t_1 + t_2) \leqslant \varphi(t_1) + \varphi(t_2)$$

$$\rho(\bar{x}_m, \bar{x}) \xrightarrow{m \to \infty} 0 \iff x_j^{(m)} \to x_j \ \forall j$$
In this way  $\mathbb{R}^{\infty}$  is a metrizable space.

Example 4.  $X, \rho(x,y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} 0, x = y \\ 1, x \neq y \end{cases}$  — Discrete metric.  $x_n \to x, \ \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}, \ \exists M: \ m > M \implies \rho(x_m,x) < \frac{1}{2} \implies \rho(x_m,x) = 0 \implies x_m = x$ 

**Definition.** 
$$(X, \tau); \ \forall A \subset X;$$

$$\operatorname{Int}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{G \subset A} G - \operatorname{open};$$

$$\operatorname{Cl}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{A \subset G} G - \operatorname{closed};$$

$$\operatorname{Fr}(A) = \operatorname{Cl}(A) \setminus \operatorname{Int}(A)$$

 $(X,\rho);$  Having a metric space one can describe closure of a set.  $\rho(x,A)\stackrel{\rm def}{=}\inf_{a\in A}\rho(x,a)$ 

$$\rho(A, B) = \inf_{\substack{a \in A \\ b \in B}} \rho(a, b)$$
$$\rho(x, A) = f(x), x \in X$$

**Statement 1.2.** Function f(x) is continuous.

$$\begin{array}{l} \textit{Proof.} \ \forall x,y \in X \\ f(x) = \rho(x,A) \underset{\forall \alpha \in A}{\leqslant} \rho(x,\alpha) \leqslant \rho(x,y) + \rho(y,\alpha) \\ \forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists \alpha_{\varepsilon} \in A: \ \rho(y,\alpha_{\varepsilon}) < \rho(y,A) + \varepsilon = f(y) + \varepsilon \\ f(x) \leqslant f(y) + \varepsilon + \rho(x,y), \ \varepsilon \to 0 \\ \begin{cases} f(x) \leqslant f(y) + \rho(x,y) \\ f(y) \leqslant f(x) + \rho(x,y) \end{cases} \implies |f(x) - f(y)| \leqslant \rho(x,y) \end{array} \blacksquare$$

Statement 1.3. 
$$x \in Cl(A) \iff \rho(x,A) = 0$$

Let's look at the metric spaces in terms of separation of sets from each other by open sets.

$$x, y$$
  
 $r = \rho(x, y) > 0$   
 $B_{\frac{r}{3}}(x), B_{\frac{r}{3}}(y)$ 

In any metric space separability axiom is true.

### Theorem 1.1.

Any metric space is a normal space,

i.e.  $\forall$  closed disjoint  $F_1, F_2 \in X$ ,  $\exists$  open disjoint  $G_1, G_2 \colon F_j \in G_j$ , j = 1, 2

*Proof.* 
$$g(x) = \frac{\rho(x,F_1)}{\rho(x,F_1) + \rho(x,F_2)}$$
 — continuous on X  $x \in F_1$ ,  $Cl(F_1) = F_1$ ,  $\rho(x,F_1) = 0$ ,  $g(x) = 0$   $x \in F_2$ ,  $g(x) = 1$ 

Let's look at  $(-\infty; \frac{1}{3}), (\frac{2}{3}, \infty)$  — by continuity their inverse images under g are open.

$$G_1 = g^{-1}(-\infty; \frac{1}{3})$$
  
 $G_2 = g^{-1}(\frac{2}{3}; \infty)$ 

**Definition.** Metric space is **complete** if  $\rho(x_n, x_m) \to 0 \implies \exists x = \lim x_n$   $\mathbb{R}^{\infty}$  – complete (by completeness of the rational numbers). In complete metric spaces the nested balls principle is true.

#### Theorem 1.2.

X – complete metric space,  $\overline{V}_{r_n}$  – system of closed balls.

1. 
$$\overline{V}_{r_{n+1}} \subset \overline{V}_{r_n}$$
 – the system is nested.

$$2. r_n \to 0$$

Then: 
$$\bigcap_{n} \overline{V}_{r_n} = \{a\}$$

Proof. Let  $b_n$  be centers of  $\overline{V}_{r_n}$ ,  $m \ge n$ ,  $b_m \in \overline{V}_{r_n}$ ,  $\rho(b_m, b_n) \le r_n \to 0 \ \forall m \ge n$   $\rho(b_m, b_n) \to 0 \Longrightarrow \exists a = \lim b_n \text{ Since the balls are closed } a \in \text{ every ball.}$   $r_n \to 0 \Longrightarrow \text{ there is only one common point } \blacksquare$ .

$$(X, \tau)$$
 — topological space  $A \subset X$ ,  $\tau_a = \{G \cap A, G \in \tau\}$ 

**Definition.** X— metric space,  $A \subset X$ , Cl(A) = X

Then:  $A - \mathbf{dense}$  in X

 $\underline{\text{If}} \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(A)) = \emptyset \text{ A - nowhere dense in X}.$ 

It is easy to understand, that in metric spaces nowhere density means the following:

 $\forall \ ball \ V \ \exists V' \subset V \colon V' \ \text{contains no points from A}.$ 

**Definition.** X is called **first Baire category set**, if it can be written as at most countable union of  $x_n$  each nowhere dense in X.

**Theorem 1.3** (Baire category theorem).

Complete metric space is second Baire category set in itself.

*Proof.* Let X be first Baire category set.

$$X = \bigcup X_n \quad \forall \overline{V} \ X_1$$
 is nowhere dense.

$$\overline{V}_1 \subset \overline{V}: \overline{V}_1 \cap X_1 = \emptyset$$

$$X_2 \text{ is nowhere dense } \overline{V}_2 \subset \overline{V}_1: \overline{V}_2 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$$

$$r_2 \leqslant \frac{r_1}{2}$$

:

$$\{\overline{V}_n\}, \ r_n \to 0, \ \bigcap_n \overline{V}_n = \{a\}, \ X = \bigcup X_n, \ \exists n_0 \colon a \in X_{n_0}$$

$$X_{n_0} \cap \overline{V}_{n_0} = \varnothing \to \leftarrow \ a \in \overline{V}_{n_0} \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary 1.3.1. Complete metric space without isolated points is uncountable.

*Proof.* No isolated points are present  $\implies$  every point in the set is nowhere dense in it. Let X be countable:  $X = \bigcup_{n} \{X_n\}$ , then it is first Baire category

set.  $\rightarrow \leftarrow$ 

**Definition.** K — compact if

1. 
$$K = \operatorname{Cl}(K)$$

2. 
$$x_n \in K \exists n_1 < n_2 < \dots \ x_{n_j}$$
 - converges in  $X$ .

If only 2 is present, the set is called **precompact**.

Theorem 1.4 (Hausdorff).

Let X — metric space, K — closed in X.

<u>Then:</u>  $K - compact \iff K - totally bounded,$ 

i.e. 
$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists a_1, \dots, a_p \in X \colon \ \forall b \in K \ \exists a_j \colon \ \rho(a_j, b) < \varepsilon$$

$$(a_1,\ldots,a_p-finite\ \widehat{\varepsilon}-net)$$

Proof.

 $\Longrightarrow$ 

K— totally bounded,  $x_n \in K$   $n_1 < n_2 < \ldots < n_k < \ldots$ 

 $x_n$  – converges in K

$$\varepsilon_k \downarrow \to 0 \ \varepsilon_1 \quad K \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^p \overline{V}_j, \ rad = \varepsilon_1 \ (\varepsilon_1 - net)$$

n is finite  $\implies$  one ball will contain infinetely many  $x_n$  elements.

Let's look at  $\overline{V}_{j_0} \cap K$  — totally bounded  $= K_1$ , diam $(K_1) \leq 2\varepsilon_1$ 

$$\varepsilon_2 \quad K_1 \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n \overline{V'}_j, \ rad = \varepsilon_2.$$

Then one of  $\overline{V'}$  contains infinitely many elements of the sequence contained in  $K_1$   $\overline{V'}_{j_0} \cap K_1 = K_2$ , diam $(K_2) \leq 2\varepsilon_2$  and so on.

$$K_n \supset K_{n+1} \supset K_{n+2} \supset \dots$$
,  $\operatorname{diam}(K_N) \leqslant 2\varepsilon_n \xrightarrow{\underline{by \ space \ compl.}} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \neq \operatorname{diam}(K_n) \to 0, \{x\}$ 

Ø

Take  $x_{n_1}$  from  $K_1$ ,  $x_{n_2}$  from  $K_2$ ...

 $\leftarrow$ 

K — compact  $\forall \varepsilon \exists$  finite  $\varepsilon net$ ?

By contradiction:  $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ : finite  $\varepsilon_0$ -net is impossible to construct.

 $\forall x_1 \in K \ \exists x_2 \in K \colon \ \rho(x_1, x_2) > \varepsilon_0 \ (\text{or else system of} \ x_1 - \text{finite } \varepsilon\text{-net})$ 

 $\{x_1,x_2\}$  - choose  $x_3 \in K : \rho(x_3,x_i) > \varepsilon_0, \ i=1,2$  and so on.  $x_n \in K : n \neq m \ \rho(x_n,x_m) > \varepsilon_0$  — contains no converging subsequence  $\implies$  set is not a compact.  $\rightarrow \leftarrow$ 

# §1.2 Normed spaces

**Definition.** X — linear set, x + y,  $\alpha \cdot x$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ 

The purpose of norm definition, is to construct a topology on X, so that 2 linear operations are continuous on it.

$$\varphi: X \to \mathbb{R}$$
:

1. 
$$\varphi(x) \geqslant 0$$
, = 0  $\iff x = 0$ 

2. 
$$\varphi(\alpha x) = |\alpha|\varphi(x)$$

3. 
$$\varphi(x+y) \leqslant \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$$

**Definition.**  $\varphi$  — norm on X,  $\varphi(x) = ||x||$ 

$$\rho(x,y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ||x-y||$$
 — metric on X.

### Definition.

 $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  — **normed space** — special case of metrical space.

$$x = \lim x_n \stackrel{def}{\Longrightarrow} \rho(x_n, x) \to 0 \iff ||x_n - x|| \to 0$$

**Statement 1.4.** In the topology of a normed space linear operations are continuous on X.

Proof. 1. 
$$x_n \to x$$
,  $y_n \to y$ ;  $\|(x_n + y_n) - (x + y)\| = \|(x_n - x) + (y_n - y)\| \le$ 

$$\le \underbrace{\|x_n - x\|}_{\downarrow_0} + \underbrace{\|y_n - y\|}_{\downarrow_0}$$

$$\implies x_n + y_n \to x + y$$

2. 
$$\alpha_n \to \alpha$$
,  $x_n \to x$ ;  $\|\alpha_n x_n - \alpha x\| = \|(\alpha_n - \alpha)x_n + \alpha(x_n - x)\| \le$ 

$$\le \underbrace{|\alpha_n - \alpha|}_{\text{bounded}} \cdot \underbrace{\|x_n\|}_{\text{bounded}} + \underbrace{\alpha\|x_n - x\|}_{\text{0}}$$

$$x_n \to x \implies ||x_n|| - \text{bounded.}$$
  
 $\alpha_x x_n \to \alpha x \blacksquare$ 

**Statement 1.5.** From the triangle inequality  $|||x|| - ||y|| \le ||x - y||$   $x_n \to x \implies ||x_n|| \to ||x||$  Norm is continious.

### Example 5. $\mathbb{R}^n$

1. 
$$\|\bar{x}\| = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2}$$

2. 
$$\|\bar{x}\|_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|$$

3. 
$$\|\bar{x}\|_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max\{|x_1|\dots|x_n|\}$$

4. 
$$C[a, b]$$
 — functions continuous on  $[a, b]$ ;  $||f|| = \max_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x)|$ 

5. 
$$L_p(E) = \{ f - \text{measurable}, \int_E |f|^p < +\infty \}$$

$$p \ge 1, ||f||_p = (\int_E |f|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Because the set of points is the same, arises the question about convergence comparison.

$$\|\cdot\|_1 \sim \|\cdot\|_2, \ x_n \stackrel{\|\cdot\|_1}{\to} x \iff x_n \stackrel{\|\cdot\|_2}{\to}$$

#### Statement 1.6.

$$\|\cdot\|_1 \sim \|\cdot\|_2 \iff \exists a, b > 0 \colon \forall x \in X \implies a\|x_1\|_1 \leqslant \|x\|_2 \leqslant b\|x\|_1$$

### Theorem 1.5 (Riesz).

X, dim  $X < +\infty$  — linear set.

Then: Any pair of norms in X are equivalent.

*Proof.*  $l_1, \ldots, l_n$  — linearly independent from X.  $\forall x \in X = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k l_K$   $\bar{x} \leftrightarrow (l_1, \ldots, l_n) = \bar{l} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

Let  $\|\cdot\|$  — some norm in X.

$$||x|| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} ||l_k|| |\alpha_k| \leq \sum_{Cauchy} \underbrace{\sqrt{\sum_{1}^{n} ||l_k||^2}}_{constB} \sqrt{\sum_{1}^{n} ||\alpha_k||^2}$$

$$||x||_1 = \sqrt{\sum_{1}^{n} ||alpha_k||^2}, \ x = \sum_{1} \alpha_k l_k$$

$$||x|| \leqslant b||x||_1$$

$$?\exists a > 0: \ a||x||_1 \leqslant ||x|| \Longrightarrow ||\cdot|| \sim ||\cdot||_1$$
Let  $f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k l_k\right\|$ 

$$|f(\bar{\alpha} + \Delta \bar{\alpha}) - f(\bar{\alpha})| = \left\| \sum_{1}^{k} \alpha_{k} l_{k} + \sum_{1}^{n} \Delta \alpha_{k} l_{K} \right\| - \left\| \sum_{1}^{n} \alpha_{k} l_{k} \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{1}^{n} \Delta \alpha_{k} l_{k} \right\| \leq \sum_{1} \|l_{k}\| |\Delta \alpha_{k}| \implies f - \text{continuous on } \mathbb{R}^{n}$$

$$S_1 = \{\sum_{1}^{n} \alpha_k | ^2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m, \text{ f --- continuous on } S_1, \bar{\alpha}^* \in S_1 \\ \forall \alpha \in S_1 \implies f(\bar{\alpha}^*) \leqslant f(\bar{\alpha}) \\ f(\bar{\alpha}^*) = 0 \\ \left\| \sum_{1}^{n} \alpha_k^* l_k \right\| = 0 \\ \sum_{1}^{n} \alpha_k^* l_k = 0, \ \bar{\alpha}^* \in S_1 \\ l_1 \dots l_n --- \text{ linearly independent } \rightarrow \leftarrow \\ \min_{S_1} f = m > 0$$

$$||x|| = \left\| \sum_{1}^{n} \alpha_{K} l_{K} \right\| = f(\bar{\alpha}) = \sqrt{\sum_{1}^{n} \alpha_{k}^{2}} \cdot \left\| \sum_{1} \frac{\alpha_{k}}{\sqrt{\sum_{1}^{n} \alpha_{k}^{2}}} l_{k} \right\|, \ \bar{\beta} = (\beta_{1} \dots \beta_{n}) \in S_{1}$$

$$\geqslant m \cdot ||x||_{1}, \ a = m \quad \blacksquare$$