

# Functional analysis course by Dodonov N.U.

Sugak A.M.

Fall 2015 — Spring 2016

# 1 Vector sapces

## §1.1 Metric spaces.

$X, \rho: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$

**Definition.**  $\rho$  — **metric**

1.  $\rho(x, y) \geq 0, = 0 \iff x = y$
2.  $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$
3.  $\rho(x, y) \leq \rho(x, z) + \rho(y, z)$

**Definition.**  $(X, \rho)$  — **Metric space.**

**Definition.**  $x = \lim x_n \iff \rho(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$

$X, \tau = \{G \subset X\}$

1.  $\emptyset, X \in \tau$
2.  $G_\alpha \in \tau, \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \implies \bigcup_{\alpha} G_\alpha \in \tau$
3.  $G_1, \dots, G_n \in \tau \implies \bigcap_{j=1}^n G_j \in \tau$

**Definition.**  $(X, \tau)$  — **Topological space.**

$x = \lim x_n \quad \forall G \in \tau : x \in G \quad \exists N : \forall n > N \implies x_n \in G$

$G$  — open in  $\tau$

$F = X \setminus G$  — closed

**Definition.**  $B_r(a) = \{x \mid \rho(x, a) < r\}$  — **open ball**

$\tau = \bigcup B_r(a)$

**Statement 1.1.**  $b \in B_{r_1}(a_1) \cap B_{r_2}(a_2) \implies \exists r_3 > 0 : B_{r_3}(a_3) \subset B_{r_1}(a_1) \cap B_{r_2}(a_2)$

In this sense metric space is just a special case of topological space.

**Example 1.**  $\mathbb{R}, \rho(x, y) = |x - y|$ , MS

**Example 2.**  $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \rho(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - y_j)^2}$ , MS

**Example 3.**

$$\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$$

$$\alpha \bar{x} = (\alpha x_1, \dots, \alpha x_n, \dots)$$

$$\bar{x} + \bar{y} = (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n, \dots)$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{x}_m?$$

$$\text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \quad \bar{x}_n \rightarrow \bar{x} \iff \forall j = 1, \dots, n \quad x_j^{(m)} \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} x_j$$

$$\text{in } \mathbb{R}^\infty \quad \bar{x}_m \rightarrow \bar{x} \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \forall j = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad x_j^{(m)} \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} x_j$$

**Definition.**  $\rho(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \underbrace{\frac{|x_n - y_n|}{1 + |x_n - y_n|}}_{\varphi(|x_n - y_n|)}$  — **Urysohn metric.**

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{1+t}$$

$$\varphi(t_1 + t_2) \leq \varphi(t_1) + \varphi(t_2)$$

$$\rho(\bar{x}_m, \bar{x}) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0 \iff x_j^{(m)} \rightarrow x_j \quad \forall j$$

In this way  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  is a metrizable space.

**Example 4.**  $X, \rho(x, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} 0, & x = y \\ 1, & x \neq y \end{cases}$  — **Discrete metric.**

$$x_n \rightarrow x, \quad \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \exists M : m > M \implies \rho(x_m, x) < \frac{1}{2} \implies$$

$$\rho(x_m, x) = 0 \implies x_m = x$$

**Definition.**  $(X, \tau); \quad \forall A \subset X;$

$$\text{Int}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{G \subset A} G - \text{open};$$

$$\text{Cl}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{A \subset G} G - \text{closed};$$

$$\text{Fr}(A) = \text{Cl}(A) \setminus \text{Int}(A)$$

$(X, \rho);$  Having a metric space one can describe closure of a set.

$$\rho(x, A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf_{a \in A} \rho(x, a)$$

$$\rho(A, B) = \inf_{\substack{a \in A \\ b \in B}} \rho(a, b)$$

$$\rho(x, A) = f(x), x \in X$$

**Statement 1.2.** *Function  $f(x)$  is continuous.*

*Proof.*  $\forall x, y \in X$

$$f(x) = \rho(x, A) \leq \rho(x, \alpha) \leq \rho(x, y) + \rho(y, \alpha)$$

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \alpha_\varepsilon \in A : \rho(y, \alpha_\varepsilon) < \rho(y, A) + \varepsilon = f(y) + \varepsilon$$

$$f(x) \leq f(y) + \varepsilon + \rho(x, y), \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

$$\begin{cases} f(x) \leq f(y) + \rho(x, y) \\ f(y) \leq f(x) + \rho(x, y) \end{cases} \implies |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \rho(x, y) \quad \blacksquare$$

**Statement 1.3.**  $x \in \text{Cl}(A) \iff \rho(x, A) = 0$

Let's look at the metric spaces in terms of separation of sets from each other by open sets.

$x, y$

$$r = \rho(x, y) > 0$$

$$B_{\frac{r}{3}}(x), B_{\frac{r}{3}}(y)$$

In any metric space separability axiom is true.

**Theorem 1.1.**

*Any metric space is a normal space,*

*i.e.  $\forall$  closed disjoint  $F_1, F_2 \in X$ ,  $\exists$  open disjoint  $G_1, G_2 : F_j \in G_j, j = 1, 2$*

$$\text{Proof. } g(x) = \frac{\rho(x, F_1)}{\rho(x, F_1) + \rho(x, F_2)} \text{ — continuous on } X$$

$$x \in F_1, \text{Cl}(F_1) = F_1, \rho(x, F_1) = 0, g(x) = 0$$

$$x \in F_2, g(x) = 1$$

Let's look at  $(-\infty; \frac{1}{3}), (\frac{2}{3}, \infty)$  — by continuity their inverse images under  $g$  are open.

$$G_1 = g^{-1}(-\infty; \frac{1}{3})$$

$$G_2 = g^{-1}(\frac{2}{3}; \infty) \quad \blacksquare$$

**Definition.** Metric space is **complete** if  $\rho(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0 \implies \exists x = \lim x_n$

$\mathbb{R}^\infty$  — complete (by completeness of the rational numbers).

In complete metric spaces the nested balls principle is true.

**Theorem 1.2.**

$X$  – complete metric space,  $\overline{V}_{r_n}$  – system of closed balls.

1.  $\overline{V}_{r_{n+1}} \subset \overline{V}_{r_n}$  – the system is nested.

2.  $r_n \rightarrow 0$

Then:  $\bigcap_n \overline{V}_{r_n} = \{a\}$

*Proof.* Let  $b_n$  be centers of  $\overline{V}_{r_n}$ ,

$m \geq n$ ,  $b_m \in \overline{V}_{r_n}$ ,  $\rho(b_m, b_n) \leq r_n \rightarrow 0 \forall m \geq n$

$\rho(b_m, b_n) \rightarrow 0 \xrightarrow{\text{compl.}} \exists a = \lim b_n$  Since the balls are closed  $a \in$  every ball.

$r_n \rightarrow 0 \implies$  there is only one common point ■.

$(X, \tau)$  — topological space

$A \subset X$ ,  $\tau_a = \{G \cap A, G \in \tau\}$  — topology induced on  $A$

**Definition.**  $X$  — metric space,  $A \subset X$ ,  $\text{Cl}(A) = X$

Then:  $A$  – **dense** in  $X$

If  $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A)) = \emptyset$   $A$  – **nowhere dense** in  $X$ .

**Note.** It is easy to understand, that in metric spaces nowhere density means the following:

$\forall$  ball  $V \exists V' \subset V$ :  $V'$  contains no points from  $A$ .

**Definition.**  $X$  is called **first Baire category set**, if it can be written as at most countable union of  $x_n$  each nowhere dense in  $X$ .

**Theorem 1.3** (Baire category theorem).

*Complete metric space is second Baire category set in itself.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be first Baire category set.

$X = \bigcup_n X_n \quad \forall \overline{V} \quad X_1$  is nowhere dense.

$\overline{V}_1 \subset \overline{V}$ :  $\overline{V}_1 \cap X_1 = \emptyset$

$X_2$  is nowhere dense  $\overline{V}_2 \subset \overline{V}_1$ :  $\overline{V}_2 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$

$r_2 \leq \frac{r_1}{2}$

$\vdots$

$\{\overline{V}_n\}$ ,  $r_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\bigcap_n \overline{V}_n = \{a\}$ ,  $X = \bigcup X_n$ ,  $\exists n_0$ :  $a \in X_{n_0}$

$X_{n_0} \cap \overline{V}_{n_0} = \emptyset \rightarrow \leftarrow a \in \overline{V}_{n_0}$  ■

**Corollary 1.3.1.** *Complete metric space without isolated points is uncountable.*

*Proof.* No isolated points are present  $\implies$  every point in the set is nowhere dense in it. Let  $X$  be countable:  $X = \bigcup_n \{X_n\}$ , then it is first Baire category set.  $\rightarrow\leftarrow$  ■

**Definition.**  $K$  — **compact** if

1.  $K = \text{Cl}(K)$
2.  $x_n \in K \exists n_1 < n_2 < \dots x_{n_j} - \text{converges in } X$ .

If only ?? is present, the set is called **precompact**.

**Theorem 1.4** (Hausdorff).

*Let  $X$  — metric space,  $K$  — closed in  $X$ .*

Then:  $K$  — compact  $\iff K$  — totally bounded,

*i.e.*  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists a_1, \dots, a_p \in X: \forall b \in K \exists a_j: \rho(a_j, b) < \varepsilon$   
 $(a_1, \dots, a_p - \text{finite } \varepsilon - \text{net})$

*Proof.*

$\implies$

$K$  — totally bounded,  $x_n \in K \ n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$   
 $x_n - \text{converges in } K$

$\varepsilon_k \downarrow \rightarrow 0 \ \varepsilon_1 \quad K \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^p \bar{V}_j, \text{ rad} = \varepsilon_1 \ (\varepsilon_1 - \text{net})$

$n$  is finite  $\implies$  one ball will contain infinitely many  $x_n$  elements.

Let's look at  $\bar{V}_{j_0} \cap K$  — totally bounded =  $K_1$ ,  $\text{diam}(K_1) \leq 2\varepsilon_1$

$\varepsilon_2 \quad K_1 \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n \bar{V}'_j, \text{ rad} = \varepsilon_2$ .

Then one of  $\bar{V}'$  contains infinitely many elements of the sequence contained in  $K_1$   
 $\bar{V}'_{j_0} \cap K_1 = K_2$ ,  $\text{diam}(K_2) \leq 2\varepsilon_2$  and so on.

$K_n \supset K_{n+1} \supset K_{n+2} \supset \dots$ ,  $\text{diam}(K_N) \leq 2\varepsilon_n \xrightarrow{\text{by compl.}} \underbrace{\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n}_{\text{diam}(K_n) \rightarrow 0, \{x\}} \neq \emptyset$

Take  $x_{n_1}$  from  $K_1$ ,  $x_{n_2}$  from  $K_2 \dots$

$\longleftarrow$

$K$  — compact  $\forall \varepsilon \exists$  finite  $\varepsilon$  — net?

By contradiction:  $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ : finite  $\varepsilon_0$ -net is impossible to construct.  
 $\forall x_1 \in K \exists x_2 \in K: \rho(x_1, x_2) > \varepsilon_0$  (or else system of  $x_1$  — finite  $\varepsilon$ -net)  
 $\{x_1, x_2\}$  - choose  $x_3 \in K: \rho(x_3, x_i) > \varepsilon_0, i = 1, 2$  and so on.  
 $x_n \in K: n \neq m \rho(x_n, x_m) > \varepsilon_0$  — contains no converging subsequence  
 $\implies$  set is not a compact.  $\rightarrow \leftarrow$  ■

## §1.2 Normed spaces

**Definition.**  $X$  — **linear set**,  $x + y, \alpha \cdot x, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

The purpose of norm definition, is to construct a topology on  $X$ , so that 2 linear operations are continuous on it.

$\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

1.  $\varphi(x) \geq 0, \varphi(x) = 0 \iff x = 0$
2.  $\varphi(\alpha x) = |\alpha| \varphi(x)$
3.  $\varphi(x + y) \leq \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$

**Definition.**  $\varphi$  — **norm** on  $X$ ,  $\varphi(x) = \|x\|$

$\rho(x, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|x - y\|$  — metric on  $X$ .

**Definition.**

$(X, \|\cdot\|)$  — **normed space** — special case of metrical space.

$x = \lim x_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{\implies} \rho(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0 \iff \|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$

**Statement 1.4.** *In the topology of a normed space linear operations are continuous on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* 1.  $x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y; \|(x_n + y_n) - (x + y)\| = \|(x_n - x) + (y_n - y)\| \leq$   
 $\leq \underbrace{\|x_n - x\|}_{\downarrow 0} + \underbrace{\|y_n - y\|}_{\downarrow 0}$   
 $\implies x_n + y_n \rightarrow x + y$

$$2. \quad \alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha, \quad x_n \rightarrow x; \quad \|\alpha_n x_n - \alpha x\| = \|(\alpha_n - \alpha)x_n + \alpha(x_n - x)\| \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \underbrace{|\alpha_n - \alpha|}_{\downarrow 0} \cdot \underbrace{\|x_n\|}_{\text{bounded}} + \underbrace{\alpha\|x_n - x\|}_{\downarrow 0}$$

$$x_n \rightarrow x \implies \|x_n\| \text{ --- bounded.}$$

$$\alpha x_n \rightarrow \alpha x \quad \blacksquare$$

**Statement 1.5.** *From the triangle inequality  $||x| - |y|| \leqslant \|x - y\|$   
 $x_n \rightarrow x \implies \|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$   
Norm is continuous.*

**Example 5.**  $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$1. \quad \|\bar{x}\| = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2}$$

$$2. \quad \|\bar{x}\|_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|$$

$$3. \quad \|\bar{x}\|_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\}$$

$$4. \quad C[a, b] \text{ --- functions continuous on } [a, b]; \quad \|f\| = \max_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x)|$$

$$5. \quad L_p(E) = \left\{ f \text{ --- measurable, } \int_E |f|^p < +\infty \right\}$$

$$p \geqslant 1, \quad \|f\|_p = \left( \int_E |f|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Because the set of points is the same, arises the question about convergence comparison.

$$\|\cdot\|_1 \sim \|\cdot\|_2, \quad x_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_1} x \iff x_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_2} x$$

**Statement 1.6.**

$$\|\cdot\|_1 \sim \|\cdot\|_2 \iff \exists a, b > 0: \forall x \in X \implies a\|x\|_1 \leqslant \|x\|_2 \leqslant b\|x\|_1$$

**Theorem 1.5** (Riesz).

$X, \dim X < +\infty$  --- linear set.

Then: Any pair of norms in  $X$  are equivalent.



*Proof.*  $l_1, \dots, l_n$  — linearly independent from  $X$ .  $\forall x \in X = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k l_k$

$\bar{x} \leftrightarrow (l_1, \dots, l_n) = \bar{l} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Let  $\|\cdot\|$  — some norm in  $X$ .

$$\|x\| \underset{\triangle}{\leq} \sum_{k=1}^n \|l_k\| |\alpha_k| \underset{\text{Cauchy}}{\leq} \underbrace{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n \|l_k\|^2}}_{\text{const}(B), B\text{-basis}} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n |\alpha_k|^2} \underset{\|\bar{\alpha}\|=\|x\|_1}{\text{Let } f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k l_k \right\|}$$

$$\|x\|_1 = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n \|\alpha_k\|^2}, \quad x = \sum \alpha_k l_k$$

$$\|x\| \leq b \|x\|_1$$

$$?\exists a > 0: a \|x\|_1 \leq \|x\| \implies \|\cdot\| \sim \|\cdot\|_1$$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\bar{\alpha} + \Delta \bar{\alpha}) - f(\bar{\alpha})| &= \left| \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k l_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta \alpha_k l_k \right\| - \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k l_k \right\| \right| \leq \\ &\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta \alpha_k l_k \right\| \leq \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^n \|l_k\| |\Delta \alpha_k|}_{\substack{\downarrow \\ 0, \Delta \alpha_k \rightarrow 0}} \implies f \text{ — continuous on } \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$

$S_1 = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n |\alpha_k|^2 = 1 \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $f$  — continuous on  $S_1$ ,  $S_1$  — compact,  $\bar{\alpha}^* \in S_1$

By Weierstrass theorem there exists a point  $\alpha^* \in S_1$  on a sphere, in which function  $f$  achieves its minimum  $\implies \forall \alpha \in S_1 \quad f(\bar{\alpha}^*) \leq f(\bar{\alpha})$

If  $f(\bar{\alpha}^*) = 0$ , then  $\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k^* l_k \right\| = 0 \implies \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k^* l_k = 0$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}^* \in S_1$ ,

but  $l_1 \dots l_n$  are linearly independent  $\rightarrow \leftarrow \implies \min_{S_1} f = m > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k l_k \right\| = f(\bar{\alpha}) = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k^2} \cdot \left\| \sum_{\beta_k} \frac{\alpha_k}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k^2}} \cdot l_k \right\| \geq, \quad \bar{\beta} = (\beta_1 \dots \beta_n) \in S_1 \\ &\geq m \cdot \|x\|_1, \quad a = m \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 1.5.1.**  $X = NS$ ,  $Y \subset X$ ,  $\dim Y < +\infty \implies Y = \text{Cl}(Y)$

**Note.** Functional analysis differentiates between linear subset (set of points, closed by addition and scalar multiplication) and linear subspace (closed linear subset).

$$Proof. Y = \alpha(l_1, \dots, l_n) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i l_i \mid l_1, \dots, l_n - \text{lin. indep.} \right\}$$

$$y_m \in Y, y_m \rightarrow y \text{ in } X \implies y \in Y?$$

$$\|y_m - y\| \rightarrow 0 \implies \|y_m - y_p\| \rightarrow 0, m, p \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\|y\|, y \in Y.$$

By Riesz theorem all norm in Y are equivalent.

$$y = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j l_j, \|y\|_0 = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^2} - \text{some norm (by linear independence)}.$$

$$\text{By Riesz theorem } \|y\| \sim \|y\|_0$$

$$\underbrace{\|y_m - y_p\|}_{\in Y} \rightarrow 0 \implies \|y_m - y_p\|_0 \rightarrow 0$$

$$\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad y_m = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{(m)} l_i$$

$$|\alpha_i^{(m)} - \alpha_i^{(l)}| \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n; \quad \bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1^{(m)}, \dots, \alpha_n^{(m)}) \rightarrow \alpha^* = (\alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_n^*)$$

$$y^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* l_i \in Y, \quad \|y_m - y\| \rightarrow 0, y = y^* \implies y \in Y \quad \blacksquare$$

**Definition.** If normed space is complete, then it is called **B-space** or **Banach space**.

**Example 6.**  $C[a, b]$  — functions continuous on  $[a, b]$ .

**Example 7.** Lebesgue space,  $p \geq 1, L_p(E) = \left\{ f - \text{measurable on } E, \int_E |f|^p < +\infty \right\}.$

If  $X$  — Banach space,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\| < +\infty$$

$$\|S_n - S_m\| = \left\| \sum_{k=m+1}^n x_k \right\| \leq \sum_{m+1}^n \|x_k\| \xrightarrow{n, m \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$$\implies \|S_n - S_m\| \rightarrow 0 \implies \exists \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n, \sum_{k=1}^n x_k - \text{converges}.$$

In Banach spaces works the theory of absolute convergence of numerical series.

**Lemma 1** (Riesz).