# Literature Review: Does Dark Mode vs. Light Mode Affect User Focus During Short Tasks in Productivity Apps?

## 1) Introduction

Productivity apps often support two visual themes—light mode (dark text on light background) and dark mode (light text on dark background). For short, glanceable tasks (e.g., scanning lists, triaging inbox items, copying numbers), "focus" typically shows up as speed and accuracy with low mental effort. Classic vision research points to a "positive polarity advantage," where light mode improves legibility and proofreading, especially for small text. More recent HCI work complicates the picture: dark mode can reduce perceived workload and eye fatigue in some contexts, but objective performance effects vary with task and ambient light. This review synthesizes results to clarify which mode best supports focus during short tasks. Heinrich Heine University+2Europe PMC+2

## 2) Proxy Paper

Ettling et al. (ETRA '25) ran an eye-tracking study on dashboard decision tasks (a close analog to short productivity tasks). Dark mode yielded lower perceived workload (NASA-TLX) across complexities, and higher accuracy at medium complexity, while task time differences were non-significant. Pupil dilation was higher in dark mode, yet users reported lower workload—hinting that subjective comfort and objective arousal can diverge. I'll use their measures (speed, accuracy, TLX, eye metrics) as a methodological template. alexandria.unisg.ch

How my study will differ/extend: (a) focus explicitly on short tasks typical of productivity apps (e.g., quick selection, error spotting, copy-paste), (b) control ambient illumination typical of offices, and (c) stratify by age and display type to probe moderating effects suggested by prior work. <a href="mailto:alexandria.unisg.ch">alexandria.unisg.ch</a>

## 3) Thematic Summary

## Theme A: Reading/Glance Performance (speed & accuracy)

A long line of lab studies shows **light mode** advantages for reading speed/accuracy and fine-detail perception—the "positive polarity advantage"—with mechanisms linked to **smaller pupils on brighter displays**, improving retinal image sharpness. Effects strengthen with **smaller text** and persist across age groups. In HCI-relevant reading tasks (including glance reading), CHI'23 work also found **light mode reliably faster** than dark. Together, this suggests that when short tasks hinge on quick text parsing, **light mode tends to support focus** (operationalized as fast/accurate throughput). <a href="mailto:thereadabilityconsortium.org+3Heinrich Heine University+3psychologie.hhu.de+3">thereadabilityconsortium.org+3Heinrich Heine University+3psychologie.hhu.de+3</a>

## Representative findings

- Positive polarity advantage due to luminance, not just familiarity. <u>Heinrich Heine</u> <u>University</u>
- Advantage grows as character size decreases (small, dense UI). <u>Europe PMC</u>
- CHI'23: Light mode faster for both glance and paragraph reading. thereadabilityconsortium.org

## Theme B: Visual Comfort & Cognitive Load (eye strain, workload)

Several newer studies report dark mode can reduce eye fatigue or subjective workload in certain conditions—even when objective speed/accuracy don't improve. For example, on smartphones dark mode reduced self-reported eye fatigue under bright ambient light; on tablets, short-term physiology and symptoms differed modestly between modes; in dashboards, dark mode reduced perceived workload without a clear speed advantage. Age and lighting moderate effects: older adults and bright environments often show higher cognitive load with negative polarity; younger adults sometimes tolerate dark mode better in dim light. Europe PMC+3thinkmind.org+3MDPI+3

## Representative findings

- Dark mode lowered **eye-fatigue** in bright light (smartphone). <u>thinkmind.org</u>
- Tablet study (1 h use): mode differences were small; some measures favored dark mode for comfort; overall fatigue rose over time in **both** modes. MDPI
- Cognitive load varies with age and illumination; negative polarity can increase load for some groups. <u>Europe PMC</u>
- In dashboard decision tasks, lower TLX in dark mode; time similar. alexandria.unisg.ch

## 4) Synthesis & Answer to the Question

For **short productivity tasks** where focus = **fast, accurate micro-reads**, the weight of evidence favors **light mode** due to well-replicated **positive polarity** benefits on legibility and glance performance. However, **dark mode** can **feel** easier (lower workload) and **reduce eye fatigue** in some settings—especially **bright ambient light** or prolonged sessions—without necessarily speeding task completion. In practice:

- If your short tasks are **text-heavy and time-sensitive**, default to **light mode**. <u>Heinrich Heine University+1</u>
- If users report **visual discomfort** (or work in **bright** conditions), **dark mode** may **improve comfort** even if speed/accuracy don't change. <u>thinkmind.org+1</u>
- Expect **individual differences** (age, vision, preferences) and **task-type/lighting** interactions. <u>Europe PMC</u>

#### 5) Research Gap & Proposed Focus

We still lack **controlled**, **app-context studies** on **very short**, **productivity-specific tasks** (e.g., inbox triage, code-copy, quick sort/filter) comparing modes under **typical office lighting** and **modern displays** (**OLED/LCD**). I would extend Ettling et al. by:

- Tasks: 10–30 s trials for scanning, copy-verify, error-spotting.
- Measures: **Time**, accuracy, NASA-TLX; **pupillometry** for arousal; brief **visual-fatigue** checklist.
- Factors: Mode (light/dark), ambient light (dim/office/bright), age group (18–35 vs 50+), display type.

This directly targets whether **mode meaningfully shifts short-task focus** beyond comfort. <u>alexandria.unisg.ch</u>

## References (APA)

Buchner, A., Mayr, S., & Brandt, M. (2009). The advantage of positive text-background polarity is due to high display luminance. *Ergonomics*, 52(7), 882–886.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00140130902766113 Heinrich Heine University

Chen, J., Zhu, P., Yun, J., Tian, B., Yang, Y., & Liu, N. (2023). **Text readability of smartphone in dark mode: Effects of font type, weight and color**. In *Human Aspects of IT for the Aged Population* (LNCS 14042, pp. 23–33). Springer. <u>SpringerLink</u>

Ettling, T. J., Steinmann, D., Bektaş, K., & Abbad-Andaloussi, A. (2025). **An eye-tracking study on the effects of dark and light themes on user performance and workload**. *ETRA '25*. https://doi.org/10.1145/3715669.3725879 <u>alexandria.unisg.ch</u>

Palmén, H., Gilbert, M. D., & Crossland, D. (2023). How bold can we be? The impact of adjusting font grade on readability in light and dark polarities. *CHI '23*. (Preprint/summary access). thereadabilityconsortium.org

Piepenbrock, C., Mayr, S., Mund, I., & Buchner, A. (2013). Positive display polarity is advantageous for both younger and older adults. *Ergonomics*.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00140139.2013.820843 psychologie.hhu.de

Sengsoon, P., & Intaruk, R. (2025). **Immediate effects of light and dark mode features on visual fatigue in tablet users**. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 22(4), 609. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph22040609 MDPI

Sethi, M., & Ziat, M. (2023). Dark mode vogue: Do light-on-dark displays have measurable benefits to users? *Ergonomics*, 66(12), 1814–1828.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00140139.2022.2160879 Europe PMC

Wolffsohn, J. S., et al. (2017). Effects of ambient illumination, contrast polarity, and letter size on legibility. *Vision Research*. (Mechanistic overview of polarity & illumination). ScienceDirect

Xie, Y., Erickson, A., & colleagues (2019). **Effects of dark mode on visual fatigue and acuity in optical see-through HMDs**. *UIST Adjunct / PACM IMWUT* (contextual evidence of mode—lighting interactions). <u>ACM Digital Library</u>

Zhu, P., et al. (2024). **Dark vs. light mode on smartphones: Effects on eye fatigue under different ambient lighting**. *ACHI '24*. <u>thinkmind.org</u>