Name: Hammad Shabbir, Iqrash Qureshi

ID: 22I1140, 22I-1174

CS-F

Assignment Report

Original CFG:

Contents

Report: CFG Processing - Left Recursion Removal, Left Factoring, FIRST & FOL	LOW Sets 7
1. Approach	7
1.1 Reading the CFG	7
1.2 Removing Left Recursion	8
1.3 Left Factoring	8
1.4 Computing FIRST and FOLLOW sets	8
2. Challenges Faced	8
3. Verifying Correctness	9
3.1 Testing with Example Inputs	9
3.2 Manual Dry Runs	9
3.3 Output Verification	9
4. Conclusion	

Left Factoring

```
Performing Left Factoring...
Factored E with prefix 'a'
Created new non-terminal: A

Context-Free Grammar:
E -> aA
G -> G+E | b
L -> d
A -> c | x

Select an action:
1. Display CFG
2. Perform Left Factoring
3. Perform Left Recursion Removal
4. Compute First Sets (Non-terminals only)
5. Compute Follow Sets
6. Generate LL(1) Parsing Table
7. Exit
Enter your choice: 3
```

Left Recursion:

```
Enter your choice: 3

Performing Left Recursion Removal...
Left recursion removed for: G

Context-Free Grammar:
E -> aA
G -> bC
L -> d
A -> c | x
C -> +EC | #

Select an action:
1. Display CFG
2. Perform Left Factoring
3. Perform Left Recursion Removal
4. Compute First Sets (Non-terminals only)
5. Compute Follow Sets
6. Generate LL(1) Parsing Table
7. Exit
```

First & Follow

```
Computing FIRST sets...

FIRST sets:

FIRST(A) = { c x }

FIRST(C) = { # + }

FIRST(E) = { a }

FIRST(G) = { b }

FIRST(L) = { d }

Computing FOLLOW sets...

FOLLOW sets:

FOLLOW(A) = { $ + }

FOLLOW(C) = { }
```

Parsing Table:

```
| Vboxuser@masterr: -/Downloads/22i1174-22i1140-F
| FOLLOW(C) = { S + } |
| FO
```

Parsing Stack with Error

Successfully Parse

```
      Parsing input: a b c
      Step
      Stack
      Input
      Action

      1
      $ E
      a b c $
      E->abA

      2
      $ A b a a b c $
      Match a

      3
      $ A b b c $
      Match b

      4
      $ A c $
      A->c

      5
      $ c c $
      Match c

      6
      $ $
      $ Match $

      Parsing completed successfully!
```

Another Example:

 $E \rightarrow abc \mid abx$ $H \rightarrow xyz \mid xyp$

 $G \rightarrow G + E \mid ab$

J -> J * H | ef

After Factoring:

```
Context-Free Grammar:
E -> abA
H -> xyB
G -> G+E | ab
J -> J*H | ef
A -> c | x
B -> z | p
```

After Recursion:

```
Context-Free Grammar:
E -> abA
H -> xyB
G -> abF
J -> efI
A -> c | x
B -> z | p
F -> +EF | #
I -> *HI | #
```

First & Follow

```
FIRST sets:

FIRST(A) = { c x }

FIRST(B) = { p z }

FIRST(E) = { a }

FIRST(F) = { # + }

FIRST(G) = { a }

FIRST(H) = { x }

FIRST(J) = { # * }

FIRST(J) = { e }

Computing FOLLOW sets...

iv FOLLOW sets:

FOLLOW(A) = { $ + }

FOLLOW(B) = { * }

FOLLOW(F) = { }

FOLLOW(G) = { }

FOLLOW(H) = { * }

FOLLOW(J) = { }

FOLLOW(J) = { }

FOLLOW(J) = { }

FOLLOW(J) = { }
```

Parsing Table:

```
FOLLOW(H) = { * }
FOLLOW(H) =
```



3rd Example:

```
1 E -> E + T | T
2 T -> T * F | F
3 F -> ( E ) | i
```

ер	Stack	Input	Action
1	\$ E	i * i * i * i \$	E->TA
2	\$ A T	i * i * i * i \$	T->FB
3	\$ A B F	i * i * i * i \$	F->i
4	\$ A B i	i * i * i * i \$	Match i
2 3 4 5	\$ A B	* i * i * i \$	B->*FB
6	\$ A B F *	* i * i * i \$	Match *
7	\$ A B F	i * i * i \$	F->i
8 9	\$ A B i	i * i * i \$	Match i
9	\$ A B	* i * i \$	B->*FB
10	\$ A B F *	* i * i \$	Match *
11	\$ A B F	i * i \$	F->i
2	\$ A B i	i * i \$	Match i
13	\$ A B	* i \$	B->*FB
14	\$ A B F *	* i \$	Match *
15	\$ A B F	i\$	F->i
6	\$ A B i	i\$	Match i
7	\$ A B		B->#
18	\$ A	\$ \$ \$	A->#
19	\$	\$	Match \$

Report: CFG Processing - Left Recursion Removal, Left Factoring, FIRST & FOLLOW Sets

1. Approach

The main goal of the project was to read a context-free grammar (CFG) from a file and transform it to make it suitable for LL(1) parsing by:

- Removing left recursion (both immediate and indirect).
- Performing left factoring to eliminate ambiguity.
- Computing FIRST and FOLLOW sets for all non-terminals.

1.1 Reading the CFG

- Designed a function readCFGFromFile() to read grammar rules from a text file.
- Each line represents one non-terminal's productions, e.g., S -> Sa | b.
- Skips whitespace and comments (after a # symbol).
- Non-terminals and terminals are stored separately using set<char>.

1.2 Removing Left Recursion

- Immediate left recursion (e.g., $A > A\alpha \mid \beta$) handled by introducing a new non-terminal A':
 - New productions:
 - $\begin{array}{ccc} \circ & A -> \beta A' \\ & A' -> \alpha A' \mid \epsilon \end{array}$
- Indirect left recursion was handled by substituting previously defined rules into current productions before solving immediate recursion.
- Functions:
 - o performLeftRecursionRemoval()
 - solveImmediateLeftRecursion(int index)

1.3 Left Factoring

- When two productions share a common prefix, grammar was factored:
 - o A new non-terminal was created for the differing parts.
 - o Example:
 - \circ A -> abcd | abef
 - o After factoring:
 - $\begin{array}{ccc} \circ & A \rightarrow abA' \\ & A' \rightarrow cd \mid ef \end{array}$
- Function:
 - o performLeftFactoring()
- Loop used to repeatedly factor until no common prefixes remain.

1.4 Computing FIRST and FOLLOW sets

- FIRST Set: Terminals that can appear at the beginning of a string derived from a non-terminal.
- FOLLOW Set: Terminals that can appear immediately after a non-terminal in some derivation.
- Recursive definitions implemented carefully with iterative updates until no changes occurred.

Functions:

- computeFirstSets()
- computeFollowSets()

2. Challenges Faced

Challenge

How It Was Overcome

Dealing with indirect left recursion

Carefully replaced indirect recursion first, then handled direct recursion.

Choosing unique non-terminal symbols for new productions 'A' to 'Z'.

Managing empty productions (epsilon #) Explicitly handled epsilon in FIRST and FOLLOW set rules.

Avoiding infinite loops during

FIRST/FOLLOW computation

Used a changed flag and looped until convergence.

Updating grammar rules properly during Used temporary data structures to manage factoring productions safely.

3. Verifying Correctness

3.1 Testing with Example Inputs

- Tested grammars included immediate recursion, indirect recursion, and left factoring needs.
- Sample grammar:
- S -> Sa | b A -> Ac | Sd | ε

3.2 Manual Dry Runs

- Manually computed expected results.
- Compared against program outputs.

3.3 Output Verification

- Printed modified CFG after each step.
- Printed FIRST and FOLLOW sets.
- Ensured no direct left recursion or ambiguity remained.
- Confirmed FIRST and FOLLOW sets matched theoretical calculations.

4. Conclusion

- Successfully implemented CFG reading, left recursion removal, left factoring, and FIRST/FOLLOW set computation.
- Solved challenges related to recursion, unique symbol generation, and epsilon handling.
- Verified correctness through theoretical comparison and manual dry runs.