FY2008 EQIP EXAMPLE

COMPLETED CROPPING SYSTEM SPEC SHEETS and EQIP 328/329/595 WORKSHEETS

GRAIN SCENARIO CHARLES CITY COUNTY

Before: 2 year rotation, corn NT + soybeans NT After: 2 year rotation, corn NT + soybeans NT w/ diverse mixed grass/legume cover crops every winter

On 3% Emporia fine sandy loam

EQIP PAYMENT RATES:

Crop Rotation (328) = \$40/ac/yr Pest Management (595) = \$30/ac/yr Total = \$70/ac/yr

125 acre commitment = \$26,250 over 3 years



VA RUSLE2 Worksheet Printout Summary

Summary printout of RUSLE2 calculation for one field comparing multiple management alternatives

Client/Owner name: Chris Lawrence Field name: Charles City Emporia fsl 3% 200 ft Tract #: SCI Grain Case Study

Location: Virginia\City of Charles

Printout date: October 1, 2007

Prepared by (name):

USDA Service Center/Location:

Summary of RUSLE2 output for each management alternative:

Summary of ROSEEz output for each management alternative.											
Description	Cons. plan. soil loss,	Soil conditioning	STIR value								
2 escription	t/ac/yr	index (SCI)									
Corn, FS Soy - all	_	0.44									
NT (JD drill)	1	0.41	3								
Corn + gr/leg cover,											
FS Soy - all NT (JD	1	0.55	4								
drill)											
Corn + gr/leg cover,											
FS Soy + gr/leg cover		0.62	_								
crop - all NT (JD	1	0.63	5								
drill)											
Corn, Wheat+straw,											
DC SOY - all NT	1	0.58	4								
(JD drill)											
Corn, Wheat, DC											
Soy - all NT (JD	0	0.75	4								
drill)											
Corn, Wheat, DC											
Soy, Rye cover - all	0	0.78	5								
NT (JD drill)											
	T value: 5 t/ac/yı	(all alternatives)									

Recommendations / Comments:

Cropping System Description & Evaluation (D&E) Spec Sheet

A.	General	Info

Cropping system / rotation name or ID: Before: C	NT Corn & Beans, no cover	r crop	
Client: I.B. Grainman	Conservation Planner & contact info:D	.C. Gonewild, Jr.	Date: 10/27/06
B. Field / CMU Description			
Tract(s) / field(s) / acres: See Implementation Sche	dule		
RUSLE2 Inputs: County: Charles City	Soil type: Emporia fsl	Slope %: 3%	Slope length (ft): 200 ft
C. Management Description			
Erosion control support practices (contouring, etc.): Not	ne		
Duration of planned rotation(years): 2 years	_		

Year	Season	Plant date	Сгор	Tillage	Minimum % cover	Manure or	Notes	# of fallow		species unt
Tear	Season	1 tant date	Сгор	Tinuge	after applied residue planting			periods >60 days	all	leg
1	Summer		Corn grain	NT	45%		130 bu/ac		1	
1	Winter		FALLOW					1		
2	Summer		Soybeans, full season	NT	60%		40 bu/ac, single disk drill		1	1
2	Winter		FALLOW					1		

Key: NT = No-till; ST = Strip-till; MT = Mulch-till; CT = Clean-till

D&E Spec Sheet – 10/06 version

D. Cropping System Evaluation

The levels of conservation performance described below will be achieved if the planned crop rotation and other management practices described in Section C are applied on the fields described in Section B. It may be possible to achieve the same level of conservation performance with a different combination of management practices.

Part 1: Evaluation Based on Soil Erosion & Soil Quality Factors

Factor		Data			Interpretation		
Soil erosion (sheet & rill)	Predicted soil loss (t/ac/yr):	1	T value (t/ac/yr):	5	SOIL LOSS TO T – SUSTAINABLE		
Soil organic matter (SOM) trend	Soil loss to T?	YES	SCI Score:	+0.41	SOM BUILDING – MINIMUM		
Crop continuity	Rotation duration (yrs):	2	# of fallow periods >60 days:	2	NOT CONTINUOUS NO FALLOW		
Crop diversity	# total species:	2	# legume species:	1	NOT HIGH DIVERSITY		
Soil disturbance	Tillage system:	Continuous No-till	Overall average annual STIR:	3	TILLAGE SYSTEM: OPTIMUM; STIR VALUE: OPTIMUM		

Source of RUSLE2 Data:

See attached

Part 2: Evaluation Based on Other Factors

E. Additional Comments & Recommendations

The USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer

D&E Spec Sheet – 10/06 version

Cropping System Description & Evaluation (D&E) Spec Sheet

A. General Info

	Cropping system / rotation name or ID:	Cropping system / rotation name or ID: After: CNT Corn & Beans, w grass/legume cover crop												
	Client: I.B. Grainman	Conservation Planner & contact info:	D.C. Gonewild, Jr.	Date: 10/27/06										
Β.	. Field / CMU Description													
	Tract(s)/field(s)/acres: See Impleme	tation Schedule												
	RUSLE2 Inputs: County: Charles City	Soil type: Emporia fsl	Slope %: 3%	Slope length (ft): 200 ft										
C.	. Management Description													
	Erosion control support practices (contouring,	etc.): None												
	Duration of planned rotation(years): 2 years	rs												

Year	Season	Plant date	Стор	Tillage	Minimum % cover	Manure or	Notes	# of fallow		species ount
1 eur	Season	1 10111 00110	Стор	Tuuge	after planting	applied residue		periods >60 days	all	leg
1	Summer		Corn grain	NT	60%		130 bu/ac		1	
1	Winter		Barley & Clover cover crop	NT	60%				2	1
2	Summer		Soybeans, full season	NT	60%		40 bu/ac, single disk drill		1	1
2	Winter		Rye & Vetch cover crop	NT	60%				2	1

Key: NT = No-till; ST = Strip-till; MT = Mulch-till; CT = Clean-till

D. Cropping System Evaluation

The levels of conservation performance described below will be achieved if the planned crop rotation and other management practices described in Section C are applied on the fields described in Section B. It may be possible to achieve the same level of conservation performance with a different combination of management practices.

Part 1: Evaluation Based on Soil Erosion & Soil Quality Factors

Factor		I	Data		Interpretation		
Soil erosion (sheet & rill)	Predicted soil loss (t/ac/yr):	1	T value (t/ac/yr):	5	SOIL LOSS TO T – SUSTAINABLE		
Soil organic matter (SOM) trend	Soil loss to T?	YES	SCI Score:	+0.63	SOM BUILDING – INTERMEDIATE		
Crop continuity	Rotation duration (yrs):	2	# of fallow periods >60 days:	0	CONTINUOUS NO FALLOW – OPTIMUM		
Crop diversity	# total species:	6	# legume species:	3	HIGH DIVERSITY CROPPING SYSTEM – INTERMEDIATE		
Soil disturbance	Tillage system:	Continuous No-till	Overall average annual STIR:	5	TILLAGE SYSTEM: OPTIMUM; STIR VALUE: OPTIMUM		

Source of RUSLE2 Data:

See attached

Part 2: Evaluation Based on Other Factors

E. Additional Comments & Recommendations

The USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer

D&E Spec Sheet – 10/06 version

Cropping System Implementation Schedule Spec Sheet

Client: I.B. Grainman Conservation Planner & contact info: D.C. Gonewild, Jr., 804 555 1212 Date: 10/27/06

		Cropping System / Rotation ID (see D&E Sheets)	Year:	Year:	Year:	Year:	Year:	Year:
T	F: 11(a) 0		2008	08/09	2009	09/10	2010	10/11
Tract(s)	Field(s) & acres		Season:	Season:	Season:	Season:	Season:	Season:
		(see D&L Sheets)	Summer	Winter	Summer	Winter	Summer	Winter
	Fields 3 & 5 (75 ac)			NT barley		NT rye &		NT barley
Tract 3		Continuous NT Corn /	NT Corn NT	& clover	NT Soybeans	vetch	NT Corn	& clover
		Beans w mixed		cover		cover crop		cover
	Field 5	grass/legume cover		NT rye &		NT barley		NT rye &
Tract 3	(50 ac)	crops	NT Soybeans	vetch	NT Corn	& clover	NT Soybeans	vetch
	(50 ac)			cover crop		cover		cover crop

Key: NT = No-till; ST = Strip-till; MT = Mulch-till; CT = Clean-till

Comments & Recommendations:

Implementation of the above rotation is required under EQIP contract.

Different cover crop species may be used, as long as the required degree of diversity is achieved (at least 5 total species, 2 legume species).

The USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer

EXHIBIT 2: EQIP 328/329/595 ANNUAL CROPPING SYSTEMS WORKSHEET

Prepared by:	D.C. Gonewild, Jr.	Service C	Center: C	Quinton	Date:	10/27/07		
Client: I.B.	Grainman		I	EQIP Contract #:	1-800-CASH			
Tract(s) and Fi	ield(s) covered under the	his worksheet:				Acres:		
Tract 3, Field	13					25		
Tract 3, Field	1.5					50		
Tract 3, Field	17					50		
				i	Total implementation acres:	125		
Part II: Crop	ping System Info							
"Before" cropp	ping system name:	CNT Corn & Beans,	no cover	crop "Befor	e" rotation durat	ion (yrs): 2		
"After" croppi	ng system name:	CNT Corn & Beans	w gr/leg c	w gr/leg cover "After" rotation duration (yrs): 2				
Are D&E Spec	c Sheets for both the "b	pefore" and "after" crop	ping syste	ems attached to th	is EQIP Worksh	eet? YES		
Part III: Payr	ment Rates and Totals	s – For This Workshee	et <u>ONLY</u>					
	Data			How to get data				
a. Implementa	ation period:	3 years						
b. 328 pay rate	e (\$/ac/yr):	\$40		ete the EQIP 328/32 mine this value. R		alculator (Exhibit 3		
c. 329 pay rate	e (\$/ac/yr):	\$0		nibit 3. Rate is eith				
d. 595 crop di	versity pay rate (\$/ac/y	(r) \$30	See Ext	nibit 3. Range: \$0 t	to \$45.			
e. 328+329+5	95 pay rate (\$/ac/yr):	\$70	Add III	b. + III.c. + III.d.				
f. Total imple	mentation acres:	125	From F	Part I, above.				
U	of 328+329+595 EQII	3/6/50	Multiply III.a. x III.e. x III.f.					

NOTE: Total value of 328+329+595 crop diversity payments per FY2008 EQIP contract recipient must not exceed \$20,000 per year / \$60,000 per contract.

Part IV: Narratives & Cost List Component Codes for Entry in Toolkit & Protracts

Go to Exhibit 4, select one narrative per practice code, then fill in the blanks below using info from Exhibit 4:

Practice Code	Narrative Code	Payment Rate (\$/ac/yr)
328 (Crop Rotation)	E011	\$ 40
329 (No-Till/Strip-Till)	-	
595 (Pest Management)	E002	\$ 30
	Combined rate (328+329+595):	\$ 70

b. Verify that the pay rates based on narratives selected in Exhibit 4 match the rates in III.a. thru e. above.

EXHIBIT 3: EQIP 328/329/595 PAYMENT CALCULATOR

Instructions:

- 1. Enter RUSLE2 output for "before" and "after" cropping systems. Does the "after" cropping system meet minimum eligibility test?
- 2. Evaluate the "before" system. Which practice elements are already being implemented? Enter an "X" in Row 1 for each practice element already being implemented. The information you need is on the Cropping System D&E Spec Sheet.
- 3. Evaluate the "after" or EQIP-funded system. Which practice elements will be implemented? Enter an "X" in Row 2 for each practice element that will be implemented. The information you need is on the Cropping System D&E Spec Sheet.
- 4. Complete Rows 3 through 7 following instructions in table.
- 5. Note: multiple payments can be made for multi-level improvements in SOM building and crop diversity. For example, changing from a "before" cropping system with 0.00 SCI to an "after" system with +0.75 SCI is eligible for three payments one for each level of SOM performance achieved (minimum, intermediate, and optimum).

							Conserva	tion Practice '	'Elements'' Eli	gible for EQIP	Incentive Pay	ments	
		Output (yes p)		Eligibility test (yes for both to		Conserv	vation Crop Ro	otation Elemen	its (328)	No-Till Elements (329)	Pest Manag	gement Elem	nents (595)
	Crop- ping System				ceed)		Soil Organic Matter (SOM) Building Cropping System Continuous No-Fallow			Continuous No-Till /	High Diversity Crop Rotation		
		Soil Loss SC	SCI	Soil	SCI at	Minimum (+0.25 SCI)	Intermediate (+0.50 SCI)	Optimum (+0.75 SCI)	Crop Rotation	Strip-Till System	Minimum (3 & 1)	Intermediate (5 & 2)	Optimum (7 & 3)
1	Before	1	+0.41			X	,			X			
2	After	1	+0.63	YES	YES	X	X		X	X	X	X	
3	"before"	to "after	r" produc	has the char ced improv in this row	rement?		X		X		X	X	
4	Payment	rates for	practice	elements (\$	\$/ac/yr):	\$15	\$15	\$15	\$25	\$25	\$15	\$15	\$15
5	Is there an "X" in Row 3? If yes, enter payment rate for each practice element (\$/ac/yr):				\$15		\$25		\$15	\$15			
6					\$/ac/yr):		\$40					\$30	

440-VA381, September 2007

EXHIBIT 4: EQIP-SPECIFIC TOOLKIT NARRATIVES & PROTRACTS COST LIST COMPONENT CODES FOR USE WITH ALL FY08 328, 329 & 595 CROP DIVERSITY PAYMENTS

SELECT ONLY ONE NARRATIVE PER PRACTICE CODE!			
Practice Code	Narrative Code	Narrative Text	Payment Rate (\$/ac/yr)
328	E001	Adopt a new cropping system that eliminates all fallow periods and qualifies as CONTINUOUS NO-FALLOW . New system must also qualify as Soil Organic Matter (SOM) Building (soil loss to T and SCI +0.25 or greater).	\$25
	E010	Adopt a new cropping system that (1) qualifies as Soil Organic Matter (SOM) Building (T and SCI +0.25 or greater); and (2) results in a ONE-LEVEL improvement in SCI-based SOM performance level compared to the "before" condition.	\$15
	E011	Adopt a new cropping system that (1) eliminates all fallow periods and qualifies as CONTINUOUS NO-FALLOW ; and (2) results in a ONE-LEVEL improvement in SCI-based SOM performance level compared to the "before" condition.	\$40
	E020	Adopt a new cropping system that (1) qualifies as Soil Organic Matter (SOM) Building (T and SCI +0.25 or greater); and (2) results in a TWO-LEVEL improvement in SCI-based SOM performance level compared to the "before" condition. The new system SOM performance level may be Intermediate (+0.50 or more) or Optimum (+0.75 or more).	\$30
	E021	Adopt a new cropping system that (1) eliminates all fallow periods and qualifies as CONTINUOUS NO-FALLOW ; and (2) results in a TWO-LEVEL improvement in SCI-based SOM performance level compared to the "before" condition. The new system SOM performance level may be Intermediate (+0.50 or more) or Optimum (+0.75 or more).	\$55
	E030	Adopt a new cropping system that (1) qualifies as Soil Organic Matter (SOM) Building (T and SCI +0.25 or greater); and (2) results in a THREE-LEVEL improvement in SCI-based SOM performance level compared to the "before" condition. The new system SOM performance level must be Optimum (+0.75 or more).	\$45
	E031	Adopt a new cropping system that (1) eliminates all fallow periods and qualifies as CONTINUOUS NO-FALLOW ; and (2) results in a THREE-LEVEL improvement in SCI-based SOM performance level compared to the "before" condition. The new system SOM performance level must be Optimum (+0.75 or more).	\$70
	E040	Adopt a new crop rotation by establishing a PERENNIAL crop in a field that has been in annual crops for five years or more. Perennial must be maintained for at least three summers and must achieve 90% cover within one year after establishment. Not intended for permanent cropland conversion.	\$100
329	E001	Adopt a new cropping system that eliminates all full-width tillage and qualifies as CONTINUOUS NO-TILL . New system must also qualify as Soil Organic Matter (SOM) Building (soil loss to T and SCI +0.25 or greater).	\$25
595	E001	Adopt a cropping system with increased crop diversity. The change must result in a ONE-LEVEL improvement in diversity performance level compared to the "before" condition, with levels defined as: Minimum (at least 3 species, at least 1 legume); Intermediate (at least 5 species, at least 2 legumes); Optimum (at least 7 species, at least 3 legumes). Practice must complement a cropping system that qualifies as Soil Organic Matter (SOM) Building (soil loss to T and SCI +0.25 or greater).	\$15
	E002	Adopt a cropping system with increased crop diversity. The change must result in a TWO-LEVEL improvement in crop diversity performance level compared to the "before" condition, with levels defined as: Minimum (at least 3 species, at least 1 legume); Intermediate (at least 5 species, at least 2 legumes); Optimum (at least 7 species, at least 3 legumes). The new system diversity level may be Intermediate or Optimum. Practice must complement a cropping system that qualifies as Soil Organic Matter (SOM) Building (soil loss to T and SCI +0.25 or greater).	\$30
	E003	Adopt a cropping system with increased crop diversity to assist in controlling weeds, soilborne pathogens, and other pests. The change must result in a THREE-LEVEL improvement in crop diversity performance level compared to the "before" condition, with levels defined as: Minimum (at least 3 species, at least 1 legume); Intermediate (at least 5 species, at least 2 legumes); Optimum (at least 7 species, at least 3 legumes). The new system diversity level must be Optimum. Practice must complement a cropping system that qualifies as Soil Organic Matter Building (soil loss to T and SCI +0.25 or greater).	\$45