Classification of IRIS using KNN Hanah Chang 1. Introduction In this project we are going to apply a KNN algorithm to classify three IRIS followers. The dataset is from https://www.kaggle.com/uciml/iris. Examples of Key variables are: · sepalLength: sepal length • sepalWidth: sepal width • petalLength: petal length • petalWidth: petal width And our dependent variable is categorical data with three classes. Iris Setosa Iris Versicolour • Iris Virginica 2. Data & Libaray The shape of a key'data' tells us there are 569 observations and 30 independent variables. In [1]: import pandas as pd import numpy as np import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import seaborn as sns In [2]: iris_df = pd.read_csv('iris.csv') print(iris_df.shape) print(iris_df.tail(5)) (150, 5)SepalLengthCm SepalWidthCm PetalLengthCm PetalWidthCm Species 6.7 3.0 5.2 2.3 Iris-virginica 6.3 2.5 5.0 1.9 Iris-virginica 6.5 3.0 5.2 2.0 Iris-virginica 6.2 3.4 5.4 2.3 Iris-virginica 5.9 3.0 5.1 1.8 Iris-virginica 145 146 147 148 149 3. Explanatory Analysis From the right scatter, Setosa Iris seems to have larger sepal width and smaller sepal length compared to other two Iris. However, for Versicolor and Virginica it seems hard to separate these two based on Sepal length and Sepal Width. From the left scatter plot, Setosa seem very easy to separate but again, it will be more challenging to find a decision boundary for dividing Versicolor and Virginica. In [3]: plt.figure(figsize=(12,5)) plt.subplot(1,2,1) $sns.scatterplot(x = 'SepalLengthCm', y = 'SepalWidthCm', hue = 'Species', data = iris_df)$ plt.subplot(1,2,2) $sns.scatterplot(x = 'PetalLengthCm', y = 'PetalWidthCm', hue = 'Species', data = iris_df)$ Out[3]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x251400c4cc8> Species 2.5 Species Iris-setosa Iris-setosa Iris-versicolor Iris-versicolor 4.0 Iris-virginica Iris-virginica 2.0 SepalWidthCm 3.0 alWidthCm 12 문 10 2.5 0.5 403 0 • (4033) • 2.0 4.5 5.0 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 5.5 PetalLengthCm Next I created set of box plots using all four variables. We can see that Setosa does not overlap in most cases. In [4]: plt.figure(figsize=(10,10)) plt.subplot(2,2,1)sns.boxplot(x='Species', y='PetalLengthCm', data=iris_df) plt.subplot(2,2,2)sns.boxplot(x='Species', y='PetalWidthCm', data=iris_df) plt.subplot(2,2,3)sns.boxplot(x='Species', y='SepalLengthCm', data=iris_df) plt.subplot(2,2,4) sns.boxplot(x='Species',y='SepalWidthCm',data=iris_df) Out[4]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x2514129eb48> 2.0 ^{ည့်} 1.5 를 1.0 Iris-versicolor Iris-virginica Iris-versicolor Iris-setosa Iris-setosa lris-virginica Species 8.0 7.5 4.0 7.0 ڪِ 6.5 ii 3.0 উ 6.0 2.5 5.0 4.5 2.0 Iris-setosa Iris-versicolor Iris-virginica Iris-setosa Iris-versicolor Iris-virginica Species Species For next explanatory analysis, we are going to visualize correlations between variables. There are high correlations between Sepal Length - Petal Width, Petal length - Sepallenth, Petal length - petal width and etc. Since we are not using linear regression the interdependency between variables is less likely to impact on our results. In [5]: # Let's check the correlation between the variables plt.figure(figsize=(10,7)) sns.heatmap(iris_df.corr(), annot=True) Out[5]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x251417fe988> - 1.0 -0.11 0.87 0.82 - 0.8 - 0.6 -0.11 -0.42 -0.36 - 0.4 - 0.2 -0.42 0.96 0.87 - 0.0 -0.20.82 -0.36 0.96 SepalWidthCm PetalWidthCm SepalLengthCm PetalLengthCm 4. KNN - Training Random split our data into training and testing dataset using train_test_split from sklearn library In [6]: X = iris_df.drop(['Species'], axis=1) y = iris_df['Species'] In [17]: from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size = 0.30) In [18]: print(X_train.head()) print(y_train.head()) SepalLengthCm SepalWidthCm PetalLengthCm PetalWidthCm 100 6.3 3.3 6.0 2.5 144 3.3 2.5 6.7 5.7 39 0.2 5.1 3.4 1.5 11 4.8 3.4 1.6 0.2 77 6.7 5.0 1.7 100 Iris-virginica 144 Iris-virginica 39 Iris-setosa 11 Iris-setosa 77 Iris-versicolor Name: Species, dtype: object 4. KNN - Evaluating & Optimizing We will train KNN with arguments metric = 'minkowski' and p = 2. This means we are calculating euclidean_distance between variables. Confusion matrix telss us the model correctly classified 42 (15 + 12+ 15), and only misclassified 3. In [21]: **from sklearn.neighbors import** KNeighborsClassifier from sklearn.metrics import classification_report, confusion_matrix classifier = KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors = 3, metric = 'minkowski', p = 2) classifier.fit(X_train, y_train) y_predict = classifier.predict(X_test) cm = confusion_matrix(y_test, y_predict) sns.heatmap(cm, annot=True, fmt="d") Out[21]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x25141c57748> 15 15 Now we change n_neighbors to 5, meaning that the label of our testing data is labeled based on 5 closest training datapoints. The model is now improved by 1 datapoint. As we expected from explanatory analysis, Setosa is separated 100%. In [22]: classifier = KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors = 5, metric = 'minkowski', p = 2) classifier.fit(X_train, y_train) y_predict = classifier.predict(X_test) cm = confusion_matrix(y_test, y_predict) sns.heatmap(cm, annot=True, fmt="d") Out[22]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x25141e5c988> 16 In [23]: print(classification_report(y_test, y_predict)) recall f1-score support precision Iris-setosa 1.00 1.00 1.00 15 Iris-versicolor 1.00 0.86 0.92 14 Iris-virginica 0.89 0.94 16 1.00 0.96 45 accuracy macro avg 0.96 0.95 0.95 45 weighted avg 0.96 0.96 0.96 45