

# Capstone Project Proposal

Machine Learning techniques using  
AWS

HananAli Elmogey  
Data scientist

Alzheimer MRI Prediction-Kaggle

For Udacity AWS-ML  
Nanodegree

## Project Overview

Advancement in technology has resulted in the generation of a prodigious amount of data from everywhere. Due to the increasing amounts of electronic data in the healthcare, life sciences, and bio-science industry, medical doctors and physicians are facing problems in analyzing the data using traditional diagnosing systems. Nevertheless, machine learning and deep learning techniques have aided doctors and experts in detecting deadly diseases in their early stages.

This project proposal will go over the complete pipeline to build a model that can determine the dementia level of an Alzheimer's patient from their MRI image.

This tutorial highlights the prediction of MRI Alzheimer insights through building a CNN Model using Some **Python** libraries trying to get the highest accuracy of prediction.

## Dataset Background

We'll be using a <https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/uraninjo/augmented-alzheimer-mri-dataset> which is MRI images in grayscale we will be using them for our tutorial which already has augmented data with 4 labels which I displayed a random samples of each one through the visualization plots to check them out.

The data is not biased and augmented so we can go through modeling after just splitting our data.

The data consists of four folders which are our classes for the different Alzheimer stages as following :


Class	No.Records
MildDemented	8960
ModerateDemented	6464
NonDemented	9600
VeryMildDemented	8960
<b>Total Records:</b>	<b>33984</b>

## Problem Statement

The brain is the most important organ in the human's body, it regulates all processes and when it experience any damage it can lead to losing memory for a short time or even long lasting memory loss "Alzheimer".

We can use automated techniques on MRI Images for accurate detection of Alzheimer stages

The project's objective is to build a CNN model with high accuracy to predict the stages of Alzheimer from the MRI scanned images to help physicians distinguish between different stages easily with high performance to prescribe the suitable medication for cure.



+ Code

+ Markdown

sole

## Benchmark Model:

Many ML algorithms used to distinguish between different Alzheimer disease stages, here is a paper discussing how to use CNN techniques through extracting the CNN-based and FreeSurfer-based image features to serve that exact purpose, you can get more information checking the following link.


[“https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins.2018.00777/full”](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins.2018.00777/full)

## Data Preprocessing:

I've created my data loader that will load images from each label and resizing image to (224\*224) as my input layer in ResNet50 model used.

## Implementation

My solution Is to take my dataset provided by Kaggle luckily it's Augmented, explore it and I've already mentioned results of that exploration above, then made my prepossessing to the data, and trained my model with that data according to that work flow:



And hence the Algorithm and platform will be through the following sequence:


1. The Algorithm will be a Convolution Neural Network (CNN) architecture (using ResNet50 pretrained model in Sagemaker).
2. Deep Learning Framework is PyTorch.
3. A corresponding Sage Maker instance(type: ml.p2.xlarge) will be created and data will be fed from a storage bucket.
4. The model will also be tuned to find out the best hyper-parameters (Learning rate, Batch size).
5. Will be using Amazon Web Services as my platform
6. And Sage Maker Studio to train, tune and deploy the model.
7. S3 as my Storage Bucket.

## Evaluation & Validation metrics:

As it's obvious we are dealing with a classification problem, so my aim is to get the best accuracy for the model and test it's ability to predict the right stage of Alzheimer from the input MRI image.

In my approach here I have chosen the best Hyperparameters which were:

- Learning rate: "0.0002075107667149392"
- Batch size: "128"



The screenshot shows a CloudWatch log stream with the following content:

```
2022-10-30T17:34:04.764+02:00
2022-10-30T17:34:04.764+02:00 Environment variables
2022-10-30T17:34:04.764+02:00 SM_HOSTS=[“algo-1”]
2022-10-30T17:34:04.764+02:00 SM_NETWORK_INTERFACE_NAME=en0
2022-10-30T17:34:04.764+02:00 SM_HPS={"batch_size":128,"lr":0.0011432064369541078}
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_USER_ENTRY_POINT=hpo_1.py
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_FRAMEWORK_PARAMS={"sagemaker_estimator_class_name": "PyTorch", "sagemaker_estimator_module": "sagemaker.pytorch.estimator"}
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_RESOURCE_CONFIG={"current_group_name": "homogeneousCluster", "current_host": "algo-1", "current_instance_type": "ml.p2.xlarge", "hosts": ["algo-1"]}
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_INPUT_DATA_CONFIG={"training": {"RecordWrapperType": "None", "S3DistributionType": "FullyReplicated", "TrainingInputMode": "File"}}
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_OUTPUT_DATA_DIR=/opt/ml/input/data
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_CHANNELS=["training"]
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_CURRENT_HOST=algo-1
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_MODULE_NAME=hpo_1
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_LOG_LEVEL=20
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_FRAMEWORK_MODULE=sagemaker_pytorch_container.training:main
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_INPUT_DIR=/opt/ml/input
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_INPUT_CONFIG_DIR=/opt/ml/input/config
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_OUTPUT_DIR=/opt/ml/output
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_NUM_CPUS=4
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_NUM_GPUS=1
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_MODEL_DIR=/opt/ml/model
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_MODULE_DIR=s3://sagemaker-us-east-1-544001512282/pytorch-training-2022-10-30-15-09-55-870/source/sourcedir.tar.gz
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_TRAINING_ENV={"additional_framework_parameters": {"sagemaker_estimator_class_name": "PyTorch", "sagemaker_estimator_module": "sagemaker.pytorch.estimator"}, "entry_point": "hpo_1.py", "image": "763104445113.dkr.ecr.us-east-1.amazonaws.com/sagemaker-pytorch-training:1.0", "log_level": "INFO", "role": "arn:aws:iam::11405689165:role/sagemaker-training-role-20221030153412, "train_instance_type": "ml.p2.xlarge", "train_volume_size": 30, "train_volume_kms_key": null, "vpc_config": {"security_group_ids": ["sg-0a1f3a1f3a1f3a1f3"], "subnet_ids": ["subnet-0a1f3a1f3a1f3a1f3"]}, "volume_kms_key": null, "volume_size": 30}, "args": ["--batch_size", "128", "--lr", "0.0011432064369541078"]
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_USER_ARGS="--batch_size", "128", "--lr", "0.0011432064369541078"
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_OUTPUT_INTERMEDIATE_DIR=/opt/ml/output/intermediate
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_CHANNEL_TRAINING=/opt/ml/input/data/training
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_HP_BATCH_SIZE=128
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 SM_HP_LR=0.0011432064369541078
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 PYTHONPATH=/opt/ml/code:/opt/conda/bin:/opt/conda/lib/python3.6:/opt/conda/lib/python3.6/lib-dynload:/opt/conda/lib/python3.6/site-packages
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 Invoking script with the following command:
2022-10-30T17:34:04.765+02:00 /opt/conda/bin/python3.6 hpo_1.py --batch_size 128 --lr 0.0011432064369541078
2022-10-30T17:34:12.773+02:00 Saving the Model ...
2022-10-30T17:34:12.773+02:00 Model Saving completed ...
2022-10-30T17:34:12.773+02:00 Downloading: "https://download.pytorch.org/models/resnet50-19c8e357.pth" to /root/.cache/torch/hub/checkpoints/resnet50-19c8e357.pth
2022-10-30T17:34:12.773+02:00 #015 0% | 0.00/97.8M [00:00<0?, 7B/s]#015 15%| 14.8M/97.8M [00:00<00:00, 155MB/s]#015 33%| 31.9M/97.8M [00:00<00:00, 170MB/s]#015 4...
2022-10-30 15:34:12,720 sagemaker-training-toolkit INFO Reporting training SUCCESS
```

Back to top ^

# Model Training Evaluation metrics:


Training the pre-trained ResNet model ended up with the following results

Screenshot of the AWS CloudWatch Log Stream showing training logs for a ResNet model. The logs detail the training process, including epoch numbers, loss values, and accuracy percentages. A modal window is displayed in the center of the screen, instructing the user to press F11 to exit full screen.

```
2022-10-31T11:44:01.809+02:00 INFO:__main__: Train set: Average loss: 1.0230, Accuracy: 10508/33984 (34%)
2022-10-31T11:44:01.809+02:00 INFO:__main__: Press F11 to exit full screen
2022-10-31T11:44:01.809+02:00 INFO:__main__:
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 Train set: [16000/33984 (47%)]#011 Loss: 1.09#011Accuracy: 8745/16000 (54.66%)
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 INFO:__main__:
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 Train set: [32000/33984 (94%)]#011 Loss: 1.04#011Accuracy: 17415/32000 (54.42%)
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 INFO:__main__:
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 Train set: Average loss: 1.0242, Accuracy: 10508/33984 (54%)
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 INFO:__main__:Epoch 22 - Starting Training phase.
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 INFO:__main__:Epoch: 22 - Training Model on Complete Training Dataset
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 INFO:__main__:
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 Train set: [16000/33984 (47%)]#011 Loss: 1.05#011Accuracy: 8703/16000 (54.39%)
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 INFO:__main__:
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 Train set: [32000/33984 (94%)]#011 Loss: 0.97#011Accuracy: 17458/32000 (54.56%)
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 INFO:__main__:
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 Train set: Average loss: 1.0212, Accuracy: 10572/33984 (55%)
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 INFO:__main__:Epoch 23 - Starting Training phase.
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 INFO:__main__:Epoch: 23 - Training Model on Complete Training Dataset
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 INFO:__main__:
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 Train set: [16000/33984 (47%)]#011 Loss: 0.99#011Accuracy: 8695/16000 (54.34%)
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 INFO:__main__:
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 Train set: [32000/33984 (94%)]#011 Loss: 1.13#011Accuracy: 17429/32000 (54.47%)
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 INFO:__main__:
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 Train set: Average loss: 1.0208, Accuracy: 10519/33984 (54%)
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 INFO:__main__:Epoch 24 - Starting Training phase.
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 INFO:__main__:Epoch: 24 - Training Model on Complete Training Dataset
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 INFO:__main__:
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 Train set: [16000/33984 (47%)]#011 Loss: 1.02#011Accuracy: 8702/16000 (54.39%)
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 INFO:__main__:
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 Train set: [32000/33984 (94%)]#011 Loss: 1.04#011Accuracy: 17541/32000 (54.82%)
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 INFO:__main__:
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 Train set: Average loss: 1.0181, Accuracy: 10636/33984 (55%)
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 INFO:__main__:Saving the Model ...
2022-10-31T11:44:01.810+02:00 INFO:__main__:Model Saving completed ...
2022-10-31 09:44:01.224 sagemaker-training-toolkit INFO Reporting training SUCCESS
```

No newer events at this moment. Auto retry paused. [Resume](#)

Feedback Looking for language selection? Find it in the new [Unified Settings](#) ▾ © 2022, Amazon Web Services, Inc. or its affiliates. [Privacy](#) [Terms](#) [Cookie preferences](#)



## **Refinement:**

In my opinion making some improvements to enhance model accuracy would be as follow:

- Increasing no. of instances instead of 4, may be 10 for example could make my data more accurate.
- Using more Hyperparameters such as “Scheduler” will use learning rates in more flexible ways which could help choosing the best lr for the job, also could increase the range of Bach sizes used (may be adding 512, 64 bach sizes to the original ones used).
- Also using another pretrained sagemaker model would be a good choice.

## **Justification:**

- Using already augmented data for my job made it easier to work with during the training process.
- Using Sagemaker pre-trained model (ResNet50) was helpful and I think other pre-trained models could be more useful too for a classification task like this.
- Choosing a faster instance type (ml.p2.xlarge) was a great choice it saved a lot of time but I guess using less fast types could save more cost.
- Using a range of Hyperparameters, then choosing the best of them to train with was a privilege.

For further information, contact:

Hanan Ali

nana.elmogey@gmail.com