

## A canonical DMP model with exogenous separation

**Household** A representative household composed of a unit measure of a homogeneous labor force is considered. The employed labor force earns wage  $w$  that is bilaterally determined, and an unemployed labor force engages in home production  $b > 0$ . From the national identity (resource constraint), the produced output after the wasted total vacancy posting cost.

$$c(S) = Y(S) - \kappa v(S) = n(S)z - \kappa v(S)$$

where  $Y$  is aggregate output,  $n$  is the employment,  $z$  is aggregate TFP,  $\kappa > 0$  is the vacancy posting cost, and  $v$  is the vacancy. We assume a temporal CRRA utility with risk aversion  $\sigma > 0$  and discount factor  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ .

**Aggregate states** The aggregate state  $S$  is as follows:

$$S = \{z, n_{-1}\}$$

where  $z$  is aggregate TFP, and  $n_{-1}$  is the past employment level. The aggregate productivity follows a log AR(1) process.

**Matching function** A Cobb-Douglas matching function  $M$  is considered:

$$M(u, v) = mu^\alpha v^{1-\alpha}$$

where  $u = 1 - n$  is unemployment level,  $v$  is the total vacancy, and  $m$  is the matching efficiency.

**Unemployment/employment dynamics** The employment level evolves in the following

dynamics:

$$n = (1 - \lambda)n_{-1} + vq$$

where  $q = \frac{M}{v} = m\theta^{-\xi}$  is the vacancy-filling probability.  $\theta = u/v$  is the market tightness.  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  is the exogenous job separation rate. Due to the Cobb-Douglass specification,  $q$  can sometimes take a value greater than unity over the business cycle. We truncate  $q$  at unity. The repeated transition method is not confined by a specific form of the matching function, but the Cobb-Douglass specification is considered for illustrative purposes.

**Equilibrium condition** The standard vacancy posting condition leads to the following equilibrium condition:

$$\frac{\kappa}{q(S)} = (1 - \lambda)\beta\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{c(S)}{c(S')} \right)^\sigma \left( z' - w(S') + \frac{\kappa}{q(S')} \right) \right]$$

where the wage  $w = w(S)$  is determined by the following Nash bargaining outcome:

$$w(S) = (1 - \eta)b + \eta(A + \kappa\theta(S)).$$

$b > 0$  is the home production, and  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  is the bargaining weight for household.