9/14/23, 4:09 PM Cetin_Handan_HW1 HW1. Vertebral Column Data Set by Handan Cetin | USCID: 6074572947 | github: handancetin In [1]: import pandas as pd import numpy as np import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import seaborn as sns import sklearn.neighbors as skN import sklearn.metrics as skM import warnings warnings.filterwarnings('ignore') # for plots (a) Download the Vertebral Column Data Set This Biomedical data set was built by Dr. Henrique da Mota during a medical residence period in Lyon, France. (Accessed on September 6th, 2023, at: https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Vertebral+Column) Each patient in the data set is represented in the data set by six biomechanical attributes derived from the shape and orientation of the pelvis and lumbar spine (in this order): 1. pelvic incidence, 2. pelvic tilt, 3. lumbar lordosis angle, 4. sacral slope, 5. pelvic radius, and 6. grade of spondylolisthesis. The following convention is used for the class labels: DH (Disk Hernia), Spondylolisthesis (SL), Normal (NO), and Abnormal (AB). In this exercise, we only focus on a binary classification task NO=0 and AB=1.1 In [2]: filepath = 'vertebral_column_data/column_2C.dat' colnames = ['pelvic incidence', 'pelvic tilt', 'lumbar lordosis angle', 'sacral slope', 'pelvic radius', 'grade of spondylolisthesis', 'class label'] # Read the data df = pd.read_csv(filepath, delimiter =' ', names = colnames) # Filter/rename the data to only keep NO=0 and AB=1 df['class label'].replace(['NO', 'AB'], [0, 1], inplace = True) df['class label'] = df['class label'].astype("category") df Out[2]: pelvic incidence pelvic tilt lumbar lordosis angle sacral slope pelvic radius grade of spondylolisthesis class label 63.03 22.55 39.61 40.48 98.67 -0.25 39.06 10.06 25.02 29.00 114.41 4.56 68.83 22.22 50.09 46.61 105.99 -3.53 69.30 24.65 44.31 44.64 101.87 11.21 28.32 7.92 49.71 9.65 40.06 108.17 305 47.90 13.62 36.00 34.29 117.45 -4.25 306 53.94 20.72 29.22 33.22 114.37 -0.42 46.17 -2.71 307 61.45 22.69 38.75 125.67 308 41.58 118.55 0.21 45.25 8.69 36.56 36.64 309 33.84 5.07 28.77 123.95 -0.20 310 rows \times 7 columns (b) Pre-Processing and Exploratory data analysis (b)i. Make scatterplots of the independent variables in the dataset. Use color to show Classes 0 and 1. In [3]: p = sns.pairplot(df, kind='scatter', hue = 'class label', grid_kws={"despine": False}) p.fig.set_size_inches(12,10) sns.move_legend(p, "lower center", bbox_to_anchor=(0.5, -0.1)) 125 pelvic incidence 100 75 50 25 40 pelvic tilt 20 lumbar lordosis angle 125 100 75 50 25 125 sacral slope 75 25 150 pelvic radius 100 75 grade of spondylolisthesis 400 300 200 100 100 150 50 100 0 100 50 100 150 200 400 pelvic incidence pelvic tilt lumbar lordosis angle pelvic radius grade of spondylolisthesis sacral slope class label 0 1 (b)ii. Make boxplots for each of the independent variables. Use color to show Classes 0 and 1 (see ISLR p. 129). In [4]: features = df.columns.drop('class label') fig, axes = plt.subplots(1, len(features)) fig.set_size_inches(11.3,4) # aligning widths with the above figure for features, ax in zip(features, axes.flatten()): sns.boxplot(y = features, x = 'class label', data = df, orient='v', ax=ax, saturation = 1,width=0.5, notch = True, flierprops = dict(marker = ".")) plt.tight_layout(pad = 1) plt.show() 50 120 160 400 120 120 40 100 grade of spondylolisthesis 100 140 lumbar lordosis angle 300 100 pelvic incidence 30 80 sacral slope pelvic radius pelvic tilt 80 120 80 200 20 60 60 100 10 100 40 40 0 80 20 20 class label class label class label class label class label class label (b)iii. Select the first 70 rows of Class 0 and the first 140 rows of Class 1 as the training set and the rest of the data as the test set. In [5]: trainingSet = pd.concat([df[df['class label'] == 0].iloc[0:70, :], df[df['class label'] == 1].iloc[0:140, :]]) testingSet = pd.concat([df[df['class label'] == 0].iloc[70:, :], df[df['class label'] == 1].iloc[140:, :]]) print('Dataset seperated into training and test sets. Sets have', len(trainingSet), 'and', len(testingSet), 'observations, respectively.') Dataset seperated into training and test sets. Sets have 210 and 100 observations, respectively. (c) Classification using KNN on Vertebral Column Data Set (c)i. Write code for k-nearest neighbors with Euclidean metric (or use a software package) In [6]: classifier = skN.KNeighborsClassifier(metric = 'euclidean') # Define X and Y, features and target values trainingFeatures = trainingSet.drop('class label', axis = 1) trainingTarget = trainingSet['class label'] testFeatures = testingSet.drop('class label', axis = 1) testTarget = testingSet['class label'] print('sklearn will be used to perform KNN classification.') sklearn will be used to perform KNN classification. (c)ii. Test all the data in the test database with k nearest neighbors. Take decisions by majority polling. Plot train and test errors in terms of k for $k \in \{208, 205, ..., 7, 4, 1, \}$ (in reverse order). You are welcome to use smaller increments of k. Which k^* is the most suitable k among those values? In [7]: testingErrors = [] trainingErrors = [] maxScore = 0 for k in range(208, 0, -3): # Training step classifier = skN.KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors = k, metric = 'euclidean', weights = 'uniform') classifier.fit(trainingFeatures, trainingTarget) # Prediction step testPredicted = classifier.predict(testFeatures) trainingPredicted = classifier.predict(trainingFeatures) # Calculate scores (The best performance is 1) testScore = skM.accuracy score(testTarget, testPredicted, sample weight = None) trainingScore = skM.accuracy score(trainingTarget, trainingPredicted, sample weight = None) # Keep track for the best k value with maximum score (a.k.a. minimum error) if testScore > maxScore: maxScore = testScore bestK = k # Create error vectors for plotting testingErrors.append(1 - testScore) trainingErrors.append(1 - trainingScore) print('Minimum error is reached at k =', bestK,'and k is set to this value for future analysis.') Minimum error is reached at k = 4 and k is set to this value for future analysis. In [8]: plt.figure(figsize = (12, 6)) sns.lineplot(x = range(208, 0, -3), y = testingErrors, marker='.', label="testing error") sns.lineplot(x = range(208, 0, -3), y = trainingErrors, marker='.', label="training error") plt.gca().set_xlabel("value of k") plt.gca().set_ylabel("error") plt.grid(alpha = 0.2) plt.show() 0.35 testing error training error 0.30 0.25 0.20 0.10 0.05 0.00 50 100 150 200 value of k Calculate the confusion matrix, true positive rate, true negative rate, precision, and F1-score when k = k^* In [9]: # Fit the model at the best k value classifier = skN.KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors = bestK, metric = 'euclidean', weights = 'uniform') classifier.fit(trainingFeatures, trainingTarget) testPredicted = classifier.predict(testFeatures) # Calculate the confusion matrix # the count of true negatives is C00, false negatives is C10, # true positives is C11 and false positives is C01. confusionMatrix = skM.confusion_matrix(testTarget, testPredicted) tn = confusionMatrix[0][0] fn = confusionMatrix[1][0] tp = confusionMatrix[1][1] fp = confusionMatrix[0][1] # Same results can be obtained from: # skM.precision_recall_fscore_support(testTarget, testPredicted) # f1Score = skM.f1 score(testTarget, testPredicted) tpRate = tp / (tp + fn)tnRate = tn / (fp + tn)precision = tp / (tp + fp) recall = tp / (tp + fn)f1Score = 2 * (precision * recall) / (precision + recall) # Arrangement for printing table matrix = [["Confusion Matrix:", ((tn, fp), (fn, fp))], ["True positive rate:", "{:.4f}".format(tpRate)], ["True negative rate:", "{:.4f}".format(tnRate)], ["", ""], ["Precision:", "{:.4f}".format(precision)], ["Recall:", "{:.4f}".format(recall)], ["F1-score:", "{:.4f}".format(f1Score)]] s = [[str(e) for e in row] for row in matrix] lens = [max(map(len, col)) for col in zip(*s)] fmt = '\t'.join('{{:{}}}'.format(x) for x in lens) table = [fmt.format(*row) for row in s] print('\n'.join(table)) Confusion Matrix: ((25, 5), (1, 5))True positive rate: 0.9857 True negative rate: 0.8333 Precision: 0.9324 Recall: 0.9857 0.9583 F1-score: (c)iii. Plot the best test error rate which is obtained by some value of k Since the computation time depends on the size of the training set, one may only use a subset of the training set. Plot the best test error rate which is obtained by some value of k, against the size of training set, when the size of training set is $N \in \{10, 20, 30, \dots, 210\}$. Note: for each N, select your training set by choosing the first floor(N/3) rows of Class 0 and the first N N-floor(N/3) rows of Class 1 in the training set you created in 1(b)iii. Also, for each N, select the optimal k from a set starting from k = 1, increasing by 5. For example, if N = 200, the optimal k is selected from $\{1, 6, 11, \dots, 196\}$. This plot is called a Learning Curve In [10]: kList = [] errorList = [] for n in range(10, 211, 5): # Get the subset of the training set subsetTrainingSet = pd.concat([trainingSet[trainingSet["class label"] == 0].iloc[0:n//3, :], trainingSet[trainingSet["class label"] == 1].iloc[0:n-(n//3), :]]) # Define X and Y, features and target values subsetTrainingFeatures = subsetTrainingSet.drop('class label', axis = 1) subsetTrainingTarget = subsetTrainingSet['class label'] # Training bestK = 0bestError = 2 # any value >1 for k in range(1, n, 5): classifier = skN.KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors = k, metric = 'euclidean') classifier.fit(subsetTrainingFeatures, subsetTrainingTarget) testPredicted = classifier.predict(testFeatures) # Keep track for the best k value with minimum error) predictionError = 1 - skM.accuracy_score(testTarget, testPredicted) if (predictionError < bestError):</pre> bestError = predictionError bestK = k# Create vectors for plotting kList.append(bestK) errorList.append(bestError) In [11]: # Plot the Learning Curve plt.figure(figsize = (12, 4)) sns.lineplot(x = range(10, 211, 5), y = errorList, marker='.', label = 'learning curve') plt.gca().set_xlabel("training subset size") plt.gca().set_ylabel("best error rate (for some value of k)") plt.grid(alpha = 0.2) plt.show() 0.30 learning curve of k) some value 0.25 0.20 best error rate (for s 0.10 25 50 75 100 125 150 175 200 training subset size (d) Replace the Euclidean metric with the following metrics Summarize the test errors (i.e., when k = k*) in a table. Use all of your training data and select the best k when $\{1, 6, 11, \ldots, 196\}$ (d)i. Minkowski Distance In [12]: kRange = range(1, 200, 5) testingErrorsMnk = [] testingErrorsMan = [] testingErrorsChw = [] testingErrorsMah = [] maxScore = 0for p in np.linspace(1,0,11): **if** p == 1: for k in kRange: # Manhattan distance: 'minkowski' with p = 1 classifier = skN.KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors = k, metric = 'minkowski', p = p) classifier.fit(trainingFeatures, trainingTarget) testPredicted = classifier.predict(testFeatures) testScore = skM.accuracy_score(testTarget, testPredicted, sample_weight = None) if testScore > maxScore: maxScore = testScore bestK = ktestingErrorsMan.append(1 - testScore) # Chebyshev distance: 'minkowski' with p = Inf classifier = skN.KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors = k, metric = 'chebyshev', p = p) classifier.fit(trainingFeatures, trainingTarget) = classifier.predict(testFeatures) testPredicted testScore = skM.accuracy_score(testTarget, testPredicted, sample_weight = None) testingErrorsChw.append(1 - testScore) # mahalanobis distance: requires extra input # Issue: https://github.com/scikit-learn/scikit-learn/issues/10395 # https://numpy.org/doc/stable/reference/generated/numpy.linalq.pinv.html classifier = skN.KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors = k, metric = 'mahalanobis', metric_params = {"VI": np.linalg.pinv(np.cov(trainingFeatures, rowvar=False))}) classifier.fit(trainingFeatures, trainingTarget) = classifier.predict(testFeatures) testPredicted = skM.accuracy_score(testTarget, testPredicted, sample_weight = None) testScore testingErrorsMah.append(1 - testScore) # Set the k value for p-iteration k = bestK print('k is set to', bestK,'after training with minkowski distance at p = ', p) # minkowski distance: different p vals classifier = skN.KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors = k, metric = 'minkowski', p = pow(10, p)) classifier.fit(trainingFeatures, trainingTarget) testPredicted = classifier.predict(testFeatures) = skM.accuracy_score(testTarget, testPredicted, sample_weight = None) testingErrorsMnk.append(1 - testScore) k is set to 6 after training with minkowski distance at p = 1.0(d)ii.A. ...which becomes Manhattan Distance with p = 1In [13]: bestKforMan = np.array(kRange)[np.where(testingErrorsMan == min(testingErrorsMan))] plt.figure(figsize = (12, 4)) sns.lineplot(x = kRange, y = testingErrorsMan, marker='.', label = 'manhattan (p=1)') if len(bestKforMan) > 1: for k in bestKforMan: plt.scatter(k, min(testingErrorsMan), marker='.', s = 400, c='r', alpha=0.3) plt.text(k-len(bestKforMan), min(testingErrorsMan)+0.008, str(k)) else: plt.scatter(bestKforMan, min(testingErrorsMan), marker='.', s = 400, c='r', alpha=0.3) plt.text(bestKforMan-2, min(testingErrorsMan)+0.03, 'best') plt.text(bestKforMan-4, min(testingErrorsMan)+0.015, 'k = ' + str(int(bestKforMan)))plt.gca().set_xlabel("value of k") plt.gca().set_ylabel("test error") plt.grid(alpha = 0.2) plt.show() 0.300 manhattan (p=1) 0.275 0.250 0.225 0.200 0.175 0.150 0.125 11 50 75 25 125 175 100 150 200 value of k (d)ii.B. ...with $log(p) \in \{0.1, 0.2, 0.3, ..., 1\}$ (use the k* in 1(d)iA). What is the best log10(p)? In [14]: # Prediction is done in the previous cell summarizedPErrors = pd.DataFrame({ "p": pow(10, np.linspace(1,0,11)), "log10(p)": np.linspace(1,0,11), "Test Error": testingErrorsMnk }) summarizedPErrors p log10(p) Test Error Out[14]: **0** 10.000000 0.09 1.0 **1** 7.943282 0.9 0.09 6.309573 8.0 0.08 5.011872 0.7 0.07 **4** 3.981072 0.6 0.06 **5** 3.162278 0.5 0.08 2.511886 0.4 0.08 1.995262 0.3 80.0 1.584893 0.2 0.09 1.258925 0.1 0.09 1.000000 0.0 0.11 In [15]: minErr = summarizedPErrors["Test Error"].min() minErrP = summarizedPErrors[summarizedPErrors["Test Error"] == minErr].iloc[0]["log10(p)"] plt.figure(figsize = (12, 4)) sns.lineplot(x = np.linspace(1,0,11), y = testingErrorsMnk, marker='.', label = 'minkowski (k = '+str(bestKforMan[0])+')') plt.scatter(minErrP, minErr, marker='.', s = 1000, c='r', alpha=0.3) plt.text(minErrP-0.01, minErr+0.007, 'best') plt.text(minErrP-0.05, minErr+0.004, 'log10(p) = ' + str(minErrP)) plt.gca().set_xlabel("log10(p)") plt.gca().set_ylabel("test error") plt.grid(alpha = 0.2) plt.show() print('Best log10(p) value is found as', minErrP,'when k is set to', bestKforMan[0]) 0.11 minkowski (k = 6) 0.10 error 0.09 0.08 0.07 best log10(p) = 0.60.06 0.2 0.4 0.8 0.0 0.6 1.0 log10(p) Best log10(p) value is found as 0.6 when k is set to 6 (d)ii.C. ...which becomes Chebyshev Distance with $p \rightarrow \infty$ In [16]: bestKforChw = np.array(kRange)[np.where(testingErrorsChw == min(testingErrorsChw))] plt.figure(figsize = (12, 4)) sns.lineplot(x = kRange, y = testingErrorsChw, marker='.', label = 'chebychew (p=1)') if len(bestKforChw) > 1: for k in bestKforChw: plt.scatter(k, min(testingErrorsChw), marker='.', s = 400, c='r', alpha=0.3) plt.text(k-len(bestKforChw), min(testingErrorsChw)+0.008, str(k)) plt.scatter(bestKforChw, min(testingErrorsChw), marker='.', s = 400, c='r', alpha=0.3) plt.text(bestKforChw-2, min(testingErrorsChw)+0.03, 'best') plt.text(bestKforChw-4, min(testingErrorsChw)+0.015, 'k = ' +str(int(bestKforChw))) plt.gca().set_xlabel("value of k") plt.gca().set_ylabel("test error") plt.grid(alpha = 0.2) plt.show() 0.30 chebychew (p=1) 0.25 0.20 0.15 0.10 75 0 25 50 100 125 150 175 200 value of k (d)ii. Mahalanobis Distance In [17]: bestKforMah = np.array(kRange)[np.where(testingErrorsMah == min(testingErrorsMah))] plt.figure(figsize = (12, 4)) sns.lineplot(x = kRange, y = testingErrorsMah, marker='.', label = 'mahalanobis (p=1)') if len(bestKforMah) > 1: for k in bestKforMah: plt.scatter(k, min(testingErrorsMah), marker='.', s = 400, c='r', alpha=0.3) plt.text(k-2, min(testingErrorsMah)+0.008, str(k)) else: plt.scatter(bestKforMah, min(testingErrorsMah), marker='.', s = 400, c='r', alpha=0.3) plt.text(bestKforMah-2, min(testingErrorsMah)+0.03, 'best') plt.text(bestKforMah-4, min(testingErrorsMah)+0.015, 'k = ' +str(int(bestKforMah))) plt.gca().set_xlabel("value of k") plt.gca().set_ylabel("test error") plt.grid(alpha = 0.2) plt.show() mahalanobis (p=1) 0.30 0.28 0.26 test error 0.24 0.22 0.20 0.18 value of k In [18]: bestKforEuc = np.array(range(208, 0, -3))[np.where(testingErrors == min(testingErrors))] # Prediction is done in the previous cell summarizedTable = pd.DataFrame({ "metric": ["euclidean", "manhattan", "chebyshev", "mahalanobis"], "best k": [(bestKforEuc), (bestKforMan), (bestKforChw), (bestKforMah)], "minimum test error": [min(testingErrors), min(testingErrorsMan), min(testingErrorsChw), min(testingErrorsMah)], }) summarizedTable # NOTE: range for k was different for euclidean best k minimum test error Out[18]: metric 0 euclidean [4] 0.06 manhattan [6, 11, 26] 0.11 chebyshev [16] 0.08 3 mahalanobis [1, 6] 0.17 (e) The majority polling decision can be replaced by weighted decision, in which the weight of each point in voting is inversely proportional to its distance from the query/test data point. In this case, closer neighbors of a query point will have a greater influence than neighbors which are further away. Use weighted voting with Euclidean, Manhattan, and Chebyshev distances and report the best test errors when $k \in \{1, 6, 11, 16, ..., 196\}$. In [19]: kRange = range(1, 200, 5) maxScore = 0 for m in summarizedTable.metric[0:3]: for k in kRange: classifier = skN.KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors = k, metric = m, weights = 'distance') classifier.fit(trainingFeatures, trainingTarget) = classifier.predict(testFeatures) testPredicted testScore = skM.accuracy_score(testTarget, testPredicted) if testScore > maxScore: maxScore = testScore print('Best test score for metric', m, 'is found as', "{:.4f}".format(1 - maxScore), 'for k =', bestK) maxScore = 0Best test score for metric euclidean is found as 0.1000 for k = 6Best test score for metric manhattan is found as 0.1000 for k = 26Best test score for metric chebyshev is found as 0.1100 for k = 16(f) What is the lowest training error rate you achieved in this homework? The lowest training error is 0, obtained in the part (c)ii. Although I did not calculate training errors for different metrics (because questions were asking to report test errors), zero training error rate is the minimum I could achieve.

http://localhost:8888/nbconvert/html/Documents/Courses/Fall%202023/DSCI%20552/Homeworks/DSCI552-ML/Homework%201/notebook/Cetin_Handan_HW1.ipynb?download=false

Page 1 of 1