

Understanding Analysis, 2nd Edition: Stephen Abbott

Chapter 1 - Section 1.6 - Cantor's Theorem

1. Show that $(0, 1)$ is uncountable if and only if R is uncountable.

Solution: First we prove the necessity: if $(0, 1)$ is uncountable then R is uncountable. This follows immediately from the fact that $(0, 1)$ is a subset of R . A superset of an uncountable set must be uncountable as well.

Now the sufficiency: if R is uncountable then $(0, 1)$ is uncountable. I initially wanted to prove this by contrapositive: if $(0, 1)$ is countable then R is countable. But this is tricky. The fact that $(0, 1)$ is a subset of R does not imply R is countable. Then I tried using the fact that the union of (infinitely many) countable sets is countable, by merging infinitely many intervals. But this requires proving that the intervals other than $(0, 1)$ are also countable.

The idea hit me to produce a bijection between $(0, 1)$ and R instead, and indeed such a bijection is possible by Exercise 1.5.4. For example, the tangent function maps $(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ to R . We just need to map $(0, 1)$ to $(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and compose it with \tan . Find the line equation joining $(0, 1)$ to $(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and we get $\pi x - \frac{\pi}{2}$, therefore $f(x) = \tan(\pi x - \frac{\pi}{2})$ is a bijection between $(0, 1)$ and R . This proves both necessity and sufficiency at once.

2. (a) Explain why the real number $x = .b_1b_2b_3\dots$ cannot be $f(1)$.
- (b) Now explain why $x \neq f(2)$, and in general why $x \neq f(n)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (c) Point out the contradiction that arises from these observations and conclude that $(0, 1)$ is uncountable.

Solution:

- (a) Because b_1 is already different from a_{11} .
- (b) In general, $b_n \neq a_{nn}$, so the decimal expansion differs in at least one digit.
- (c) If $(0, 1)$ were countable, then $x = f(n)$ for an n , since x is defined to be a real number $\in (0, 1)$. But from part (b), $x \neq f(n)$ for all n , a contradiction. Therefore $(0, 1)$ must be uncountable.

3. Supply rebuttals to the following complaints about the proof of Theorem 1.6.1 (the open interval $(0, 1)$ is uncountable).
- (a) Every rational number has a decimal expansion, so we could apply the same argument to show that the set of rational numbers between 0 and 1 is uncountable. However, because we know that every subset of \mathbb{Q} must be countable, the proof of Theorem 1.6.1 must be flawed.
- (b) Some numbers have *two* different decimal representations. Specifically, any decimal expansion that terminates can also be written with repeating 9's. For instance, $1/2$ can be written as 0.5 or as 0.49999.... Doesn't this cause some problems?

Solution:

- (a) Every rational number has a decimal expansion, but the converse is not true; not every decimal expansion represents a rational number. In the proof of Theorem 1.6.1, x may be irrational, so it can't be used to conclude that \mathbb{Q} is uncountable.
- (b) First, it's worth noting that the *only way* a number can have two different decimal expansion is the one the problem statement says; one expansion that terminates, and another with repeating 9's. I claim this without proof, as the book also intentionally uses decimal representations without formal definitions.

The proof of Theorem 1.6.1 works fine because it uses only the digits 2 and 3, so the counterexample it constructs is a number with a unique decimal expansion. It cannot be the case that x is just another representation of the decimal expansion of a real number $f(n)$.

4. Let S be the set consisting of all sequences of 0's and 1's. Observe that S is not a particular sequence, but rather a large set whose elements are sequences, namely,

$$S = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) : a_n = 0 \text{ or } 1\}.$$

As an example, the sequence $(1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ is an element of S , as is the sequence $(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, \dots)$. Give a rigorous argument showing that S is uncountable.

Solution: The same diagonal argument works. We just replace the decimal digits 0-9 with 0 and 1. Consider the following table like in the book

\mathbb{N}	$(0, 1)$
1	$f(1) = . \mathbf{a_{11}} a_{12} a_{13} a_{14} a_{15} a_{16} \dots$
2	$f(2) = . a_{21} \mathbf{a_{22}} a_{23} a_{24} a_{25} a_{26} \dots$
3	$f(3) = . a_{31} a_{32} \mathbf{a_{33}} a_{34} a_{35} a_{36} \dots$
4	$f(4) = . a_{41} a_{42} a_{43} \mathbf{a_{44}} a_{45} a_{46} \dots$
5	$f(5) = . a_{51} a_{52} a_{53} a_{54} \mathbf{a_{55}} a_{56} \dots$
6	$f(6) = . a_{61} a_{62} a_{63} a_{64} a_{65} \mathbf{a_{66}} \dots$
\vdots	\vdots

where $a_{mn} \in \{0, 1\}$. Construct a sequence b from the diagonal such that $b_n = 1$ if $a_{nn} = 0$ else $b_n = 0$. Exactly the same proof as Exercise 2 works here.

5. (a) Let $A = \{a, b, c\}$. List the eight elements of $P(A)$. (Do not forget that \emptyset is considered a subset of every set).
- (b) If A is finite with n elements, show that $P(A)$ has 2^n elements.

Solution:

- (a) $\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}$.
- (b) For a particular subset, an element is either chosen or not chosen. So there are two choices for each of the n elements, hence 2^n .

6. (a) Using the particular set $A = \{a, b, c\}$, exhibit two different 1-1 mappings from A to $P(A)$.
- (b) Letting $C = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, produce an example of a 1-1 map $g : C \rightarrow P(C)$.
- (c) Explain why in parts (a) and (b), it's impossible to construct mappings that are *onto*.

Solution: Note that the elements of $P(A)$ are *sets*. So for example in part (a), $f(b) = b$ is not a valid mapping. It should instead be $f(b) = \{b\}$.

- (a) $f(a) = \{a\}, f(b) = \{b\}, f(c) = \{c\}$ or $f(a) = \{b\}, f(b) = \{c\}, f(c) = \{a\}$.
- (b) $g(1) = \{1\}, g(2) = \{2\}, g(3) = \{3\}, g(4) = \{4\}$.
- (c) Because $|P(A)| > |A|$, at least for any nonempty finite set A . It's also true for infinite sets, but that's the next exercise.

7. Return to the particular functions constructed in Exercise 1.6.6 and construct the subset B that results using the preceding rule (see the book). In each case, note that B is not in the range of the function used.

Solution: We'll just do it for part (a) of Exercise 1.6.6. When the mapping is

$$f(a) = \{a\}, f(b) = \{b\}, f(c) = \{c\}$$

then $B = \emptyset$, and this is outside the range of f .

When the mapping is

$$f(a) = \{b\}, f(b) = \{c\}, f(c) = \{a\}$$

then $B = \{a, b, c\}$, and this is again outside the range of f .

Also note that this is pretty much the diagonal argument. For a set $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\}$ and say, $f(a_1) = \{a_1, a_5\}$, then draw the table with $f(a_1) = \{a_1, a'_2, a'_3, a'_4, a_5, a'_6, \dots\}$ where an accent means the element is not part of $f(a_1)$, but we list it anyway so the table stays rectangular. Now go through the diagonal and construct B by taking accented elements.

Too lazy to draw the table here. Just see the illustration in Wikipedia's page about Cantor's diagonal argument.

8. (a) First, show that the case $a' \in B$ leads to a contradiction.
(b) Now, finish the argument by showing that the case $a' \notin B$ is equally unacceptable.

Solution:

- (a) Our assumptions are $B = f(a')$ and $a' \in B$.

From the second assumption, this must mean that $a' \notin f(a')$, because that's how we constructed B . But if we have $a' \in B$ and $a' \notin f(a')$, then $B \neq f(a')$, contradicting our first assumption.

- (b) Our assumptions are $B = f(a')$ and $a' \notin B$.

From the second assumption, this must mean that $a' \in f(a')$, because that's how we constructed B . But if we have $a' \notin B$ and $a' \in f(a')$, then $B \neq f(a')$, contradicting our first assumption.