# EC508: Econometrics Hypothesis Testing using the Wald Test

Jean-Jacques Forneron

Spring, 2023

Boston University

### Joint Hypotheses

$$H_0: R\beta = c \text{ vs. } H_1: H_0: R\beta \neq c$$

- R is a non-zero  $q \times (k+1)$  matrix,  $q \ge 1$
- c is a  $q \times 1$  vector
- Examples:
  - $H_0: \beta_0 = \beta_1 = \cdots = \beta_k = 0$  then  $R = I_{k+1}, c = 0_{k+1}$
  - $H_0: \beta_1 + \beta_2 = 1$  then

$$R = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{array}\right), \quad c = 0_{k+1}$$

- What is  $H_0: \beta_1 + \beta_2 = 1, \beta_1 \beta_2 = -1$
- Idea: If  $H_0$  is true then  $R\hat{\beta} c$  must be close to zero

### Chi-squared distribution

$$H_0: R\beta = c \text{ vs. } H_1: H_0: R\beta \neq c$$

- Let  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_Z, \Sigma_Z)$ , normally distributed
- Then

$$(Z - \mu_Z)' \Sigma_Z^{-1} (Z - \mu_Z) \sim \chi^2_{\mathsf{dim}(Z)}$$

where  $\chi^2_{\dim(Z)}$  is a Chi-squared distribution with  $\dim(Z)$  degrees of freedom

• Suppose R is a  $q \times \dim(Z)$  matrix with rank  $q \leq \dim(Z)$  then:

$$(RZ - R\mu_Z)'[R\Sigma_Z R']^{-1}(RZ - R\mu_Z) \sim \chi_q^2$$

• Continuous Mapping Theorem if  $Z_n - \mu_Z \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_Z)$  then

$$(RZ_n - R\mu_Z)'(R\Sigma_Z R')^{-1}(RZ_n - R\mu_Z) \stackrel{d}{\to} \chi_q^2$$

#### The Wald statistic in large samples

$$H_0: R\beta = c \text{ vs. } H_1: H_0: R\beta \neq c$$

The Wald statistic is defined as

$$w = n \times (R\hat{\beta} - c)'(R\Sigma R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - c)$$

it measures the distance between  $H_0$  and 0

• Under  $H_0$ , we have

$$\sqrt{n}\left(R\hat{\beta}-c\right)\stackrel{d}{\to}\mathcal{N}(0,R\Sigma R')$$

because  $c = R\beta$  if  $H_0$  holds

• under  $H_0$ , the Wald statistic satisfies

$$w = n \times (R\hat{\beta} - c)'(R\Sigma R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - c) \stackrel{d}{\to} \chi_q^2$$

assuming R has rank q

# Hypothesis testing with the Wald statistic

- 1. Compute  $w = n \times (R\hat{\beta} c)'(R\Sigma R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} c)$
- 2. If  $w>c_{1-\alpha}$ , we can reject  $H_0$  at the  $1-\alpha$  confidence level  $c_{1-\alpha}$  is the  $1-\alpha$  (e.g. 95%) quantile of a  $\chi_q^2$  distribution; in R, it is computed using qchisq(0.95,q)

#### What is q for:

- $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$ ?
- $H_0: \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 2 = 0$ ?

Hint: write it down in matrix form Rejection and critical value  $c_{1-\alpha}$ : [Drawing]

#### **Confidence sets**

• The  $1-\alpha$  confidence set is the set of  $\beta$  that cannot be rejected at the  $1-\alpha$  confidence level:

$$\mathsf{CS}_{1-\alpha} = \{\beta, \ n \times (\hat{\beta} - \beta)' \Sigma^{-1} (\hat{\beta} - \beta) \le c_{1-\alpha} \}$$

- The quadratic equation  $n \times (\hat{\beta} \beta)' \Sigma^{-1} (\hat{\beta} \beta)$  defines an ellipsoid in  $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$
- For a simultaneous confidence set for 2 coefficients this yields an ellipse: [drawing]

# Computing the asymptotic variance matrix $\Sigma$

- Recall that  $\Sigma = \Sigma_X^{-1} \Sigma_{\nu} \Sigma_X^{-1}$
- First compute:  $\hat{\Sigma}_X = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i'$
- Then compute:  $\hat{\Sigma}_v = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{v}_i \hat{v}_i', \ \hat{v}_i = X_i \hat{u}_i$
- ullet Finally:  $\hat{\Sigma} = \hat{\Sigma}_X^{-1} \hat{\Sigma}_v \hat{\Sigma}_X^{-1}$
- You can implement the Wald test using:

$$w = n \times (R\hat{\beta} - c)'(R\hat{\Sigma}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - c)$$

• Under homoskedasticity,  $\mathbb{E}(u_i^2|X_i) = \sigma_u^2$  so that  $\Sigma_v = \sigma_u^2 \Sigma_X$ . This implies that  $\Sigma = \sigma_u^2 \Sigma_X^{-1}$  which can be computed similarly. In general we don't want to assume homoskedasticity so we compute the general formula above called the heteroskedasticity robust asymptotic variance estimator.