

TTD Design (WIP)

Hangjian Li. version: 0.3

What is dimensional bidding provided by TradeDesk?

- **Ad Group**: made up of multiple elements that combine into a cohesive strategy.
 - Audience.
 - Bid Lists.
 - Budgets.
 - Creatives.
 - Base and Max bids, defined by CPM.
- Dimensional bidding.
 - A **dimension** is a targeting item that you can target, block, or apply bid factors to. e.g, “Site”, “Ad Format”, “Geolocation”.
- Example of a **bid list**

```
{
  "BidListId": "2131712",
  "Name": "Bidlist 1",
  "BidListSource": "User",
  "BidListAdjustmentType": "Optimized",
  "ResolutionType": "ApplyMultiplyAdjustment",
  "BidLines": [
    {
      "BidLineId": "1216340",
      "BidAdjustment": 2.030000,
      "DomainFragment": "com.cardgame.solitaire.flat",
      "SupplyVendorId": 1,
      "PublisherId": "f77344fc701f4b1f981238390e0b8ffb",
      "ImpressionPlacementValue": "f37ac1804f8040a4b49295e5d13167ff"
    },
    {
      "BidLineId": "1216341",
      "BidAdjustment": 1.020000,
      "DomainFragment": "com.merriamwebster",
      "SupplyVendorId": 118,
      "PublisherId": "11653",
      "ImpressionPlacementValue": "177976"
    }
  ]
}
```

- Currently, we only use BlockList and TargetList.

General Requirements

- Objectives:
 - Make use of the Optimized bid list type.
 - **Maximize total (attributed) revenue** subject to the budget constraint.
- Our deliverable
 - Bid dimensions.
 - An optimization framework that can produce the bid factors.

Data available

- attributed sales (14 or 30 days attribution window)
- Impression cost (equal to bid price under first price auction)
- Impression attributes (time, geo, publisher, platform, etc.)
- campaign metadata (budget, start and end dates, etc.)

Design 1: disjoint dimensions

- **Objective:** maximize ROAS under budget constraint for each **adgroup**.
- **Assumptions:**
 - Cost per impression (**CPI**) (under first price auction): $b_i = b_0 \cdot f_i$
 - * i refers to dimension i .
 - * assume based bid $b_0 = 1$ for simplicity, and we manipulate the bid factor f_i for each dimension
 - Number of impressions won: $\mathbb{E}[n_i] = g(f_i)$, e.g., $n_i = \beta_i \cdot f_i$.
 - Revenue per impression (RPI_i)
 - * independent from b_i .
 - * can be estimated for each dimension i .
 - * property of dimension i and stay constant during the day.
- **Notations**
 - $CPI_i = f_i$
 - $n_i = \mathcal{N}(\beta_i \cdot f_i, \sigma^2)$.
 - Total spending in i : S_i .
 - Total revenue in i : R_i .
 - Daily budget constraint: B .

	State1	State2	State3	
Site 1	1 ($= n_1 = \beta_1 f_1$)	2 ($\beta_2 f_2$)	3 ($\beta_3 f_3$)	6
Site 2	12 ($\beta_4 f_4$)	1 ($\beta_5 f_5$)	6 ($\beta_6 f_6$)	19
Site 3	7 ($\beta_7 f_7$)	5 ($\beta_8 f_8$)	9 ($\beta_9 f_9$)	21
	20	8	18	

- Parameter estimation
 - RPI_i can be estimated based on attributed sales for dimension i .
 - β_i can be estimated through linear regression.
- **Optimization**

$$\max_{f_i} \sum_{i=1}^m RPI_i \cdot g(f_i)$$

$$\text{subject to } \sum_{i=1}^m S_i = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i \cdot g(f_i) = B.$$

From the assumptions we know

$$S_i = n_i \cdot CPI_i = \beta_i \cdot f_i^2$$

$$R_i = RPI_i \cdot n_i = RPI_i \cdot \beta_i \cdot f_i = RPI_i \cdot \sqrt{\beta_i \cdot S_i}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{f_i} \sum_{i=1}^m RPI_i \cdot \beta_i \cdot f_i \\ \text{subject to } & \sum_{i=1}^m f_i^2 \cdot \beta_i = B \end{aligned}$$

The Lagrangian can be written as:

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda, \{\infty, \dots, \{\uparrow\}) = \sum_{i=1}^m RPI_i \cdot \beta_i \cdot f_i - \lambda \left(\sum_{j=1}^m f_j^2 \cdot \beta_j - B \right)$$

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_{\lambda} \mathcal{L}(\lambda, f_1, \dots, f_m) &= \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j f_j^2 - B = 0 \\ \nabla_{f_i} \mathcal{L}(\lambda, f_1, \dots, f_m) &\propto RPI_i - 2\lambda f_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

Solving these two equations gives the **optimal choice of bid factor** \hat{f}_i :

$$\hat{f}_i^2 = \frac{RPI_i^2}{\sum_{j=1}^m RPI_j^2 \cdot \beta_j} \cdot B$$

The spending on the i th dimension at optimum is

$$S_i = \frac{RPI_i^2 \cdot \beta_i}{\sum_{j=1}^m RPI_j^2 \cdot \beta_j} \cdot B$$

Limitation

- Not scalable with more dimensions and groups need to be considered.
 - *curse of dimensionality* if considering all combinations of bid dimensions. Not enough impression at each section.
- Not making full use of the multidimensional bidding feature.

Design 2: overlapping bid dimensions

- Motivation

– bid price = $b_0 * f_1 * f_2$

- **Example:**

We now consider two **types** of dimensions (superscript): site and state, each has 3 unique **groups** (subscript).

	State1 (f_1^2)	State2 (f_2^2)	State3 (f_3^2)	
Site 1 (f_1^1)	1	2	3	6
Site 2 (f_2^1)	4	5	6	15
Site 3 (f_3^1)	7	8	9	24
	12	15	18	

- **Notations**

- Let j index the marginal dimensions. i.e., $j = 1, \dots, m$, where $m = K \cdot I$ if each dimension (bid list) has I categories.
- If the example above, $K = 2, I = 3$.

- **Assumptions**

- RPI_j^d is defined for each marginal dimension
 - * constant
 - * estimable
- Number of impressions won at marginal dimension (d, j) :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[n_j^d] &= g(f_1^1, \dots, f_I^K) \\ &= (\beta_j^d \cdot f_j^d) \cdot \left(\prod_{k \neq d}^K \sum_{i=1}^{I_k} \beta_i^k \cdot f_i^k \right), \quad k, d \in [K]\end{aligned}$$

* Example:

- Recall the bidding price is defined as $b_{12} = f^1 \times f^2$ in TTD API. In Design 1 we would have that $\mathbb{E}[n_{12}] = \beta_{12} \times b_{12}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[n_1^1] &= \beta_1^1 \cdot f_1^1 \cdot (\beta_1^2 f_1^2 + \beta_2^2 f_2^2 + \beta_3^2 f_3^2) \\ \mathbb{E}[n_2^1] &= \beta_2^1 \cdot f_2^1 \cdot (\beta_1^2 f_1^2 + \beta_2^2 f_2^2 + \beta_3^2 f_3^2) \\ \mathbb{E}[n_3^1] &= \beta_3^1 \cdot f_3^1 \cdot (\beta_1^2 f_1^2 + \beta_2^2 f_2^2 + \beta_3^2 f_3^2)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[n_1^2] &= \beta_1^2 \cdot f_1^2 \cdot (\beta_1^1 f_1^1 + \beta_2^1 f_2^1 + \beta_3^1 f_3^1) \\ \mathbb{E}[n_2^2] &= \beta_2^2 \cdot f_2^2 \cdot (\beta_1^1 f_1^1 + \beta_2^1 f_2^1 + \beta_3^1 f_3^1) \\ \mathbb{E}[n_3^2] &= \beta_3^2 \cdot f_3^2 \cdot (\beta_1^1 f_1^1 + \beta_2^1 f_2^1 + \beta_3^1 f_3^1)\end{aligned}$$

- If there were one more type of dimension, e.g., **time**, with 3 unique **groups**, the estimated total impression from **Site1** becomes

$$\mathbb{E}[n_1^1] = \beta_1^1 \cdot f_1^1 \cdot (\beta_1^2 f_1^2 + \beta_2^2 f_2^2 + \beta_3^2 f_3^2) \cdot (\beta_1^3 f_1^3 + \beta_2^3 f_2^3 + \beta_3^3 f_3^3)$$

- Cost for marginal dimension (d, j) :

* Average cost per impression

$$CPI_j^d = (\alpha_j^d \cdot f_j^d) \cdot \left(\prod_{k \neq d}^K \sum_{i=1}^{I_k} \alpha_i^k \cdot f_i^k \right), \quad k, d \in [K]$$

* Total spending for marginal (d, j)

$$S_j^d = n_j^d \cdot CPI_j^d$$

- **Remark**

- Compared to Model 1, in Model 2 we make the following additional assumptions:
 - * $\beta_{j,k} = \beta_j^{d_1} \cdot \beta_k^{d_2}$ (decomposable impression number coefficients).
 - * $f_{j,k} = f_j^{d_1} \cdot f_k^{d_2}$ (decomposable bid feactor).
- The number of parameters reduces from $O(I^K)$ to $O(IK)$.

- **Parameter Estimation**

– l_2 Loss function

$$l(\beta_1^1, \dots, \beta_3^2 \mid f_1^1, \dots, f_3^2, n_1^1, \dots, n_3^2) = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{I_k} \|n_i^k - (\beta_j^d \cdot f_j^d) \cdot \left(\prod_{k \neq d} \sum_{i=1}^{I_k} \beta_i^k \cdot f_i^k \right)\|_2^2$$

– Matrix form

$$\begin{bmatrix} n_1^1 \\ n_2^1 \\ n_3^1 \\ n_2^1 \\ n_2^2 \\ n_3^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1^2 & & & & & \\ & \beta_2^2 & & & & \\ & & \beta_3^2 & & & \\ & & & \beta_1^1 & & \\ & & & & \beta_2^1 & \\ & & & & & \beta_3^1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} f_1^2 f_1^1 & f_1^2 f_2^1 & f_1^2 f_3^1 & & & \\ f_2^2 f_1^1 & f_2^2 f_2^1 & f_2^2 f_3^1 & & & \\ f_3^2 f_1^1 & f_3^2 f_2^1 & f_3^2 f_3^1 & & & \\ & & & f_1^1 f_1^2 & f_1^1 f_2^2 & f_1^1 f_3^2 \\ & & & f_2^1 f_1^2 & f_2^1 f_2^2 & f_2^1 f_3^2 \\ & & & f_3^1 f_1^2 & f_3^1 f_2^2 & f_3^1 f_3^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1^1 \\ \beta_2^1 \\ \beta_3^1 \\ \beta_1^2 \\ \beta_2^2 \\ \beta_3^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

– Data generation

We need to observe $\{n_i^k\}_{ik}$ for a range of values of $\{f_i^k\}_{ik}$ in order to estimate $\{\beta_i^k\}_{ik}$.

• **Optimization**

– Total revenue R :

$$R = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{I_k} n_i^k \cdot RPI_i^k.$$

– Total spending S :

$$S = B = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{I_k} n_i^k \cdot CPI_i^k.$$

– Objective function

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{\{f_i^d\}} \sum_{d=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^{D_d} (\beta_j^d \cdot f_j^d) \cdot \left(\prod_{k \neq d} \sum_{i=1}^{D_k} \beta_i^k \cdot f_i^k \right) \cdot RPI_j^d \\ & \text{subject to } \sum_{d=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^{D_d} \left[\beta_j^d \cdot \alpha_j^d \cdot (f_j^d)^2 \cdot \prod_{k \neq d} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{D_k} \beta_i^k \cdot f_i^k \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{D_k} \alpha_i^k \cdot f_i^k \right) \right] = K \cdot B \end{aligned}$$

– Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(f_j^d, \lambda) &= \sum_{d=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^{D_d} (\beta_j^d \cdot f_j^d) \cdot \left(\prod_{k \neq d} \sum_{i=1}^{D_k} \beta_i^k \cdot f_i^k \right) \cdot RPI_j^d \\ &\quad - \lambda \left(\sum_{d=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^{D_d} \left[\beta_j^d \cdot \alpha_j^d \cdot (f_j^d)^2 \cdot \prod_{k \neq d} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{D_k} \beta_i^k \cdot f_i^k \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{D_k} \alpha_i^k \cdot f_i^k \right) \right] - K \cdot B \right) \end{aligned}$$

– Quasi-Newton's method (BFGS, L-BFGS-B, SLSQP).

API

- start from one `ad_group` and use statistical test to determine if the improvement in KPI is significant compared to control `ad_groups`. (t-test)
- each bid list must contain a distinct combination type (e.g., site and geo, device type and ad environment, etc.).
- API example response

```
{
  "IsGlobal": false,
  "BidListDimensions": [
    "HasDomainFragmentId",
    "HasSupplyVendorId",
    "HasImpressionPlacementId",
    "HasPublisherId"
  ],
  "BidListId": "2131712",
  "Name": "Bidlist 1",
  "BidListSource": "User",
  "BidListAdjustmentType": "Optimized",
  "ResolutionType": "ApplyMultiplyAdjustment",
  "BidLines": [
    {
      "BidLineId": "1216340",
      "BidAdjustment": 2.030000,
      "DomainFragment": "com.cardgame.solitaire.flat",
      "SupplyVendorId": 1,
      "PublisherId": "f77344fc701f4b1f981238390e0b8ffb",
      "ImpressionPlacementValue": "f37ac1804f8040a4b49295e5d13167ff"
    },
    {
      "BidLineId": "1216341",
      "BidAdjustment": 1.020000,
      "DomainFragment": "com.merriamwebster",
      "SupplyVendorId": 118,
      "PublisherId": "11653",
      "ImpressionPlacementValue": "177976"
    },
    {
      "BidLineId": "1216342",
      "BidAdjustment": 1.020000,
      "DomainFragment": "com.pandora.android",
      "SupplyVendorId": 50,
      "PublisherId": "71711271",
      "ImpressionPlacementValue": "cKClcPNTu1Te"
    },
    {
      "BidLineId": "1216343",
      "BidAdjustment": 1.020000,
      "DomainFragment": "com.strawdogstudios.simonscatcrunchtime",
      "SupplyVendorId": 99,
      "PublisherId": "FYBER-139222",
      "ImpressionPlacementValue": "530c4d2894e444a1976f41d527c03a07"
    }
  ]
},
```

```
"BidListOwner": "AdGroup",
"BidListOwnerId": "sberg71",
"IsAvailableForLibraryUse": false
}
```

Associate the new bid list to an ad group

```
{
  "AdGroupId": "sberg71",
  "AssociatedBidLists": [
    {
      "BidListId": "2131711",
      "IsEnabled": true,
      "IsDefaultForDimension": true,
      "BidListAdjustmentType": "Optimized",
    },
    {
      "BidListId": "2131712",
      "IsEnabled": true,
      "IsDefaultForDimension": true,
      "BidListAdjustmentType": "Optimized",
    }
  ]
}
```