

Modern Talking: Key-Point Analysis using Modern Natural Language Processing

Max Henze and Hanh Luu and Jan Heinrich Reimer

Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Germany

{max.henze, thi.luu, jan.reimer}@student.uni-halle.de

Abstract

TODO

1 Introduction

Test citation (Bar-Haim et al., 2020a)

TODO

2 Related Work

2.1 Argument Clustering

2.2 Key Point Analysis

Given the first track of the shared task analyzing key points is crucial. In their work (Bar-Haim et al., 2020a) Bar-Haim et al. proposed an approach for summarising large argument collections to small sets of key points. Thus covering a sufficient amount of all arguments. They showed that domain experts can very quickly create pro and con key points, which are able to capture the gist of the arguments on the given topic. All this without being exposed to the arguments themselves. Furthermore they developed the large-scale dataset ArgKP which is the foundation of this shared task. In a later work (Bar-Haim et al., 2020b) Bar-Haim et al. constructed an automatic method for key point extraction which can compete with key points created by human domain experts. The method consists of two aspects. Assuming that the key points can be found among the given comments they first select short, high quality comments as key point candidates and then select the candidate with the highest data coverage. Using the HuggingFace transformer framework they fine-tuned four different models from which ALBERT (Lan et al., 2019) had the best F1 score with 0.809 but RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019) (F1 score of 0.773) was chosen for key point extraction since it had a 6 times faster inference time. In the paper (Egan et al., 2016), Egan et al. proposed a summarising for informal arguments such as they occur in online political debates. By

extracting verbs and their syntactic arguments they retrieve points which can make key content accessible. By grouping these points they propose to create discussion summaries.

2.3 Stance Classification

3 Data

TODO

4 Approach

TODO

4.1 Baseline

TODO

5 Results

TODO

6 Conclusion and Future Work

TODO

6.1 Future Work

TODO

References

- Roy Bar-Haim, Lilach Eden, Roni Friedman, Yoav Kantor, Dan Lahav, and Noam Slonim. 2020a. [From arguments to key points: Towards automatic argument summarization](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2020, Online, July 5-10, 2020*, pages 4029–4039. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Roy Bar-Haim, Yoav Kantor, Lilach Eden, Roni Friedman, Dan Lahav, and Noam Slonim. 2020b. [Quantitative argument summarization and beyond: Cross-domain key point analysis](#). In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2020, Online, November 16-20, 2020*, pages 39–49. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Charlie Egan, Advaith Siddharthan, and Adam Wyner. 2016. Summarising the points made in online political debates. In *Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Argument Mining (ArgMining2016)*, pages 134–143.

Zhenzhong Lan, Mingda Chen, Sebastian Goodman, Kevin Gimpel, Piyush Sharma, and Radu Soricut. 2019. Albert: A lite bert for self-supervised learning of language representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.11942*.

Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019. Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.11692*.

A Appendix

We release our source code online under the free MIT license.¹

¹<https://github.com/heinrichreimer/modern-talking>