```
Assignment II: Graph Problem
```

```
    Assignment II: Graph Problem

    Code Description

       base_model
       ■ 1-1.MIS-based IDS Game
       1-2.Symmetric MDS-based IDS Game
       2.Matching Game

    Result Analysis

       Experimental Setups
       Requirement 1-1, 1-2
       Requirement 2
```

Code Description

import numpy as np

from abc import ABC, abstractmethod

base_model

```
class base_model(ABC):
         def __init__(self, n, connects):
            self.n = int(n)
             self.G = self.init_connection(connects)
             self.state = self.init_state()
             self.deg = self.init_deg()
         def init_connection(self, connects):
             G = [[] for _ in range(self.n)]
             for i in range(self.n):
                 for j in range(len(connects[i])):
                     if connects[i][j] == '1':
                         G[i].append(j)
             return G
         def init_deg(self):
             deg = np.zeros(self.n, dtype=np.int_)
             for i in range(self.n):
                 deg[i] = len(self.G[i])
             return deg
         def init_state(self):
             state = np.zeros(self.n, dtype=np.bool_)
             for i in range(self.n):
                 state[i] = np.random.randint(0, 2)
             return state
         @abstractmethod
         def utility(self, player):
             pass
         @abstractmethod
         def best_response(self, player):
         def reach_NE(self):
             can_improve = []
             for i in range(self.n):
                 if self.state[i] != self.best_response(i):
                     can_improve.append(i)
             return can_improve
         def solve(self):
             move_count = 0
             while True:
                 can_improve = self.reach_NE()
                 if can_improve == []:
                     break
                 player = np.random.choice(can_improve)
                 self.state[player] = self.best_response(player)
                 move_count += 1
             return move_count, np.sum(self.state)
• 由於三個任務間對於以下內容皆類似,因此我撰寫一個base_model來實作以下功能
   ○ 圖的建構 (init_connection)
```

```
■ 利用adjacency list建構整張圖
    。 degree的計算 (init_deg)
       ■ 計算每個player的out degree(=in degree)
    。 state初始化方式 (init_state)
       ■ 隨機初始化一個boolean list,紀錄當前每個player的選擇情況(0/1)
       ■ 另外,由於在Mactching Game中每個player的選擇並非只有0/1,因此在Matching
         Game中會需要override此function
    。 是否已達到Nash Equilibrium (reach_NE)
       ■ 確認每個player是否無法透過改變自身state來增加utility
       ■ 將有辦法增加utility的所有player回傳,否則回傳一個空的list
    。 模擬整個Game Process (solve)
       ■ 每次從還可以增加utility的那些player中隨機選一個並改變state為其best response
       ■ 直到沒有任何player可以增加utility時回傳結果
 • 另外,由於不同game的utility與best response可能不太相同,因此這兩個function在不同game中
   會另外實作。
1-1.MIS-based IDS Game
      import numpy as np
      from base_model import base_model
      class mis_based_ids_model(base_model):
         def __init__(self, n, connects):
            super().__init__(n, connects)
            self.alpha = 1.5
         def utility(self, player):
            if self.state[player] == 0:
                return 0
```

return u

u = 1

for other in self.G[player]:

if self.deg[player] <= self.deg[other]:</pre> u -= self.alpha * self.state[other]

```
def best_response(self, player):
              for other in self.G[player]:
                 if self.state[other] == 1 and self.deg[player] <= self.deg[other]:</pre>
              return 1
 • 在 MIS-based IDS Game中需額外實作兩個Funtion
     utility
        ■ 若當前player的state為0
            ■ 回傳0
        ■ 若當前player的state為1
            ■ let u = 1
            ■ 計算u - \alpha(=1.5) * 所有neighbors(other)中deg \ge 當前player且state為1的個數
            ■ 回傳u
     o best response
        ■ 若當前player的neighbors(other)中有任一deg ≥ 當前player並且其state為1
            ■ 回傳此player的BR為0
        ■ 反之
            ■ 回傳此player的BR為1
1-2.Symmetric MDS-based IDS Game
```

return self.alpha return 0

if v == 1:

from base_model import base_model

self.alpha = 1.5self.beta = 0.5

def gain(self, player):

v = self.state[player]

class symmetric_mds_based_ids_model(base_model):

self.gamma = self.n * self.alpha + 1

super().__init__(n, connects)

for other in self.G[player]: v += self.state[other]

def __init__(self, n, connects):

```
# gain of dominance
         def total_gain(self, player):
            g = self.gain(player)
            for other in self.G[player]:
                g += self.gain(other)
            return g
         # penalty of violating independence
         def penalty(self, player):
            for other in self.G[player]:
                w += self.state[player] * self.state[other] * self.gamma
            return w
         def utility(self, player):
            if self.state[player] == 0:
                return 0
            return self.total_gain(player) - self.beta - self.penalty(player)
         def best_response(self, player):
             orginal_state = self.state[player]
            orginal_state_utility = self.utility(player)
            other_state = 1 - orginal_state
            self.state[player] = other_state
            other_state_utility = self.utility(player)
            self.state[player] = orginal_state
            if other_state_utility > orginal_state_utility:
                return other_state
            return orginal_state
• 在 MIS-based IDS Game中需實作五個Funtion
   gain
       ■ 此function為計算輸入的player中是否其與其neighbors的state總和為1
          ■ 若為1,代表此player剛好是dominated別人或剛好只有一個neighbor dominated此
            player
              ■ 回傳α(=1.5)
          反之
              ■ 回傳0
       ■ 此function為計算player與其neighbors的gain總和
   penalty
       ■ 此function為計算player與其neighbor是否有違反independence
          ■ 若player與其neighbor兩者state同時為1,則累加一個\gamma(=#players * \alpha + 1)
```

class matching_model(base_model): def __init__(self, n, connects, heuristic=True): super().__init__(n, connects) self.state = self.init_state() self.prefer_table = self.init_prefer_table(heuristic)

self.beta = 0.5

return G

def init_state(self):

return state

def add_self_loop(self, G): for i in range(self.n): self.G[i].append(i)

for i in range(self.n):

def init_prefer_table(self, heuristic):

from base_model import base_model

■ 回傳其總和

■ 回傳0

import numpy as np

■ 若當前的player的state為0

■ 若當前的player的state為1

■ 回傳total gain - β (=0.5) + penalty

self.G = self.add_self_loop(self.G)

self.G[i] = sorted(self.G[i])

state = np.zeros(self.n, dtype=np.int_)

state[i] = np.random.choice(self.G[i])

prefer_table = np.zeros((self.n, self.n), dtype=np.int_)

utility

2.Matching Game

```
for i in range(self.n):
                for j in range(self.n):
                    if i == j:
                        prefer_table[i][j] = 0
                    elif j in self.G[i]:
                        if heuristic == True:
                            prefer_table[i][j] = self.n - self.deg[j]
                            prefer_table[i][j] = 1
            return prefer_table
        def utility(self, player):
            if self.state[player] == player:
                return 0
            else:
                u = 0
                chosen = self.state[player]
                prefer_rank = self.prefer_table[player][chosen]
                can_link = self.state[chosen] == player
                can_link |= self.state[chosen] == chosen
                u = prefer_rank * can_link - self.beta
            return u
         def best_response(self, player):
            origin_state = self.state[player]
            return_state = self.state[player]
            max_state_utility = self.utility(player)
            others = self.G[player]
            for other in others:
                self.state[player] = other
                other_state_utility = self.utility(player)
                if other_state_utility > max_state_utility:
                    return_state = other
                    max_state_utility = other_state_utility
            self.state[player] = origin_state
            return return_state
         def make_pair(self):
            matching = []
            for i in range(self.n):
                for other in self.G[i]:
                    if i < other and self.state[i] == other and self.state[other]</pre>
                        matching.append((i, other))
            return len(matching)
         def solve(self):
            move_count = 0
            while True:
                can_improve = self.reach_NE()
                if can_improve == []:
                    break
                player = np.random.choice(can_improve)
                self.state[player] = self.best_response(player)
                move_count += 1
            return move_count, self.make_pair()
• 在 Matching Game中需實作七個Funtion
   add_self_loop
      ■ 由於在matching game中會有player沒有與其他player配對,因此需要加上self loop作為
        unmatched的情況
   init_state
      ■ 與1-1, 1-2不同,player並非只有0/1的選擇,因此在matching game的初始化中,將所
        有player指向其任一neighbors(包括自己)
   init_prefer_table
      ■ 此部分可以依照是否使用heuristic來調整
          ■ 若沒有使用heuristic,則prefer_table(i, j): 代表player i連到 player j的priority,共
            分為三種情況
              i = j
                 • 0
              • i \neq j and i and j are neighbors
      ■ 倘若為使用heuristic,則只需更改以下部分
          • i \neq j and i and j are neighbors
              #players - degree of player j
   utility
      ■ 若當前player的state為自己,代表沒有與他人產生pair
          ■ 回傳0
      ■ 反之
          ■ 可能產生連線共有兩個情況
              ■ 對方(chosen)連向當前的player,可以形成matching
              ■ 對方(chosen)連向他自己(self loop),當前player指向他並不會破壞任何已產生
                的matching
          ■ 計算出對於當前player與其指向的player(chosen)的priority
          ■ 回傳priority(prefer_rank) * 是否可以產生連線 - \beta(=0.5)
   best_response
```

number of nodes **30** number of edges rewiring Probability ■ [0.0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8] (如下圖所示) 。 每組rewiring probability皆產生出1000組新的WS Model,分別計算在requirement 1-1, 1-2, 2的Cardinality平均與標準差。 Requirement 1-1, 1-2

Symmetric MDS-based IDS Game

 8.191 ± 0.636

 9.491 ± 0.959

 10.254 ± 1.091

 10.688 ± 1.165

 10.785 ± 1.210

■ 回傳對於當前player中,模擬其連向其他neighbors(包括自己)是否可以產生更好的utility

■ 回傳可以產生最大的utility時所連向的neighbor

i < j(確保沒有self loop與連線被計算兩次)

■ state[i] = j and state[j] = i(兩者互相連線)

■ 對於產生的matching有幾個設定條件,對於任意player i, j 而言

■ 與base model的solve大致相同,僅差別於計算完state後需要將state轉換成產生的

。 對於requirement 1-1, 1-2, 2,皆使用以下列參數設計,並比較不同rewiring probability對於

■ 若可以產生更好的utility

■ 回傳產生matching的數量

matching數量(make_pair)後再回傳。

MIS-based IDS Game

 8.207 ± 0.623

 8.5 ± 0.883

 8.85 ± 1.075

 8.996 ± 1.105

 9.075 ± 1.132

■ 回傳原始連向的neighbor

■ 計算達到NE時的state中產生matching的數量

■ 反之

make_pair

o solve

Result Analysis

Experimental Setups

模型的影響。

WS model

• 實驗結果

0.0

0.2

0.4

0.6

8.0

• 實驗圖表

8

0.4

0.6

8.0

• 實驗圖表

12.0

• 實驗分析

0.0

0.1

0.2

 13.163 ± 0.754

 13.08 ± 0.791

 13.044 ± 0.832

heuristic_Matching

0.2

0.3

0.4

Optimum

0.1

0.0

Rewiring_Probs

12 MIS-based IDS Game Symmetric MDS-based IDS Game 11 Candinality of IDS 10 9

0.4

Link Rewiring Probability

0.3

0.5

0.6

 14.085 ± 0.561

 14.133 ± 0.558

 14.157 ± 0.571

0.7

0.8

 15 ± 0

 15 ± 0

 15 ± 0

```
• 實驗分析
    。 從表格中可以發現,將rewiring probability增加,皆會使Requirement 1-1與 Requirement1-
      2的mean與std增加。
    。 而從圖表中可以發現,MIS-based IDS model的結果相較於Symmetric MDS-based IDS
      model好上不少,我認為是因為前者只選擇有更大或相同degree的neighbors,而後者並無此
      限制,因此若後者改為Asymmetric應該能使結果更好。
Requirement 2
 實驗結果
 Rewiring_Probs
                non_Heuristic_Matching
                                      Heuristic_Matching
                                                        Optimum
 0.0
                                                        15 \pm 0
                13.437 \pm 0.668
                                      13.420 \pm 0.663
 0.2
                13.234 \pm 0.718
                                      13.931 \pm 0.615
                                                        15 \pm 0
```

15.5 15.0 ndinality of Matching 14.5 Ö 13.0 non_heuristic_Matching 12.5

0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 Link Rewiring Probability 。 從表格中可以發現,對於non_heuristic_Matching而言,將rewiring_probs升高,則會讓結果 變差,而對於heuristic_Matching而言則相反。我認為其原因是因為對於rewiring_prob為0的 情況,是否有heuristic對於此問題完全沒有任何影響,因為所有的degree皆為4。 。 而將rewiring_probs升高,會使degree改變,進而使heuristic_Matching產生較大的作用,因 此heuristic_Matching的結果會更好,而從圖表我們同樣也能看到此現象。