## Tsinghua-Berkeley Shenzhen Institute Information Theory and Statistical Learning Fall 2020

## Homework 2

Hanmo Chen 2020214276

September 29, 2020

## • Acknowledgments:

For the Gamma function and its series extensions, I refer to the website(https://www.wolframalpha.com/). And for the proof of Karamata's Inequality, I refer to the wikipedia(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Karamata's\_inequality). Some matrix tricks used in deriviation comes from the Matrix Cookbook(http://matrixcookbook.com/)

- Collaborators: None
- I certify that all solutions are entirely in my words and that I have not looked at another student's solutions. I have credited all external sources in this write up.

Hanmo Chen

2.1. First, we have Chain Rule for mutual information

$$I(X_{1}, X_{2}, \dots, X_{n}; Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(X_{i}; Y \mid X_{i-1}, X_{i-2}, \dots, X_{1})$$

$$I(X; Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \dots, Y_{n}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(X; Y_{i} \mid Y_{i-1}, Y_{i-2}, \dots, Y_{1})$$
(1)

Using this Chain Rule twice,

$$I(X_{1},...,X_{n};Y_{1},...,Y_{m}) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} I(X_{1},X_{2},...,X_{n};Y_{j} \mid Y_{j-1},Y_{j-2},...,Y_{1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} I(X_{i};Y_{j} \mid X_{i-1},X_{i-2},...,X_{1},Y_{j-1},Y_{j-2},...,Y_{1})$$
(2)

## 2.2. Examples:

(a) 
$$I(X;Y\mid Z) < I(X;Y)$$
  
Let  $Z=X$  then  $I(X;Y\mid Z)=H(X\mid Z)-H(X,Y\mid Z)=0$ . But for  $X,Y$  that are not independent,  $I(X;Y)>0=I(X;Y\mid Z)$ 

(b) I(X; Y | Z) > I(X; Y)

Use the example illustrated in class. let X and Y be independent Bernoulli random variables with p = 0.5, and let Z = X + Y. Then I(X;Y) = 0, but I(X;Y|Z) = H(X|Z) - H(X|Y,Z) = H(X|Z) = 0.5 bit.

(a) Denote n = |A|, because  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n$  are i.i.d Bern $(\frac{1}{2})$  random variables, so  $X_A = (Z_i)_{i \in A}$  is a *n*-dimension random vector having  $2^n$  possible points with equal probability,

$$H(X_A) = 2^n * (-\frac{1}{2^n} \log \frac{1}{2^n}) = n = |A| \text{ (bits)}$$
 (3)

(b) Denote  $A = A_1 \cup A_2, A^* = A_1 \cap A_2, A_1^* = A_1 \backslash A_2, A_2^* = A_2 \backslash A_1$ , so  $X_{A_1} = (X_{A^*}, X_{A_1^*}), X_{A_2} = (X_{A^*}, X_{A_2^*})$ . Because  $X_{A^*}, X_{A_1^*}, X_{A_2^*}$  are mutually independent,

$$H(X_{A_1}|X_{A_2}) = H((X_{A^*}, X_{A_1^*})|(X_{A^*}, X_{A_2^*}))$$
  
=  $H(X_{A_1^*}) = |A_1^*| = |A_1 \setminus A_2|$  (4)

Thus,

$$H(X_{A_1}, X_{A_2}) = H(X_{A_2}) + H(X_{A_1} | X_{A_2})$$
  
=  $|A_2| + |A_1^*| = |A_1 \cup A_2|$  (5)

$$I(X_{A_1}; X_{A_2}) = H(X_{A_2}) - H(X_{A_2} | X_{A_1})$$
  
=  $|A_2| - |A_2^*| = |A_1 \cap A_2|$  (6)

- 2.4. (a) No.  $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = 0.5, |x| + |y| \le 1$ , and  $f_X(x) = 1 |x|, x \in [-1,1], f_Y(y) = 1 |y|, y \in [-1,1], f_{X,Y}(x,y) \ne f_X(x)f_Y(y)$  so X,Y are not independent.
  - (b) Because X and Y are symmetric, H(X) = H(Y) and I(X;Y) = H(X) + H(Y) H(X,Y) = 2H(X) H(X,Y). Denote the area of  $B_p$  as  $S_p = 4 \int_0^1 (1-|x|^p)^{1/p} dx$ , joint distribution of (X,Y) is  $f_p(x,y) = \frac{1}{S_p}, |x|^p + |y|^p \le 1$  the pdf of X is  $f_p(x) = \frac{2}{S_p}(1-|x|^p)^{1/p}, x \in [-1,1]$  So

$$I(X;Y) = 2H(X) - H(X,Y) = -2\int_{-1}^{1} f_p(x)\log(f_p(x))dx - \log S_p$$
(7)

- $p = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $S_p = \frac{2}{3}$ ,  $I(X;Y) = \frac{7}{3} \ln 3 \ln 2 = 0.542$
- $p = 1, S_p = 2, I(X;Y) = 1 \ln 2 = 0.307$
- $p = \infty, X, Y$  are independent, I(X;Y) = 0

(c) 
$$\lim_{n \to 0} I(X;Y) = \infty \tag{8}$$

Denote n = 1/p,

$$S_p = 4 \int_0^1 (1 - x^{\frac{1}{n}})^n dx = 4n \int_0^1 t^n (1 - t)^{n-1} dt = 4 \frac{(\Gamma(n+1))^2}{\Gamma(2n+1)}$$
 (9)

And

$$I_n(X;Y) = 2n\left(\sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} \frac{1}{i}\right) - \log\frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2}$$
 (10)

Because

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} \frac{1}{i} = \ln 2 \tag{11}$$

$$\log \frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2} = \log \left( \frac{2^{2n} \Gamma\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(n+1)} \right) - \frac{\log(\pi)}{2}$$
 (12)

And  $\frac{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(n+1)} \sim \log(n)$  as  $n \to \infty$ , thus

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} I_n(X; Y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \log(n) = \infty$$
 (13)

2.5. The density function of Gaussian distribution is

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{m})^T \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{m})\right)$$
(14)

Thus,

$$D\left(\mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{m}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}\right) \| \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{m}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}\right)\right) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{m}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}\right)} \left[\log\left(\frac{p_{1}(\mathbf{x})}{p_{0}(\mathbf{x})}\right)\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{m}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}\right)} \left[\frac{1}{2}\log\frac{\left|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}\right|}{\left|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}\right|} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{m}_{1}\right)^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{m}_{1}\right)\right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{m}_{0}\right)^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{m}_{0}\right)\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{\left|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}\right|}{\left|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}\right|} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{m}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}\right)}\left[\left(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{m}_{1}\right)^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{m}_{1}\right)\right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{m}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}\right)}\left[\left(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{m}_{0}\right)^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{m}_{0}\right)\right]$$

$$(15)$$

For  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{N}(\boldsymbol{m}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1)} \left[ (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{m}_1)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{m}_1) \right]$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{m}_{1},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1})}\left[\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{m}_{1}\right)^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{m}_{1}\right)\right] = \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{m}_{1},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1})}\left[\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{m}_{1}\right)^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{m}_{1}\right)\right]\right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{m}_{1},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1})}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{m}_{1}\right)^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{m}_{1}\right)\right)\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{m}_{1},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1})}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{m}_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{m}_{1}\right)^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1}\right)\right]$$

$$= \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{m}_{1},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1})}\left[\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{m}_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{m}_{1}\right)^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1}\right)\right]$$

$$= \operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1}\right) = \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{n}}) = n$$

$$(16)$$

In the same way,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{m}_{1},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1})}\left[\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{m}_{0}\right)^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{m}_{0}\right)\right] = \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{m}_{1},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1})}\left[\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{m}_{0}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{m}_{0}\right)^{T}\right]\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}\right)$$

$$= \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\left(\boldsymbol{m}_{1}-\boldsymbol{m}_{0}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{m}_{1}-\boldsymbol{m}_{0}\right)^{T}+\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}\right)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}\right)$$

$$= \operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}\right)+\left(\boldsymbol{m}_{1}-\boldsymbol{m}_{0}\right)^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{m}_{1}-\boldsymbol{m}_{0}\right)$$

$$(17)$$

So

$$D\left(\mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{m}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}\right) \| \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{m}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \log \frac{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}|}{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}|} - n + \operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}\right) + \left(\boldsymbol{m}_{1} - \boldsymbol{m}_{0}\right)^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1} \left(\boldsymbol{m}_{1} - \boldsymbol{m}_{0}\right) \right]$$

$$(18)$$

- (a)  $D(\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{m}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \| \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{m}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0)) < \infty$  when  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0$  is non-singular.
- (b) With  $m_0 = 0, \Sigma_0 = I_n$  in the equation [18],

$$D\left(\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{m}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}) \| \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{I}_{n})\right) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}| - n + \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}) + \boldsymbol{m}_{1}^{T} \boldsymbol{m}_{1} \right]$$
(19)

(c) As the equation [18] shows,

$$D\left(\mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{m}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}\right) \| \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{m}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \log \frac{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}|}{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}|} - n + \operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}\right) + \left(\boldsymbol{m}_{1} - \boldsymbol{m}_{0}\right)^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1} \left(\boldsymbol{m}_{1} - \boldsymbol{m}_{0}\right) \right]$$

$$(20)$$

2.6. (a) Actually, it is called Karamata's Inequality, and the proof is given as below.

Define

$$c_i = \begin{cases} \frac{f(P_i) - f(Q_i)}{P_i - Q_i}, & \text{if } P_i \neq Q_i\\ f'(P_i), & \text{if } P_i = Q_i \end{cases}$$

$$(21)$$

Because f is a convex function,  $\frac{f(x)-f(y)}{x-y}$  is monotonically non-decreasing for both x and y, we have  $c_i \geqslant c_{i+1}$ Define  $A_0 = B_0 = 0$ ,  $A_n = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i$ ,  $B_n = \sum_{i=1}^n Q_i$ , so  $A_n \leqslant B_n$ ,  $1 \leqslant n \leqslant k$ , and  $A_k = B_k$ . Consider

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (f(P_{i}) - f(Q_{i})) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} (P_{i} - Q_{i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} (\underbrace{A_{i} - A_{i-1}}_{=P_{i}} - (\underbrace{B_{i} - B_{i-1}}_{=Q_{i}}))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} (A_{i} - B_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} (A_{i-1} - B_{i-1})$$

$$= c_{n} (\underbrace{A_{n} - B_{n}}_{=0}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\underbrace{c_{i} - c_{i+1}}_{\geqslant 0}) (\underbrace{A_{i} - B_{i}}_{\leqslant 0}) - c_{1} (\underbrace{A_{0} - B_{0}}_{=0})$$

$$\leqslant 0$$

$$(22)$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} f(P_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} f(Q_i)$$
 (23)

(b) Let  $f(x) = x \log x$  is convex, using 23 and  $H(P) = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} f(P_i)$ , so

$$H(P) \geqslant H(Q) \tag{24}$$

- 2.7. Total Correlation.
  - (a) Part 1

$$C(X_{1},...,X_{n}) \triangleq D\left(P_{X^{n}} \| \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_{X_{i}}\right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{X^{n}} \left[\log\left(\frac{P_{X^{n}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} P_{X_{i}}}\right)\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{X^{n}} \left[\log P_{X^{n}}\right] - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{X^{n}} \left[\log P_{X_{i}}\right]$$

$$= -H(X^{n}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{X^{i}} \left[\log P_{X_{i}}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} H(X_{i}) - H(X^{n})$$
(25)

Part 2

Because 
$$I(X^i; X_{i+1}) = H(X^i) + H(X_{i+1}) - H(X^i, X_{i+1}) =$$

$$H(X^{i}) + H(X_{i+1}) - H(X^{i+1})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} I(X^{i}; X_{i+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} H(X^{i}) + H(X_{i+1}) - H(X^{i+1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} H(X_{i}) - H(X^{n}) = C(X_{1}, \dots, X_{n})$$
(26)

- (b)  $C(X_1,\ldots,X_n) \triangleq D(P_{X^n} \| \prod_{i=1}^n P_{X_i}) = 0$  when  $P_{X^n} = \prod_{i=1}^n P_{X_i}$ , that is,  $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n$  are independent.
- 2.8. Divergence of order statistics.
  - (a) The joint distribution of order statistics is,

$$f_{X_{(1)},\dots,X_{(n)}}(y_1,\dots,y_n) = n! \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i), \quad y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_n \quad (27)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} D\left(P_{X_{(1)}...X_{(n)}} \| Q_{X_{(1)}...X_{(n)}}\right) &= \mathbb{E}_{P_{X_{(1)}...X_{(n)}}} \left[ \log \left( \frac{P_{X_{(1)},...,X_{(n)}}}{Q_{X_{(1)},...,X_{(n)}}} \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{P_{X_{(1)}...X_{(n)}}} \left[ \log \left( \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(y_{i}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} Q\left(y_{i}\right)} \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{P_{X_{(1)}...X_{(n)}}} \left[ \log \left( \frac{P\left(y_{i}\right)}{Q\left(y_{i}\right)} \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{P_{X_{1}...X_{n}}} \left[ \log \left( \frac{P\left(x_{i}\right)}{Q\left(x_{i}\right)} \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{P_{X_{i}}} \left[ \log \left( \frac{P\left(x_{i}\right)}{Q\left(x_{i}\right)} \right) \right] \\ &= nD(P \| Q) \end{split}$$

(b) For  $X \sim \text{Binom}(n, p)$ , the p.m.f. is

$$P(X = i) = \binom{n}{i} p^{i} (1 - p)^{n - i}$$
(29)

Thus,

$$\begin{split} D(\mathrm{Binom}(n,p) \| \, \mathrm{Binom}(n,q)) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} p^{i} (1-p)^{n-i} \log \left( \left( \frac{p(1-q)}{q(1-p)} \right)^{i} \left( \frac{1-p}{1-q} \right)^{n} \right) \\ &= n \log \left( \frac{1-p}{1-q} \right) + \sum_{i=0}^{n} i \binom{n}{i} p^{i} (1-p)^{n-i} \log \left( \frac{p(1-q)}{q(1-p)} \right) \\ &= n \log \left( \frac{1-p}{1-q} \right) + n p \log \left( \frac{p(1-q)}{q(1-p)} \right) \end{split}$$

Let n=1,

$$D(\operatorname{Bern}(p) \| \operatorname{Bern}(q)) = \log\left(\frac{1-p}{1-q}\right) + p\log\left(\frac{p(1-q)}{q(1-p)}\right)$$
(31)

So

$$D(\operatorname{Binom}(n,p)\|\operatorname{Binom}(n,q)) = nD(\operatorname{Bern}(p)\|\operatorname{Bern}(q)) \tag{32}$$