

# Exercise 4

## Probability Theory 2020 Autumn

Hanmo Chen  
Student ID 2020214276

November 8, 2020

### Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Problem 1</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	(i) . . . . .	2
1.2	(b) . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Problem 2</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	(a) . . . . .	3
2.2	(b) . . . . .	3
2.3	(c) . . . . .	4
2.4	(d) . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>Problem 3</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Problem 4</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1	(a) . . . . .	5
4.2	(b) . . . . .	5
4.3	(c) . . . . .	5
<b>5</b>	<b>Problem 5</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Problem 6</b>	<b>6</b>

# 1 Problem 1

## 1.1 (i)

Claim that  $M_X(s) = \infty$  for all  $s \neq 0$ . The proof is as below.

$$M_X(s) = \mathbb{E}[e^{sx}] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{t}{t^2 + x^2} e^{sx} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{t}{t^2 + x^2} e^{-sx} dx \quad (1)$$

So  $M_X(s) = M_X(-s)$ , we just need to prove  $M_X(s) = \infty$  for  $s > 0$ .

Notice that for  $x > 0$ ,  $e^x > \frac{x^3}{6}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} M_X(s) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{t}{t^2 + x^2} e^{sx} dx > \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{t}{t^2 + x^2} e^{sx} dx \\ &> \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{6\pi} \frac{ts^3 x^3}{t^2 + x^2} dx = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^3 s^3}{6\pi} \frac{x^3}{1 + x^2} dx \\ &= \frac{t^3 s^3}{12\pi} (x^2 - \ln(1 + x^2)) \Big|_0^{\infty} = \infty \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

So  $M_X(s) = \infty$  for all  $s \neq 0$ . And it is trivial that  $M_X(0) = 1$ .

$$M_X(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & s = 0 \\ \infty, & s \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

## 1.2 (b)

Yes. An example is given as the symmetrized lognormal distribution.

For example,  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$  and  $Y = e^Z \sim \text{lognormal}(0, 1)$  and define  $X$  as

$$X = \begin{cases} Y, & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2} \\ -Y, & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

It is easy to verify that

$$f_Y(y) = f_Z(\ln y) \frac{1}{y} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi y}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\ln y)^2} \quad (5)$$

And the  $n$ -th moment of  $Y$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y^n] &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi y}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\ln y)^2} * y^n dy = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi y}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\ln y)^2 + n \ln y\right) dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{n^2}{2}\right) dz \quad (z = \ln y - n) \\ &= e^{\frac{n^2}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

And

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}|x|} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\ln|x|)^2} \quad (7)$$

Then we will show that all the moments of  $X$  are finite. For all odd integers  $k$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[X^k] = \mathbb{E}[X_+^k] - \mathbb{E}[X_-^k] = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[Y^k] - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[Y^k] = 0 \quad (8)$$

For all even integers  $k$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[X^k] = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[Y^k] + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[Y^k] = e^{\frac{n^2}{2}} \quad (9)$$

So  $\mathbb{E}[X^k] < \infty$  for all integers  $k \geq 1$ . But for the moment generating function  $M_X(s)$ , assuming  $s > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M_X(s) &= \mathbb{E}[e^{sx}] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}|x|} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\ln|x|)^2} e^{sx} dx > \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}x} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\ln x)^2} e^{sx} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2} e^{se^z} dz > \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{s}{6}z^3 - \frac{1}{2}z^2} \\ &> \int_{\frac{6}{s}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2} = \infty \quad \left(\frac{s}{6}z^3 > z^2 \text{ when } z > \frac{6}{s}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$M_X(s) = \infty$  for  $s > 0$  and  $M_X(s) = M_X(-s)$ , so  $M_X(s) = \infty$  for  $s \neq 0$

## 2 Problem 2

### 2.1 (a)

Notice that the conclusion in (a) is a weaker version of the conclusion from (b) because  $X^k \leq X^k e^{sX}$  when  $s > 0$ , we will just prove (b).

### 2.2 (b)

If  $s < 0$ ,  $X^k e^{sX} \leq X^k \leq X^k e^{s'X}$ ,  $s' > 0$ , so we will just prove the case when  $s > 0$ .

Because  $X$  is nonnegative,

$$e^{ax} = e^{(a-s)x} > \frac{(a-s)^k x^k}{k!} e^{sx} \quad (11)$$

So  $x^k e^{sx} < e^{ax} \frac{k!}{(a-s)^k}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[X^k e^{sX}] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{k!}{(a-s)^k} e^{aX}\right] = \frac{k!}{(a-s)^k} M_X(a) < \infty \quad (12)$$

### 2.3 (c)

The inequality holds true only when  $h > 0$ . The following proof is given under the condition  $h > 0$ .

It's obvious when  $X = 0$ . And for  $X > 0$ , it is equivalent to  $\frac{e^{hX}-1}{hX} \leq e^{hX}$ , denote  $b$  as  $hX$  and  $g(x)$  as  $e^x$ , using Lagrange's mean value theorem,

$$\frac{e^{hX}-1}{hX} = \frac{g(b)-g(0)}{b-0} = g'(c) \leq g'(b) = e^{hX}, c \in (0, b) \quad (13)$$

### 2.4 (d)

Using L'Hospital's Rule,  $X = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{hX}-1}{h}$ . Suppose  $\{h_n\}$  is a sequence of nonnegative numbers and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n = 0$ , so  $h_n < \frac{a}{2}$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . And define  $X_n = \frac{e^{h_n X}-1}{h_n}$ .

Using the conclusion from (c)

$$0 < X_n \leq X e^{h_n X} < X e^{\frac{a}{2} X} \quad (14)$$

And  $\mathbb{E}[X e^{\frac{a}{2} X}] < \infty$ , so according to the Dominant Convergence Theorem,

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{e^{hX}-1}{h}] = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[\frac{e^{hX}-1}{h}] = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{hX}]-1}{h} \quad (15)$$

## 3 Problem 3

**Yes.**

To prove this, we define a series of random variables by replacing  $X_i$  with  $Y_i$  once a time.

Define  $Z_0 = X = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n$ ,  $Z_1 = Y_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n$ ,  $Z_j = \sum_{i=1}^j Y_i + \sum_{i=j+1}^n X_i$ ,  $Z_n = Y = Y_1 + Y_2 + \cdots + Y_n$

We want to prove  $Z_j$  *stochastically dominates*  $Z_{j-1}$ , that is,

$$\forall k = 1, 2, \dots, n, P(Z_{j-1} \geq k) \geq P(Z_j \geq k)$$

Notice that the only difference between  $Z_{j-1}$  and  $Z_j$  is the  $j$ -th item,  $X_j$  or  $Y_j$ .

Denote the sum of the left  $n-1$  items as  $Z'$ , and  $Z_{j-1} = Z' + X_j$ ,  $Z_j = Z' + Y_j$ , also denote  $P(X_i = 1) = p_i \geq P(Y_i = 1) = q_i$ .

$$\begin{aligned} P(Z_{j-1} \geq k) &= P(Z' + X_j \geq k) \\ &= P(Z' \geq k) + P(Z' = k-1, X_j = 1) \\ &= P(Z' \geq k) + P(Z' = k-1)p_j \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

In the same way,  $P(Z_j \geq k) = P(Z' \geq k) + P(Z' = k-1)q_j$ .

Since  $p_j \geq q_j$ , we prove that  $\forall k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $P(Z_{j-1} \geq k) \geq P(Z_j \geq k)$

Therefore,  $\forall k = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$P(X \geq k) = P(Z_0 \geq k) \geq P(Z_1 \geq k) \geq \cdots \geq P(Z_n \geq k) = P(Y \geq k) \quad (17)$$

## 4 Problem 4

### 4.1 (a)

Suppose  $V \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ , because  $U, V$  are independent,  $E\left[\frac{V^2}{1+U}\right] = E[V^2] E\left[\frac{1}{1+U}\right]$ .

$$E[V^2] = \int_0^\infty \lambda x^2 e^{-\lambda x} dx = \int_0^\infty x^2 e^{-x} dx = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2} e^{-x} (2 + 2x + x^2) \Big|_0^\infty = \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \quad (18)$$

$$E\left[\frac{1}{1+U}\right] = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1+x} dx = \ln 2 \quad (19)$$

So,

$$E\left[\frac{V^2}{1+U}\right] = E[V^2] E\left[\frac{1}{1+U}\right] = \frac{2 \ln 2}{\lambda^2} \quad (20)$$

### 4.2 (b)

$$\begin{aligned} P(U \leq V) &= \int_0^1 P(V \geq u) f_U(u) du \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_u^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda v} dv du \\ &= \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda u} du \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} (1 - e^{-\lambda}) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

### 4.3 (c)

Because  $U = \sqrt{Y}$ ,  $V = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{Y}}$

$$f_{Y,Z}(y, z) = f_{U,V}(u(y, z), v(y, z)) \left| \frac{\partial(u, v)}{\partial(y, z)} \right| \quad (22)$$

And the Jacobian matrix is,

$$|J| = \left| \frac{\partial(u, v)}{\partial(y, z)} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{Y}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2Y\sqrt{Y}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{Y}} \end{array} \right| = \frac{1}{2Y} \quad (23)$$

So the joint pdf of  $Y, Z$  is

$$f_{Y,Z}(y, z) = 1 \times \lambda e^{-\lambda \frac{z}{\sqrt{y}}} \times \frac{1}{2y} \quad (24)$$

The support set of  $Y, Z$  is  $[0, 1] \times [0, \infty)$ .

$$f_{Y,Z}(y,z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda}{2y} e^{-\lambda \frac{z}{\sqrt{y}}}, & (y,z) \in [0,1] \times [0,\infty) \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

## 5 Problem 5

First  $X_2 + X_3$  follows the Gamma distribution  $\Gamma(2, \frac{1}{\lambda})$  with  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  as the scale parameter, to derive this, let  $Z = X_2 + X_3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_Z(z) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X_2}(x) f_{X_3}(z-x) dx \\ &= \int_0^z \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \lambda e^{-\lambda(z-x)} dx \\ &= \int_0^z \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda z} dx \\ &= \lambda^2 z e^{-\lambda z} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 > X_2 + X_3) &= P(X_1 > Z) = \int_0^{\infty} f_{X_1}(x) P(Z < x) dx \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \left( \int_0^x \lambda^2 z e^{-\lambda z} dz \right) \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} (1 - (1 + \lambda x) e^{-\lambda x}) \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx \\ &= 1 - \int_0^{\infty} \lambda e^{-2\lambda x} dx - \int_0^{\infty} \lambda^2 x e^{-2\lambda x} dx \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

## 6 Problem 6

The eigenvalues  $Y_1, Y_2$  are the roots of the following equation,

$$(\lambda - X_1)(\lambda - X_2) = X_3^2 \quad (28)$$

### Part 1

First, let  $U = \frac{X_1 + X_2}{2}$ ,  $V = \frac{X_1 - X_2}{2}$ , we prove that  $U, V \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, 1)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{U,V}(u,v) &= f_{X_1,X_2}(x_1,x_2) \left| \frac{\partial(x_1,x_2)}{\partial(u,v)} \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi} e^{-\frac{x_1^2+x_2^2}{4}} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{u^2+v^2}{2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{v^2}{2}}
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

So  $U, V$  are independent and follow the standard Gaussian distribution.

## Part 2

By solving the equation 28 we have

$$\begin{cases} Y_1 + Y_2 = X_1 + X_2 = 2U \\ |Y_1 - Y_2| = 2\sqrt{\left(\frac{X_1 - X_2}{2}\right)^2 + X_3^2} = 2\sqrt{V^2 + X_3^2} \end{cases} \tag{30}$$

Denote  $Z_1 = \frac{Y_1+Y_2}{2}$ ,  $Z_2 = \frac{|Y_1-Y_2|}{2}$ , so  $Z_1 = U \sim N(0, 1)$ ,  $Z_2 = \sqrt{V^2 + X_3^2}$ .

Because  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  are independent,  $U, V, X_3$  are also independent,  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are independent. Because  $V$  and  $X_3$  are standard Gaussian random variables,  $Z_2 = \sqrt{V^2 + X_3^2} \sim \chi_2$  (also known as the Rayleigh distribution)

To derive  $f_{Z_2}(z)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{Z_2}(z) &= P(Z_2 \leq z) = P(X_3^2 + V^2 \leq z^2) = \iint_{x^2+y^2 \leq z^2} \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}} dx dy \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^z r e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} dr d\theta \\
&= \int_0^z r e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} dr \\
&= 1 - e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}}
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

And  $f_{Z_2}(z) = F'_{Z_2}(z) = z e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}}$ ,  $z \geq 0$

## Part 3

Assume  $Y_1 \geq Y_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{Y_1, Y_2}(y_1, y_2) &= f_{Z_1, Z_2}(z_1, z_2) \left| \frac{\partial(z_1, z_2)}{\partial(y_1, y_2)} \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{z_1^2}{2}} z_2 e^{-\frac{z_2^2}{2}} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & -0.5 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\
&= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi}} (y_1 - y_2) e^{-\frac{y_1^2 + y_2^2}{4}}, y_1 \geq y_2
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Symmetrically, when  $Y_1 \leq Y_2$ ,

$$f_{Y_1, Y_2}(y_1, y_2) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi}} (y_2 - y_1) e^{-\frac{y_1^2 + y_2^2}{4}}, y_1 \leq y_2 \tag{33}$$

So, the joint pdf of  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  is,

$$f_{Y_1, Y_2}(y_1, y_2) \propto |y_1 - y_2| e^{-\frac{y_1^2 + y_2^2}{4}} \tag{34}$$

By  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_{Y_1, Y_2}(y_1, y_2) dy_1 dy_2 = 1$

$$f_{Y_1, Y_2}(y_1, y_2) = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2\pi}} |y_1 - y_2| e^{-\frac{y_1^2 + y_2^2}{4}} \tag{35}$$