## Assignment 2

CS289: Algorithmic Machine Learning, Spring 2022

Due: April 27, 10PM

## Guidelines for submitting the solutions:

- The assignments need to be submitted on Gradescope. Make sure you follow all the instructions they are simple enough that exceptions will not be accepted.
- Start each problem or sub-problem on a separate page even if it means having a lot of white-space and write/type in large font.
- The solutions need to be submitted by 10 PM on the due date. No late submissions will be accepted.
- Please adhere to the code of conduct outlined on the class page.
- 1. Consider the hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$  consisting of functions  $f:\{0,1\}^d \to \{0,1\}$  of the form  $AND_S(x) = \wedge_{i \in S} x_i$  for subsets  $S \subseteq [d]$ . Now, suppose you are given as input (example, label) pairs  $(x^1, y_1), \ldots, (x^m, y_m), \ldots$ , where  $x^\ell \in \{0,1\}^d$  and  $y^\ell = AND_{S_*}(x^\ell)$  for some unknown non-empty  $S_*$ . Give an efficient (the algorithm should run time at most polynomial in d) online learning algorithm in the *mistake bounded* model. For full-credit your algorithm should run in polynomial time for each example, and must make at most a polynomial number of mistakes in its entire run-time (no matter how long). You don't have to prove that your algorithm works. [4 points]

Hint: Start with the full set of features and think of weeding out coordinates that you know cannot be in  $S_*$ .

2. Show that no deterministic algorithm can do better than a factor two approximation to the loss of the best expert for the learning with experts problem. That is, show that for any deterministic algorithm, there exists a sequence of losses where the algorithm is off by at least a factor of two from the performance of the best expert. [4 points]

Hint: You can come with an example even with just two experts.

3. The goal here is to solve a variant of the learning with experts setup where the experts incur losses that take values in [0,1] (instead of {0,1} as we did in class). Let us suppose that on each day, we are trying to guess some number between [0,1] (instead of just UP vs DOWN as in class) based on the guesses of the experts.

Concretely, consider a learning with experts setup where you have n experts  $E_1, \ldots, E_n$  and the interaction proceeds as follows:

- At the beginning of each day, the experts make a prediction which is a number between [0, 1].
- Our algorithm then makes a prediction which is also a number between [0,1].
- At the end of the day, the true number (for that day) is revealed to us and each expert and our algorithm incur a loss that is the absolute-value of the difference between their prediction and the true value.

Given  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , design a randomized algorithm whose expected total-loss after T days is at most  $(1 + \epsilon)\mathcal{A}_*(T) + (\ln n)/\epsilon$ , where  $\mathcal{A}_*(T)$  is the loss of the best-expert after T days. Give a complete proof that the algorithm achieves the above bound. [5 points]

Hint: You basically have to slightly change the multiplicative weights algorithm we did in class (in how you update the weights). The analysis also does not change much; you may use the following fact: For  $0 \le \epsilon \le 1/2$ ,  $(-\ln(1-\epsilon))/\epsilon \le (1+\epsilon)$ .

- 4. Let us now apply MWM to an online learning problem like the perceptron. Suppose we are given some examples  $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_t, y_t), \ldots$  in an online manner where  $x_i \in [-1, 1]^d$  and  $y_i \in \{1, -1\}$ . We know that  $y_i = sign(\langle w_*, x_i \rangle)$  where  $w_*$ , the unknown true coeffecient vector satisfies:
  - (a)  $w_*$  has non-negative coordinates with  $||w_*||_1 = 1$  (i.e., has sum of entries exactly 1).
  - (b) For all  $i, y_i \langle w_*, x_i \rangle > \gamma$ .

Use MWM to come up with an online learning algorithm where the number of mistakes (for any arbitrary sequence of points  $(x_i, y_i)$ ) after T days is  $O(\sqrt{T \log d}/\gamma)$ .

Hint: Try to keep things simple (the solution can be reasonably short). Note that there is no *learning with experts* problem here to start with! But we can define a thought experiment where the features of x correspond to experts. The entire problem and solution then comes down to defining a suitable (and perhaps somewhat magical) choice of losses for each day so that applying the regret bound for MWM (the more general version with losses in [0,1] as in the previous problem) gives you the claim above. You don't have to redo the analysis of MWM. [5 points]

(Remark: The second condition above on  $w_*$  is similar in spirit to the margin condition we had in analysis of perceptron **but** is different. The normalizations are different - the x vectors are not set to be on the unit sphere any more. If you apply the perceptron algorithm, you end up with a guarantee like  $O(d/\gamma^2)$  which could be much worse than the above if the dimension is big.)