- 1. The nonplanning method looks particularly poor in Figure 8.3 because it is a one-step method; a method using multi-step bootstrapping would do better. Do you think one of the multi-step bootstrapping methods from Chapter 7 could do as well as the Dyna method? Explain why or why not.
  - I think it can come close. An n-step bootstrpping algorithm with big enough n (or a Monte Carlo method) would update at the end of the first episode all the action-values we encountered during the episode. I expect the policy based on these updated action-values to be quite good.
- 2. Why did the Dyna agent with exploration bonus, Dyna-Q+, perform better in the first phase as well as in the second phase of the blocking and shortcut experiments? At first, both algorithms might find an okay policy that is suboptimal. Due to the exploration, Dyna-Q+ will realize it sooner that it's a suboptimal policy.
- 3. Careful inspection of Figure 8.5 reveals that the difference between Dyna-Q+ and Dyna-Q narrowed slightly over the first part of the experiment. What is the reason for this?
  - After finding the optimal path, Dyna-Q always uses that path, whereas Dyna-Q+does some exploration from time to time.