1. We have not explicitly considered or given pseudocode for any Monte Carlo methods in this chapter. What would they be like? Why is it reasonable not to give pseudocode for them? How would they perform on the Mountain Car task?

The Monte Carlo is basically n-step Sarsa with n = T. I expect it to perform poorly on the Mountain Car example: until it doesn't reach the goal, it doesn't learn anything, so the first episode might be really long. As opposed to this, n-step Sarsa tries new actions after it sees that the previous actions didn't lead to the end of the episode, so it will get to the goal line eventually.

2. Give pseudocode for semi-gradient one-step Expected Sarsa for control.

Algorithm 1 Semi-gradient expected Sarsa for control

```
Inputs: a differentiable action-value function parameterization q: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}
Algorithm parameters: steps size \alpha > 0, \epsilon > 0
Initialize value-function weights w \in \mathbb{R}^d arbitrarily
for each episode do
   Initialize S_0 non-terminal state.
   for t = 0, 1, 2... do
       Take action A_t according to the \epsilon-greedy policy w.r.t.
       Observe reward R_{t+1} and next state S_{t+1}.
       if S_{t+1} is terminal then
          w \leftarrow w + \alpha R_{t+1} \nabla \hat{q}(S_t, A_t, w)
          go to next episode
          w \leftarrow w + \alpha \Big( R_{t+1} + \sum_{a} \pi(a|S_{t+1}) \hat{q}(S_{t+1}, a, w) - \hat{q}(S_t, A_t, w) \Big) \nabla \hat{q}(S_t, A_t, w), \text{ where }
\pi \text{ is the } \epsilon\text{-greedy policy w.r.t. } \hat{q}(S_0, \cdot, w)
          S_t \leftarrow S_{t+1}
       end if
   end for
end for
```