### EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF SCIENCE

### Hanna Gábor

# Super-duper Thesis Title

Diploma Thesis

Supervisor:

Kristóf Bérczi Department of Operations Research



 $Budapest,\,2018$ 

# Contents

1 Coupon coloring in planar graphs					
	1.1	Complexity for arbitrary graphs	4		
	1.2	Degree restrictions for arbitrary graphs	5		
	1.3	Observations for planar graphs	6		
	1.4	Outerplanar and triangulated Hamiltonian graphs	7		
2	2 Restricted 2-factors				
	2.1	Connection	9		
	2.2	Barnette's conjecture	9		

# Introduction

...

# Chapter 1

# Coupon coloring in planar graphs

In this chapter we will examine the so-called total domatic number of graphs, especially in the case of triangulated planar graphs.

Let G = (V, E) be a graph without isolated vertices.

**Definition 1.0.1.**  $S \subseteq V$  is a total dominating set if every vertex has a neighbor in S. The total domatic number of G is the maximum number of disjoint total dominating sets.

Sometimes it is more convenient to look at total dominating sets as color classes.

**Definition 1.0.2.** A coloring of the vertices is called a k-coupon coloring if every vertex has a neighbor from each color class. The coupon coloring number of G is the maximum k for which a k-coupon coloring exists. The coupon coloring number is denoted by  $\chi_c(G)$ .

### 1.1 Complexity for arbitrary graphs

It turns out that determining the total domatic number (or equivalently the coupon coloring number) of a graph is rather hard. Even determining if the total domatic number of a graph is at least 2. We will show that NAE-3SAT is reducible to this question.

**Definition 1.1.1.** *NAE-3SAT* ...

**Theorem 1.1.1.** *NAE-3SAT is NP-complete.* 

Proof. ...

**Theorem 1.1.2.** It is NP-complete to decide whether the total domatic number of a graph is at least 2.

*Proof.* Given a partition of the vertices into 2 sets, it can be checked in polynomial time whether these sets are total dominating sets. So the problem is a member of NP.

For proving NP-completeness, we will show that NAE-3SAT is reducible to this problem in polynomial time. Let C be the set of clauses and X be the set of variables in an instance of NAE-3SAT. We can assume that every variable x appears in at least one clause. Otherwise we add a new clause containing x and  $\bar{x}$  to the formula. Now we construct the corresponding graph G. For each variable x, introduce 3 vertices  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ , and 2 edges  $x_1x_2, x_2x_3$ . For each clause c, introduce a vertex c. If x is a literal in c, then add the edge  $cx_1$  to the graph. If  $\bar{x}$  is a literal in c, then add the edge  $cx_3$ .

Suppose G has a partition into 2 disjoint total dominating sets: T and F. Assign the value true for each variable x with  $x_1 \in T$  and assign the value false otherwise. For any variable x,  $x_1$  and  $x_3$  are the only neighbors of  $x_2$ , so  $x_1$  and  $x_3$  must be in different sets of the partition. If c is a vertex corresponding to a clause, then it must have neighbors both in C and C and so the literals in C cannot be all true nor false.

Suppose now that the variables have a truth assignment such that each clause contains both true and false literals. Define T and F as follows. Put all the vertices corresponding to clauses into T. For each variable x put  $x_2$  into F. Furthermore, if true was assigned to x, then put  $x_1$  into T,  $x_3$  into F, and conversely otherwise.

Let us note that the constructed graph in the proof is always a bipartite graph.

Corollary 1.1.1. It is NP-complete to decide whether the total domatic number of a bipartite graph is at least 2.

#### 1.2 Degree restrictions for arbitrary graphs

(remove???)

A natural question is whether graphs with an appropriately big minimum degree always have a total domatic number of at least 2.

**Theorem 1.2.1.** For every d there exists a graph with minimum degree d and without 2 disjoint total dominating sets.

Proof. ...

...Other degree stuff (k-regular, maxdeg-mindeg small enough)...

### 1.3 Observations for planar graphs

From now on we will focus on 2-coupon colorings and planar graphs. A conjecture of Goddard and Henning is the following.

Conjecture 1.3.1. If G is a simple triangulated planar graph of order at least 4, then the total domatic number of G is at least 2.

**Remark 1.3.1.** The simplicity of the graph is necessary. Suppose the graph on figure 1.1 has a 2-coupon coloring. Then A and C must have different colors, because they are the only neighbors of B. Similarly, C and E must have different colors, as well as E and A. That is a contradiction, since A, C and E form a triangular.




Figure 1.1: Simplicity is necessary

Remark 1.3.2. Allowing triangulated disks (i.e. planar graphs with at most one face greater than 3), the conjecture does not hold. For example, the graph on figure 1.2 does not have a 2-coupon coloring from similar reasons as the previous one. We will show later that this graph is a member of a bigger graph family without 2 disjoint dominating sets.

There are some sufficient conditions known for having a total domatic number of at least 2. We will cover some of them along the way. The first example is a graph family for which an easy induction shows that they are 2-coupon colorable.

**Definition 1.3.1.** A graph is called a stacked graph if it can be constructed from a triangle by repeatedly putting a new vertex in a face and connecting it with the vertices on the boundary of that face.




Figure 1.2: The conjecture does not hold for triangulated disks

Remark 1.3.3. Stacked graphs are triangulated.

Claim 1.3.1. Stacked graphs with at least 4 vertices are 2-coupon colorable.

*Proof.* We can determine the colors of the vertices as the graph is constructed. The current coloring will maintain two following two properties.

- 1. It is a 2-coupon coloring of the current graph.
- 2. Every face has vertices from both color classes.

The construction of the graph starts with a simple triangle. Color two vertices of the triangle to blue, and the remaining vertex to red. Color the vertex added to the graph in the first step to red. This coloring has the desired properties. When a vertex is inserted into a face, color the new vertex to red, if there is only one red vertex on the face's boundary, and blue otherwise. This trivially maintains the desired properties.

#### 1.4 Outerplanar and triangulated Hamiltonian graphs

Zoltán Lóránt Nagy showed that the conjecture of Goddard and Henning holds for Hamiltonian graphs. For this, he characterized the 2-coupon colorable maximal outerplanar graphs.

**Definition 1.4.1.** A graph is outerplanar if it has a planar drawing for which all vertices belong to the outer face. A maximal outerplanar graph is an outerplanar graph such that adding any edge results in a not outerplanar graphs.

In order to provide this characterization we need to introduce a few notions first.

**Definition 1.4.2.** Let G be a maximal outerplanar graph of order  $n \geq 3$ . The M(G) sun graph of G is obtained by gluing a triangle to each edge of the outer face.

**Remark 1.4.1.** M(G) is a maximal outerplanar graph with 2n vertices, from which n has degree 2. The graph on figure 1.2 is the sun graph of the BDE triangle.

**Definition 1.4.3.** A vertex v of a maximal outerplanar graph is called a central vertex if the following 3 conditions hold.

- 1. deq(v) > 3
- 2. Every neighbor of v has degree at least 3
- 3. For every u, w neighbors of v the length of the uw path on the outer face not containing v is divisible by 4.

**Definition 1.4.4.** A generalized sun graph is a maximal planar graph of order  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  such that the number of degree 2 vertices plus the number of central vertices is half of the graph's order.

**Lemma 1.4.1.** The outer face of a maximal outerplanar graph has a chord

**Theorem 1.4.1.** Let G be a maximal outerplanar graph. G admits 2 disjoint total dominating sets if and only if G is not a generalized sun graph.

*Proof.* Let G be a generalized sun graph. ...

**Theorem 1.4.2.** Every triangulated graph with a Hamiltonian circle admits 2 disjoint dominating sets.

*Proof.* Let G be a triangulated Hamiltonian graph and let n denote the number of vertices in G. If  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then it is easy to find a 2-coupon coloring: color the vertices along the boundary of the outer face by repeating the pattern BBWW.

If  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then the same coloring method almost works. There is only 1 vertex that has 2 black neighbors on the outer face.

(Hypergraph connection???)

# Chapter 2

## Restricted 2-factors

#### 2.1 Connection

**Theorem 2.1.1.** Let G be a triangulated planar graph. If all the vertices of G have an odd degree, then there exists a coupon coloring with 2 colors.

Proof. ...

### 2.2 Barnette's conjecture

Conjecture 2.2.1. Every 3-connected cubic planar bipartite graph is Hamiltonian.