#### Group 1

- Why do we need the data?
- What is the potential weaponization of the data?
  - Being used by law enforcement, etc.
- How do you share with the community?
  - Consultation at the end vs. sharing the code book and asking if it makes sense from the community
  - The community members should be involved in the research process paid for their time

## Group 2

- Feeling of violation when a community is studied, even if they are putting out information for the public
- Participatory vs. observation based research
  - This changes how people feel violated
- Scale helps prevent against feelings of violation
  - Analyzing results from 100 people vs. 1,000,000,000 contributes to better anonymization

### Group 3

- Tradeoff between the data we want to collect and how to keep it private
- Can you put private information such as the income when you make the data public for others to see?
  - Hard to make data public when you have sensitive information
- Cost and benefit analysis
  - Costs for the researchers, benefits to the community what is the tradeoff here?

# Group 4

- Looking at the data in aggregate so that you are not pinpointing any one individual
  - However, this could generalize different minority groups, overshadow important nuances, potentially masking the experiences of minority or underrepresented groups
- Important to note these are children being studied

### Group 5

- People have preconceived notions about what they think the results should look like
  - Need to mitigate as researchers your ideas about what the results should be going in/what you want them to be
- What are the unintended consequences? this is hard to wrap our heads around

**Question:** The research is about mental health online and self care, so why did we only focus on the aspects of harm in our discussions? This is why we need to focus on interactions with the community and having open discourse with the community.

What happens if social media data that is already in your data set suddenly is made private online?

How do you handle it if you discover a group is using strategies that you find concerning as a human being, not as a researcher?