

# 1 Introduction

## 2 Image Preprocessing

### 2.1 Convolution

For two continuous functions  $f$  and  $g$ , the convolution is defined as

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\tau)f(x - \tau)d\tau \quad \text{being commutative, associative and linear.}$$

### 2.2 Fourier Transform

The Fourier transform of  $f$  is given by:

$$\hat{f}(k) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{-2\pi i k x} dx \quad \text{With the inverse Fourier transform:}$$

$$f(x) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k)e^{2\pi i k x} dk$$

### 2.3 Impulse Functions

Dirac impulse:  $\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ \infty & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$  with  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x)dx = 1$

And a series of Dirac impulses:  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x - nT)$

### 2.4 Nyquist Sampling Theorem

If  $f$  is band bounded with cutoff frequency  $k_0$   $\hat{f}(k) = 0$  for all  $k$  with  $|k| \geq k_0$   
then it is completely determined by giving its ordinates at a series of points spaced at most  $\frac{1}{2k_0}$   
meaning the sample frequency must be larger than  $2k_0$

## 2.5 Quantisation

Characteristic with equidistant steps of size  $\Delta$

$$g(x) = \max\{0, \min\{g_{\max}, \left\lfloor \frac{I(x)}{\Delta} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor\}\}$$

$$h(x) = \Delta g(x) - \frac{\Delta}{2}$$

yielding an error of non-overdriven quantiser:

$$I(x) - h(x) \in \left[-\frac{\Delta}{2}, \frac{\Delta}{2}\right]$$

## 2.6 Gamma Correction

$$g_{out} = g_{max} \left( \frac{g_{in}}{g_{max}} \right)^\gamma$$
 which keeps black and white, is a nonlinear transformation

## 2.7 Models of Blur

Blur can be modeled with convolution

$$g_{blurred} = g_{sharp} * p$$
 with  $p$  modeling the blur

$$p_{motion}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } -n < x \leq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 along x-axis by  $n$  pixels

$$p_{Gauss}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2}{\sigma^2}}$$

## 2.8 Wiener Deconvolution

Restore a sharp image from a blurred image with a Wiener filter:  $g_{blurred} = g_{sharp} * p + v$  with  $p$  being a point-spread function (blur),  $v$  being pixel noise and assuming  $g_{sharp}$  and  $v$  being independent.

With  $g_{restored} = f * g_{blurred}$ , find optimal  $f$  that minimizes

$$e(k) = E \left[ |\hat{g}_{sharp}(k) - \hat{g}_{restored}(k)|^2 \right]$$
 with  $E$  being the expectation value.

## 2.9 Models of Noise

Statistical noise:  $g_{noisy}(x, y) = g_{sharp}(x, y) + v(x, y)$

Malfunctioning sensors:  $g_{noisy}(x, y) = \begin{cases} g_{sharp}(x, y) & \text{with probability } p \\ \text{arbitrary} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

## 3 Edge-Detection

### 3.1 Finding Edges

Approximating a derivative by difference:  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \approx \frac{g(x+1) - g(x-1)}{2}$

### 3.2 Laplace Operator

The 2D analogon to the second order derivatie is the Laplace operator:

$$\nabla^2 g = \frac{\partial^2 g}{(\partial x)^2} + \frac{\partial^2 g}{(\partial y)^2} \text{ which can be apprximated with}$$

$$\nabla^2 g \approx g(x+1, y) + g(x-1, y) + g(x, y+1) + g(x, y-1) - 4g(x, y)$$

Because the second order derivative is very noisy, so combine Laplacian with Gaussian smoothing:

$$\nabla^2 (G * g) = (\nabla^2 G) * g \text{ which yields}$$

$$\nabla^2 G = \frac{x^2 + y^2 - 2\sigma^2}{\sigma^4} G(x, y) \text{ called Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG) or Mexican Hat}$$

The Laplacian of Gaussian can be approximated by calculating the Difference of Gaussian (DoG)

$$DoG(x, y) = G_{\sigma_1}(x, y) - G_{\sigma_2}(x, y)$$

### 3.3 Corner Detection

Using dissimilarity measure to find patches of maximal dissimilarity for local moves:

$$d := \sum_{(u,v) \in rectangle} (g(u + \Delta u, v + \Delta v) - g(u, v))^2 \approx \begin{pmatrix} \Delta u \\ \Delta v \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \sum (\frac{\partial g}{\partial u})^2 & \sum \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} \frac{\partial g}{\partial v} \\ \sum \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} \frac{\partial g}{\partial v} & \sum (\frac{\partial g}{\partial v})^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta u \\ \Delta v \end{pmatrix}$$

For special choice of coordinate system it becomes a diagonal matrix:

$$d := \begin{pmatrix} \Delta u \\ \Delta v \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta u \\ \Delta v \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_1 (\Delta u)^2 + \lambda_2 (\Delta v)^2 \text{ w.l.o.g. } \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq 0$$

# 4 Curve Fitting

## 4.1 2D Geometry of Lines

Line in normal form:  $0 = \langle \vec{n}, \vec{x} \rangle + c$  so the distance of a point  $\vec{r}$  from the line:

$$d = \|\vec{l} - \vec{r}\| = |\langle \vec{n}, \vec{r} \rangle + c|$$

## 4.2 Hough Transform

Every line in 2D can be represented as:  $x \cos \phi + y \sin \phi + c = 0$  so there is a 2D space of all line represented by  $(\phi, c)$  called Hough Space.

## 4.3 Total Least Squares

To estimate a line through a set of points we need to search the line parameters that minimise  $d_i$

$$\text{minimise } \sum_{i=1}^N d_i^2 \text{ subject to } \langle \vec{n}, \vec{n} \rangle = 1 \quad \text{Using the Lagrange function:}$$

$$L(\vec{n}, c, \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 - \lambda(\langle \vec{n}, \vec{n} \rangle - 1) \quad \text{then zeroing the partial derivative with respect to}$$

$c$  and then to  $n$ , we can arrive at

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \vec{n} = \lambda \vec{n} \quad \text{hence } \lambda \text{ is Eigenvalue and } \vec{n} \text{ is Eigenvector. We get two solutions}$$

of Eigenvalue Problem:  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq 0$  where  $\lambda_2$  minimises distances and  $\lambda_1$  maximises distances

## 4.4 Weighted Least Squares

Because of outliers introduce weights  $w_i \geq 0$ , one for each edge point, then solve:

$$\text{minimise } \sum_{i=1}^N w_i d_i^2 \text{ subject to } \langle \vec{n}, \vec{n} \rangle = 1. \quad \text{But how to choose } w_i? \text{ Replace } d_i \text{ by a term}$$

that grows more slowly!

## 4.5 M-Estimators

M-Estimator means  $\boxed{\text{minimise } \sum_{i=1}^N \rho(d_i) \text{ subject to } \langle \vec{n}, \vec{n} \rangle = 1}$  with a suitable function  $\rho$

The derivatives of the Lagrange function of M-Estimators approach and Weighted Least Squares approach are equal if  $\boxed{w_i = \frac{\frac{\partial \rho(d_i)}{\partial d_i}}{2d_i}}$ . So running Weighted Least Squares with appropriate weights implements M-Estimators. E.g.:

$$\boxed{\rho_{Cauchy} : d \mapsto c^2 \log(1 + \frac{d^2}{c^2})}$$

$$\boxed{\rho_{Huber} : d \mapsto \begin{cases} d^2 & \text{if } |d| \leq k \\ 2k|d| - k^2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}}$$

## 4.6 Least Trimmed Sum of Squares Estimator (LTS)

$\boxed{\text{minimise } \sum_{i=1}^p d_{i:N} \text{ subject to } \langle \vec{n}, \vec{n} \rangle = 1}$  with  $p < N$  and  $d_{i:N}$  the i-th element in the ordered list of point-distances.  $p$  is typically given as a percentage of  $N$ .

## 4.7 RANSAC

Search a line that passes nearby as many points as possible.

$$\boxed{\text{minimise } \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma(d_i) \text{ with } \sigma(d_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |d_i| \leq \theta \\ 1 & \text{if } |d_i| > \theta \end{cases}}$$

## 4.8 Estimating Circles

Parametric representation of circles:

$$\boxed{(x - m_1)^2 + (y - m_2)^2 - r^2 = 0}$$

Euclidean distance of point (x,y) from the circle:

$$\boxed{d_E = \left| \sqrt{(x - m_1)^2 + (y - m_2)^2} - r \right|}$$

Algebraic distance:

$\boxed{d_A = |(x - m_1)^2 + (y - m_2)^2 - r^2|}$  Because minimising the Euclidean distance cannot be solved analytically, minimize the sum of algebraic distances. Use a weighted approach, chose  $w_i$  so that we implement Euclidean by using weighted Algebraic.

## 5 Color

# 6 Segmentation

## 6.1 Level Set Evolution

## 6.2 Mumford-Shah

Shrink contour if  $(I - C_{in})^2 > (I - C_{out})^2$

Expand contour if  $(I - C_{in})^2 < (I - C_{out})^2$

Mumford-Shah based segmentation:

$$\frac{\partial \vec{x}}{\partial t} = \frac{\nabla \phi}{\|\nabla \phi\|} (C + P - \lambda_1 (I - C_{in})^2 + \lambda_2 (I - C_{out})^2)$$