Advanced Applied Econometrics
Static Discrete Choice

Peter Haan

7 June 2024

Plan for today

- Structural econometrics
- Examples of a structural model
- Practical session,
- Python and example with Max Blesch

What is structural econometrics?

What is structural econometrics?

What is structural econometrics?

Structural econometric models...

- "...combine explicit **economic theories with statistical models**" (Reiss and Wolak, 2007)

- "...[infer] underlying parameters that represent **tastes, technology, and other primitives** from observations of individual households and firms..." (Adda and Cooper, 2003)

- ... estimate **features of a data generating process** (i.e., a model) that are (assumed to be) invariant to the policy changes or other counterfactuals of interest (Haile, 2022)

Descriptive vs structural analyses

- Descriptive analysis: establish facts about observable quantities
- RCT
- Policy evaluation
- Economic model

Program Evaluation

Haile (2022):

- Program evaluation (indeed, any type of so-called "causal inference" is always a form of structural estimation. It requires a set of maintained hypotheses about the world (i.e., a model) allowing one to define and identify a counterfactual quantity of interest.

- TT, ATE, LATE, QTE, etc. are all precisely defined only under a well specified model of how the data are being generated. Any suggestion that these objects are **"model free"** is nonsense.

- Causality is always defined by a counterfactual.

Peter Haan

Reduced form vs structural

Haile (2022):

- "Reduced form" is sometimes used to mean "equation I won't derive, justify, or take questions on, but which I will nonetheless treat as causal when I talk about conclusions. **This is just bad science.**"

Other views and definitions by Rust (2010), thoughtful (and spiteful) comments on Keane (2013) in Fritjers (2013) vs Rust (2013).

An Introductory Example

How much revenue raised by **introducing income taxes**?

Simple answers?

Effects of tax change I

How much **additional revenue (AR)**

1. AR=new tax rate * wage * number of hours worked
2. AR=new tax rate * wage * **hours worked post tax**

How many hours worked post tax?

Peter Haan

Effects of tax change II

How many hours worked post tax?

- estimate **observed corr between wages and hours**
- **interpret as L^S-elasticity**?

Effects of tax change III

using theory... why might **number of hours worked change**?

1. **hours margin**: change in hours for (pre- & post-) workers

2. **participation margin**: change in participation pre vs. post-tax:

Peter Haan

Effects of tax change IV

3 **life-cycle** margin:
   changing taxes may influence optimal allocation of work
   over life
   (eg. if progressivity is increased...)

4 **wage** margin: **equilibrium (gross) wage may change**

Conclude: Predicting reform effect (AR) requires structural
model. But: Model choice depends on **margin** and
identification.

van Soest (1995): Structural Models of Family Labor Supply: A discrete choice approach

Main contributions of paper ?

Main contributions of paper

1. Model labour supply as **discrete choice problem**
2. Taking account of couples' **joint decision problem**
3. Include (more) realistic description of **tax system**
4. Implement **unobservables** into decision problem

Structural Econometrics
00000

Example: Tax & Labour
00000

Static model
00●0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000

Model Structure

Assumptions, Alternatives? Atheoretic answers?

Peter Haan

Structure of model

Assumptions, Alternatives? Atheoretic answers?

1. hh **utility max**imization
2. specific structure of preferences
3. **discrete** choice framework
4. **static** model structure
5. labour **supply** focus

What alternatives to (1)?

Peter Haan

Structure (1): Household u-max

Alternatives to **household utility maximization**

- **multiple decision-makers**: **collective model** (can be cooperative)
- incorporate **household formation**
- usual caveats re. **utility-max**imizing behaviour

Structure of model

Assumptions, Alternatives? Atheoretic answers?

1. hh **utility max**imization
2. specific structure of preferences
3. **discrete** choice framework
4. **static** model structure
5. labour **supply** focus

What assumptions on preferences (2)?

Peter Haan

Structure (2): Preferences

Couples' utility depends on income (y) and leisure (l_f, l_m).
How?

Structure (2): Preferences II

Couple utility depends on income (y) and leisure ($l_f \& l_m$).

$$(1) \quad U(v) = v'A\,v + b'v$$

Ensuring global maximum => FOC and SOC on u-function
((3),(4)), e.g.

$$(4) \quad 2\,(\alpha_{11}\,\log y + \alpha_{21}\,\log l_m + \alpha_{31}\,\log l_f) + \beta_1 > 0$$

Alternative preferences ?

Peter Haan

Structure of model

Assumptions, Alternatives? Atheoretic answers?

1. hh **utility max**imization
2. specific structure of preferences
3. **discrete** choice framework
4. **static** model structure
5. labour **supply** focus

What assumptions on discrete choice (3)?

Structure (3): Discrete choice framework

Couples **max** U **among** $j \in \{1, ..., NH\}$ **alternatives**

$$(5) \quad U_j = U(y_j, lm_j, lf_j) + \varepsilon_j$$

where ε_j is $EV(1)$ (**Logit**) such that

$$(6) \quad Pr[U_j > U_{k \neq j}] = \frac{exp(U(y_j, lm_j, lf_j))}{\sum_{k=1}^{m} exp(U(y_k, lm_k, lf_k))}$$

What are errors ε_j?

Structure of model

Assumptions, Alternatives? Atheoretic answers?

1. hh **utility max**imization
2. specific structure of preferences
3. **discrete** choice framework
4. **static** model structure
5. labour **supply** focus

What assumptions on static nature (4)?

Structure (4): Static framework

Only **contemporaneous** variables affect choices - alternatives?

Peter Haan

Structure (4): Static framework II

How might **future and past influence labour supply**?

1. Unemployment **insurance payments are not static**
2. The future is **uncertain**

How might these affect choices?

Structure (4): Static framework III

Unemployment insuranace payments are not static

- short spells of unemp attractive for high-earners
- dynamic effect interacts with wage
- can this be addressed by FC of working ?

$$Pr[U(w, l) > U(b + b(t), l)]$$
$$\Leftrightarrow$$
$$Pr[U(w - FC, l) > U(b, l)]$$

Peter Haan

Structure (4): Static framework IV

The future is **uncertain**

- **risk averse individuals save** to smooth utility
- **income can be saved, leisure not**

Structure of model

Assumptions, Alternatives? Atheoretic answers?

1. hh **utility max**imization
2. specific structure of preferences
3. **discrete** choice framework
4. **static** model structure
5. labour **supply** focus

What assumptions on labour market (5)?

Structure (5): Labour supply focus

Only labour supply modelled here - alternatives?

Structure (5): Labour supply focus II

Only labour **supply** modelled here - alternatives?

1. labour demand
2. **tax demand**

How might these **influence policy evaluations** such as those from the problem set?

Structure (5): Labour supply focus III

Labour demand
Taxes may change equilibrium wage in imperfect competition

Tax demand

- **Legal savings/debt restrictions** of German social security system
- $T(.)$ **as function of employment** (hours worked in economy)
- feedback of hours to parts of tax system

Peter Haan

van Soest ('95): Missing Wages

Wages missing for non-workers: So what?

Peter Haan

Missing Wages II

Solutions to unobserved wages

1. **impute wages** based on observables (exploit 1st moment)

$$(10) \quad L = F_{job}(Wbm^e(Z), Wbf^e(Z), X)$$

where $Wbf^e(Z) = exp\left(Z_f'\hat{\pi}_f\right)$... where does $\hat{\pi}$ come from?

2. integrate over wage distribution **(1st & 2nd moments)**

3. proposed practical implementation (relate (10) to (11)).

$$(10) \quad L = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty F_{job}(Wbm, Wbf, X)p(Wbm, Wbf) \, dWbm \, dWbf$$

$$(11) \quad L = \frac{1}{R} \sum F_{job}(Wbm_r, Wbf_r, X)$$

Peter Haan

Missing Wages III

Integrating over predicted wage distribution:
What problem does it solve, and **what does it not solve**?

van Soest ('95): Why not more part time?

Standard model does not fit data: PT overpredicted

Why?

Peter Haan

Hours restrictions

Standard model does not fit data: PT overpredicted

1. **taste for diversity** in utility function (where?)
2. full-time work and/or unemp't more attractive than modelled (**UI neglected**)
3. labour demand **not continuous in hours** - $w = w(h)$ (**"hours restrictions"**)

How include this **labour demand effect**?

Peter Haan

Identification of hours restrictions

Add cost-of-PT-parameter (to be estimated), due to

1 **wage discrimination**

2 **search cost**

3 (other) non-monetary cost

Can we discriminate between these?

Hours restrictions

1. Differentiate wage discrimination from search cost:
   Observe **different wage rates of PT and FT workers**. Let
   $w = w(h)$.

2. Differentiate search cost from (other) non-monetary cost ?
   In panel data, **use duration in unemployment**
   $(\lambda = \lambda(PT))$ (cf. prediction of Harris-Todaro model).

Any concerns about **ad-hoc "cost of PT"-parameter**?

Peter Haan

Cost-of-PT and mechanism design

Is new parameter **structural, i.e. policy-invariant**?

Counter example:

- Assume **FC of setting up contract** (specific hours)
- $\Leftrightarrow$ incentive for firms to **design desired contracts**
- search costs **higher for less popular contracts**
- if optimal hours change, **changed incentives for firms to offer contracts**
- cost of PT **parameter changes after tax change**

cf. general critique in Rust (2013)

Peter Haan

Hours restrictions: Results

Results $\Rightarrow$ Model with hours restrictions...

1. better **fit of model** - how?
2. **reduces wage-elasticity** of labour supply - how?

Peter Haan

Hours restrictions: Results (II)

Lower estimated elasticity with restrictions:

- people reacting less than desired due to restrictions

Peter Haan

Heterogeneity

What is heterogeneity & why does it matter ?

Peter Haan

Heterogeneity & sampling errors

Is **alternative-specific error** ε_j a sampling error?

- sample is choice (**modelled as** $U_j - U_k$)
- iid $\Rightarrow$ **independence of "irrelevant" alternatives**
- not sampling, rather **appreciation error**

How to include **heterogeneous preferences over choices**?

Peter Haan

Preference heterogeneity: observed characteristics

Step 1 - include **interaction with observed chars**

$$(2) \quad \beta_{lf} = \sum_k \beta_{lm\,k} x_k$$

$$\alpha_{lm} = \sum_k \alpha_{lm\,k} x_k$$

- examples of x_k?
- identification?

Peter Haan

Structural Econometrics
00000

Example: Tax & Labour
00000

Static model
0000000000000000000000000000000000000●000000000000

Preference heterogeneity: unobserved characteristics

Step 2 - include unobserved preference heterogeneity

$$(15) \quad \beta_{lm} = \sum_{k} \beta_{lm\,k}\, x_k + \eta_{lm}$$

- contrast to ε_j & interactions of β with x_k.
- **additive separable**
- random ($\equiv$ uncorrelated with observables - restriction ?)
- **identification ?**

Peter Haan

Preference heterogeneity: unobserved chars II

Relative idiosyncratic preferences of couples for *lm* and *lf* unaccounted for by (by construction, orthogonal to) differences in couples' observable characteristics

identification of variance of ζ

- $\Rightarrow$ allow joint errors of partners not to be iid
- Conditional on observables, *how much more similar are* obs from same couple than two other observations?

Unobserved preference heterogeneity: identificaiton

NO! only one observation per couple: hh is unit of analysis

- identification of variance of ζ **purely functional form**
- cf. unobservables in **linear vs. non-linear models**

$$w = Z' \, \pi + \varepsilon_w + \zeta_w$$
$$= Z' \, \pi + \varepsilon_w^*$$

$$Pr(i,j) = F_j(Wbm_i, Wbf_i, X_i)$$
$$= \frac{exp(v_{i,j}' A \, v_{i,j} + (b + \zeta_i)' v_{i,j})}{\sum_{k \neq j}^m exp(v_{i,k}' A \, v_{i,k} + (b + \zeta_i)' v_{i,k})}$$

Peter Haan

Estimation of van Soest ('95)

What estimation strategy?

Structural Econometrics   Example: Tax & Labour   Static model
00000   00000
0000000000000000000000000000000000000●00000000

Estimation of van Soest ('95)

First **estimation strategy**

1. Wage & participation estimated jointly.
2. Hours decision using predicted wage from first step

Why not estimate all together?

Estimation II

FIML expression (adapted from (17)):

$$
\begin{aligned}
L = &\sum^{N1} \ln \int \int \int \int F_{job}(Wbm^d, Wbf^d, X|\zeta_2^d, \zeta_3^d) \, p_\zeta(\zeta_2^d, \zeta_3^d) \\
&f_{\varepsilon_m}(Wbm^d - exp(Z_m'\pi)) \, f_{\varepsilon_f}(Wbf^d - exp(Z_f'\pi)) \, d\zeta_2^d \, d\zeta_3^d \, d\varepsilon_m^d \, d\varepsilon_f^d \\
&+ \sum^{N2} \ln \int \int F_{job}(Wbm, Wbf, X|\zeta_2^d, \zeta_3^d) \, p_\zeta(\zeta_2^d, \zeta_3^d) \\
&f_{\varepsilon_m}(Wbm - exp(Z_m'\pi)) \, f_{\varepsilon_f}(Wbf - exp(Z_f'\pi)) \, d\zeta_2^d \, d\zeta_3^d
\end{aligned}
$$

Peter Haan

Presenting results of van Soest ('95)

Where are **estimated labour suppply elasticities**?

Peter Haan

Presenting results of van Soest ('95)

Wage-**elasticity of labour supply**
Change in hours as result of change in wages.

1. take hh with **median chars**.
2. **vary wage rate** by 1%
3. apply **estimated coefficients**
4. by how many % do predicted hours change?

Standard errors?

Presenting results of van Soest ('95)

Standard errors of elasticities - see table 4 (p.80)

- elasticities: **non-linear function of parameters**
- **draw parameters (β) from asymptotic distrib**
- calculate elasticities for diff draws $\Rightarrow$ c.i.

What is **asymptotic distribution** of paras?
See Wooldridge ch.13, especially 13.5.

Any other comments? Were couple effects important?

Peter Haan

Coding

Principles

1. Use a **script** and **document your code**. Always.
2. There is **always** a solution. Not one.
3. One solution is **fast**,
4. another is **easy to read** & understand,
5. another is **flexible**.
6. You won't find any immediately. No matter. **Try again.**

Simulate to estimate

Good practice: Simulate before estimating. Why?

In practice: Simulation and Estimation

1. Simulate economic behaviour in model with your favourite parameters.
2. Generate simulated data.
3. Save the data. Forget the parameters.
4. Write the likelihood function as a function of data and parameters.
5. Maximize likelihood of observing your simulated data w.r.t. parameters.
6. Rediscover your favourite parameters.

Peter Haan