



# A note on wide $\tau$ -tilting modules and epibricks

Hanpeng Gao<sup>1</sup>

Accepted: 21 December 2024 / Published online: 26 May 2025

© Akadémiai Kiadó Zrt 2025

## Abstract

As a generalization of support  $\tau$ -tilting modules, H. Enomoto introduced the notion of wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules and established a bijection between wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules and doubly functorially finite ICE-closed subcategories, which extended Adachi-Iyama-Reiten's bijection on torsion classes. In this paper, we consider the relationship between wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules and some sets of bricks (named epibricks). In particular, we show that there is a bijection between wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules and epibricks for Nakayama algebras. As a consequence, we get a recurrence relation for the number of wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules over Nakayama algebras.

**Keywords** Wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules · ICE-closed subcategories · Epibricks · Nakayama algebras

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 16G10 · 16G20

## 1 Introduction

In 2014, Adachi, Iyama and Reiten[1] introduced  $\tau$ -tilting theory of finite dimensional algebras and showed that it is closely related to torsion theory, silting theory and cluster-tilting theory. In particular, the support  $\tau$ -tilting modules are in bijection with some important objects in representation theory including functorially finite torsion classes, left finite wide subcategories, 2-term silting complexes and so on.

Recently, Enomoto[7] studied ICE-closed subcategories which are closed under images, cokernels and extensions. Clearly, torsion classes and wide subcategories are ICE-closed. Moreover, it is shown that a subcategory is ICE-closed if and only if it is a sincere torsion class for some wide subcategory(see,[7, Corollary 2.5, Proposition 4.2]). Note that every functorially finite wide subcategory is equivalent to a module category, Enomoto and Sakai introduced the notion of wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules which are  $\tau$ -tilting modules over functorially finite wide subcategories. What's more, they also established a bijection between wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules and doubly functorially finite ICE-closed subcategories, which extended

---

✉ Hanpeng Gao  
hpgao07@163.com; hpgao@ahu.edu.cn

<sup>1</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, China

Adachi-Iyama-Reiten's bijection between support  $\tau$ -tilting modules and functorially finite torsion classes.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \{\text{wide } \tau\text{-tilting modules}\} \\
 \parallel \\
 \{\tau\text{-tilting modules over functorially finite wide subcategories}\} \\
 \downarrow \text{AIR's bijection} \\
 \{\text{sincere functorially finite torsion classes over functorially finite wide subcategories}\} \\
 \parallel \\
 \{\text{doubly functorially finite ICE-closed subcategories}\}.
 \end{array}$$

The  $\tau$ -tilting modules are exactly the tilting modules and the support  $\tau$ -tilting modules are exactly the support tilting modules for a hereditary algebra. For Dynkin type algebras, these numbers of tilting modules and support tilting modules were first calculated in [8] via cluster algebras, and later in [11] via representation theory. Enomoto[5] has shown that the wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules are exactly the rigid modules for a hereditary algebra and calculated the number of rigid modules over Dynkin type algebras. In particular, over a hereditary algebra of type  $\mathbb{A}_n$ , the number of rigid modules is the  $n$ -th large Schröder number  $LS_n = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{i+1} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n+i}{i}$ , where  $\binom{n}{i}$  denotes the binomial coefficient.

As generalizations of simple modules and semisimple modules, bricks and semibricks are considered and they have long been studied in [9, 12]. Asai proved that there exists a bijection between the set of support  $\tau$ -tilting modules and the set of left finite semibricks for any algebra(see [3, Theorem 2.3] ). In this article, we will establish a bijection between the set of wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules and the set of doubly finite epibricks(see, Definition 2.4) for a given algebra. In particular, it will be shown that there is a bijection between the set of wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules and the set of epibricks for Nakayama algebras. As a consequence, we get a recurrence relation for the number of wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules over Nakayama algebras. Our main results is as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $a_{n,r}$  (respectively  $b_{n,r}$ ) be the number of wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules of Nakayama algebras  $\Lambda_n^r := K\Lambda_n/\text{rad}^r$  (respectively  $\tilde{\Lambda}_n^r := K\tilde{\Lambda}_n/\text{rad}^r$ ). Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{n,r} &= 2a_{n-1,r} + \sum_{i=2}^r LS_{i-1} \cdot a_{n-i,r}. \\
 b_{n,r} &= 2b_{n-1,r} + \sum_{i=2}^r LS_{i-1} \cdot b_{n-i,r}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Throughout this paper, all algebras will be basic, connected, finite dimensional  $K$ -algebras over an algebraically closed field  $K$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra,  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  will be the category of finitely generated right  $\Lambda$ -modules and  $\tau$  the Auslander-Reiten translation of  $\Lambda$ . We also denote by  $|M|$  the number of pairwise nonisomorphic indecomposable summands of  $M$ ,  $l(M)$  the Loewy length of  $M$ , and  $\text{add } M$  the subcategory consisting of direct summands of finite direct sums of  $M$  for  $M \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ . Given an algebra  $\Lambda = KQ/I$ , let  $P_i$  be the indecomposable projective module,  $I_i$  the indecomposable injective module,  $S_i$  the simple module,  $e_i$  the primitive idempotent element of an algebra corresponding to the point  $i$ . For any  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we will denote by  $[i, j] = \{i, i+1, \dots, j\}$  if  $i \leq j$ . Otherwise,

$[i, j] = \emptyset$ . We also write  $e_{[i, j]} = e_i + e_{i+1} + \cdots + e_j$ . Finally, for a finite set  $X$ , we denote by  $\#X$  the cardinality of  $X$ .  $X_1 \coprod X_2$  stand for the disjoint union of sets  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ .

## 2 Wide $\tau$ -tilting modules and epibricks

Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra. In this section, we recall some results about wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules that are needed later.

Let  $M \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ .  $M$  is  $\tau$ -tilting if  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, \tau M) = 0$  and  $|M| = |\Lambda|$ .  $M$  is support  $\tau$ -tilting if it is a  $\tau$ -tilting  $\Lambda/\Lambda e \Lambda$ -module for some idempotent  $e$  of  $\Lambda$ . Enomoto showed that every functorially finite wide subcategory  $\mathcal{W}$  is equivalent to a module category (i.e., there is an algebra  $\Gamma$  such that  $\mathcal{W}$  is equivalent to  $\text{mod } \Gamma$ ), and then he introduced the definition of wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules as follows.

**Definition 2.1** ([7, Definition 4.11])

- (1) Given a functorially finite wide subcategory  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  and  $M \in \mathcal{W}$ , fix an equivalent  $F : \mathcal{W} \simeq \text{mod } \Gamma$ . We say  $M$  is  $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ -tilting if  $F(M)$  is a  $\tau$ -tilting  $\Gamma$ -module.
- (2) A  $\Lambda$ -module  $M$  is called *wide  $\tau$ -tilting* if there is a functorially finite wide subcategory  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  such that  $M$  is  $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ -tilting. The set of all wide  $\tau$ -tilting  $\Lambda$ -modules will be denoted by  $w\tau\text{-tilt } \Lambda$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a subcategory of  $\text{mod } \Lambda$ .  $\mathcal{C}$  is called ICE-closed if it is closed under images, cokernels and extensions. Both torsion classes and wide subcategories are ICE-closed. Moreover, it is shown that a subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  is ICE-closed if and only if there is a wide subcategory  $\mathcal{W}$  such that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a torsion class of  $\mathcal{W}$ . Enomoto called  $\mathcal{C}$  *doubly functorially finite* if there is a functorially finite wide subcategory  $\mathcal{W}$  such that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a functorially finite torsion class of  $\mathcal{W}$ . The set of all doubly functorially finite ICE-closed subcategories of  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  will be denoted by  $\text{df-ice } \Lambda$ . The following result extends Adachi-Iyama-Reiten's bijection between support  $\tau$ -tilting modules and functorially finite torsion classes.

**Theorem 2.2** ([7, Theorem 4.13]) *Let  $\Lambda$  be a finite dimensional algebra. Then there is a bijection:*

$$\text{w}\tau\text{-tilt } \Lambda \xrightleftharpoons[\quad P(-) \quad]{\text{cok}(-)} \text{df-ice } \Lambda$$

where  $\text{cok}(M)$  denotes the subcategory of  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  consisting of cokernels of morphisms in  $\text{add } M$ , and  $P(\mathcal{C})$  denotes the maximal Ext-projective object of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

A  $\Lambda$ -module  $S$  is said to be a brick if  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(S, S)$  is a  $K$ -division algebra. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a set of isomorphism classes of bricks in  $\text{mod } \Lambda$ .  $\mathcal{S}$  is called an *epibrick* if every morphism between elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  is zero or a surjection in  $\text{mod } \Lambda$ . We will denote by  $\text{ebrick } \Lambda$  the set of epibricks in  $\text{mod } \Lambda$ . A subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  is *right Schur* if it is closed under extensions and, for every simple object  $M$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , every morphism  $X \rightarrow M$  with  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  is zero or a surjection in  $\text{mod } \Lambda$ . We denote the set of right Schur subcategories of  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  by  $\text{Schur}_R \Lambda$ . In particular, every ICE-closed subcategory is right Schur. The following Lemma follows from the dual of [6, Theorem 2.11].

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a finite dimensional algebra. Then  $\text{Filt}(-)$  given a bijection between  $\text{ebrick } \Lambda$  and  $\text{Schur}_R \Lambda$  with inverse  $\text{Sim}(-)$ , where  $\text{Filt}(\mathcal{S})$  stand for the minimal Extension-closed subcategory which contains  $\mathcal{S}$  for  $\mathcal{S} \in \text{ebrick } \Lambda$  and  $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{C})$  stand for the set of all simple object of the right Schur subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

**Table 1**  $w\tau\text{-tilt } \Lambda$ ,  $df\text{-ice } \Lambda$  and  $df\text{-ebrick } \Lambda$ 

| $w\tau\text{-tilt } \Lambda$              | $df\text{-ice } \Lambda$                    | $df\text{-ebrick } \Lambda$ |
|---|---|-----------------------------|
| $1 \oplus \frac{2}{1} \oplus \frac{3}{2}$ | $\text{mod } \Lambda$                       | $\{1, 2, 3\}$               |
| $2 \oplus \frac{2}{1} \oplus \frac{3}{2}$ | $\text{add}\{\frac{2}{1}, \frac{3}{2}, 3\}$ | $\{2, \frac{2}{1}, 3\}$     |
| $1 \oplus \frac{3}{2} \oplus 3$           | $\text{add}\{\frac{1}{2}, 3\}$              | $\{1, \frac{3}{2}, 3\}$     |
| $1 \oplus \frac{2}{1}$                    | $\text{add}\{\frac{1}{1}, 2\}$              | $\{1, 2\}$                  |
| $2 \oplus \frac{3}{2}$                    | $\text{add}\{\frac{2}{2}, 3\}$              | $\{2, 3\}$                  |
| $\frac{3}{2} \oplus 3$                    | $\text{add}\{\frac{3}{2}, 3\}$              | $\{\frac{3}{2}, 3\}$        |
| $2 \oplus \frac{2}{1}$                    | $\text{add}\{\frac{2}{1}\}$                 | $\{2, \frac{2}{1}\}$        |
| $\frac{2}{1} \oplus 3$                    | $\text{add}\{\frac{2}{1}, 3\}$              | $\{\frac{2}{1}, 3\}$        |
| $\frac{3}{2} \oplus 1$                    | $\text{add}\{\frac{3}{2}, 1\}$              | $\{\frac{3}{2}, 1\}$        |
| $1 \oplus 3$                              | $\text{add}\{1, 3\}$                        | $\{1, 3\}$                  |
| $\frac{2}{1}$                             | $\text{add}\{\frac{2}{1}\}$                 | $\{\frac{2}{1}\}$           |
| $\frac{3}{2}$                             | $\text{add}\{\frac{3}{2}\}$                 | $\{\frac{3}{2}\}$           |
| $2$                                       | $\text{add}\{2\}$                           | $\{2\}$                     |
| $3$                                       | $\text{add}\{3\}$                           | $\{3\}$                     |
| $1$                                       | $\text{add}\{1\}$                           | $\{1\}$                     |
| $0$                                       | $\text{add}\{0\}$                           | $\{0\}$                     |

Therefore, if  $M \in w\tau\text{-tilt } \Lambda$ ,  $\text{cok}(M)$  is doubly functorially finite ICE-closed subcategory by Theorem 2.2 and hence  $\text{Sim}(\text{cok}(M))$  is an epibrick by Lemma 2.3.

**Definition 2.4** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be an epibrick in  $\text{mod } \Lambda$ . We say  $\mathcal{S}$  is *doubly finite* if  $\text{Filt}(\mathcal{S})$  is a doubly functorially finite ICE-closed subcategory. The set of all doubly finite epibricks in  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  will be denoted by  $df\text{-ebrick } \Lambda$ .

Now, we get the following result directly by Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.3.

**Theorem 2.5** *There is a bijection:*

$$w\tau\text{-tilt } \Lambda \xrightleftharpoons[P(\text{Filt}(-))]{\text{Sim}(\text{cok}(-))} df\text{-ebrick } \Lambda$$

**Example 2.6** Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra is given by the quiver  $3 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \xrightarrow{\beta} 1$  with the relation  $\alpha\beta = 0$ . We list  $w\tau\text{-tilt } \Lambda$ ,  $df\text{-ice } \Lambda$  and  $df\text{-ebrick } \Lambda$  in table 1.

For a given algebra  $\Lambda$ ,  $w\tau\text{-tilt } \Lambda$  may not be bijection to  $ebrick\Lambda$  even if  $\Lambda$  is a representation-finite algebra.

**Example 2.7** Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra whose quiver is  $1 \leftarrow 2 \rightarrow 3$ . Then  $\# w\tau\text{-tilt } \Lambda = 22$ , but  $\# ebrick\Lambda = 26$ . In fact, there are four epibricks  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{2, \frac{2}{1} 3\}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{2, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{1} 3\}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_3 = \{2, \frac{2}{1}, \frac{2}{1} 3\}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_4 = \{\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{1}, \frac{2}{1} 3\}$  are not doubly finite since  $\text{Filt}(\mathcal{S}_i)(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$  are right Schur not ICE-closed.

A finite dimensional algebra is Nakayama if and only if its quiver is one of the following

$$A_n : \quad 1 \longrightarrow 2 \longrightarrow 3 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow n$$

$$\widetilde{A}_n : \quad 1 \xleftarrow{\quad} 2 \longrightarrow 3 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow n$$

see [4, V.3.2]. Next, we will show that  $w\tau\text{-tilt } \Lambda$  is a bijection to  $\text{ebrick } \Lambda$  if  $\Lambda$  is a Nakayama algebra.

**Theorem 2.8** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a Nakayama algebra. Then there is a bijection:*

$$\text{w}\tau\text{-tilt } \Lambda \xrightleftharpoons[\substack{P(\text{Filt}(-))}]{} \text{ebrick } \Lambda$$

**Proof** [6, Theorem 6.1] stated that all left Schur subcategories are IKE-closed(i.e. closed under extensions, kernels and images) for Nakayama algebras. Now, considering the dual, we have all right Schur subcategories are ICE-closed and hence, all ebricks are doubly finite for Nakayama algebras. Now, the result follows from Theorem 2.5.  $\square$

### 3 On the number of wide $\tau$ -tilting modules over Nakayama algebras

Adachi[2] gave a recurrence relation for the number of  $\tau$ -tilting modules over algebras of type  $A_n$ . Asai [3] also gave a recurrence relation for the number of support  $\tau$ -tilting modules over algebras  $\Lambda_n^r := KA_n/\text{rad}^r$  and  $\widetilde{\Lambda}_n^r := K\widetilde{A}_n/\text{rad}^r$ . More recently, Gao and Schiffler[10] have extended the recurrence relations of Adachi to  $\tau$ -tilting modules over  $\widetilde{\Lambda}_n^r$ . Next, we will give the number of wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules by calculating the number of epibricks.

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a Nakayama algebra of type  $A_n$ . Then*

$$\#\text{w}\tau\text{-tilt } \Lambda = 2\#\text{w}\tau\text{-tilt}(\Lambda/\langle e_n \rangle) + \sum_{i=2}^{l(I_n)} LS_{i-1} \cdot \#\text{w}\tau\text{-tilt}(\Lambda/\langle e_{[n-i+1,n]} \rangle).$$

**Proof** Let  $S_{i,j}$  be the brick of  $\Lambda$  with  $\text{top } S_{i,j} = S_i$  and  $\text{soc } S_{i,j} = S_j$ .

Define  $W_0$  as the subset of  $\text{ebrick } \Lambda$  consisting of those epibricks without  $S_n$  as a composition factor. It is clear that  $\#W_0 = \text{ebrick}(\Lambda/\langle e_n \rangle)$ .

Let  $W_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, l(I_n))$  be the subset of  $\text{ebrick } \Lambda$  consisting of those epibricks which obtain the brick  $S_{n-i+1,n}$ .

First, there is a bijection  $W_1 \mapsto \text{ebrick}(\Lambda/\langle e_n \rangle)$  defined as  $\mathcal{S} \mapsto \mathcal{S} \setminus \{S_{n,n}\}$ . Hence,  $\#W_1 = \text{ebrick}(\Lambda/\langle e_n \rangle)$ .

Second, for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, l(I_n)$ , there exists a bijection

$$W_i \mapsto \text{ebrick}(\Lambda/\langle e_{[n-i+1,n]} \rangle) \times \text{ebrick}(\Lambda/\langle 1 - e_{[n-i+1,n-1]} \rangle)$$

given by  $\mathcal{S} \mapsto (\{S \in \mathcal{S} \mid \text{Supp } S \cap [n-i+1, n] = \emptyset\}, \{S \in \mathcal{S} \mid \text{Supp } S \subset [n-i+1, n-1]\})$

where  $\text{Supp } S$  stand for the support of  $S$ . Note that  $\text{ebrick } \Lambda = \bigcup_{i=0}^{l(I_n)} W_i$ , we obtain

$$\#\text{w}\tau\text{-tilt } \Lambda = \#\text{ebrick } \Lambda \quad (\text{by Theorem 2.8})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=0}^{l(I_n)} \#W_i \\ &= 2\#\text{ebrick}(\Lambda/\langle e_n \rangle) + \sum_{i=2}^{l(I_n)} \#\text{ebrick}(\Lambda/\langle e_{[n-i+1,n]} \rangle) \\ &\quad \cdot \#\text{ebrick}(\Lambda/\langle 1 - e_{[n-i+1,n-1]} \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2 \# \text{ebrick}(\Lambda / \langle e_n \rangle) + \sum_{i=2}^{l(I_n)} \# \text{ebrick}(\Lambda / \langle e_{[n-i+1, n]} \rangle) \\
&\quad \cdot \# \text{ebrick}(KA_{i-1}) \\
&= 2 \# \text{wt-tilt}(\Lambda / \langle e_n \rangle) + \sum_{i=2}^{l(I_n)} \# \text{wt-tilt}(\Lambda / \langle e_{[n-i+1, n]} \rangle) \\
&\quad \cdot \# \text{wt-tilt}(KA_{i-1}) \\
&= 2 \# \text{wt-tilt}(\Lambda / \langle e_n \rangle) + \sum_{i=2}^{l(I_n)} LS_{i-1} \cdot \# \text{wt-tilt}(\Lambda / \langle e_{[n-i+1, n]} \rangle).
\end{aligned}$$

□

In particular, let  $a_{n,r} := \# \text{wt-tilt } \Lambda_n^r$ , we have the following recurrence relation.

## Corollary 3.2

$$a_{n,r} = 2a_{n-1,r} + \sum_{i=2}^r LS_{i-1} \cdot a_{n-i,r}.$$

**Remark 3.3** In [5], Enomoto showed that the number of wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules over  $KA_n$  is the  $n$ -th large Schröder number  $LS_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i+1} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n+i}{i}$  by translating his problem to a combinatorial problem on a cluster complex. In fact, we can get the result directly by the proof of Proposition 3.1. Let  $a_n := \#\text{wt-tilt}(KA_n) = a_{n,n}$  and  $a_0 = 1$ . We have the recurrence relation:

$$a_n = 2a_{n-1} + \sum_{i=2}^n a_{i-1} \cdot a_{n-i} = a_{n-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \cdot a_{n-i-1}.$$

A direct calculation shows that the generating function of  $a_n$  is  $f(x) = \frac{1-x-\sqrt{x^2-6x+1}}{2x}$ , which implies  $a_n$  is the  $n$ -th large Schröder number  $LS_n$ .

Now, let  $b_{n,r} := \#\text{wt-tilt } \widetilde{\Lambda_n^r}$ . Note that  $M \in \text{mod } \widetilde{\Lambda_n^r}$  is a brick if and only if  $l(M) \leq n$ , we get  $b_{n,r} = b_{n,n}$  when  $r \geq n$ . Hence, we only need to calculate  $b_{n,r}$  for  $r \leq n$ .

### Lemma 3.4

$$b_{n,r} = 2a_{n-1,r} + \sum_{i=1}^r i \cdot LS_{i-1} \cdot a_{n-i,r}.$$

**Proof** Define  $V_0$  as the subset of  $\text{ebrick}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_n^r)$  consisting of the epibricks without  $S_n$  as a composition factor. It is clear that  $\#V_0 = \text{ebrick}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_n^r / \langle e_n \rangle) = a_{n-1,r}$ .

Define  $V_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ) as the subset of ebrick  $\widetilde{\Lambda}_n^r$  such that there is a brick with  $S_n$  as its composition factor and  $\max\{l(S) | S \in V_i, n \in \text{Supp } S\} = i$ .

Now, let  $V_{i,0}$  be the subset of  $V_i$  such that  $S_{n-i+1,n} \in V_{i,0}$  and  $V_{i,k}(k = 1, 2, \dots, i-1)$  be the subset of  $V_i$  such that  $S_{n-i+k+1,k} \in V_{i,k}$ , where

$$S_{n-i+1,n} = \begin{matrix} & n-i+1 \\ & \vdots \\ & n-i+2 \\ & \vdots \\ & n-1 \\ & \vdots \\ & n \end{matrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S_{n-i+k+1,k} = \begin{matrix} & n-i+k+1 \\ & \vdots \\ & n \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & \vdots \\ & k \end{matrix}$$

Clearly,  $V_i = \bigcup_{k=0}^{i-1} V_{i,k}$ .

First, there is a bijection  $V_{1,0} \mapsto \text{ebrick}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_n^r / \langle e_n \rangle)$  defined as  $\mathcal{S} \mapsto \mathcal{S} \setminus \{S_{n,n}\}$ . Hence,

$$\#V_1 = \#V_{1,0} = \text{ebrick}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_n^r / \langle e_n \rangle) = a_{n-1,r}.$$

Second, fix  $i$  and  $k$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots, i-1$ ), there exists a bijection

$$V_{i,k} \mapsto \text{ebrick}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_n^r / \langle e_{[n-i+k+1,n]} + e_{[1,k]} \rangle) \times \text{ebrick}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_n^r / \langle 1 - e_{[n-i+k+1,n]} - e_{[1,k-1]} \rangle)$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} \mapsto (\{S \in \mathcal{S} \mid \text{Supp } S \cap \{[n-i+k+1, n] \cup [1, k]\} = \emptyset\}, \{S \in \mathcal{S} \mid \\ \text{Supp } S \subset [n-i+k+1, n] \cup [1, k-1]\}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \#V_{i,k} &= \#\text{ebrick}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_n^r / \langle e_{[n-i+k+1,n]} + e_{[1,k]} \rangle) \cdot \#\text{ebrick}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_n^r / \langle 1 - e_{[n-i+k+1,n]} - e_{[1,k-1]} \rangle) \\ &= \#\text{ebrick} \Lambda_{n-i}^r \cdot \#\text{ebrick} \Lambda_{i-1}^r \\ &= LS_{i-1} \cdot a_{n-i,r}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \#V_i = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \#V_{i,k} = i \cdot LS_{i-1} \cdot a_{n-i,r}.$$

Note that  $\text{ebrick} \widetilde{\Lambda}_n^r = \bigcup_{i=0}^r V_i$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n,r} &= \#\text{ebrick} \widetilde{\Lambda}_n^r \quad (\text{by Theorem 2.8}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^r \#V_i \\ &= 2a_{n-1,r} + \sum_{i=2}^r i \cdot LS_{i-1} \cdot a_{n-i,r}. \end{aligned}$$

□

As a consequence, we get the recurrence relation on  $b_{n,r}$ .

**Proposition 3.5** *We have*

$$b_{n,r} = 2b_{n-1,r} + \sum_{i=2}^r LS_{i-1} \cdot b_{n-i,r}.$$

**Proof** It follows from Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 3.4, in fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
& b_{n,r} - 2b_{n-1,r} - \sum_{i=2}^r LS_{i-1} \cdot b_{n-i,r} \\
& = 2a_{n-1,r} + \sum_{i=1}^r i \cdot LS_{i-1} \cdot a_{n-i,r} \\
& \quad - 4a_{n-2,r} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^r i \cdot LS_{i-1} \cdot a_{n-i-1,r} \\
& \quad - \sum_{i=2}^r LS_{i-1} \cdot \left( 2a_{n-i-1,r} + \sum_{j=1}^r j \cdot LS_{j-1} \cdot a_{n-i-j,r} \right) \\
& = 2(a_{n-1,r} - 2a_{n-2,r} - \sum_{i=2}^r LS_{i-1} \cdot a_{n-i-1,r}) \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^r i \cdot LS_{i-1} \cdot a_{n-i,r} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^r i \cdot LS_{i-1} \cdot a_{n-i-1,r} \\
& \quad - \sum_{j=2}^r LS_{j-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^r i \cdot LS_{i-1} \cdot a_{n-i-j,r} \right) \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^r i \cdot LS_{i-1} \cdot a_{n-i,r} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^r i \cdot LS_{i-1} \cdot a_{n-i-1,r} \\
& \quad - \sum_{i=1}^r i \cdot LS_{i-1} \left( \sum_{j=2}^r LS_{j-1} \cdot a_{n-i-j,r} \right) \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^r i \cdot LS_{i-1} \left( a_{n-i,r} - 2a_{n-i-1,r} - \sum_{j=2}^r LS_{j-1} \cdot a_{n-i-j,r} \right) \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

The following proposition and its proof are similar to [3, Theorem 4.1 (3)] and [10, Proposition 3.9]. Leave it to the reader to prove it.

**Proposition 3.6** *Let  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_r$  be the roots (not necessarily distinct) of the polynomial  $F_r(X) = X^r - 2X^{r-1} - \sum_{i=2}^r LS_{i-1} \cdot X^{r-i}$ . Then we have*

$$(1) \quad a_{n,r} = \sum_{\substack{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_r = n}} \xi_1^{t_1} \xi_2^{t_2} \cdots \xi_r^{t_r}.$$

$$(2) \quad b_{n,r} = \sum_{i=1}^r \xi_i^n.$$

We give examples of the numbers of wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules over  $\Lambda_n^r$  and  $\widetilde{\Lambda}_n^r$ .

**Funding** This paper is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China(No.12301041).

**Table 2** The number of wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules of  $\Lambda_n^r$ 

| $r$ | $a_{n,r}$ | 1 | 2  | 3  | 4   | 5 |
|-----|-----------|---|----|----|-----|---|
| 1   | 2         | 4 | 8  | 16 | 32  |   |
| 2   | 2         | 6 | 16 | 44 | 120 |   |
| 3   | 2         | 6 | 22 | 68 | 216 |   |
| 4   | 2         | 6 | 22 | 90 | 304 |   |
| 5   | 2         | 6 | 22 | 90 | 394 |   |

**Table 3** The number of wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules of  $\tilde{\Lambda}_n^r$ 

| $r$ | $b_{n,r}$ | 1 | 2  | 3   | 4    | 5 |
|-----|-----------|---|----|-----|------|---|
| 1   | 2         | 4 | 8  | 16  | 32   |   |
| 2   | 2         | 8 | 20 | 56  | 152  |   |
| 3   | 2         | 8 | 38 | 104 | 332  |   |
| 4   | 2         | 8 | 38 | 192 | 552  |   |
| 5   | 2         | 8 | 38 | 192 | 1002 |   |

## References

1. T. Adachi, O. Iyama, I. Reiten,  $\tau$ -tilting theory. Compos. Math. **50**, 415–452 (2014)
2. T. Adachi, The classification of  $\tau$ -tilting modules over Nakayama algebras. J. Algebra **452**, 227–262 (2016)
3. S. Asai, Semibricks. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN. **16**, 4993–5054 (2020)
4. I. Assem, D. Simson, A. Skowronski, *Elements of the Representation Theory Of Associative Algebras, London Math. Soc. Student Texts*, vol. 65 (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2006)
5. H. Enomoto, Rigid modules and ICE-closed subcategories in quiver representations. J. Algebra **594**, 364–388 (2022)
6. H. Enomoto, Monobrick, a uniform approach to torsion-free classes and wide subcategories. Adv. Math. **393**, 108113, 41 pp (2021)
7. H. Enomoto, A. Sakai, ICE-closed subcategories and wide  $\tau$ -tilting modules. Math. Z. **300**(1), 541–577 (2022)
8. S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, Y-sytstems and generalized associahedra. Ann. Math. **158**, 977–1018 (2003)
9. P. Gabriel, Des catégories abéliennes (French). Bull. Soc. Math. France **90**, 323–448 (1962)
10. H. Gao, R. Schiffler, On the number of  $\tau$ -tilting modules over Nakayama algebras. SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **16**, 058, 13 pages (2020)
11. A. Obaid, S.K. Nauman, W.M. Fakieh, C.M. Ringel, The number of support-tilting modules for a Dynkin algebra. J. Integer Seq. **18**(10), Article 15.10.6, 24 pp (2015)
12. C.M. Ringel, Representations of  $K$ -species and bimodules. J. Algebra **41**(2), 269–302 (1976)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.