Advanced Metrics

Problem Set 2: Maximization

Hans Martinez

03/08/21

The code and the report can be found in my github repo

MLE (Probit)

1. For the first question, I programmed the routine to estimate a probit model by MLE. I used the CDF_Normal, PDF_Normal and BFGS routines of the Probability and Minimization modules. Whenever the routine evaluated $\Phi(x'\beta)$, I used $\mu=0$ and $\sigma=1$, because $U_I \sim N(0,1)$. The coefficients are displayed in row 1 of the results table. To compare, the results of estimating the probit in R are the following:

```
# probit <- glm(V4~V2+V3, family = binomial(link=probit),data=data)
summary(probit)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = V4 ~ V2 + V3, family = binomial(link = probit),
##
       data = data)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
       Min
                 1Q
                      Median
                                   3Q
                                           Max
   -2.5734
           -0.6464
                     -0.2295
                               0.6487
                                         2.9027
##
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
               6.38161
                           0.05034
                                    126.764 < 2e-16 ***
## (Intercept)
                           0.02376
                                      5.055 4.3e-07 ***
## V2
                0.12014
## V3
               -3.90106
                           0.02919 -133.665 < 2e-16 ***
##
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 68828
                             on 49999
                                       degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 43351
                             on 49997
                                       degrees of freedom
## AIC: 43357
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
```

2. For the second question, according to Nail's notes (take it with caution), $n^{1/2}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta_0) \sim N(0, B^{-1})$, where in the case of probit

$$B = E \left[xx' \frac{\phi(-x'\beta)^2}{\Phi(-x'\beta)\Phi(x'\beta)} \right]$$

To invert the variance-covariance matrix B, I used the Cholesky factorization using intel-LAPACK routine POTRI. I tried using the Matrix_Inverse and Matrix_Inverse_symmetric provided in the Matrix module but I was getting negative variances for some estimates. Using the Cholesky factorization, I could replicate the exact estimates as the R intrinsic program. The standard errors are shown in the table row 2.

3. Lastly, I bootstrapped the estimates 100 times. I used sampling from the uniform distribution and Halton sequences, by using Sample_Uniform and Halton from the random module, respectively. I report the unbiased bootstrapped estimators in the table. Namely, $\tilde{\theta} = 2\hat{\theta} - \hat{\theta}^{\star}$. Rows 3 to 6 display the results. Results are very close. Considering the standard errors, they are not significantly different from one another.

Table 1: MLE Probit

	Alpha	Lambda	Gamma
Coef	6.3816177	0.1201244	-3.9010558
S.E	0.05034238	0.02376460	0.02918537
Coef (BS)	6.322229	0.125762	-3.866202
S.E. (BS)	0.05003862	0.02369987	0.02897557
Coef (BSH)	6.3998747	0.1215389	-3.9130211
S.E. (BSH)	0.05052107	0.02376524	0.02927741