
Multidimensional Persistence Module Classification via Lattice-Theoretic Convolutions

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation

Address

email

Abstract

1 To write...

2 1 Introduction

3 Persistent homology has the ability to discern both the global topology [] and local geometry [] of
4 finite metric spaces (e.g. embedded weighted graphs, point clouds in \mathbb{R}^d) making it a befitting feature
5 for the purposes of training a neural network. Single-dimensional homological persistence has drawn
6 recent attention in deep learning [6, 9, 2]. This is, in part, due to a wide range of efficient software
7 libraries [8, 5, 1] for computing barcodes (in the “west-coast” lingo) of filtrations (e.g. Rips, alpha,
8 Cech, sub-levelset). Barcodes provide a compact shape descriptor for metric space data that is stable
9 with respect to Gromov-Hausdorff distance []. In a seminal paper [4], Carlsson and Zomorodian
10 show there is no such compact description for multi-parameter persistence.

11 2 Background

12 Due to space constraints, we can offer only a brief overview of multiparameter persistent homology.
13 For a primer on persistent homology, see [?, 3]; for multiparameter persistent homology, see []. An
14 introduction to lattices may be found in [].

15 2.1 Rips complexes and persistent homology

16 Let (\mathcal{M}, d) be a finite metric space. The *Vietoris-Rips complex* of \mathcal{M} at scale r is the abstract
17 simplicial complex $\text{Rips}_r(\mathcal{M})$ whose simplices are subsets of \mathcal{M} of diameter at most r . There is a
18 natural inclusion $\text{Rips}_r(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Rips}_{r'}(\mathcal{M})$ for $r \leq r'$.

19 Applying the simplicial homology functor (with coefficients in a field k) H_i to $\text{Rips}_r(\mathcal{M})$ pro-
20 duces a sequence of vector spaces $PH_i(r)$. The inclusions $\text{Rips}_r(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Rips}_{r'}(\mathcal{M})$ induce maps
21 $PH_i(r) \rightarrow PH_i(r')$, producing the data of *persistence module*. This structure can be compactly
22 described as a functor from \mathbb{R} , viewed as a category via its standard order structure, to the category
23 \mathbf{Vect}_k of vector spaces over k . The simplicity of the category \mathbb{R} gives these persistence modules
24 simple structure: they decompose as direct sums of interval modules $I_{[a,b)}$, which have $I_{[a,b)}(r) = k$
25 for $a \leq r < b$ and zero otherwise. The maps are the identity where possible and the zero map
26 otherwise.

27 This representation theoretic fact gives a representation¹ of \mathbb{R} -indexed persistence modules via
28 *barcodes* or *persistence diagrams*. Each bar $I_{[a,b)}$ in the barcode represents a homology class which
29 is *born* at a and *dies* at b .

¹Heh.

30 2.2 Multiparameter persistence

31 The Rips construction produces a filtration of simplicial complexes from a finite metric space; it is
 32 natural to consider the behavior of the homology functor over a pair of coherent filtrations. Consider
 33 a finite metric space (\mathcal{M}, d) and a filtration function $\rho : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. This data specifies a bifiltration of
 34 simplicial complexes given by

$$\mathbb{X}_{r,t} = \text{Rips}_r, x \in \mathcal{M} \mid \rho(x) \leq t.$$

35 There is a natural inclusion $\mathbb{X}_{r,t} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{r',t'}$ whenever $(r,t) \leq (r',t')$ in the lattice $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Composing
 36 with the homology functor produces a 2-parameter persistence module

$$PH_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}; \quad (r,t) \mapsto H_i(X_{r,t}).$$

37 If we assume that (i) PH_i stabilizes for $r \geq R$ and $t \geq T$ for sufficiently large R, T and (ii) the
 38 induced map on homology $H_i(\mathbb{X}_{r,t}) \rightarrow H_i(\mathbb{X}_{r',t'})$ is an isomorphism for all but finitely many
 39 pairs $(r,t) \leq (r',t')$, then we can restrict the domain of our persistence module PH_i —possibly
 40 after a reparameterization of the filtration—to a finite order lattice $L = [m] \times [n]$, where $[n] =$
 41 $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, obtaining a persistence module $M : L \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$. More generally, this model accepts
 42 as inputs signals on any finite lattice L with features extracted from a generalized persistence module
 43 supported on L .

44 While there does not exist a discrete set of invariants for M , we can extract meaningful features. Two
 45 particularly informative types of features are the *Hilbert function*

$$\text{Hilb} : L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+; \quad \mathbf{x} \mapsto \dim(M_{\mathbf{x}}),$$

46 and the *multi-graded Betti numbers*² $\xi_j : L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$, for $j = 0, 1, 2$. For $M = PH_i$ as above, the
 47 Hilbert function counts the number of connected components ($i = 0$), cycles ($i = 1$), or higher
 48 dimensional voids ($i > 1$) of the complex $\mathbb{X}_{r,t}$ at each $(r,t) \in L$. The multi-graded Betti numbers,
 49 on the other hand, capture information about locations of births and deaths of persistence classes.

50 2.3 Lattice-theoretic signal processing

51 3 Lattice Convolutional Neural Networks

52 Convolutions for signals defined over \mathbb{R}^n taken as an abelian group are widely used in signal
 53 processing. In particular, two-dimensional convolutions have served as an easily parameterized and
 54 efficient set of linear operations adapted to the structure of images. Their extreme utility in computer
 55 vision problems is owed to the translation equivariance properties of images: humans naturally
 56 recognize an image translated via an additive reparameterization as equivalent to the original.

57 The data of a multidimensional persistence module is also indexed by \mathbb{R}^n or a regular finite subset
 58 thereof, but its natural algebraic structure is not that of an abelian group. Rather, with its partial order
 59 structure, the indexing set is a lattice. In processing signals associated with the persistence module,
 60 it may be useful to take this structure into account rather than imposing the abelian group structure
 61 implied by standard convolutions.

62 To this end, we construct a lattice convolution-based neural network layer suitable for use with
 63 features originating from multidimensional persistence modules. We specialize the convolutions
 64 described in Section 2.3 to the particular case of regular finite sublattices of \mathbb{R}^2 . The meet and join
 65 operations are easily computed elementwise:

$$(r,t) \wedge (r',t') = (\min(r,r'), \min(t,t')); \quad (r,t) \vee (r',t') = (\max(r,r'), \max(t,t')).$$

66 A lattice convolution layer takes as input an N_{in} -dimensional signal $f : [m] \times [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text{in}}}$ and
 67 outputs an N_{out} -dimensional signal $[m] \times [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text{out}}}$. The layer's parameters are given by a function
 68 $g : [m] \times [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text{out}} \times N_{\text{in}}}$. If we label the entries of $f(x,y)$ by f_i and the entries of $g(x,y)$ by g_j^i
 69 The layer then acts by

$$\text{MeetConv}(f)(x,y)^j = \sum_i (f_i *_{\wedge} g_j^i)(x,y) = \sum_i \sum_{(a,b) \in [m] \times [n]} f_i(x \wedge a, y \wedge b) g_j^i(a,b)$$

²*Caveat lector:* the multi-graded Betti numbers are not the same as the topological Betti numbers $\beta_i(\mathbb{X}) = \dim(H_i(\mathbb{X}))$.

70 in the case of convolution with respect to the meet operation, and

$$\text{JoinConv}(f)(x, y)^j = \sum_i (f_i *_{\vee} g_j^i)(x, y) = \sum_i \sum_{(a, b) \in [m] \times [n]} f_i(x \vee a, y \vee b) g_j^i(a, b)$$

71 in the case of convolution with respect to the join operation.

72 Convolutional neural networks are useful in part because the convolution kernels (here the functions
73 g) can have very small support, enforcing locality and reducing the number of parameters that
74 must be learned. In the standard convolutional setting, these kernels are implicitly supported in a
75 neighborhood of the origin, but the location of the kernel is not usually explicitly specified. In the
76 lattice setting, we do need to specify where the kernel resides. Just as when we treat our domain as
77 an abelian group, the kernel should be supported near the identity, when we treat our domain as a
78 lattice, the kernel should be supported near the neutral element of the operation. That is, for a meet
79 convolution, g should have support near the maximum (m, n) , and for a join convolution, g should
80 have support near the minimum $(0, 0)$.

81 4 Experiments

82 We use a small portion of the Princeton ModelNet dataset as a source of finite metric spaces. This
83 dataset consists of hundreds of 3-dimensional CAD models representing objects from 40 classes.
84 We select two of the classes and sample points from the 3d models to produce finite metric spaces
85 embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 . We then compute the corresponding multidimensional persistence modules, from
86 which we produce features used as an input to a convolutional neural net classifier.

87 The pipeline thus begins with a 3d model, which is sampled to produce a point cloud in \mathbb{R}^3 . This point
88 cloud then produces a bifiltered simplicial complex, whose persistent homology we calculate using
89 RIVET [7], producing lattice-indexed signals given by the Hilbert function and the multi-graded Betti
90 numbers. These are then passed to the classifier, which produces a class prediction.

91 As the filter function on these data sets, we use

$$\rho_{\text{codense}}(x; k) = \frac{k \text{diam}(\mathcal{M})}{\sum_{y \in N_k(x)} d(x, y)},$$

92 where $N_k(x)$ is the set of the k nearest neighbors to x . This is the *codensity* filtration, so named be-
93 cause the points in the densest regions of \mathcal{M} will appear first. A folk theorem is that the two-parameter
94 persistent homology of a Rips/codensity bifiltration is stable under non-Hausdorff perturbations: *the*
95 *(Rips) persistent homology of a point sample and another obtained by adding a small number of*
96 *points at random are close with respect to the interleaving distance.*

97 We compare the performance of two convolutional networks on this classification task. One uses the
98 lattice-convolution based layers described in Section 3, and the other uses standard convolutional
99 layers. Each has three convolutional layers followed by three fully connected layers.

100 5 Discussion

101 Discuss.

102 References

- 103 [1] Ulrich Bauer. Ripser: Efficient computation of Vietoris-Rips persistence barcodes.
104 *arXiv:1908.02518 [cs, math]*, August 2019.
- 105 [2] Rickard Brüel-Gabrielsson, Bradley J. Nelson, Anjan Dwaraknath, Primoz Skraba, Leonidas J.
106 Guibas, and Gunnar Carlsson. A Topology Layer for Machine Learning. *arXiv:1905.12200 [cs,*
107 *math, stat]*, April 2020.
- 108 [3] Gunnar Carlsson. Topology and data. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 46:255–308,
109 2009.
- 110 [4] Gunnar Carlsson and Afra Zomorodian. The Theory of Multidimensional Persistence. *Discrete*
111 *& Computational Geometry*, 42(1):71–93, July 2009.

- 112 [5] Gregory Henselman and Robert Ghrist. Matroid Filtrations and Computational Persistent Homol-
113 ogy. *arXiv:1606.00199 [math]*, October 2017.
- 114 [6] Christoph Hofer, Roland Kwitt, Marc Niethammer, and Andreas Uhl. Deep Learning with
115 Topological Signatures. In I. Guyon, U. V. Luxburg, S. Bengio, H. Wallach, R. Fergus, S. Vish-
116 wanathan, and R. Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30*, pages
117 1634–1644. Curran Associates, Inc., 2017.
- 118 [7] Michael Lesnick and Matthew Wright. Interactive Visualization of 2-D Persistence Modules.
119 *arXiv:1512.00180 [cs, math]*, December 2015.
- 120 [8] Nina Otter, Mason A. Porter, Ulrike Tillmann, Peter Grindrod, and Heather A. Harrington. A
121 roadmap for the computation of persistent homology. *EPJ Data Science*, 6(1):17, August 2017.
- 122 [9] Chi Seng Pun, Kelin Xia, and Si Xian Lee. Persistent-Homology-based Machine Learning and
123 its Applications – A Survey. *arXiv:1811.00252 [math]*, November 2018.