Multidimensional Persistence Module Classification via Lattice-Theoretic Convolutions

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation Address email

Abstract

Multiparameter persistent homology has been largely neglected as an input to machine learning algorithms. We consider the use of lattice-based convolutional neural network layers as a tool for the analysis of features arising from multiparameter persistence modules. We find that these show promise as an alternative to convolutions for the classification of multidimensional persistence modules.

6 1 Introduction

Persistent homology has the ability to discern both the global topology [8] and local geometry [5] of finite metric spaces (e.g. embedded weighted graphs, point clouds in \mathbb{R}^d) making it a befitting feature for the purposes of training a neural network. Single-dimensional homological persistence has drawn recent attention in deep learning [10, 17, 3]. This is, in part, due to a wide range of efficient software libraries [15, 9, 2] for computing persistent homology, as well as a growing cookbook of recipes for featurizing barcodes from single dimensional persistence, including persistence images [1], persistence landscapes [4], and more exotic methods [11].

Multidimensional persistence generalizes single-dimensional persistent homology in order to tackle filtrations parameterized in multiple dimensions. Unfortunately, there is no complete compact barcode-like characterization of multidimensional persistence modules [7]. We must make do with incomplete invariants. There are various algebraic invariants; in this paper we will use the *Hilbert function* and the *multi-graded Betti numbers*, both of which are N-valued functions on the parameter space. The Hilbert function is nothing more than the pointwise (topological) Betti numbers¹, and the multi-graded Betti numbers have a geometric interpretation in terms of births and deaths [12].

Multidimensional persistence suffers two hindrances to its usefulness in machine learning. First, software for computing multidimensional persistence is scarce. To the authors' knowledge, RIVET is the only available software for computing multidimensional persistence [13]; RIVET specializes to 2-dimensional persistence and is focused on interactive visualization rather than machine-interpretable output. Second, there has been no activity, as far as the authors are aware, studying the extraction of suitable features for multidimensional persistent homology.

We hope to ignite an interest in filling both of these gaps. In this paper, we propose a naive featurization of multidimensional persistence modules based on the aforementioned invariants, and design an architecture for classifying these persistence modules. This architecture employs a lattice-theoretic notion of convolution, thereby respecting the order relation of the parameters of the persistence module. We implement our model and compare the performance of our proposed lattice-convolutional architecture with a (simplified) standard convolutional architecture.

¹Caveat lector: the multi-graded Betti numbers are not the same as the topological Betti numbers $\beta_i(\mathbb{X}) = \dim(H_i(\mathbb{X}))$.

2 **Backgound**

- Due to space constraints, we can offer only a brief overview of multiparameter persistent homology. 34
- 35 For a primer on persistent homology, see [8, 6]; for multiparameter persistent homology, see [13].
- An introduction to lattices may be found in [].

Rips complexes and persistent homology

- Let (\mathcal{M}, d) be a finite metric space. The Vietoris-Rips complex of \mathcal{M} at scale r is the abstract 38
- 39 simplicial complex Rips_r(\mathcal{M}) whose simplices are subsets of \mathcal{M} of diameter at most r. There is a
- 40 natural inclusion $\operatorname{Rips}_{r}(\mathcal{M}) \to \operatorname{Rips}_{r'}(\mathcal{M})$ for r < r'.
- Applying the simplicial homology functor (with coefficients in a field k) H_i to $Rips_r(\mathcal{M})$ pro-41
- duces a sequence of vector spaces $PH_i(r)$. The inclusions $\operatorname{Rips}_{r'}(\mathcal{M}) \to \operatorname{Rips}_{r'}(\mathcal{M})$ induce maps 42
- $PH_i(r) \to PH_i(r')$, producing the data of persistence module. This structure can be compactly 43
- described as a functor from \mathbb{R} , viewed as a category via its standard order structure, to the category 44
- \mathbf{Vect}_k of vector spaces over k. The simplicity of the category \mathbb{R} gives these persistence modules 45
- simple structure: they decompose as direct sums of interval modules $I_{[a,b)}$, which have $I_{[a,b)}(r) = k$
- for $a \le r < b$ and zero otherwise. The maps are the identity where possible and the zero map 47
- otherwise. 48

37

- This representation theoretic fact gives a representation² of R-indexed persistence modules via 49
- barcodes or persistence diagrams. Each bar $I_{(a,b)}$ in the barcode represents a homology class which 50
- is born at a and dies at b. 51

2.2 Multiparameter persistence 52

- The Rips construction produces a filtration of simplicial complexes from a finite metric space; it is 53
- natural to consider the behavior of the homology functor over a pair of coherent filtrations. Consider
- a finite metric space (\mathcal{M}, d) and a filtration function $\rho : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$. This data specifies a bifiltration of
- simplicial complexes given by

$$\mathbb{X}_{r,t} = \operatorname{Rips}_r x \in \mathcal{M} \mid \rho(x) \leq t.$$

- There is a natural inclusion $\mathbb{X}_{r,t} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{r',t'}$ whenever $(r,t) \leq (r',t')$ in the lattice $\mathbb{R} \times R$. Composing with the homology functor produces a 2-parameter persistence module

$$PH_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbf{Vect}; \quad (r,t) \mapsto H_i(X_{r,t}).$$

- While there does not exist a discrete set of invariants for M, we can extract meaningful features. Two
- particularly informative types of features are the Hilbert function

Hilb:
$$R_+ \times R \to \mathbb{Z}_+$$
; $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \dim(M_{\mathbf{x}})$,

- and the multi-graded Betti numbers $\xi_j: L \to \mathbb{Z}_+$, for j=0,1,2. For $M=PH_i$ as above, the 61
- Hilbert function counts the number of connected components (i = 0), cycles (i = 1), or higher 62
- dimensional voids (i > 1) of the complex $\mathbb{X}_{r,t}$ at each $(r,t) \in R_+ \times \mathbb{R}$. The multi-graded Betti 63
- numbers, on the other hand, capture information about locations of births and deaths of persistence 64
- 65 classes.

2.3 Lattice-theoretic signal processing 66

- Classical signal processing proceeds by constructing filters for space- or time-indexed signals using 67
- convolutional operators. These implicitly rely on the algebraic properties of the underlying space, in
- particular the existence of well-behaved translation operators. This fact is exploited in the general
- framework of algebraic signal processing [18] and extended to more general domains by the theory 70
- of graph signal processing [14]. We here describe a similar extension to signals on a finite lattice 71
- proposed in [16]. 72
- A lattice L is a partially ordered set in which every pair of elements x, y has a greatest lower bound 73
- (the *meet* $x \wedge y$) and a least upper bound (the *join* $x \vee y$). These operations and their properties

²Pun intended.

produce an algebraic characterization of lattices; the order theoretic and algebraic properties of a lattice can be recovered from each other. The key insight of [16] is that the meet and join operations on a lattice define two "shift operators" that can be exploited to define convolutional filters for signals on a lattice.

That is, for two signals $f, g: L \to \mathbb{R}$, where L is a lattice, we define

$$(f \ast_{\wedge} g)(x) = \sum_{a \in L} f(x \wedge a)g(a) \ \text{ and } \ (f \ast_{\vee} g)(x) = \sum_{a \in L} f(x \vee a)g(a).$$

A particularly nice class of examples of lattices are given by the sets \mathbb{R}^n viewed as partially ordered sets, with the ordering $(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \leq (y_1,\ldots,y_n)$ whenever $x_i \leq y_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. These are, of course, the indexing sets for persistent homology, suggesting that lattice convolutions over \mathbb{R}^n or its finite sublattices may be useful in analyzing data coming from multiparameter persistence computations.

3 Lattice Convolutional Neural Networks

85

Convolutions over \mathbb{R}^2 (with its abelian group structure) have served as an easily parameterized and efficient set of linear operations adapted to the structure of images. Their extreme utility in computer vision problems is owed to the translation equivariance properties of images: humans naturally recognize an image translated via an additive reparameterization as equivalent to the original.

The data of a multidimensional persistence module is also indexed by \mathbb{R}^n or a regular finite subset thereof, but its natural algebraic structure is not that of an abelian group. Rather, with its partial order structure, the indexing set is a lattice. In processing signals associated with the persistence module, it may be useful to take this structure into account rather than imposing the abelian group structure implied by standard convolutions.

To this end, we construct a lattice convolution-based neural network layer suitable for use with features originating from multidimensional persistence modules. To the authors' knowledge, such an architecture has not previously been described, although a special case (where the underlying lattice is a power set) has been implemented in [19]. We specialize the convolutions described in Section 2.3 to the particular case of regular finite sublattices of \mathbb{R}^2 . These may be represented (up to isomorphism) as $L = [m] \times [n]$, where [n] is the ordered set $\{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$. The meet and join operations are easily computed elementwise:

$$(r,t) \land (r',t') = (\min(r,r'), \min(t,t')); \quad (r,t) \lor (r',t') = (\max(r,r'), \max(t,t')).$$

A lattice convolution layer takes as input an $N_{\rm in}$ -dimensional signal $f:[m]\times[n]\to\mathbb{R}^{N_{\rm in}}$ and outputs an $N_{\rm out}$ -dimensional signal $[m]\times[n]\to\mathbb{R}^{N_{\rm out}}$. The layer's parameters are given by a function $g:[m]\times[n]\to\mathbb{R}^{N_{\rm out}\times N_{\rm in}}$. If we label the entries of f(x,y) by f_i and the entries of g(x,y) by g_j^i The layer then acts by

$$\operatorname{MeetConv}(f)(x,y)^j = \sum_i (f_i *_{\wedge} g_j^i)(x,y) = \sum_i \sum_{(a,b) \in [m] \times [n]} f_i(x \wedge a, y \wedge b) g_j^i(a,b)$$

in the case of convolution with respect to the meet operation, and

$$\mathsf{JoinConv}(f)(x,y)^j = \sum_i (f_i *_{\vee} g_j^i)(x,y) = \sum_i \sum_{(a,b) \in [m] \times [n]} f_i(x \vee a, y \vee b) g_j^i(a,b)$$

in the case of convolution with respect to the join operation.

Convolutional neural networks are uesful in part because the convolution kernels (here the functions g) can have very small support, reducing the number of parameters that must be learned. In the standard convolutional setting, these kernels are implicitly supported in a neighborhood of the origin, but the location of the kernel is not usually explicitly specified. In the lattice setting, we do need to specify where the kernel resides. In the abelian group case, the kernel is supported near the identity, and similarly, when we treat our domain as a lattice, the kernel should be supported near the neutral element of the operation. That is, for a meet convolution, g should have support near the maximum (m, n), and for a join convolution, g should have support near the minimum (0, 0).

Figure 1: Comparison training curves for the lattice neural network and standard convolutional neural network.

16 4 Experiments

We use a small portion of the Princeton ModelNet dataset [20] as a source of finite metric spaces. This dataset consists of hundreds of 3-dimensional CAD models representing objects from 10 classes. We select two of the classes and sample points from the 3D models to produce finite metric spaces embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 . We then compute the corresponding multidimensional persistence modules, from which we produce features used as an input to a convolutional neural net classifier.

The pipline thus begins with a 3D polyhedral model, of which 3000 vertices are sampled to produce a point cloud in \mathbb{R}^3 . This point cloud then produces a bifiltered simplicial complex, whose persistent homology we calculate using RIVET [13], sampled at a discrete grid of 40×40 points, producing lattice-indexed signals given by the Hilbert function and the multi-graded Betti numbers ξ_0, ξ_1, ξ_{2-1} four features in total. These are then passed to the classifier, which produces a class prediction, in this case either "sofa" or "monitor."

As the filter function on these data sets, we use

$$\rho_{\mathrm{codense}}(x;k) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{k} \sum_{y \in N_k(x)} d(x,y)},$$

where $N_k(x)$ is the set of the k nearest neighbors to x. This is the *codensity* filtration, so named because the points in the densest regions of \mathcal{M} will appear first.

We compare the performance of two convolutional networks on this classification task. One uses the

We compare the performance of two convolutional networks on this classification task. One uses the lattice-convolution based layers described in Section 3, and the other uses standard convolutional layers. Each has three convolutional layers followed by three fully connected layers. The lattice-based convolution layers are of the form MeetConv(x) + JoinConv(x). All convolution kernels have dimension 4×4 , hidden convolution layers have 16 features, and the final convolution has 8 features. The fully connected layers have 32 features at each inner layer. The networks are trained using the Adam gradient algorithm with learning rate 0.001 for 50 epochs. We reserve 10% of the data for testing. Results are shown in Figure 1.

The lattice-based convolution and classical convolution networks perform similarly on the training set. However, the lattice convolution network's predictions generalize more accurately to the testing set.

142 5 Discussion

Our proposed featurization for persistence modules is rather naive, but well adapted to the use of lattice convolutions as a data processing method. The lattice convolutional neural network shows promise as a method for classifying features arising from a multiparameter persistence module. The algebraic perspective on partially ordered sets, exemplified by lattices, may also offer approaches to featurizing more complex invariants of persistence modules. In particular, the incidence algebra may offer a natural way to represent the rank invariant [7] in a way amenable to convolution-like operations.

We hope with these brief experiments to inspire further work on featurizing multidimensional persistence for use in machine learning algorithms.

References

152

157

158

- [1] Henry Adams, Tegan Emerson, Michael Kirby, Rachel Neville, Chris Peterson, Patrick Shipman,
 Sofya Chepushtanova, Eric Hanson, Francis Motta, and Lori Ziegelmeier. Persistence Images:
 A Stable Vector Representation of Persistent Homology. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*,
 18, 2017.
 - [2] Ulrich Bauer. Ripser: Efficient computation of Vietoris-Rips persistence barcodes. *arXiv:1908.02518 [cs, math]*, August 2019.

- [3] Rickard Brüel-Gabrielsson, Bradley J. Nelson, Anjan Dwaraknath, Primoz Skraba, Leonidas J.
 Guibas, and Gunnar Carlsson. A Topology Layer for Machine Learning. arXiv:1905.12200 [cs, math, stat], April 2020.
- [4] Peter Bubenik. Statistical Topological Data Analysis using Persistence Landscapes. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 16, 2015.
- [5] Peter Bubenik, Michael Hull, Dhruv Patel, and Benjamin Whittle. Persistent homology detects curvature. *Inverse Problems*, 36(2):025008, January 2020.
- [6] Gunnar Carlsson. Topology and data. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 46:255–308, 2009.
- [7] Gunnar Carlsson and Afra Zomorodian. The Theory of Multidimensional Persistence. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 42(1):71–93, July 2009.
- [8] Robert Ghrist. Barcodes: The persistent topology of data. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 45:61–75, 2008.
- [9] Gregory Henselman and Robert Ghrist. Matroid filtrations and computational persistent homology. *arXiv:1606.00199 [math]*, October 2017.
- 174 [10] Christoph Hofer, Roland Kwitt, Marc Niethammer, and Andreas Uhl. Deep learning with topological signatures. In I. Guyon, U. V. Luxburg, S. Bengio, H. Wallach, R. Fergus, S. Vishwanathan, and R. Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30*, pages 1634–1644. Curran Associates, Inc., 2017.
- 178 [11] Sara Kališnik. Tropical Coordinates on the Space of Persistence Barcodes. *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, 19(1):101–129, February 2019.
- 180 [12] Kevin P. Knudson. A refinement of multi-dimensional persistence. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, 10(1):259–281, 2008.
- 182 [13] Michael Lesnick and Matthew Wright. Interactive visualization of 2-d persistence modules.

 183 arXiv:1512.00180 [cs, math], December 2015.
- [14] A. Ortega, P. Frossard, J. Kovačević, J. M. F. Moura, and P. Vandergheynst. Graph signal
 processing: Overview, challenges, and applications. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 106(5):808–828,
 May 2018.
- [15] Nina Otter, Mason A. Porter, Ulrike Tillmann, Peter Grindrod, and Heather A. Harrington. A
 roadmap for the computation of persistent homology. EPJ Data Science, 6(1):17, August 2017.
- 189 [16] Markus Pueschel. A discrete signal processing framework for meet/join lattices with applications to hypergraphs and trees. May 2019.
- 191 [17] Chi Seng Pun, Kelin Xia, and Si Xian Lee. Persistent-Homology-based Machine Learning and 192 its Applications A Survey. *arXiv:1811.00252 [math]*, November 2018.
- 193 [18] Markus Puschel and José M. F. Moura. Algebraic Signal Processing Theory: Foundation and 1-D Time. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 56(8):3572–3585, August 2008.
- [19] Chris Wendler, Markus Püschel, and Dan Alistarh. Powerset Convolutional Neural Networks.
 In H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, A. Beygelzimer, F. d\textquotesingle Alché-Buc, E. Fox, and
 R. Garnett, editors, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32, pages 929–940.
 Curran Associates, Inc., 2019.
- Zhirong Wu, Shuran Song, Aditya Khosla, Fisher Yu, Linguang Zhang, Xiaoou Tang, and Jianxiong Xiao. 3D ShapeNets: A deep representation for volumetric shapes. In 2015 IEEE
 Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pages 1912–1920, Boston, MA, USA, June 2015. IEEE.