
Multidimensional Persistence Module Classification via Lattice-Theoretic Convolutions

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation

Address

email

Abstract

1 We consider the use of lattice-based convolutional neural network layers as a
2 tool for the analysis of features arising from multiparameter persistence modules.
3 **CHANGE THIS: something something the conclusion about what to do**

4 1 Introduction

5 Persistent homology has the ability to discern both the global topology [8] and local geometry [5]
6 of finite metric spaces (e.g. embedded weighted graphs, point clouds in \mathbb{R}^d) making it a befitting
7 feature for the purposes of training a neural network. Single-dimensional homological persistence has
8 drawn recent attention in deep learning [10, 17, 3]. This is, in part, due to a wide range of efficient
9 software libraries [15, 9, 2] for computing persistent homology, as well as a growing cookbook of
10 recipes for featurizing barcodes from single dimensional persistence, including persistence images [1],
11 persistence landscapes [4], and more exotic methods [11].

12 Multidimensional persistence generalizes single-dimensional persistent homology in order to tackle
13 filtrations parameterized in multiple dimensions. Unfortunately, there is no complete compact
14 barcode-like characterization of multidimensional persistence modules [7]. We must make do with
15 incomplete invariants. There are various algebraic invariants; in this paper we will use the *Hilbert*
16 *function* and the *multi-graded Betti numbers*, both of which are \mathbb{N} -valued functions on the parameter
17 space. The Hilbert function is nothing more than the pointwise (topological) Betti numbers¹, and the
18 multi-graded Betti numbers have an interpretation in terms of births and deaths [12].

19 Multidimensional persistence suffers two hindrances to its usefulness in machine learning. First,
20 software for computing multidimensional persistence is scarce. To the authors' knowledge, RIVET is
21 the only available software for computing multidimensional persistence [13]; RIVET specializes to 2-
22 dimensional persistence and is focused on interactive visualization rather than machine-interpretable
23 output. Second, there has been no activity, as far as the authors are aware, studying the extraction of
24 suitable features for multidimensional persistent homology.

25 We hope to ignite an interest in filling both of these gaps. In this paper, we propose a naive
26 featurization of multidimensional persistence modules based on the aforementioned invariants,
27 and design an architecture for classifying these persistence modules. This architecture employs a
28 lattice-theoretic notion of convolution, thereby respecting the order relation of the parameters of
29 the persistence module. We implement our model and compare the performance of our proposed
30 lattice-convolutional architecture with a (simplified) standard convolutional architecture.

¹*Caveat lector:* the multi-graded Betti numbers are not the same as the topological Betti numbers $\beta_i(\mathbb{X}) = \dim(H_i(\mathbb{X}))$.

31 2 Background

32 Due to space constraints, we can offer only a brief overview of multiparameter persistent homology.
 33 For a primer on persistent homology, see [8, 6]; for multiparameter persistent homology, see []. An
 34 introduction to lattices may be found in [].

35 2.1 Rips complexes and persistent homology

36 Let (\mathcal{M}, d) be a finite metric space. The *Vietoris-Rips complex* of \mathcal{M} at scale r is the abstract
 37 simplicial complex $\text{Rips}_r(\mathcal{M})$ whose simplices are subsets of \mathcal{M} of diameter at most r . There is a
 38 natural inclusion $\text{Rips}_r(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Rips}_{r'}(\mathcal{M})$ for $r \leq r'$.

39 Applying the simplicial homology functor (with coefficients in a field k) H_i to $\text{Rips}_r(\mathcal{M})$ pro-
 40 duces a sequence of vector spaces $PH_i(r)$. The inclusions $\text{Rips}_r(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Rips}_{r'}(\mathcal{M})$ induce maps
 41 $PH_i(r) \rightarrow PH_i(r')$, producing the data of *persistence module*. This structure can be compactly
 42 described as a functor from \mathbb{R} , viewed as a category via its standard order structure, to the category
 43 \mathbf{Vect}_k of vector spaces over k . The simplicity of the category \mathbb{R} gives these persistence modules
 44 simple structure: they decompose as direct sums of interval modules $I_{[a,b]}$, which have $I_{[a,b]}(r) = k$
 45 for $a \leq r < b$ and zero otherwise. The maps are the identity where possible and the zero map
 46 otherwise.

47 This representation theoretic fact gives a representation² of \mathbb{R} -indexed persistence modules via
 48 *barcodes* or *persistence diagrams*. Each bar $I_{[a,b]}$ in the barcode represents a homology class which
 49 is *born* at a and *dies* at b .

50 2.2 Multiparameter persistence

51 The Rips construction produces a filtration of simplicial complexes from a finite metric space; it is
 52 natural to consider the behavior of the homology functor over a pair of coherent filtrations. Consider
 53 a finite metric space (\mathcal{M}, d) and a filtration function $\rho : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. This data specifies a bifiltration of
 54 simplicial complexes given by

$$\mathbb{X}_{r,t} = \text{Rips}_r x \in \mathcal{M} \mid \rho(x) \leq t.$$

55 There is a natural inclusion $\mathbb{X}_{r,t} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{r',t'}$ whenever $(r,t) \leq (r',t')$ in the lattice $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Composing
 56 with the homology functor produces a 2-parameter persistence module

$$PH_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}; \quad (r,t) \mapsto H_i(X_{r,t}).$$

57 While there does not exist a discrete set of invariants for M , we can extract meaningful features. Two
 58 particularly informative types of features are the *Hilbert function*

$$\text{Hilb} : R_+ \times R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+; \quad \mathbf{x} \mapsto \dim(M_{\mathbf{x}}),$$

59 and the *multi-graded Betti numbers* $\xi_j : L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$, for $j = 0, 1, 2$. For $M = PH_i$ as above, the
 60 Hilbert function counts the number of connected components ($i = 0$), cycles ($i = 1$), or higher
 61 dimensional voids ($i > 1$) of the complex $\mathbb{X}_{r,t}$ at each $(r,t) \in R_+ \times \mathbb{R}$. The multi-graded Betti
 62 numbers, on the other hand, capture information about locations of births and deaths of persistence
 63 classes.

64 2.3 Lattice-theoretic signal processing

65 Classical signal processing proceeds by constructing filters for space- or time-indexed signals using
 66 convolutional operators. These implicitly rely on the algebraic properties of the underlying space, in
 67 particular the existence of well-behaved translation operators. This fact is exploited in the general
 68 framework of algebraic signal processing [18] and extended to more general domains by the theory
 69 of graph signal processing [14]. We here describe a similar extension to signals on a finite lattice
 70 proposed in [16].

71 A *lattice* L is a partially ordered set in which every pair of elements x, y has a greatest lower bound
 72 (the *meet* $x \wedge y$) and a least upper bound (the *join* $x \vee y$). These operations and their properties

²Pun intended.

73 produce an algebraic characterization of lattices; the order theoretic and algebraic properties of a
 74 lattice can be recovered from each other. The key insight of [16] is that the meet and join operations
 75 on a lattice define two “shift operators” that can be exploited to define convolutional filters for signals
 76 on a lattice.

77 That is, for two signals $f, g : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where L is a lattice, we define

$$(f *_{\wedge} g)(x) = \sum_{a \in L} f(x \wedge a)g(a) \quad \text{and} \quad (f *_{\vee} g)(x) = \sum_{a \in L} f(x \vee a)g(a).$$

78 A particularly nice class of examples of lattices are given by the sets \mathbb{R}^n viewed as partially ordered
 79 sets, with the ordering $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ whenever $x_i \leq y_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. These
 80 are, of course, the indexing sets for persistent homology, suggesting that lattice convolutions over
 81 \mathbb{R}^n or its finite sublattices may be useful in analyzing data coming from multiparameter persistence
 82 computations.

83 3 Lattice Convolutional Neural Networks

84 Convolutions over \mathbb{R}^2 (with its abelian group structure) have served as an easily parameterized and
 85 efficient set of linear operations adapted to the structure of images. Their extreme utility in computer
 86 vision problems is owed to the translation equivariance properties of images: humans naturally
 87 recognize an image translated via an additive reparameterization as equivalent to the original.

88 The data of a multidimensional persistence module is also indexed by \mathbb{R}^n or a regular finite subset
 89 thereof, but its natural algebraic structure is not that of an abelian group. Rather, with its partial order
 90 structure, the indexing set is a lattice. In processing signals associated with the persistence module,
 91 it may be useful to take this structure into account rather than imposing the abelian group structure
 92 implied by standard convolutions.

93 To this end, we construct a lattice convolution-based neural network layer suitable for use with
 94 features originating from multidimensional persistence modules. To the authors’ knowledge, such
 95 an architecture has not previously been described, although a special case (where the underlying
 96 lattice is a power set) has been implemented in [19]. We specialize the convolutions described in
 97 Section 2.3 to the particular case of regular finite sublattices of \mathbb{R}^2 . These may be represented (up
 98 to isomorphism) as $L = [m] \times [n]$, where $[n]$ is the ordered set $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. The meet and join
 99 operations are easily computed elementwise:

$$(r, t) \wedge (r', t') = (\min(r, r'), \min(t, t')); \quad (r, t) \vee (r', t') = (\max(r, r'), \max(t, t')).$$

100 A lattice convolution layer takes as input an N_{in} -dimensional signal $f : [m] \times [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text{in}}}$ and
 101 outputs an N_{out} -dimensional signal $[m] \times [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text{out}}}$. The layer’s parameters are given by a function
 102 $g : [m] \times [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text{out}} \times N_{\text{in}}}$. If we label the entries of $f(x, y)$ by f_i and the entries of $g(x, y)$ by g_j^i
 103 The layer then acts by

$$\text{MeetConv}(f)(x, y)^j = \sum_i (f_i *_{\wedge} g_j^i)(x, y) = \sum_i \sum_{(a, b) \in [m] \times [n]} f_i(x \wedge a, y \wedge b) g_j^i(a, b)$$

104 in the case of convolution with respect to the meet operation, and

$$\text{JoinConv}(f)(x, y)^j = \sum_i (f_i *_{\vee} g_j^i)(x, y) = \sum_i \sum_{(a, b) \in [m] \times [n]} f_i(x \vee a, y \vee b) g_j^i(a, b)$$

105 in the case of convolution with respect to the join operation.

106 Convolutional neural networks are useful in part because the convolution kernels (here the functions
 107 g) can have very small support, reducing the number of parameters that must be learned. In the
 108 standard convolutional setting, these kernels are implicitly supported in a neighborhood of the origin,
 109 but the location of the kernel is not usually explicitly specified. In the lattice setting, we do need to
 110 specify where the kernel resides. In the abelian group case, the kernel is supported near the identity,
 111 and similarly, when we treat our domain as a lattice, the kernel should be supported near the neutral
 112 element of the operation. That is, for a meet convolution, g should have support near the maximum
 113 (m, n) , and for a join convolution, g should have support near the minimum $(0, 0)$.

4 Experiments

We use a small portion of the Princeton ModelNet dataset [20] as a source of finite metric spaces. This dataset consists of hundreds of 3-dimensional CAD models representing objects from 40 classes. We select two of the classes and sample points from the 3D models to produce finite metric spaces embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 . We then compute the corresponding multidimensional persistence modules, from which we produce features used as an input to a convolutional neural net classifier.

The pipeline thus begins with a 3D model, which is sampled to produce a point cloud in \mathbb{R}^3 . This point cloud then produces a bifiltered simplicial complex, whose persistent homology we calculate using RIVET [13], sampled at a discrete grid of 40×40 points, producing lattice-indexed signals given by the Hilbert function and the multi-graded Betti numbers. These are then passed to the classifier, which produces a class prediction.

As the filter function on these data sets, we use

$$\rho_{\text{codense}}(x; k) = \frac{k \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{M})}{\sum_{y \in N_k(x)} d(x, y)},$$

where $N_k(x)$ is the set of the k nearest neighbors to x . This is the *codensity* filtration, so named because the points in the densest regions of \mathcal{M} will appear first.

We compare the performance of two convolutional networks on this classification task. One uses the lattice-convolution based layers described in Section 3, and the other uses standard convolutional layers. Each has three convolutional layers followed by three fully connected layers.

5 Discussion

Discuss.

References

- [1] Henry Adams, Tegan Emerson, Michael Kirby, Rachel Neville, Chris Peterson, Patrick Shipman, Sofya Chepushtanova, Eric Hanson, Francis Motta, and Lori Ziegelmeier. Persistence Images: A Stable Vector Representation of Persistent Homology. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 18, 2017.
- [2] Ulrich Bauer. Ripser: Efficient computation of Vietoris-Rips persistence barcodes. *arXiv:1908.02518 [cs, math]*, August 2019.
- [3] Rickard Brüel-Gabrielsson, Bradley J. Nelson, Anjan Dwaraknath, Primož Skraba, Leonidas J. Guibas, and Gunnar Carlsson. A Topology Layer for Machine Learning. *arXiv:1905.12200 [cs, math, stat]*, April 2020.
- [4] Peter Bubenik. Statistical Topological Data Analysis using Persistence Landscapes. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 16, 2015.
- [5] Peter Bubenik, Michael Hull, Dhruv Patel, and Benjamin Whittle. Persistent homology detects curvature. *Inverse Problems*, 36(2):025008, January 2020.
- [6] Gunnar Carlsson. Topology and data. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 46:255–308, 2009.
- [7] Gunnar Carlsson and Afra Zomorodian. The Theory of Multidimensional Persistence. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 42(1):71–93, July 2009.
- [8] Robert Ghrist. Barcodes: The persistent topology of data. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 45:61–75, 2008.
- [9] Gregory Henselman and Robert Ghrist. Matroid filtrations and computational persistent homology. *arXiv:1606.00199 [math]*, October 2017.
- [10] Christoph Hofer, Roland Kwitt, Marc Niethammer, and Andreas Uhl. Deep learning with topological signatures. In I. Guyon, U. V. Luxburg, S. Bengio, H. Wallach, R. Fergus, S. Vishwanathan, and R. Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30*, pages 1634–1644. Curran Associates, Inc., 2017.

- 159 [11] Sara Kališnik. Tropical Coordinates on the Space of Persistence Barcodes. *Foundations of*
160 *Computational Mathematics*, 19(1):101–129, February 2019.
- 161 [12] Kevin P. Knudson. A refinement of multi-dimensional persistence. *Homology, Homotopy and*
162 *Applications*, 10(1):259–281, 2008.
- 163 [13] Michael Lesnick and Matthew Wright. Interactive visualization of 2-d persistence modules.
164 *arXiv:1512.00180 [cs, math]*, December 2015.
- 165 [14] A. Ortega, P. Frossard, J. Kovačević, J. M. F. Moura, and P. Vandergheynst. Graph signal
166 processing: Overview, challenges, and applications. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 106(5):808–828,
167 May 2018.
- 168 [15] Nina Otter, Mason A. Porter, Ulrike Tillmann, Peter Grindrod, and Heather A. Harrington. A
169 roadmap for the computation of persistent homology. *EPJ Data Science*, 6(1):17, August 2017.
- 170 [16] Markus Püschel. A discrete signal processing framework for meet/join lattices with applications
171 to hypergraphs and trees. May 2019.
- 172 [17] Chi Seng Pun, Kelin Xia, and Si Xian Lee. Persistent-Homology-based Machine Learning and
173 its Applications – A Survey. *arXiv:1811.00252 [math]*, November 2018.
- 174 [18] Markus Püschel and JosÉ M. F. Moura. Algebraic Signal Processing Theory: Foundation and
175 1-D Time. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 56(8):3572–3585, August 2008.
- 176 [19] Chris Wendler, Markus Püschel, and Dan Alistarh. Powerset Convolutional Neural Networks.
177 In H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, A. Beygelzimer, F. d\textquotesingle Alché-Buc, E. Fox, and
178 R. Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32*, pages 929–940.
179 Curran Associates, Inc., 2019.
- 180 [20] Zhirong Wu, Shuran Song, Aditya Khosla, Fisher Yu, Linguang Zhang, Xiaoou Tang, and
181 Jianxiong Xiao. 3D ShapeNets: A deep representation for volumetric shapes. In *2015 IEEE*
182 *Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 1912–1920, Boston,
183 MA, USA, June 2015. IEEE.