Consider a relation T(L, M, N, O, P) with FDs

- LM→OPN
- PM→N
- O→P
- LP→N
- MNOP→L

Using the method covered in class, find a minimal cover for this relation. Write your answer here:

Closures (just for interest's sake, but it can be helpful to see what is going on)

```
LM<sup>+</sup> = {L, M, O, P, N}

PM<sup>+</sup> = {P, M, N}

O<sup>+</sup> = {O, P}

LP<sup>+</sup> = {L, P, N}

MNO<sup>+</sup> = {M, N, O, L, P}
```

## **Minimal Cover**

1. Put FDs in standard form:

 $LM \rightarrow 0$ 

 $LM \rightarrow P$ 

 $LM \rightarrow N$ 

PM→N

 $0 \rightarrow P$ 

LP→N

MNOP→L

2. Minimize LHS of each FD

Nothing to do for most of these. However,  $O \rightarrow P$  means that the P in MNOP is redundant so you can make that one MNO $\rightarrow$ L.

Additionally, the closure of MO includes N, so that can also be removed, the current list is now:

 $LM \rightarrow 0$ 

 $LM \rightarrow P$ 

LM→N

 $PM \rightarrow N$ 

 $0 \rightarrow P$ 

 $LP \rightarrow N$ 

MO→L

## 3. Delete redundant FDs

Taking the closure of LM without using LM $\rightarrow$ P yields: LM<sup>+</sup> = {L, M, O, P, N}, so LM $\rightarrow$ P is redundant. At this point, we have:

LM→0

 $LM \rightarrow N$ 

 $PM\rightarrow N$ 

 $0 \rightarrow P$ 

 $LP \rightarrow N$ 

MO<del>→</del>L

Similarly, taking the closure of LM without using LM $\rightarrow$ N yields LM<sup>+</sup>= {L, M, O, P, N} (derived by LM -> O, O -> P, PM -> N), so the final answer is:

 $LM \rightarrow 0$ 

 $PM\rightarrow N$ 

 $0 \rightarrow P$ 

 $LP \rightarrow N$ 

MO<del>→</del>L