

3F3 Example Paper3

Hanchen Wang

December 1, 2020

- a) $r_{XX}[k] = \mathbb{E}[X_n X_{n+k}] = \mathbb{E}[X_{n+k} X_n] = r_{XX}[-k]$
- b)

$$\begin{aligned} S_X(e^{j\Omega}) &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} r_{XX}[m] e^{-jm\Omega} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} r_{XX}[m] (e^{-jm\Omega} + e^{jm\Omega}) + r_{XX}[0] \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2r_{XX}[m] \cos(m\Omega) + r_{XX}[0] \end{aligned}$$

- c)

$$\begin{aligned} (x_{n+k} - ax_n)^2 \geq 0 &\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[(x_{n+k} - ax_n)^2] \geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow \text{set } a = 1 : \mathbb{E}[(x_{n+k} - x_n)^2] &= \mathbb{E}[(x_{n+k} - x_n)^2] = 2r_{XX}[0] - 2r_{XX}[k] \geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow \text{this holds for } \forall k \text{ and } r_{XX}[k] &= r_{XX}[-k] \Rightarrow \max |r_{XX}[k]| = r_{XX}[0] \end{aligned}$$

- d) $r_{XY}[k] = \mathbb{E}[X_n Y_{n+k}] = \mathbb{E}[Y_{n+k} X_n] = r_{YX}[-k]$
- e,f) straightforward based on part b)
- g) straightforward based on part d)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[Y_n] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{p=-\infty}^{+\infty} h_p X_{n-p} \right] = \sum_{p=-\infty}^{+\infty} h_p \mathbb{E}[X_{n-p}] = \sum_{p=-\infty}^{+\infty} h_p \mathbb{E}[X_n] \quad (\text{since } \{X_n\} \text{ is WSS}) \\ r_{YY}[k] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{p_1=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{p_1} X_{n-p_1} \right) \left(\sum_{p_2=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{p_2} X_{n-p_2+k} \right) \right] = \sum_{p_1} \sum_{p_2} h_{p_1} h_{p_2} \mathbb{E}[X_{n-p_1} X_{n-p_2+k}] \\ &= \sum_{p_1} \sum_{p_2} h_{p_1} h_{p_2} r_{XX}[p_1 - p_2 + k]\end{aligned}$$

recall the discrete convolution: $(f * g)[n] = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f[m]g[n-m]$

$$\begin{aligned}r_{YY}[k] &= \sum_{p_1} \sum_{p_2} h_{p_1} h_{p_2} r_{XX}[p_1 - p_2 + k] = \sum_{p_1} h_{p_1} \left(\sum_{p_2} h_{p_2} r_{XX}[p_1 - p_2 + k] \right) \\ &= \sum_{p_1} h_{p_1} ((h * r_{XX})[p_1 + k]) \quad (\text{time reversal for } h_{p_1}) \\ &= \sum_{p'_1} h_{p'_1} ((h * r_{XX})[k - p'_1]) \quad (p'_1 = -p_1) \\ &= (\tilde{h} * h * r_{XX})[k] \quad \text{where } \tilde{h} \text{ is the time-reversed sequence } h\end{aligned}$$

to show that $\{Y_n\}$ is wide-sense stationary, basically is to show the variance is finite:

$$\mu_Y = \mathbb{E}[Y_n] = \mathbb{E}[X_n] \sum_{p=-\infty}^{+\infty} h_p \leq \mathbb{E}[X_n] \sum_{p=-\infty}^{+\infty} |h_p| \quad (\text{constant and finite})$$

$$r_{YY}[k] = (\tilde{h} * h * r_{XX})[k] \quad (\text{only related to } k)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[(Y_n - \mu_Y)^2] = \mathbb{E}[Y_n^2] - \mathbb{E}[Y_n]^2 = r_{YY}[0] - \text{some constant}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_{YY}[0] &= \sum_{p_1} \sum_{p_2} h_{p_1} h_{p_2} r_{XX}[p_1 - p_2] \leq \sum_{p_1} \sum_{p_2} h_{p_1} h_{p_2} (r_{XX}[0]) \\ &\leq r_{XX}[0] \left(\sum_{p_1} |h_{p_1}| \right) \left(\sum_{p_2} |h_{p_2}| \right) < \infty \Rightarrow \text{variance is finite} \end{aligned}$$

- $\mu_x = \mathbb{E}[x_n] = p \cdot (1) + (1 - p) \cdot (-1) = 2p - 1 \Rightarrow \text{constant}$
- $r_{xx}[0] = 1$, since x_n and x_{n+i} ($i \neq 0$) are independent $\Rightarrow r_{xx}[k] = \mathbb{E}[x_n x_{n+k}] = 0$ ($k \neq 0$)
- $\mathbb{E}[(x_n - \mu_x)^2] = \mathbb{E}[x_n^2] - \mu_x^2 = r_{xx}[0]^2 - \mu_x^2 = 1 - (2p - 1)^2 \Rightarrow \text{finite} \Rightarrow \text{thus WSS.}$
- $c_{xx}[m] = \mathbb{E}[(x_n - \mu_x)(x_{n+m} - \mu_x)] = r_{xx}[m] - \mu_x^2 = \delta[k](1 - \mu_x^2) \Rightarrow \text{thus White Noise.}$

- Wiener-Hopf equation: $\mathbf{R}_x \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{r}_{xd}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} r_{xx}[0] & r_{xx}[1] \\ r_{xx}[1] & r_{xx}[0] \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_0 \\ h_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{xd}[0] \\ r_{xd}[1] \end{bmatrix}$$

$$r_{xd}[k] = \mathbb{E}[x_{n+k}d_n] = \mathbb{E}[x_{n+k}(x_n - v_n)] = r_{xx}[k] - r_{xv}[k]$$

$$r_{xv}[k] = \mathbb{E}[v_n x_{n+k}] = \mathbb{E}[v_n(d_{n+k} + v_{n+k})] = r_{vd}[k] + r_{vv}[k] = r_{vv}[k]$$

$$\Rightarrow r_{xd}[k] = r_{xx}[k] - r_{vv}[k]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2.8 & 1 \\ 1 & 2.8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_0 \\ h_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} h_0 \\ h_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.795 \\ 0.073 \end{bmatrix}$$

- minimum mean-squared error for this filter is:

$$J = \mathbb{E}[v_n^2] = 0.5 \quad (\text{simply setting: } \hat{d}_n = x_n)$$

$$J_{\min} = \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_n d_n] = r_{dd}[0] - \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} h_p r_{xd}[p] = r_{dd}[0] - h_0 r_{xd}[0] - h_1 r_{xd}[1] = 0.399$$

- for the frequency responses, we have (essentially in this case we have: $r_{xd}[m] = r_{dd}[m]$):

$$H(e^{j\Omega}) = \frac{S_{xd}(e^{j\Omega})}{S_x(e^{j\Omega})} = \frac{\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} r_{xd}[m]e^{-jm\Omega}}{\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} r_{xx}[m]e^{-jm\Omega}} = \frac{2.3 + 2 \cos \Omega}{2.8 + 2 \cos \Omega}$$

- Comments?

• a)

$$\epsilon_n \epsilon_{n+k} = d_n d_{n+k} - d_n \sum_p h_p x_{n-p+k} - d_n \sum_p h_p x_{n-p+k} + \sum_{p_1} \sum_{p_2} h_{p_1} x_{n-p_1} h_{p_2} x_{n-p_2+k}$$

$$r_{\epsilon\epsilon}[k] = r_{dd}[k] - \sum_p h_p r_{dx}[k-p] - \sum_p h_p r_{xd}[k+p] + \sum_{p_1} \sum_{p_2} h_{p_1} h_{p_2} r_{xx}[k-p_2+p_1]$$

$$= r_{dd}[k] - (h * r_{dx})[k] - (\tilde{h} * r_{xd})[k] + (\tilde{h} * h * r_{xx})[k], \quad (\text{from Q2})$$

• b) since $\mathcal{S}_X(e^{j\Omega}) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} r_{XX}[m]e^{-jm\Omega}$, based on (a) we can directly have:

$$\mathcal{S}_\epsilon = \mathcal{S}_D - \mathcal{S}_{DX}H - \mathcal{S}_{XD}H^* + |H|^2\mathcal{S}_X$$

• c) clearly $J_{\min} = \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_n^2] = r_{\epsilon\epsilon}[0]$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\min} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathcal{S}_\epsilon d\Omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\mathcal{S}_D - \mathcal{S}_{DX}H - \mathcal{S}_{XD}H^* + |H|^2\mathcal{S}_X) d\Omega \Big|_{H=H^{\text{opt}}=\frac{\mathcal{S}_{XD}}{\mathcal{S}_X}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\mathcal{S}_D - \mathcal{S}_{DX}\mathcal{S}_{XD}/\mathcal{S}_X - \mathcal{S}_{XD}\mathcal{S}_{DX}/\mathcal{S}_X + \mathcal{S}_{XD}\mathcal{S}_{DX}/\mathcal{S}_X) d\Omega, \quad (\mathcal{S}_{DX} = \mathcal{S}_{XD}^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} (\mathcal{S}_D - \mathcal{S}_{XD}^* H^{\text{opt}}) d\omega, \quad \text{as required} \end{aligned}$$

• d) in this case, $\mathcal{S}_{XD} = \mathcal{S}_D$ and $\mathcal{S}_D^* = \mathcal{S}_D$, it is one step from c)

- a) basically covered in lecture notes:

$$\begin{aligned} S_d(e^{j\Omega}) &= |H(e^{j\Omega})|^2 S_e(e^{j\Omega}) = \frac{\sigma_e^2}{(1 - a_1 e^{-j\Omega})(1 - a_1 e^{j\Omega})} = \frac{\sigma_e^2}{1 + a_1^2 - 2a_1 \cos \Omega} \\ &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} r_{dd}[m] e^{-jm\Omega} \Rightarrow r_{dd}[m] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{2\pi} S_d \cdot e^{jm\Omega} d\Omega = \frac{\sigma_e^2}{1 - a_1^2} a_1^{|m|}, \quad (\text{DTFT}) \end{aligned}$$

- sketch:
- b)

$$H(e^{j\Omega}) = \frac{S_d(e^{j\Omega})}{S_d(e^{j\Omega}) + S_v(e^{j\Omega})} = \frac{\sigma_e^2}{\sigma_e^2 + (1 - a_1 e^{-j\Omega})(1 - a_1 e^{j\Omega}) \sigma_v^2}$$

- c) quite straightforward, non-causal

• a)

$$\epsilon_n = d_n - \hat{d}_n = d_n - x_n + \sum_{p=0}^P h_p v_{2,n-p}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial h_p} \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_n^2] = \mathbb{E}[2\epsilon_n \frac{\partial \epsilon_n}{\partial h_p}] = \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_n v_{2,n-p}] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_n v_{2,n-p'}] = \mathbb{E}[(d_n - x_n + \sum_{p=0}^P h_p v_{2,n-p}) v_{2,n-p'}]$$

$$= r_{dv_2}[-p'] - r_{xv_2}[-p'] + \sum_{p=0}^P r_{v_2 v_2}[p - p']$$

$$\Rightarrow r_{dv_2}[-p'] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad r_{xv_2}[\cdot] = r_{(d+v_1)v_2}[\cdot] = r_{v_1 v_2}[\cdot]$$

$$\Rightarrow r_{v_1 v_2}[-p'] = \sum_{p=0}^P h_p r_{v_2 v_2}[p - p'] = \sum_{p=0}^P h_p r_{v_2 v_2}[p' - p] = r_{v_2 v_1}[p'] \quad \text{as required}$$

Hence:

$$\mathbf{R}_{v_2} \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{r}_{v_2 v_1}$$

- b) since v_2 is ergodic and is measured directly, we have:

$$\hat{r}_{v_2 v_2}[k] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N v_{2,n} v_{2,n+k}$$

- c)

$$r_{v_2 v_1}[k] = \mathbb{E}[v_{2,n} v_{1,n+k}] = \mathbb{E}[v_{2,n}(x_{n+k} - d_{n+k})] = r_{v_2 x}[k] - r_{v_2 d}[k] = r_{v_2 x}[k]$$

$$\hat{r}_{v_2 v_1}[k] = \hat{r}_{v_2 x}[k] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N v_{2,n} x_{n+k}$$

the stationary assumption on d (speech) is not realistic, maybe try out Kalman filter

• a)

$$r_{bx}[m] = \mathbb{E}[b_n x_{n+m}] = \mathbb{E}\left[b_n \sum_{i=0}^1 c_i b_{n+m-i}\right] = \sum_{i=0}^1 c_i \mathbb{E}[b_n b_{n+m-i}] = \begin{cases} c_0, & m = 0 \\ c_1, & m = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_{xx}[m] &= \mathbb{E}[x_n x_{n+m}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=0}^1 c_i b_{n-i} \sum_{j=0}^1 c_j b_{n+m-j}\right] = \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=0}^1 c_i c_j \mathbb{E}[b_{n-i} b_{n+m-j}] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^1 c_i c_{m-i} = \begin{cases} 1.01, & m = 0 \\ 0.1, & m = \pm 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

• b)

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \mathbb{E}\left[(b_n - \hat{b}_n)^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(b_n - \sum_{i=0}^1 h_i x_{n-i}\right)^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[(b_n - \mathbf{h}^T \mathbf{x}_n)^2\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[b_n^2] + \mathbf{h}^T \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_n \mathbf{x}_n^T] \mathbf{h} - 2\mathbb{E}[b_n \mathbf{h}^T \mathbf{x}_n] \end{aligned}$$

$$\partial J / \partial \mathbf{h} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_n \mathbf{x}_n^T] \mathbf{h} = \mathbb{E}[b_n \mathbf{x}_n] \Rightarrow \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{R}_x^{-1} \mathbf{r}_{bx} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.01 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 1.01 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.99 \\ 0.001 \end{bmatrix}$$