



UMC Utrecht

Types of missing data

K.G.M. (Carl) Moons, PhD

k.g.m.moons@umcutrecht.nl



Introduction

Study is completed:

- All patients measured
- Determinants/predictors/covariates/independent variables/ X 'n AND the outcome/dependent variable/ Y
- Data in computer
- Descriptive/frequency tables - MISSINGS



Introduction

- MISSINGS = problems → precision (loss of statistical power) + validity (bias)
- TO PREVENT IS BETTER THAN TO CURE



Introduction

- Missing data always occur (any study):
 - retrospective and prospective
 - existing (routine care) databases
 - large scale population-based
 - (even!) well organised randomised trials
- Challenge: how proper analyses with missing values?
 - unbiased effect estimates (validity)
 - precise effect estimates (precision)



Introduction

- Epidemiological analyses:
 - Association determinants (predictors/ covariates/confounders) with outcome
 - Multivariable (regression) analyses
 - What happens with participant record if one variable (X or Y) is missing?
 - = complete case (CC) analyses
 - = most common
 - = always affects precision of effect estimates (not all data used)
 - = commonly causes invalidity (bias)



Introduction

- Most (epidemiological) studies use complete case analysis → Ignoring (=one method)
- There are other methods to handle missings – may also cause bias.
- Type and severity bias depend on method used and type of missing data.



Type of missing values

- 3 types: MCAR, MAR, MNAR
- Missing Completely At Random (MCAR)
- Missing At Random (MAR)
- Missing Not At Random (MNAR)



Type of missing values

1. Missing Completely At Random (MCAR)

- The probability that an observation is missing does not depend on 'anything' except chance
- Examples?
- The probability that the observation of a given variable for a certain subject is missing is constant for all subjects
 - Missingness NOT related to any other patient characteristics -> including the outcome status



Type of missing values

- If MCAR holds, almost all analytical methods (see later) for handling missing data give unbiased results, although less precise
- Realistic?
 - Reason: missing related to other patient characteristics, including outcome (!!!)
 - = MAR = missing at random



Type of missing values

2. Missing At Random (MAR)

- Probability that an observation is missing depends only on other observed values (patient characteristics, including the outcome)

Most advanced methods to handle missing values under MAR yield in principle unbiased + more precise study results → see later



Type of missing values

3. Missing Not At Random (MNAR)

- The probability that an observation is missing depends also on unobserved values.
- E.g. probability of missing on a variable depends on the true (but unknown) value of that variable itself
- Examples
 - sexual preference
 - income level (to estimate SES)
 - higher levels values have larger probability of being missed than lower values



Type of missing values

- Missing data seldom (if ever) MCAR
- MNAR = problems → no general methods for properly dealing with MNAR data!

How to check likelihood of missing data being MCAR or rather MAR?

Next slide = very important table in empirical research!



Table. Distribution of co-variates among subjects without and with missing values (total n=398).

| Variables | No missings n=246 (62%) | | ≥ 1 missing n=152 (38%) | | p-value |
|--|----------------------------|------|----------------------------|------|---------|
| Pulmonary embolism (outcome variable) | 47 | | 36 | | 0.02 |
| Dyspnoea | 80 | | 66 | | <0.01 |
| Malignancy | 28 | | 16 | | <0.01 |
| Surgery in previous 3 months | 24 | | 16 | | 0.04 |
| Prior deep venous thrombosis | 6 | | 10 | | 0.17 |
| Wheezing | 18 | | 11 | | 0.09 |
| Previous pulmonary embolism | 5 | | 12 | | 0.02 |
| Collapse with or without loss of consciousness | 10 | | 5 | | 0.06 |
| Signs of deep venous thrombosis | 11 | | 7 | | 0.15 |
| Age (years)* | 57 | (17) | 54 | (18) | 0.19 |
| Positive Chest x-ray | 43 | | 36 | | 0.17 |
| Respiratory rate (breaths/min)* | 22 | (7) | 18 | (6) | <0.01 |

* Mean (sd)

Testing for MCAR/MAR

- Missing data CLEARLY not MCAR
 - Analyzed subset of 246 subjects is not random subset of the original study sample (N=398) → SELECTION bias due to missings
 - Missing related to other observed characteristics (incl. outcome) = MAR
 - If missingness related to observed characteristics – these can be used to estimate/predict the missing values!
 - Missing could still partly MNAR – but also MAR. Cannot test for MNAR
 - only reduce MNAR-part as much as possible by including many observed chars (increasing MAR)
 - Compare: adjustment for known confounders (MAR) versus residual confounders (MNAR)
- Exception: missing outcomes in RCTs - previous table not enough
 - See later (Groenwold RH et al: CMAJ 2014 + AM J EPI 2012)



Thank you for your attention

