

# Group creation in a collaborative P2P channel allocation protocol

## *Identifying connected groups of access points*

Hans Jørgen Furre Nygårdshaug



Thesis submitted for the degree of  
Master in Informatikk: programmering og  
nettverk  
60 credits

Institutt for informatikk  
Faculty of mathematics and natural sciences

UNIVERSITY OF OSLO

Spring 2017



# Group creation in a collaborative P2P channel allocation protocol

*Identifying connected groups of access  
points*

Hans Jørgen Furre Nygårdshaug

© 2017 Hans Jørgen Furre Nygårdshaug

Group creation in a collaborative P2P channel allocation protocol

<http://www.duo.uio.no/>

Printed: Reprosentralen, University of Oslo

# Chapter 1

## Disposition

### 1.1 Introduction part

- Introduction to the wifi interference problem
- Taking a step back and looking at other attempts on solving the problem
- Begin presenting Torleiv and Magnus work, the idea, and maybe the p2p protocol.

### 1.2 Main thesis part

#### 1.2.1 Group allocation algorithm

- The problem.
- Possible solutions? References.
- Complexity, out of scope for thesis. Assume problem is solved.

#### 1.2.2 The algorithm itself

- Elaborating on the problem, introducing the first algorithm suggestion.
- Explain simulation data creation with stochastic uniform distribution.
- Show how the group creation algorithm was created, design decisions (iterations etc).
- Results with visualizations through the visualization tool.
- Evaluate results and consider improvements. How will this work in the wild?
- Introduce SSB data, the data format and why it is relevant. How is the tool made.
- Same procedure with result visualization and result evaluation. Do we still need improvements?
- Introducing Wigle as data source. Show results on map?

### 1.3 Concluding part

- Have we created a meaningful algorithm that can be implemented in hardware?

# Chapter 2

## Introduction

### 2.1 Channel allocation

To deal with the problem of channel allocation we will think of an AP as a vertex in a graph. When an AP scans its radio it can hear the strength of all nearby wireless networks measured in dBm (decibel milliwatts). This decibel value will be the value of the edge between one AP to another. With a graph expressing the wireless network topology, the problem of optimally distributing channels between APs boils down to a graph coloring problem. The number of colors in the color problem, represents the number of non-overlapping channels in 802.11. Exactly how an algorithm can be designed to optimally distribute channels within the interfering topology is out of the scope of this thesis. However we can define some invariants that has to be true for such an algorithm to work:

1. All APs has to run the same algorithm
2. All APs must run the algorithm on the same connected group
3. Because of the complexity of the problem the algorithm must solve, the number of APs in the connected group can not be too big

Point 1 is trivial to solve or mitigate, as only APs running the algorithm will actively participate in the channel selection. A simple way to make sure that the same algorithm is used, is by having a software version that is consistently checked with the other APs in the connected group.

Point 2 and point 3 is will be the main focus of the rest of the master thesis, as these are not so easily solved.

We can define a wireless topology graph as a set of wireless APs that are grouped together and share information about their neighbours and interference levels. This set is what will now on be referred to as a *connected group*. All members of the connected group will be considered when running the channel assignment algorithm. For the connected group to have an actual impact on the quality of a network connection, it has to consist of nodes that normally disturbs each other substantially.

An ideal example of a connected group is an apartment building. The channel allocation protocol lets APs share information about who-disturbs-who the most in the building. Then each AP can run the channel allocation algorithm.

Because they run it on the same graph, every AP will find the same optimal channel distribution throughout the building, and then switch to the correct channel.

Even though an apartment building is most likely an optimal delimitation of a connected group, in reality creating such a group is a bigger challenge. As the whole channel allocation protocol is based on decentralized peer-to-peer technology, and no centralized server with access to demographical and geographical divisions exists, the protocol will have to discover suitable connected groups on its own. Moreover, when the group is created the protocol will have to replicate data so that all participants of the group has all the data required to perform channel allocation. It will also need a way to make sure that the image of the current group is consistent within all APs in the connected group.



## Chapter 3

# Connected groups

To enable collaborative channel allocation, it is important for every AP to know which other APs it is collaborating with. One way to share information about who collaborates with who, is to let the access points group together. Information relevant for channel allocation can then be shared freely within the group, between APs.

We will proceed by looking at some of the requirements for a group creation algorithm. It should work decentralized in a distributed fashion. Hence, not only does the APs have to be imposed group membership, but they also have to be able to create and define meaningful groups on their own. Later we will propose an algorithm to create groups, and then evaluate computed groups based on the algorithm.

### 3.1 Definition

A connected group is a set of access points that are within close geographical range. The group should consist of APs that interfere with each other when their channels are overlapping, so that overlap can be avoided with a channel allocation algorithm run within the group. Not all APs in the connected group will necessarily be able to hear each other on the radio directly, but all nodes should be able to hear each other through a transitive relation (neighbour of a neighbour, etc.).

### 3.2 Requirements and assumptions

We are going to be looking at how APs can organize themselves without a central coordinator, but it should be noted that the creation of groups could potentially be easier if a centralized entity had the full picture of APs and their radio readings. It could then combine geographical information along with AP readings to create consistent groups. We will consider the two options against each other later on, but proceeding ahead with P2P group creation as the main concern. Essentially this means that an AP has no other knowledge of the world than the other APs it can hear on its radio. For the sake of creating and evaluating a group creation algorithm, we will assume a number of things that will have to be solved at a later point:

1. All APs visible on any AP's radio also runs the group creation algorithm.
2. If an AP can see another AP on its radio, it also knows how to directly contact the AP (e.g. via TCP).
3. All APs in a group are completely synchronous, and always have an equal image of the state of the group.

### 3.3 Proposing an algorithm

The group should have a maximum amount of participants. If a group exceeds the maximum size, it has to kick out the members that influences the group the least. By influencing we are referring to how loud other members of the group can hear the AP in question. To prevent the group from oscillating between kicking out members and rejoining members, once it reaches its maximum size, it should be locked for further group memberships once it reaches its maximum size. An important requirement is that nodes that influences each other strongly should always be a part of the same group, and not be split into two separate groups.

#### 3.3.1 Simulation data

Primarily, before beginning to implement and test the group creation algorithm, the task is to create usable data to perform testing on. . Additionally, each node should have a neighbour list, containing the respective interference measured in  $-dB_i$  for each neighbour. In addition, the following parameters should be variable depending on each test scenario:

- Map size (width of x- and y-axis).
- Number of nodes
- Minimum distance between nodes (in meters)
- Minimum measured  $-dB_i$  for a neighbour to consider it interfering

The program that generates the data is written in python, and can export the topology data to JSON-format so it can be visualized or used by other applications.

The interference levels between APs is calculated by iterating through each node. For each node  $N$  we record its x and y position, and then start a second iteration through the nodes. For each node in the second iteration  $n$  we calculate the distance  $d$  in meters between  $N$  and  $n$  using Euclidean distance.