Professor: P.J. Healy TA: Han Wang

HW

1. Public Goods & Externalities

HW 1. Prove that at the Walrasian equilibrium, the only contributor is the agent with highest $v_i'(y^*)$.

- **HW 2.** Prove that Lindahl equilibrium is IR.
- **HW 3.** Check that in the Kolm triangle, if $p_1 + p_2 = 1$, then price hyperplanes coincide.

2. Social Choice

- **HW** 4. Consider the domain of single-peaked preferences and let F be pairwise majority voting SWF. Is F transitive? What happens if n is odd/even? Could F have cycles "below the top"?
- **HW** 5. Consider the domain of single-peaked preferences and let F be the SWF under pairwise majority voting. Is F transitive? (What happens if n is odd/even?) Could F have cycles "below the top"?
- **HW 6.** We've shown the proof of Muller-Satterthwaite theorem using social choice function. Go through the similar proof but with social welfare function, Paretian and IIA. (Read Reny's paper)
- **HW** 7. Prove or disprove
 - 1. F is $IIA + PAR \implies f$ MONO + WP
 - 2. F is dictatorial \implies f dictatorial
 - 3. $f MONO + WP \implies F \text{ is } IIA + PAR$
 - 4. f dictatorial \implies F is dictatorial
- **HW 8.** We know how to construct from F (SWF) to f (SCF) and to F' (SWF). Is F the same as F'?

3. Mechanism Design

HW 9. We've shown that the revelation principle holds for single agent utility maximization.

$$F(\theta) \supseteq g(\mu_{\Gamma}(\theta)) \supseteq g(\hat{\mu}_{\Gamma}(\theta)) = h(\theta) \in h(\mu_{\hat{\Gamma}}(\theta))$$

- 1. Is $h(\theta) = h(\mu_{\hat{\gamma}}(\theta))$ for every θ ?
- 2. If $\Gamma = (S, g)$ weakly implements F, then $\hat{\Gamma} = (\Theta, h \equiv g \circ \hat{\mu}_{\Gamma})$ weakly implements F.
- **HW** 10. If f is single-valued, then if $\exists \Gamma$ that weakly implements f, then f is IC. The contrapositive to this result is: If f is not IC, then there is no Γ that weakly implements f. Could there exist a Γ that partially implements f?

HW 11.

Theorem 1. Suppose SC_+ and uniformly bounded MRS. $f(\theta) = (x(\theta), t(\theta))$ is IC, if and only if

1.
$$\frac{dx}{d\theta} \geq 0, \forall \theta$$

2.
$$\frac{dt}{d\theta} = -\left(\frac{du/dx}{du/dt}\right) \cdot \frac{dx}{d\theta}, \ \forall \theta$$

Prove the "if" part of this theorem by contradiction.

HW 12. Consider a quasi-linear setting:

• Principal: $u_0(x,t) = v_0(x) - t$

• Agent: $u_1(x,t|\theta) = v_1(x|\theta) + t$

• $MRS: \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} > 0; SC_+: \frac{\partial^2 v_1}{\partial x \partial \theta} > 0$

(First-best)
$$\max_{x(\cdot),t(\cdot)} v_0(x(\theta)) - t(\theta) \quad s.t. \ [IR] \ v_1(x(\theta)|\theta) + t(\theta) \ge 0, \ \forall \theta$$

- IR will be binding at every θ .
- $x \in \arg\max_{x(\cdot)} \{v_0(x(\theta)) + v_1(x(\theta)|\theta)\}, \text{ so } x \text{ is } P.O.$
- x is not IC (agent has incentive to lie downwards):

$$u_{1}(x(\hat{\theta}), t(\hat{\theta})|\theta) = v_{1}(x(\hat{\theta})|\theta) \underbrace{-v_{1}(x(\hat{\theta})|\hat{\theta})}_{=t(\hat{\theta}) \text{ (binding IR)}}$$

$$[\hat{\theta}] \quad \frac{\partial v_{1}(x(\hat{\theta})|\theta)}{\partial x} \frac{dx(\hat{\theta})}{d\hat{\theta}} - \frac{\partial v_{1}(x(\hat{\theta})|\hat{\theta})}{\partial x} \frac{dx(\hat{\theta})}{d\hat{\theta}} - \frac{\partial v_{1}(x(\hat{\theta})|\hat{\theta})}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

$$\iff \underbrace{\left[\frac{\partial v_{1}(x(\hat{\theta})|\theta)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v_{1}(x(\hat{\theta})|\hat{\theta})}{\partial x}\right]}_{>0} \frac{dx(\hat{\theta})}{d\hat{\theta}} = \frac{\partial v_{1}(x(\hat{\theta})|\hat{\theta})}{\partial \theta} \implies By SC_{+}, \ \theta \ge \hat{\theta}$$

Here, we need to show that the first best solution satisfies $dx(\hat{\theta})/d\hat{\theta} \geq 0$.

(Second-best)

$$\max_{x(\cdot),t(\cdot)} \int_{\underline{\theta}}^{\hat{\theta}} \left[v_0(x(\theta)) - t(\theta) \right] g(\theta) d\theta \quad s.t. \ [IR] \ v_1(x(\theta)|\theta) + t(\theta) \ge 0, \ \forall \theta$$

$$[IC] \ v_1(x(\theta)|\theta) + t(\theta) \ge v_1(x(\hat{\theta})|\theta) + t(\hat{\theta}), \ \forall \theta, \hat{\theta}$$

- 1. Verify that the first best solution satisfies $dx(\hat{\theta})/d\hat{\theta} \geq 0$.
- 2. Verify that the second best solution satisfies $dx(\hat{\theta})/d\hat{\theta} \geq 0$.

3. In class, we solve the second best solution with SC_+ . What happens if we assume SC_- instead?

HW 13. In the example of regulating a firm, using integration by parts to rewrite the double integral

$$\int \int_{\theta}^{\bar{\theta}} \phi'(\tau - c(\tau)) d\tau g(\theta) d\theta.$$

HW 14.

Theorem 2. With linear preferences, f is BIC, if and only if

1. V_i is non-decreasing in θ_i

2.
$$T_i(\theta_i) = \int_{\theta_i}^{\theta_i} V_i(\tau) d\tau - \theta_i V_i(\theta_i) + u_i(\theta_i)$$

Prove that the "if" part of this theorem by contradiction.

HW 15. We've shown that the optimal mechanism is equivalent to first price auction with reserve price. With $\sum_i x_i \leq 1$, the planner can keep the good. What would be the optimal mechanism with $\sum_i x_i = 1$?

HW 16. 1. Prove that the revelation principle holds for BNE.

2. Could there be any bad equilibrium? YES (Read Example 4.1.2 in Dasgupta, Hammond & Maskin (1979))

HW 17. 1. Prove that the revelation principle holds for dominant strategy equilibrium.

HW 18. We can write transfers in different ways:

$$DSIC \implies [FOC] \frac{\partial t_i}{\partial \hat{\theta}_i} = -\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \hat{\theta}_i} \implies t_i(\theta_i, \hat{\theta}_{-i}) = t_i(\underline{\theta}_i, \hat{\theta}_{-i}) - \int_{\underline{\theta}_i}^{\theta_i} \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \hat{\theta}_i} d\tau$$

Are they the same? Why?

HW 19. If we add marginal cost K and consider budget balance $\sum_i t_i = -Ky$, how should we modify VCG/Groves mechanisms?

HW 20. Is Arrow-d'Aspremont-Gerard-Varet mechanism DSIC?

HW 21. Show that in the Groves & Ledyard mechanism, every NE m^* gives a P.O. outcome (+BB).