

# Homework 6 Real Analysis

Hanyuan Zhu

November 7, 2018

## Problem 2.19

(a) A and B are closed, that is the set of their limit points,  $A'$  and  $B'$ , are in A and B, respectively,  $A' \subset A, B' \subset B$ .

Then  $\bar{A} = A \cup A' = A$  and  $\bar{B} = B \cup B' = B$ .

Since A and B are disjoint,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{A} \cap B &= A \cap B = \emptyset \\ A \cap \bar{B} &= A \cap B = \emptyset\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

Therefore A and B are separated.

(b) A and B are open and disjoint,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . To prove A and B are separated, that is to prove  $(\bar{A} \cap B) \cup (A \cap \bar{B}) = \emptyset$ .

We will simplify such statement below,

$$\begin{aligned}(\bar{A} \cap B) \cup (A \cap \bar{B}) &= \emptyset \\ \Leftrightarrow (\bar{A} \cap B)^c \cap (A \cap \bar{B})^c &= \emptyset^c = X \\ \Leftrightarrow (\bar{A}^c \cup B^c) \cap (A^c \cup \bar{B}^c) &= X \\ \Leftrightarrow (\bar{A}^c \cap A^c) \cup (\bar{A}^c \cap \bar{B}^c) \cup (B^c \cap \bar{B}^c) \cup (B^c \cap A^c) &= X \\ \text{because } \bar{A}^c \subset A^c \text{ and } \bar{B}^c \subset B^c \\ \Leftrightarrow A^c \cup (\bar{A}^c \cap \bar{B}^c) \cup B^c \cup (B^c \cap A^c) &= X \\ \Leftrightarrow (A^c \cup B^c) \cup (\bar{A}^c \cap \bar{B}^c) \cup (B^c \cap A^c) &= X \\ \text{because } \bar{A}^c \cap \bar{B}^c &= (A \cup A')^c \cap (B \cup B')^c = (A^c \cap B^c) \cap (A'^c \cap B'^c) \\ \text{then } \bar{A}^c \cap \bar{B}^c &\subset A^c \cap B^c \\ \Leftrightarrow (A^c \cup B^c) \cup (A^c \cap B^c) &= X \\ \Leftrightarrow A^c \cup B^c &= X \\ \Leftrightarrow A \cap B &= \emptyset\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

According to above, if A and B are open sets and we want to show A and B are separated, it is equivalent to show  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Since A and B are disjoint, which is  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , thus A and B are separated.

(c) For some  $p \in X$ ,  $\delta > 0$ ,  $A(p) = \{q \in X | d(p, q) < \delta\}$ ,  $B(p) = \{q \in X | d(p, q) > \delta\}$ .

Firstly, we show  $A(p)$  and  $B(p)$  are disjoint.

$$A(p) \cap B(p) = \{q \in X | d(p, q) < \delta \ \& \ d(p, q) > \delta\} = \emptyset$$

Thus  $A(p)$  and  $B(p)$  are disjoint.

Secondly, we shall show  $A(p)$  and  $B(p)$  are open. For every point  $q \in A(p)$ , there is  $\epsilon = \frac{\delta - d(p, q)}{2}$ , any  $x \in N_\epsilon(q)$ ,  $x \in A(p)$ . Therefore  $A(p)$  is open. In the same manner, let  $\epsilon = \frac{d(p, q) - \delta}{2}$ , we can see  $B(p)$  is open.

Since  $A(p)$  and  $B(p)$  are disjoint and open, by part (b), we know  $A(p)$  and  $B(p)$  are separated.

(d.) First of all, we will show if metric space  $X$  is connected, it is perfect.

Lets assume if  $X$  is not perfect, there is a point  $x \in X$  not a limit point of  $X$ . Therefore, we can construct two disjoint subset  $A$  and  $B$ , such that  $A \cup B = X$ ,  $\bar{A} = A = \{x\}$  and  $\bar{B} = B = A^c$ .

$$\bar{A} \cap B = \emptyset$$

$$A \cap \bar{B} = \emptyset$$

Above means if  $X$  is nonperfect,  $X$  is not connected. It means **if metric space  $X$  is connected, it is perfect.**

By Thm 2.43, we know a nonempty perfect set is uncountable. Therefore the connected metric space  $X$  is uncountable.

## Problem 2.20

Set  $E$  is connected

**The closure  $\bar{E}$  is connected** To show it, We assume there exist two disjoint subset of the closure of  $E$ ,  $A \cup B = \bar{E}$ , s.t.  $A$  and  $B$  are separated.

1. If  $A \cap E \neq \emptyset$  and  $B \cap E \neq \emptyset$ , Then  $A$  and  $B$  are not separated by connectedness of  $E$ .
2. Therefore,  $A \subset E' \setminus E$  or  $B \subset E' \setminus E$ . WLOG, we assume  $A \subset E' \setminus E$ , Then  $\bar{B} = \bar{E}$ , and  $A \cap \bar{B} = A \neq \emptyset$ . So any  $A$  and  $B$  are connected

**The interior  $E^\circ$  could be separable** Counterexample:  $A$  and  $B$  are two closed sets share some limit points in set  $E$ , and points in  $E$  are neither an interior point of  $A$  nor of  $B$ . Such as two rectangles share a borderline.

### Problem 2.21

a. Firstly, we will show  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  are disjoint. By definition, if  $x \in A_0$  then  $p(x) \in A$ ; since  $A$  and  $B$  are separated,  $p(x) \notin B$ , that is  $x \notin B_0$ . And it is the same for any  $x \in B_0$ .

Secondly, we shall show no limit point  $x \in A'_0$  of  $A_0$  is in  $B_0$ . We assume if there exist  $x \in A'_0$ ,  $x \in B_0$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , you can find  $d(x, s) = |x - s| < \epsilon$   $s \in A_0$ . By definition, for some  $a \in A$ , and  $b \in B$   $p(s) = (1 - s)a + sb = a + (b - a)s \in A$  and  $p(x) = (1 - x)a + xb \in B$ .  $d(p(x), p(s)) = \|p(x) - p(s)\| = \|(b - a)(x - s)\| = |x - s|\|b - a\| < \|b - a\|\epsilon$ . That is for any  $\|b - a\|\epsilon > 0$   $p(x) \in B$ , there exist  $p(s) \in A$  s.t.  $d(p(x), p(s)) < \|b - a\|\epsilon$ . Therefore  $A$  has limit point in  $B$ , which contradicts to that  $A$  and  $B$  are separated. By the same means we can show no limit point of  $B_0$  can be found in  $A_0$ . Therefore  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  are separated.

b. We have showed in part a. that  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  are separated,  $t = 0 \in A_0$  and  $t = 1 \in B_0$ , therefore there exist  $t_0 \in (0, 1)$  s.t.  $t_0 \notin A_0 \cup B_0$ . By definition,  $p(t_0) \notin A \cup B$ .

c. Because for any convex subset  $E$  of  $R_k$ , any  $a \in E$  and  $b \in E$ , we have  $p(t) \in E$ , where  $p(t) = (1 - t)a + tb$  for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ . We have proved in part b. , by such condition, any nonempty subsets of  $E$  are connected. Therefore  $E$  is connected.

### Problem 2.24

To show metric space  $X$  is separable, that is to show there is a countable dense subset  $E$  of  $X$ .

Before to construct such subset  $E$ , we will show  $X$  has a finite base is bounded. Firstly, we assume  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots\}$  is an infinity sequence in  $X$ , and  $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq \delta$ . Then contradiction arises here, because such an infinity subset has no limit point,  $N_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(x_i) = \{x_i\}$ . Therefore the subset  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n\}$  must be finite for some  $n$ , and  $X = \cup_i^n N_{\delta}(x_i)$ .

Thus, for some  $\delta_0 > 0$ , we can have a finite subset  $S_{\delta_0}$ , and  $|S_{\delta_0}| = N_{\delta_0}$ . By the same manner, We can construct a countable subset  $E = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{\frac{\delta_0}{n}}$ , because  $S_{\frac{\delta_0}{n}}$  is finite and  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  is countable.

To show such  $E$  is dense in  $X$ . We know every subset,  $S_{\frac{\delta_0}{n}}$ , can make a finite cover  $\{N_{\frac{\delta_0}{n}}(x_i) | x_i \in S_{\frac{\delta_0}{n}}\}$  of  $X$  by radius  $\frac{\delta_0}{n}$ . Let  $x \in X$ , for arbitrary  $\sigma > 0$ , you can have  $x \in N_{\frac{\delta_0}{n}}(x_i)$  with  $n > \frac{\delta_0}{\sigma}$ , and  $x_i \in S_{\frac{\delta_0}{n}} \subset E$ . So  $E$  is dense in  $X$ .

Therefore, because there is a dense countable subset  $E$  in metric space  $X$ .  $X$  is separable.

### Problem 2.25

$K$  is compact metric with a finite base.  $x \in K$ ,  $B_{\frac{1}{i}}(x)$  is the neighborhood of  $x$  with radii  $\frac{1}{i}$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . Thus for every  $n$ ,  $K$  has a open cover  $K = \cup_{x \in K} B_{\frac{1}{i}}(x)$ , and a corresponding finite subcover is  $K = \cup_{x \in E_i} B_{\frac{1}{i}}(x)$ , where  $E_i \subset K$  is a finite subset with cardinality  $|E_i| = N_i$ .

Then we can construct the countable base of metric space  $K$  by

$$B = \cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}^+} \cup_{x \in E_i} B_{\frac{1}{i}}(x)$$

We denote the center point of the  $j$ th ball corresponding to the finite subset  $E_i$  as  $x_j^i$ . The set

$$X = \{x_j^i | i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } j \in 1, 2, \dots, N_i\}$$

is countable, because for each  $i$ ,  $N_i$  is finite and  $\mathbb{N}$  is countable.

To show  $X$  is dense in  $K$ , for any  $k \in K$  with arbitrary  $\delta > 0$ , you have  $i > \frac{1}{\delta}$  s.t.  $k \in B_{\frac{1}{i}}(x_j^i)$  with some  $j < N_i$ .

Therefore  $X$  is a countable dense subset of  $K$ , which means  $K$  is separable.

### Problem 2.29

First, we want to prove for every open set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^1$ , there exists a union of disjoint segments s.t.  $\cup_i S_i = E$ .

For any  $x \in E$ , we denote  $\{S_\alpha^{(x)}\}$  as a collection of intervals,  $S_\alpha^{(x)} \subset E$ , that contains  $x$ , and  $S^{(x)} = \cup_\alpha S_\alpha^{(x)}$ . Such  $S^x$  is the maximum segments contains  $x$ , which means if  $y \in S^x$ ,  $S^x = S^y$  and if  $y \notin S^x$ ,  $S^x \cap S^y = \emptyset$  that is disjointed. For all  $x \in E$ , we will have a collection of distinct  $T = \{S^{(x)}\}$ .  $E = \cup_T S^{(x)}$

Secondly, we will show such  $T$  is a countable collection. Since rational number is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^1$ , any  $S^{(x)} \subset E$  contains rational numbers. Since every disjoint(or distinct)  $S^{(x)}$  contains distinct rational numbers. If  $T$  is a uncountable collection,  $\{S_\alpha^{(x)}\}$ , it means there are uncountably many distinct rational numbers in  $E$ , which is a contradiction to the countability of rational number. Therefore open set  $E$  is a union of at most countable collection of disjoint segments.

### Problem 3.1

a. Since  $\{s_n\}$  converges, it implies, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N$  s.t.  $|s_n - s_m| < \epsilon$  for any  $m > N$  and  $n > N$ .  $||s_n| - |s_m|| < |s_n - s_m| < \epsilon$  for any  $m > N$  and  $n > N$ . Thus  $\{|s_n|\}$  converges.

b. When  $s_n = (-1)^n$ ,  $\{|s_n|\}$  converge 1, but  $\{s_n\}$  doesn't converge.

**Problem 3.2**

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n^2 + n} - n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\sqrt{n^2 + n} - n)(\sqrt{n^2 + n} + n)}{\sqrt{n^2 + n} + n} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^2 + n - n^2}{\sqrt{n^2 + n} + n} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\sqrt{n^2 + n} + n} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n}} + 1} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

**Problem 3.3**

**Firstly, we show  $\{s_n\}$  is bounded.** Since  $0 < s_1 = \sqrt{2} < 2$ , we assume  $0 < s_n < 2$ .

$$0 < s_{n+1} = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{s_n}} < \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}} < \sqrt{2 + 2} = 2$$

Therefore  $\{s_n\}$  is bounded by 0 and 2.

**Secondly, we show  $\{s_n\}$  is monotonic.**

$$s_2 = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}} > \sqrt{2} = s_1 \tag{4}$$

Assume  $s_n > s_{n-1}$ .

$$s_{n+1} = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{s_n}} > \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{s_{n-1}}} = s_n \tag{5}$$

Therefore  $\{s_n\}$  is monotonically increase.

By theorem 3.14,  $\{s_n\}$  converges.