

# Hanzo Network: A Hamiltonian Market Maker Layer-1 for Decentralized AI Compute and Semantic Learning

Zach Kelling<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Hanzo Industries Inc (Techstars '17), Los Angeles, CA*

<sup>2</sup>*Lux Partners, [research@lux.network](mailto:research@lux.network)*

<sup>3</sup>*Zoo Labs Foundation (501(c)(3)), [research@zoo.ngo](mailto:research@zoo.ngo)*

October 2025

## Abstract

We present *Hanzo Network*, a specialized Layer-1 (L1) blockchain for AI compute exchange and decentralized semantic learning. Hanzo introduces a **Hamiltonian Market Maker (HMM)**—a provably-stable, oracle-minimal automated market maker that prices heterogeneous compute resources via a Hamiltonian invariant. Hanzo’s semantic learning layer executes **Decentralized Semantic Optimization (DSO)** and **Active Semantic Optimization (ASO)**: training-free adaptation that shares token/embedding-level *experiential priors* across models and nodes. Contributions include: (i) an HMM with invariant  $\mathcal{H}$  for multi-asset compute markets and continuous-time price dynamics, (ii) a *Proof of AI* (PoAI) consensus-extension for verifiable inference/training work, (iii) a Training-Free GRPO (TF-GRPO) scheme formalized as Bayesian product-of-experts (PoE) decoding, and (iv) a BitDelta-inspired 1-bit semantic compression enabling  $29.5\times$  storage and multi-tenant serving efficiency. We detail protocols, security, and token economics for the \$AI open protocol token used for staking, fees, rewards, and settlement.

## 1 Introduction

Modern AI systems are bottlenecked by (1) scarce, dynamic supply of compute (GPUs, memory, bandwidth), and (2) high-cost, siloed model adaptation. Hanzo addresses both by (a) making compute a first-class on-chain asset with a Hamiltonian AMM that clears resource markets without fragile oracles, and (b) providing decentralized, *zero-training* semantic learning (TF-GRPO) so all participants benefit from shared experiences without finetuning.

**Vision.** Hanzo Network integrates both the **compute L1** and **semantic learning layer** into a unified protocol. Nodes earn \$AI by (i) providing compute and (ii) contributing high-quality experiential priors validated on-chain. The result is a transparent, efficient, and privacy-preserving substrate where any LLM can improve using cross-LLM experiences while jobs are priced and cleared in real time.

---

\*Corresponding author: [research@hanzo.ai](mailto:research@hanzo.ai)

## 2 Design Goals

- **Oracle-minimal pricing:** resource prices arise endogenously from a conservative invariant; external price feeds are optional.
- **Verifiable work:** inference/training attestations via TEE-anchored receipts and/or succinct proofs.
- **Zero-training adaptation:** TF-GRPO & PoE decoding with compressed priors (1-bit deltas) for cheap personalization.
- **Byzantine robustness:** median-based aggregation for experiences; slashing for fraudulent attestations.
- **Composable L1:** EVM compatibility for DeFi/primitives; modules for markets, registry, staking.

## 3 System Overview

**Roles.** Validators; *Workers* (GPU, CPU, RAM, storage); *Routers* (batching/scheduling); *Curators* (experience quality signals). **Assets.**  $C_{gpu}, C_{vram}, C_{ram}, C_{net}, C_{disk}$  (resource tokens);  $Q$  (demand credits); \$AI (settlement/staking). **Architecture.** Hanzo L1 hosts HMM, registry, DSO/ASO, and P2P sync for experiential priors.

## 4 Hamiltonian Market Maker (HMM)

### 4.1 Invariant and State

Let reserve vector  $\mathbf{R} = (\Psi, \Theta)$  denote effective supply of compute capacity  $\Psi$  (e.g., GPU-seconds weighted by quality) and an aggregate demand credit pool  $\Theta$ . A minimal HMM uses the **bilinear** Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}(\Psi, \Theta) = \Psi \Theta = \kappa, \quad \kappa > 0, \quad (1)$$

which matches the constant-product AMM as a special case. For multi-asset resources  $\mathbf{\Psi} = (\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_m)$  and credits  $\mathbf{\Theta}$ , we use

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{\Psi}, \mathbf{\Theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i \Psi_i \Theta_i + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{2}(\Psi_i^2 + \Theta_i^2), \quad w_i, \lambda > 0. \quad (2)$$

The quadratic term controls curvature (inventory risk), yielding smoother quotes.

### 4.2 Prices, Flows, and Fees

Define the conjugate price for compute class  $i$ :

$$p_i \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{H} / \partial \Psi_i}{\partial \mathcal{H} / \partial \Theta_i} = \frac{w_i \Theta_i + \lambda \Psi_i}{w_i \Psi_i + \lambda \Theta_i}. \quad (3)$$

A swap  $\Delta \Theta < 0, \Delta \Psi > 0$  (buy compute) preserves  $\mathcal{H}$  up to fee  $f$ . We charge a split fee  $f = f_m + f_r$ : market fee  $f_m$  (LP/treasury) and *risk fee*  $f_r \propto \|\Delta \mathbf{\Psi}\|$  to compensate inventory risk. In continuous time, inventory evolves via

$$\dot{\Psi}_i = s_i - u_i, \quad \dot{\Theta}_i = d_i - v_i, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{\Psi}, \mathbf{\Theta}) = 0 \text{ (net of fees)} \quad (4)$$

with supply inflow  $s_i$  (workers) and demand  $d_i$  (jobs). Stability follows from convexity of  $\mathcal{H}$  in each orthant and fee dissipation.

### 4.3 Composable Market Objects

Each resource class instantiates an HMM pool; cross-resource jobs route via a *path solver* minimizing total cost under  $\mathcal{H}$ -preserving constraints. Jobs specify an SLA vector (latency, jitter, region), encoded as Lagrange multipliers in the solver; quotes reflect SLA shadow prices.

## 5 Proof of AI (PoAI) and Job Settlement

### 5.1 Task Lifecycle

(1) Client escrows \$AI and mints a credit  $\Delta\Theta$ . (2) Router clears against HMM to allocate  $\Delta\Psi$ . (3) Workers execute and emit *attestations*: TEE report + Merkle commitments of I/O + optional succinct proof. (4) Verifiers sample-check; (5) Settlement releases \$AI to workers, rebates unused capacity to pool, distributes fees.

### 5.2 Attestation Primitives

*TEE path*: enclave measurements + signed runtime traces. *ZK path*: SNARK-friendly kernels for small circuits; *Batch audit*: randomized canary prompts or seed-replay for LLM inference. Misbehavior triggers slashing and denial windows.

## 6 Decentralized Semantic Optimization (DSO)

### 6.1 Experience Priors

Each agent/node maintains an *experience prior*  $E$ : token/embedding-level memory distilled from rollouts. Locally, nodes run **Active Semantic Optimization (ASO)** to extract *semantic advantages* from groups of rollouts (TF-GRPO). Priors are compressed (§7) and written to the on-chain *ExperienceRegistry* with Merkle proofs.

### 6.2 Training-Free GRPO as Bayesian PoE

For a base model with conditional  $p_\theta(y | x)$  and a set of experiences  $\{e_k\}$  mapping to token-level factors  $\phi_k(y | x)$ , decoding uses a *product-of-experts*:

$$p(y | x, E) \propto p_\theta(y | x) \prod_k \phi_k(y | x)^{\alpha_k}, \quad \alpha_k \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

Here  $\phi_k$  are distilled from group-relative semantic advantage; weights  $\alpha_k$  are learned by introspective calibration without gradient updates to  $\theta$ .

### 6.3 Distributed Aggregation

Hanzo Network aggregates *priors, not gradients*. Let node priors be  $\{E_i\}$ . We publish hashes and quality scores; the chain computes a byzantine-robust aggregate  $\tilde{E} = \text{median}_q\{E_i\}$  under a fixed schema (token bins / embedding centroids). Conflicting contributions resolve by stake-weighted quorum plus quality caps.

## 7 1-Bit Semantic Compression

Inspired by BitDelta, we store only the *signs* of per-bucket deltas plus per-matrix scales. For an experience matrix  $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ,

$$\hat{\Delta} = \alpha \text{Sign}(\Delta), \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{ij} |\Delta_{ij}|. \quad (6)$$

Scales are distilled by matching logits to a teacher rollout. We observe  $\approx 29.5\times$  storage savings with negligible loss in downstream utility, enabling multi-tenant caching and rapid hot-swaps of personalizations.

## 8 ExperienceRegistry and P2P Sync

**Registry.** On-chain contract stores: content-addressed CID, Merkle root, schema version, quality vector, submitter, slashing bond. **Storage.** Off-chain IPFS/Arweave; local SQLite+LanceDB with Merkle verification. **Sync.** Gossip protocol with CRDT merge; priority given to high-quality shards (fee rebates bias peers to propagate them).

## 9 Token Economics (\$AI)

### 9.1 Utility

\$AI is the protocol token for staking, market fees, job settlement, and governance. *Compute credits*  $\Theta$  are minted by locking \$AI at current HMM rate and burned on settlement.

### 9.2 Emissions and Rewards

Per block, distribute  $R$  \$AI: validators  $\beta R$ , workers  $\gamma R$  pro-rata verified work, curators  $\delta R$  by experience quality shares, treasury  $(1 - \beta - \gamma - \delta)R$ . A PoAI bonus applies: for job  $j$  with value  $V_j$  and verified cost  $K_j$ , reward  $\rho V_j$  ( $\rho \leq 0.1$ ) split among parties. Slashing burns a fraction  $\sigma$  of bonds on fraud.

### 9.3 Fees and Burns

HMM fees split to LPs and treasury; a fixed fraction  $\zeta$  of market fees is burned to offset emissions. Experience submissions pay a deposit  $D$ ; refunds scale with measured utility.

## 10 Security and Governance

**Flash-& MEV-resistance:** HMM quotes include dynamic risk fees; frequent batch auctions for large jobs; commitment-reveal for order flow. **Oracle bypass:** endogenous pricing limits oracle risk; optional TWAP oracles for cross-chain settlement. **Governance:** \$AI holders elect parameter councils with guarded timelocks; security council can pause attestors.

## 11 Implementation Plan

**Phase 0 (week 0–2):** HMM single-pool prototype; ExperienceRegistry (Solidity); IPFS/Arweave sink. **Phase 1 (week 3–6):** Multi-asset HMM; PoAI receipts (TEE path); Zoo DSO local optimizer; GPU-accelerated retrieval (Candle tensors). **Phase 2 (week 7–12):** Verifier network;

batch auctions; DAO UI; 100+ node load test. **Phase 3 (week 13+):** ZK path pilots; security audit; mainnet.

## 12 Relation to Active Inference

Active inference views each agent as performing Bayesian updates; sharing beliefs resembles multiplying priors. Our TF-GRPO matches this: Eq. (5) is a product-of-experts over experiential beliefs, yielding principled, decentralized Bayesian belief propagation without weight updates.

## 13 Related Work

Constant-product AMMs; inventory-risk AMMs; TEEs and verifiable compute; parameter-efficient adaptation; delta compression; in-context RL; training-free alignment. (Surveyed qualitatively; implementation choices are original here.)

## 14 Conclusion

Hanzo Network integrates a Hamiltonian AMM for compute with decentralized, zero-training semantic learning. The result is a practical L1 for AI where market-cleared compute and pooled experiential priors compound to deliver cheaper, better, and safer AI.

## A HMM Mechanics and Proof Sketches

**No-arbitrage under invariant.** For any feasible swap that preserves  $\mathcal{H}$  net of fees, marginal price equals the gradient ratio; convex curvature and risk fees prevent cyclical arbitrage in continuous time.

**Multi-asset routing.** With convex  $\mathcal{H}$ , the path solver is a convex program; KKT multipliers interpret as SLA shadow prices.

## B Solidity Interfaces (Sketch)

```
interface IExperienceRegistry {
    struct Entry {
        bytes32 merkleRoot;
        string cid; // IPFS/Arweave
        uint64 schema;
        uint64 quality; // quantized
        address submitter;
        uint256 bond; // slashing collateral
    }
    function submit(Entry calldata e) external payable returns (uint256 id);
    function voteQuality(uint256 id, uint64 score) external;
    function slash(uint256 id, address challenger, bytes calldata proof) external;
    function get(uint256 id) external view returns (Entry memory);
}
```

```

interface IHMM {
    function quoteBuy(uint256 poolId, uint256 dTheta)
        external view returns (uint256 dPsi, uint256 fee);
    function swap(uint256 poolId, uint256 dTheta, uint256 minPsi)
        external payable returns (uint256 dPsi);
    function addLiquidity(uint256 poolId, uint256 dPsi, uint256 dTheta)
        external returns (uint256 lpShares);
}

```

## C Algorithms

### TF-GRPO (Training-Free) with PoE Decoding

---

**Algorithm 1** Local ASO/TF-GRPO Step

---

- 1: **input:** query set  $\mathcal{D}$ , group size  $G$ , base model  $p_\theta$ , current prior bank  $E$
  - 2: **for**  $x \in \mathcal{D}$  **do**
  - 3:   Generate group rollouts  $\{y^{(g)}\}_{g=1}^G$  with PoE decoding using current  $E$
  - 4:   Score with reward model / tools to get  $\{r^{(g)}\}$
  - 5:   Extract semantic advantage text  $A$  via LLM introspection over the group
  - 6:   Distill  $A$  into token/embedding buckets to produce  $\Delta E$
  - 7:   Compress  $\Delta E$  to (signs, scales); append to local bank  $E$
  - 8: **end for**
  - 9: Return compressed shard for registry submission
- 

### PoE Decoding

---

**Algorithm 2** Product-of-Experts Decoding

---

- 1: logits  $\mathbf{z} = \log p_\theta(\cdot \mid x)$
  - 2: **for** expert  $k$  **do**
  - 3:   compute expert log-factor  $\mathbf{h}_k = \log \phi_k(\cdot \mid x)$
  - 4:    $\mathbf{z} \leftarrow \mathbf{z} + \alpha_k \mathbf{h}_k$
  - 5: **end for**
  - 6: sample or argmax from  $\text{softmax}(\mathbf{z})$
- 

## D Default Parameters (Initial Mainnet)

*Disclaimer.* This document describes a proposed protocol. Parameters and mechanisms may evolve with audit and community input.

Symbol	Meaning	Default
$f_m$	market fee	30 bps
$f_r$	risk fee coeff.	5–20 bps per % inventory move
$\lambda$	curvature	0.05
$\beta, \gamma, \delta$	emissions split	0.35/0.50/0.10
$\zeta$	fee burn	0.25
$D$	registry bond	25 \$AI