

SUB-CYCLOTOMICS

HAO CHEN, KRISTIN LAUTER AND KATE STANGE

1. INTRODUCTION

We restrict our attention to subfields of cyclotomic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$, where we assume m is *odd and squarefree*. The Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)/\mathbb{Q})$ is canonically isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$.

Notation: for each subgroup H of $G = (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$, we use $K_{m,H}$ to denote the fixed field

$$K_{m,H} := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)^H.$$

The extension $K_{m,H}/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois of degree $n = \frac{\varphi(m)}{|H|}$; a prime q splits completely in $K_{m,H}$ if and only if $q \pmod{m} \in H$. In general, the degree of a prime q in $K_{m,H}$ is equal to the order of $[q]$ in the quotient group G/H .

Every field of form $K_{m,H}$ comes with a canonical *normal integral basis*, whose embedding matrix is easy to compute. More precisely, let C denote a set of coset representatives of the group G/H . For $c \in C$, set

$$w_c = \sum_{h \in H} \zeta_m^{hc}.$$

Then we have

Proposition 1.1. $w = (w_c)_{c \in C}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $R = \mathcal{O}_K$. Let $\zeta = \exp(2\pi i/m)$. Then the canonical embedding matrix of w is

$$(A_w)_{i,j} = \sum_{h \in H} \zeta^{hij}.$$

Proposition 1.2. Suppose \mathcal{R} is an RLWE instance, such that the underlying field K is a Galois number field, and q is unramified in K . Then error distribution $D(\mathcal{R}, \mathfrak{q})$ is independent of the choice of prime ideal \mathfrak{q} above q .

Proof. From the proof of [fixme: search-to-decision], we know that the change from a prime \mathfrak{q} to \mathfrak{q}' can be done via applying an element of the galois group $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ to the RLWE samples from \mathcal{R} . The Galois group acts on the embedded lattice $\Lambda := \iota(R)$ by permuting the set of embeddings of K . So we have obtained a group homomorphism

$$\phi : \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Lambda).$$

Since permutation matrices are orthogonal, the Galois group action on the lattice Λ is distance-preserving. Hence it preserves discrete Gaussian distributions on Λ . This completes the proof. \square

Combining this theorem with Lemma about existence, we see that for fields of form $K_{m,H}$, the error distribution modulo \mathfrak{q} is the same, no matter which prime ideal \mathfrak{q} is used. In the discussion below, we omit our choice of \mathfrak{q} .

1.1. Searching. The above algorithm allows us to search for vulnerable instances among fields of form $K_{m,H}$. The search is done by generating actual RLWE samples from the instance and run the chi-square attack (Algorithm) on these samples. Success of the attack would indicate vulnerability. Our field search requires sampling efficiently from a discrete Gaussian $D_{\Lambda, \sigma}$ for which we choose the method outlined in [GPV].

In the first table, we list some instances, for which the attack have succeeded. The columns are as follows. Note that we omitted the prime ideal \mathfrak{q} due to Lemma . and t denotes the running time in seconds.

TABLE 1.2. Some Vulnerable sub-cyclotomic RLWE instances

m	generators of H	n	q	f	σ_0	no. samples used	est.runtime (h)	\hat{p}
255255	[97943, 83656, 77351, 78541, 129403]	90	463	2	1	21436	1786.41	1.0 (*)
285285	[181156, 210926, 87361]	96	131	2	1	?	?	?

TABLE 1.1. Attacked sub-cyclotomic RLWE instances

m	generators of H	n	q	f	σ_0	no. samples used	running time of attack (in secs)
2805	[1684, 1618]	40	67	2	1	22445	12569.2
90321	[90320, 18514, 43405]	80	67	2	1	26934	17323.4
15015	[12286, 2003, 11936]	60	43	2	1	11094	3813

1.2. another test. One may notice that in all the vulnerable instances in table, the prime q has degree $f = 2$. We explain why primes of degree higher than one are more likely to vulnerable, and introduce a new test based on it.

The intuition is the following: Assume K is a Galois number field and q is a prime of degree r in K . Suppose we have found a reduced basis w_1, \dots, w_n of $R = \mathcal{O}_K$ with respect to the adjusted embedding. Fix a prime ideal \mathfrak{q} above q . Then the image $\bar{w}_1, \dots, \bar{w}_n$ lie in R/\mathfrak{q} , a finite field of cardinality q^r . However, if for some index i , the element w_i lies inside some proper subfield K' of K , and if q has degree $r' < r$ in K' , then \bar{w}_i will lie in a proper subfield of R/\mathfrak{q} . If the above situation happens for a large portion of the w_i 's, then we would expect that the error distribution mod \mathfrak{q} , which we denoted by $D_{\mathcal{R}, \mathfrak{q}}$ in other sections, will take values in a proper subfield of R/\mathfrak{q} more frequently than the uniform distribution. We demonstrate this phenomenon through the following example.

Example 1.3. Let $m =$ and H be the subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$ generated by .. , and let $K = K_{m,H}$, a Galois number field with degree ?. After a BKZ lattice reduction, we obtained an basis v_1, \dots, v_n for the ring of integers R , ordered by increasing embedding length. We take the moduli to be $q = ?$, a prime of degree 2. We choose a prime \mathfrak{q} above q and denote by \bar{v} the image of v in R/\mathfrak{q} . We use \mathbb{F}_q to denote the prime subfield of R/\mathfrak{q} . It turns out that $\bar{v}_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for $1 \leq i \leq ?$.

We then generate ? sample errors $e_j \leftarrow D_{\mathcal{R}}$ and consider their image \bar{e}_j in R/\mathfrak{q} . A subfield test (defined in chisquare) gives the p-value Since this is smaller than q^2 , we could solve SRLWE($\mathcal{R}, \mathfrak{q}$) with probability.

In the second table, we list some vulnerable instances we found, for which the attack is likely to succeed based on the theorem in chisquare test, but will take a long time to finish. Hence instead of running the actual attack, we first run the chi-square test on the correct error samples, and then run a few chisquare tests on some random guesses of $s \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$. We then estimate the success rate using the theorem. More precisely, suppose $\hat{\chi}^2$ is the chi-square value of the sample errors from $D_{\mathcal{R}, \mathfrak{q}}$. We replace λ by $\hat{\chi}^2$ in the formula and compute

$$\hat{p} = 0.904 \left(1 - \Phi \left(\frac{\Phi^{-1}(1 - \frac{1}{20N}) \sqrt{2(N-1)} - \hat{\chi}^2}{\sqrt{2(N-1) + 4\hat{\chi}^2}} \right) \right).$$

The value \hat{p} is then our estimate of the success rate of our attack. In addition, we estimate the runtime based on the average time taken for the tests we've done.