## SUB-CYCLOTOMICS

## HAO CHEN, KRISTIN LAUTER AND KATE STANGE

## 1. Introduction

We restrict our attention to subfields of cyclotomic fields  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ , where we assume m is odd and squarefree. The Galois group  $Gal(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)/\mathbb{Q})$  is canonically isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$ .

**Notation**: for each subgroup H of  $G = (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$ , we use  $K_{m,H}$  to denote the fixed field

$$K_{m,H} := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)^H$$
.

The extension  $K_{m,H}/\mathbb{Q}$  is Galois of degree  $n = \frac{\varphi(m)}{|H|}$ ; a prime q splits completely in  $K_{m,H}$  if and only if  $q \pmod{m} \in H$ . In general, the degree of a prime q in  $K_{m,H}$  is equal to the order of [q] in the quotient group G/H.

Every field of form  $K_{m,H}$  comes with a canonical normal integral basis, whose embedding matrix is easy to compute. More precisely, let C denote a set of coset representatives of the group G/H. For  $c \in C$ , set

$$w_c = \sum_{h \in H} \zeta_m^{hc}.$$

Then we have

**Proposition 1.1.**  $w = (w_c)_{c \in C}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $R = \mathcal{O}_K$ . Let  $\zeta = \exp(2\pi i/m)$ . Then the canonical embedding embedding matrix of w is

$$(A_w)_{i,j} = \sum_{h \in H} \zeta^{hij}.$$

**Proposition 1.2.** By spherical symmetry and the property of the normal integral basis, the error distribution  $D \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$  is independent of the choice of  $\mathfrak{q}$ .

1.1. **Searching.** The above algorithm allows us to search for vulnerable instances among fields of form  $K_{m,H}$ . The search is done by generating actual RLWE samples from the instance and run the chi-square attack (Algorithm ) on these samples. Success of the attack would indicate vulnerability. Our field search requires sampling efficiently from a discrete Gaussian  $D_{\Lambda,\sigma}$  for which we choose the method outlined in [GPV].

In the first table, we list some instances, for which the attack have succeeded. The columns are as follows. Note that we ommitted the prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  due to Lemma . and t denotes the running time in seconds.

TABLE 1.1. Attacked sub-cyclotomic RLWE instances

m	generators of $H$	n	q	$\mid f \mid$	$\sigma_0$	no. samples used	running time of attack (in secs)
-2805	[1684, 1618]	40	67	2	1	22445	12569.2
90321	[90320, 18514, 43405]	80	67	2	1	26934	17323.4
15015	[12286, 2003, 11936]	60	43	2	1	11094	3813

1.2. **another test.** One may notice that in all the vulnerable instances in table, the prime q has degree f = 2. We explain why primes of degree higher than one are more likely to vulnerable, and introduce a new test based on it.

The intuition is the following: Assume K is a Galois number field and q is a prime of degree r in K. Suppose we have found a reduced basis  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  of  $R = \mathcal{O}_K$  with respect to the adjusted embedding. Fix a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  above q. Then the image  $\bar{w}_1, \dots, \bar{w}_n$  lie in  $R/\mathfrak{q}$ , a finite field of cardinality  $q^r$ . However, if for some index i, the element  $w_i$  lies inside some proper subfield K' of K, and if q has degree r' < r in K', then  $\bar{w}_i$  will lie in a proper subfield of  $R/\mathfrak{q}$ . If the above situation happens for a large portion of the  $w_i$ 's,

1

Table 1.2. Some Vulnerable sub-cyclotomic RLWE instances

m	generators of $H$		q	f	$\sigma_0$	no. samples used	est.runtime (h)	$\hat{p}$
255255	[97943, 83656, 77351, 78541, 129403]	90	463	2	1	21436	1786.41	1.0 (*)
285285	[181156, 210926, 87361]	96	131	2	1	?	?	?

then we would expect that the error distribution mod  $\mathfrak{q}$ , which we denoted by  $D_{\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{q}}$  in other sections, will take values in a proper subfield of  $R/\mathfrak{q}$  more frequently than the uniform distribution. We demondstrate this phenomenon through the following example.

## Example 1.3.

In the second table, we list some vulnerable instances we found, for which the attack is likely to succeed based on the theorem in chisquare test, but will take a long time to finish. Hence instead of running the actual attack, we first run the chi-square test on the correct error samples, and then run a few chisuqare tests on some random guesses of  $s \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$ . We then estimate the success rate using the theorem. More precisely, suppose  $\hat{\chi}^2$  is the chi-square value of the sample errors from  $D_{\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{q}}$ . We replace  $\lambda$  by  $\hat{\chi}^2$  in the formula and compute

$$\hat{p} = 0.904 \left( 1 - \Phi \left( \frac{\Phi^{-1} (1 - \frac{1}{20N}) \sqrt{2(N-1)} - \hat{\chi}^2}{\sqrt{2(N-1) + 4\hat{\chi}^2}} \right) \right).$$

The value  $\hat{p}$  is then our estimate of the sucess rate of our attack. In addition, we estimate the runtime based on the average time taken for the tests we've done.