

Complete Reduction for Derivatives in a Primitive Tower*

Hao Du

School of Sciences,
Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications,
Beijing (102206), China
haodu@bupt.edu.cn

Wenqiao Li

Key Lab of Mathematics Mechanization, AMSS,
University of Chinese Academy of Sciences,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing (100190), China
liwenqiao@amss.ac.cn

Yiman Gao

Johannes Kepler University Linz,
Research Institute for Symbolic Computation (RISC),
Altenberger Straße 69, 4040, Linz, Austria
ymgao@risc.jku.at

Ziming Li

Key Lab of Mathematics Mechanization, AMSS,
University of Chinese Academy of Sciences,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing (100190), China
zmli@mmrc.iss.ac.cn

ABSTRACT

A complete reduction ϕ for derivatives in a differential field K is a linear operator on K that enables us to decompose $f \in K$ as the sum of a derivative and $\phi(f)$. The derivative is unique up to an additive constant, and there exists $\int f \in K$ if and only if $\phi(f) = 0$.

In this paper, we present a complete reduction for derivatives in a primitive tower algorithmically. Typical examples for primitive towers are differential fields generated by (poly-)logarithmic functions and logarithmic integrals. The in-field integrability is directly determined by ϕ , and elementary integrability over such towers can be determined by computing parametric logarithmic parts related to $\phi(f)$. Moreover, we discuss how to compute telescopers for non-D-finite functions by the images of ϕ .

CCS CONCEPTS

• Computing methodologies → Algebraic algorithms.

KEYWORDS

Additive decomposition, Complete reduction, Elementary integral, Symbolic integration, Telescoper

ACM Reference Format:

Hao Du, Yiman Gao, Wenqiao Li, and Ziming Li. 2025. Complete Reduction for Derivatives in a Primitive Tower. In *Proceedings of the 2025 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (ISSAC'25)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 9 pages. <https://doi.org/XXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

1 INTRODUCTION

Let C be a field, V a linear space over C , and U a subspace of V . A linear operator ϕ on V is called a *complete reduction* for U if

*The research of Yiman Gao was funded in part by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) 10.55776/PAT1332123. For open access purposes, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright license to any author-accepted manuscript version arising from this submission.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.
ISSAC'25, July 28– August 1, 2025, Guanajuato, Mexico

© 2025 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.
ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/18/06...\$15.00
<https://doi.org/XXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

$v - \phi(v) \in U$ for all $v \in V$ and $U = \ker(\phi)$ by [23, Definition 5.6.7]. Such an operator ϕ is an idempotent and results in $V = U \oplus \text{im}(\phi)$.

Let K be a differential field with derivation $'$ and C be the subfield of constants in K . For $L \subset K$, $L' := \{l' \mid l \in L\}$. Then K' is a C -subspace. For a complementary subspace R of K' , the projection from K to R is a complete reduction for K' . So there always exist complete reductions for K' . It remains

- (1) to fix a complementary subspace R of K' , and
- (2) to develop an algorithm that, for every $f \in K$, computes $g \in K$ and $r \in R$ such that $f = g' + r$.

In general, both K' and R are infinite-dimensional.

EXAMPLE 1.1. Let C be a field of characteristic zero, and $'$ be the usual derivation d/dx on $C(x)$. A complementary subspace R of $C(x)'$ is the set of proper rational functions with squarefree denominators. For every $f \in C(x)$, the Hermite-Ostrogradsky reduction on [7, page 40] computes $(g, r) \in C(x) \times R$ such that $f = g' + r$. The projection from $C(x)$ to R is a complete reduction for $C(x)'$.

Our work is motivated by reduction-based creative telescoping (see [23, §5.6] and [31, §15]) and integration (summation) in finite terms (see [7, 22, 28, 29, 32, 33]). Both need preprocessors to split an integrand (summand) as the sum of an integrable (summable) part and a possibly non-integrable (non-summable) part.

A commonly-used preprocessor in reduction-based creative telescoping is also known as an additive decomposition, which can be described in terms of linear algebra below: Let V and U be the same as those in the first paragraph. For $v \in V$, an additive decomposition for U computes $u \in U$ and $r \in V$ such that $v = u + r$, where r is minimal in some sense. And $v \in U$ if and only if $r = 0$. It is proposed for constructing minimal telescopers in [2–4, 24], in which V is the $C(x, y)$ -subspace spanned by a hypergeometric term in x and y , and U is the C -subspace $\{g(x, y + 1) - g(x, y) \mid g \in V\}$. Additive decompositions also appear in [11, 19], in which V is a primitive tower of some special kinds, and U consists of all derivatives in V .

A complete reduction is interpreted as an additive decomposition in [21, §1.2] as follows. Let $\phi : V \rightarrow V$ be a complete reduction for U , G be a basis of U , and H be a basis of $\text{im}(\phi)$. Then $G \cup H$ is a basis of V . For every $v \in V$, $v = \sum_{w \in G \cup H} c_w w$ with $c_w \in C$. Define $\text{supp}(v) = \{w \in G \cup H \mid c_w \neq 0\}$. For $v_1, v_2 \in V$, we say that v_1 is not higher than v_2 if $\text{supp}(v_1) \subseteq \text{supp}(v_2)$. If $v = u + r = \tilde{u} + \tilde{r}$ for some $u, \tilde{u} \in U$, $r \in \text{im}(\phi)$ and $\tilde{r} \in V$, then $\text{supp}(r) \subseteq \text{supp}(\tilde{r})$ by an easy linear-algebra argument. Thus, r is not higher than \tilde{r} .

Additive decompositions do not always induce linear maps. So they are not necessarily complete reductions. Since linearity brings a lot of convenience into both theory and practice, it is worthwhile to seek complete reductions. So far they have been developed for hyperexponential functions [5], algebraic functions [12, 15], fuchsian D-finite functions [16] and D-finite functions [6, 13, 34].

A classical topic in symbolic integration is to compute elementary integrals of transcendental Liouvillian functions (see [7, 17, 26, 28]). Results about this topic are usually described in monomial extensions (see [7, §3.4]). Algorithm HERMITEREDUCE in [7, §5.3] decomposes an element of a monomial extension as the sum of a derivative, a simple element and a reduced one. The simple element is handled by the residue criterion [27, Theorem 3], while the reduced one is handled by solving parametric Risch equations [29] and the parametric logarithmic derivative problem [7, §7.3].

To develop a complete reduction for derivatives in a monomial extension, we proceed by a different approach to handling reduced elements. Note that reduced elements form a differential subalgebra W by [7, Corollary 4.4.1 (iii)]. A complete reduction for W' will be constructed in the following three steps:

- (1) Define an auxiliary subspace A such that $W = W' + A$.
- (2) Determine a basis of $W' \cap A$.
- (3) Fix a complementary subspace of W' contained in A .

The projection from W to the complementary subspace is a complete reduction for W' , which, together with Algorithm HERMITEREDUCE, leads to a complete reduction for derivatives in a monomial extension. Auxiliary subspaces are defined for hyperexponential towers in [9]. Steps 2 and 3 are worked out in exponential and hyperexponential towers in [21] and [10], respectively.

In this paper, we develop a complete reduction for derivatives in primitive towers by the above approach. The reduction leads naturally to an algorithm for determining the in-field integrability (see Examples 4.4 and 4.5), and can be applied to compute elementary integrals over such towers (see Example 5.4). Furthermore, we construct telescopers for some non-D-finite functions by the reduction (see Example 5.7).

Our idea is also different from that for the additive decomposition in S-primitive towers [19], although both make essential use of integration by parts to reduce polynomial integrands. In addition, primitive towers include S-primitive ones as a special case.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we specify notation and present several algorithms to be used in the sequel. Basic constructions in the above three steps are described in Section 3. The constructions yield an algorithm for our complete reduction, as soon as the notion of primitive towers is introduced in Section 4. Some applications of the complete reduction are presented in Section 5. Concluding remarks are given in Section 6.

2 PRELIMINARIES

This section has three parts. In Section 2.1, we introduce some basic notion concerning symbolic integration and fix notation to be used. Effective bases are defined and constructed in Section 2.2. They allow us to apply dual techniques. In Section 2.3, we review an algorithm in the proof of [26, Theorem 3.9], which helps us compute elementary integrals in Section 5.

2.1 Notation and rudimentary notions

Throughout the paper, G^\times denotes $G \setminus \{0\}$ for an additive group $(G, +, 0)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the sets $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ are denoted by $[n]$ and $[n]_0$, respectively. The transpose of a matrix is denoted by $(\cdot)^\tau$. In the description of an algorithm, a list is written as $[\dots]$ and comments are placed between $(* \dots *)$.

All fields are of characteristic zero in the paper. Let K be a field. We denote its algebraic closure by \bar{K} . For a univariate polynomial p over K , its degree and leading coefficient are denoted by $\deg(p)$ and $\text{lc}(p)$, respectively, when the indeterminate is clear from context. In particular, $\deg(0) := -\infty$ and $\text{lc}(0) := 0$. Similarly, a univariate rational function is said to be proper if the degree of its numerator is less than that of denominator. A rational function r can be uniquely written as the sum of a polynomial and a proper rational function, which are denoted by $\text{poly}(r)$ and $\text{proper}(r)$, respectively.

A map $' : K \rightarrow K$ is called a *derivation* on K if $(a + b)' = a' + b'$ and $(ab)' = ab' + a'b$ for all $a, b \in K$. A *differential field* is a field equipped with a derivation. Let $(K, ')$ be a differential field. An element c of K is called a *constant* if $c' = 0$. All constants in K form a subfield. A differential field (E, δ) is called a *differential field extension* of $(K, ')$ if K is a subfield of E and $'$ is the restriction of δ to K . We still use $'$ to denote δ when there is no confusion.

Assume that t belongs to a differential field extension of K . If t is transcendental over K and $t' \in K[t]$, then t is called a *monomial* over K and $K(t)$ is called a *monomial extension* of K .

Let t be a monomial over K . A polynomial $p \in K[t]^\times$ is said to be *normal* if $\gcd(p, p') = 1$. An element f of $K(t)$ is said to be *simple* if it is proper and has a normal denominator. The subset consisting of all simple elements is denoted by S_t , which is a K -subspace. Note that f is simple if it has a normal denominator in [7, Definition 3.5.2]. We further require that f is proper for the uniqueness of s in (1) given below. We call t a *primitive monomial* over K if $t' \in K \setminus K'$. A primitive monomial extension $K(t)$ has no new constant other than the constants in K by [7, Theorem 5.1.1].

Let t be a primitive monomial over K . Then $K[t]$ is a differential K -algebra. For every $f \in K(t)$, there exists $g \in K(t)$, $p \in K[t]$ and a unique $s \in S_t$ such that

$$f = g' + p + s. \quad (1)$$

The uniqueness of s is due to [11, Lemma 2.1].

ALGORITHM 2.1. INITIALREDUCTION

INPUT: $f \in K(t)$, where t is a primitive monomial over K

OUTPUT: $(g, p, s) \in K(t) \times K[t] \times S_t$ such that (1) holds

1. compute $(g, p_1, s_1) \in K(t) \times K[t] \times K(t)$ by Algorithm HERMITEREDUCE in [7, §5.3] such that $f = g' + p_1 + s_1$ and that s_1 has a normal denominator
2. $p_2 \leftarrow \text{poly}(s_1)$, $s_2 \leftarrow \text{proper}(s_1)$, RETURN $(g, p_1 + p_2, s_2)$

The algorithm is correct by Algorithm HERMITEREDUCE.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let $K = C(x)$, $t = \log(x)$ and

$$f = \frac{(x+1)t^2 + (x^2 + 2x + 2)t + x + 1}{x(t+1)} \in K(t).$$

INITIALREDUCTION(f) finds $(g, p, s) \in K(t) \times K[t] \times S_t$ such that (1) holds, where $g = 0$, $p = \frac{x+1}{x}t + \frac{x^2+x+1}{x}$, and $s = -\frac{x}{t+1}$. Unfortunately, the algorithm does not extract any in-field integrable part from f . It will be shown that $p \in K(t)'$ in Example 3.12.

The next lemma presents important properties concerning decomposition and contraction in primitive monomial extensions.

LEMMA 2.3. *If t is a primitive monomial over K , then*

- (i) $K(t) = (K(t)' + K[t]) \oplus S_t$, and
- (ii) $K(t)' \cap K[t] = K[t]'$.

PROOF. (i) holds by (1), and (ii) holds because the derivative of a proper element of $K(t)$ remains proper. \square

2.2 Effective bases

This section is a preparation for some dual techniques to be used in Sections 3 and 4.

DEFINITION 2.4. *Let E be a field with a subfield F , Θ be an F -linear basis of E , $\theta \in \Theta$ and $a \in E$. Then*

- (i) θ^* stands for the F -linear function on E that maps θ to 1 and any other element of Θ to 0.
- (ii) θ is said to be effective for a if $\theta^*(a) \neq 0$.
- (iii) Θ is called an effective F -basis if there are two algorithms :
 - one finds $\theta \in \Theta$ effective for a if $a \neq 0$; and
 - the other computes $\theta^*(a)$.

Let F be a field and $F(y)$ the field of rational functions in y . Set $Y = \{y^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and Q to be the set consisting of monic and irreducible polynomials with positive degrees. Then

$$\Theta = Y \cup \left\{ \frac{y^i}{q^j} \mid q \in Q, 0 \leq i < \deg(q), j \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\} \quad (2)$$

is an effective F -basis of $F(y)$ by the irreducible partial fraction decomposition. The two algorithms required in Definition 2.4 (iii) are given below. Their correctness is evident.

ALGORITHM 2.5. BASISELEMENT

INPUT: $a \in F(y)^\times$ OUTPUT: $(\theta, c) \in \Theta \times C^\times$ with $c = \theta^*(a)$

1. $p \leftarrow \text{poly}(a)$, $r \leftarrow \text{proper}(a)$, $d \leftarrow \text{the denominator of } r$
2. IF $p \neq 0$ THEN RETURN $(y^{\deg(p)}, \text{lc}(p))$ END IF
3. $q \leftarrow \text{a factor of } d \text{ in } Q$, $m \leftarrow \text{the multiplicity of } q \text{ in } d$
4. $h \leftarrow \text{the coefficient of } q^{-m} \text{ in the } q\text{-adic expansion of } r$
5. RETURN $(y^{\deg(h)}/q^m, \text{lc}(h))$

REMARK 2.6. *There is no obvious rule for choosing an irreducible factor q of d in step 3 of Algorithm 2.5. For example, let $f = \frac{1}{y(y+1)}$. One may set q to be either y or $y+1$. Then θ obtained in step 5 may be either $\frac{1}{y}$ or $\frac{1}{y+1}$. So the algorithm does not guarantee that the same output will be returned when it is applied to the same input twice.*

In practice, we choose q to be the first member in the list of irreducible factors of d computed by a factorization algorithm.

ALGORITHM 2.7. COEFFICIENT

INPUT: $(b, \theta) \in F(y) \times \Theta$ OUTPUT: $\theta^*(b)$

1. $p \leftarrow \text{poly}(b)$, $r \leftarrow \text{proper}(b)$
2. Write $\theta = y^k/q^m$ for some $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in Q$, $\gcd(y, q) = 1$
3. IF $m = 0$ THEN RETURN the coefficient of y^k in p END IF
4. $h \leftarrow \text{the coefficient of } q^{-m} \text{ in the } q\text{-adic expansion of } r$
5. RETURN the coefficient of y^k in h

REMARK 2.8. *Let F and E be given in Definition 2.4 and C a subfield of F . Assume that F has an effective C -basis Θ_0 and that E has an effective F -basis Θ . Then $\{\theta_0\theta \mid \theta_0 \in \Theta_0, \theta \in \Theta\}$ is an effective C -basis of E by a straightforward recursive argument.*

2.3 Constant residues

Let $(K, ')$ be a differential field with constant subfield C , and t be a monomial over K . For $f \in S_t$ and $\alpha \in \overline{K}$, an element $\beta \in \overline{K}$ is the residue of f at α if and only if $f = g + \beta \frac{(t-\alpha)'}{t-\alpha}$ for some $g \in \overline{K}(t)$ whose denominator is coprime with $t - \alpha$. The residue of f at α is nonzero if and only if α is a root of its denominator.

Below is a minor variant of an algorithm described in the proof of [26, Theorem 3.9]. In its pseudo-code, D_t stands for the derivation on $K(t)$ that maps every element of K to 0 and t to 1, and κ for the coefficient-lifting derivation from $(K, ')$ to $K(t)$ (see [7, §3.2]).

ALGORITHM 2.9. CONSTANTMATRIX

INPUT: $f, g_1, \dots, g_l \in S_t$

OUTPUT: $M \in C^{k \times l}$ and $\mathbf{v} \in C^k$ such that all residues of $f - \sum_{i=1}^l c_i g_i$ belong to \overline{C} if and only if

$$M(c_1, \dots, c_l)^T = \mathbf{v} \quad (3)$$

1. $h \leftarrow f - c_1 g_1 - \dots - c_l g_l$,
where c_1, \dots, c_l are constant indeterminates
2. $p \leftarrow \text{the numerator of } h$, $q \leftarrow \text{the denominator of } h$
3. $u \leftarrow \text{the inverse of } q' \text{ mod } q$, $v \leftarrow \text{the inverse of } D_t(q) \text{ mod } q$
 $w \leftarrow \kappa(pu) - D_t(pu) \cdot v \cdot \kappa(q)$, $r \leftarrow \text{the remainder of } w \text{ on } q$
4. $(M, \mathbf{v}) \leftarrow \text{an augmented matrix of the linear system}$
in c_1, \dots, c_l obtained by setting $r = 0$
5. RETURN M, \mathbf{v}

To see its correctness, we note that q obtained from step 2 is normal and free of c_1, \dots, c_l . Then $\gcd(q', q) = \gcd(D_t(q), q) = 1$. Hence, both u and v can be computed in step 3. Let α be a root of q . Then $\alpha' = -v(\alpha) \cdot \kappa(q)(\alpha)$ by [7, Theorem 3.2.3]. On the other hand, the residue β of h at α is equal to $(pu)(\alpha)$ so that $\beta' = w(\alpha)$, where w is also computed in step 3. Hence, $r = 0$ if and only if all residues of h belong to \overline{C} . The system obtained in step 4 is linear because c_1, \dots, c_l appear linearly in the coefficients of r .

3 BASIC CONSTRUCTIONS

In this section, we let $(K, ')$ be a differential field and C be the subfield of its constants. Assume that there exists a complete reduction ϕ on K for K' , and an algorithm that, for every $f \in K$, computes $g \in K$ and $\phi(f)$ such that $f = g' + \phi(f)$. We call $\phi(f)$ the remainder of f and $(g, \phi(f))$ a reduction pair of f (with respect to ϕ). A reduction pair will be abbreviated as an R-pair in the sequel.

Let t be a primitive monomial over K . We are going to define a complete reduction ψ on $K(t)$ for $K(t)'$. It suffices to construct a complementary subspace of $K[t]'$ in $K[t]$ by Lemma 2.3.

As a matter of notation, the C -subspace $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V \cdot t^i$ for some C -subspace V of K is denoted by $V \otimes C[t]$ in virtue of the C -isomorphism $v \otimes t^i \mapsto vt^i$ from $V \otimes C[t]$ to $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V \cdot t^i$.

First, we decompose $K[t]$ as the sum of $K[t]'$ and the C -subspace consisting of all polynomials whose coefficients are remainders with respect to ϕ . In other word, the subspace is $\text{im}(\phi) \otimes C[t]$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $p \in K[t]$ with $\deg(p) = d$. There exists $q \in K[t]$ with $\deg(q) \leq d$ and $r \in \text{im}(\phi) \otimes C[t]$ with $\deg(r) \leq d$ such that*

$$p = q' + r. \quad (4)$$

PROOF. If $p = 0$, then set $q = r = 0$. Assume that p is nonzero with degree d and leading coefficient l .

Let $(g, \phi(l))$ be an R-pair of l , and $h = p - lt^d$. With integration by parts, we have

$$p = g't^d + \phi(l)t^d + h = (gt^d)' + \phi(l)t^d + h - (dgt')t^{d-1}. \quad (5)$$

Since $\phi(l)t^d \in \text{im}(\phi) \otimes C[t]$ and $d > \deg(h - (dgt')t^{d-1})$, the lemma follows from an induction on d . \square

DEFINITION 3.2. *The C -subspace $\text{im}(\phi) \otimes C[t]$, denoted by A , is called the auxiliary subspace for $K[t]'$ in $K[t]$.*

COROLLARY 3.3. $K[t] = K[t]' + A$.

PROOF. It is immediate from Lemma 3.1. \square

The next algorithm is direct from the proof of Lemma 3.1.

ALGORITHM 3.4. AUXILIARYREDUCTION

INPUT: $p \in K[t]$

OUTPUT: $(q, r) \in K[t] \times A$ such that (4) holds

1. $\tilde{p} \leftarrow p, q \leftarrow 0, r \leftarrow 0$
2. WHILE $\tilde{p} \neq 0$ DO
 - $d \leftarrow \deg(\tilde{p}), l \leftarrow \text{lc}(\tilde{p})$, compute an R-pair $(g, \phi(l))$ of l
 - $q \leftarrow q + gt^d, r \leftarrow r + \phi(l)t^d, \tilde{p} \leftarrow \tilde{p} - lt^d - (dgt')t^{d-1}$
- END DO
3. RETURN (q, r)

Next, let us construct a C -basis of $K[t]' \cap A$. To this end, we fix an R-pair $(\lambda_t, \phi(t'))$ of t' and call it the *first pair associated to $K(t)$* .

REMARK 3.5. *The remainder $\phi(t') \in K[t]'$, because it is $(t - \lambda_t)'$. Moreover, $\phi(t') \neq 0$ because t is a primitive monomial.*

For all $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we calculate

$$\phi(t')t^i = t't^i - \lambda_t't^i = \left(\frac{t^{i+1}}{i+1} - \lambda_t't^i \right)' + (i\lambda_t't')t^{i-1}. \quad (6)$$

There exists a pair $(q_i, r_i) \in K[t] \times A$ such that $(i\lambda_t't')t^{i-1} = q_i' + r_i$ and $\deg(r_i) \leq i - 1$ by Lemma 3.1. It follows that

$$\phi(t')t^i - r_i = \left(\frac{t^{i+1}}{i+1} - \lambda_t't^i + q_i \right)'. \quad (7)$$

LEMMA 3.6. *Let $v_0 = \phi(t')$ and v_i be the left-hand side of (7). Then*

- (i) $\deg(v_i) = i$ and $\text{lc}(v_i) = \phi(t')$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (ii) *The set $\{v_0, v_1, \dots\}$ is a C -basis of $K[t]' \cap A$.*

PROOF. (i) holds because $\phi(t') \neq 0$ and r_i in (7) has degree $< i$.

(ii) Set $I = K[t]' \cap A$. Then $v_0 \in I^\times$ by Remark 3.5 and Definition 3.2. For $i > 0$, $v_i \in K[t]'$ by (7). It is in A because $\phi(t')t^i, r_i \in A$. Thus, $v_i \in I$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The v_i 's are C -linearly independent by (i).

Assume that $p \in I$. Then $p \in K(t)' \cap K[t]$. It follows from [11, Lemma 2.3] that $\text{lc}(p) = ct' + b'$ for some $c \in C$ and $b \in K$. On the other hand, $p \in A$ implies that $\text{lc}(p) \in \text{im}(\phi)$. Hence, applying ϕ to $\text{lc}(p) = ct' + b'$ yields $\text{lc}(p) = c\phi(t')$, because ϕ is an idempotent and $\phi(b') = 0$. Let $i = \deg(p)$ and $q = p - cv_i$. Then $q \in I$ with

$\deg(q) < i$. Thus, p is a C -linear combination of v_0, \dots, v_i by a straightforward induction on i . \square

The next algorithm constructs the basis in the above lemma up to a given degree. It is correct by (6) and (7).

ALGORITHM 3.7. BASIS

INPUT: $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and the first pair $(\lambda_t, \phi(t'))$ associated to $K(t)$

OUTPUT: a list $[(u_0, v_0), (u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_d, v_d)]$, in which $v_0 = \phi(t')$, v_i is given in (7) and $u_i \in K[t]$ with $u_i' = v_i$

1. $L \leftarrow [(t - \lambda_t, \phi(t'))]$
2. FOR i FROM 1 TO d DO
 - $a \leftarrow t^{i+1}/(i+1) - \lambda_t't^i, b \leftarrow (i\lambda_t't')t^{i-1}$
 - $(q, r) \leftarrow \text{AUXILIARYREDUCTION}(b)$ (*Algorithm 3.4*)
 - $(u, v) \leftarrow (a + q, \phi(t')t^i - r)$
 - $L \leftarrow$ the list obtained by appending (u, v) to L
- END DO
3. RETURN L

Now, we turn the sum in Corollary 3.3 to a direct one by constructing a subspace of A that is a complement of $K[t]'$. To proceed, we need to assume further that K has an effective C -basis, which is denoted by Θ . Then there exists a pair $(\theta, c) \in \Theta \times C^\times$ such that $c = \theta^*(\phi(t'))$. We fix such a pair and call it the *second pair associated to $K(t)$* . The complementary subspace consists of polynomials in A whose coefficients are free of θ . In other words, the subspace is equal to $(\text{im}(\phi) \cap \ker(\theta^*)) \otimes C[t]$.

LEMMA 3.8. *Let (θ, c) be the second pair associated to $K(t)$. Then*

- (i) $A = (K[t]' \cap A) \oplus A_\theta$, where $A_\theta = (\text{im}(\phi) \cap \ker(\theta^*)) \otimes C[t]$;
- (ii) $K[t] = K[t]' \oplus A_\theta$.

PROOF. (i) Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.6, we set $I = K[t]' \cap A$.

First, we show $A = I + A_\theta$. Since $I \subset A$ and $A_\theta \subset A$, it suffices to show $A \subset I + A_\theta$. Let $\{v_0, v_1, \dots\}$ be the basis of I in Lemma 3.6 (ii), and $p \in A$. Set $d = \deg(p)$, $l = \text{lc}(p)$ and $z = \theta^*(l)$. By Lemma 3.6 (i),

$$p - c^{-1}zv_d = gt^d + h, \quad (8)$$

where $g = l - c^{-1}z\phi(t')$ and $h \in K[t]$ with $\deg(h) < d$. Since $p \in A$, we have that $l \in \text{im}(\phi)$, and, thus, $g \in \text{im}(\phi)$ by its definition. Furthermore, $\theta^*(g) = \theta^*(l) - c^{-1}z\theta^*(\phi(t')) = z - z = 0$. Hence, $g \in \ker(\theta^*)$. Consequently, $g \in \text{im}(\phi) \cap \ker(\theta^*)$. We conclude that $gt^d \in A_\theta$. It follows from (8) that $h \in A$ and $p - h \in I + A_\theta$, which allows us to carry out an induction on d as follows.

If $d = 0$, then $h = 0$. So $p \in I + A_\theta$. Suppose that all elements of A with degree $< d$ are in $I + A_\theta$. Then $h \in I + A_\theta$. Hence, $p \in I + A_\theta$.

Second, we show that $I \cap A_\theta = \{0\}$. Assume that $q \in I \cap A_\theta$. Then q is a C -linear combination of the v_i 's. So $\text{lc}(q)$ is the product of a constant and $\phi(t')$. Since $\text{lc}(q) \in \ker(\theta^*)$ and $\phi(t') \notin \ker(\theta^*)$, the constant is equal to zero, and so is $\text{lc}(q)$. Accordingly, $q = 0$.

(ii) By Corollary 3.3 and (i), $K[t] = K[t]' + A_\theta$. Since $A_\theta \subset A$, we have that $K[t]' \cap A_\theta = K[t]' \cap A \cap A_\theta$, which is equal to $\{0\}$ by (i). So (ii) holds. \square

The C -subspace A_θ in Lemma 3.8 will be called the θ -complement of $K[t]'$ in $K[t]$ in the rest of this section. The next algorithm projects an element of A to $K[t]'$ and the θ -complement, respectively. It is correct by the first part in the proof of Lemma 3.8 (i).

ALGORITHM 3.9. PROJECTION

INPUT: $r \in A$, the first and second pairs $(\lambda_t, \phi(t'))$ and (θ, c) associated to $K(t)$

OUTPUT: $(u, v) \in K[t] \times A_\theta$ such that

$$r = u' + v \quad (9)$$

1. $u \leftarrow 0, v \leftarrow r, d \leftarrow \deg(r)$
2. $B \leftarrow \text{BASIS}(d, \lambda_t, \phi(t'))$ (*Algorithm 3.7*)
3. FOR i FROM 0 TO d DO
 - $a \leftarrow$ the coefficient of t^{d-i} in $v, b \leftarrow \theta^*(a)$
 - $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \leftarrow$ the element of B with $\deg(\tilde{v}) = d - i,$
 - $\tilde{c} \leftarrow c^{-1}b, u \leftarrow u + \tilde{c}\tilde{u}, v \leftarrow v - \tilde{c}\tilde{v}$
- END DO
4. RETURN (u, v)

We are ready to present the main result of this section.

THEOREM 3.10. Let (θ, c) be the second pair associated to $K(t)$, and A_θ be the θ -complement of $K[t]'$. Then $K(t) = K(t)' \oplus A_\theta \oplus S_t$. Moreover, the projection ψ_θ from $K(t)$ to $A_\theta \oplus S_t$ with respect to the above direct sum is a complete reduction for $K(t)'$.

PROOF. By Lemma 2.3 (i) and Lemma 3.8, $K(t) = (K(t)' + A_\theta) \oplus S_t$. By Lemma 2.3 (ii) and $A_\theta \subset K[t]$, we have $K(t)' \cap A_\theta = K[t]' \cap A_\theta$, which is trivial by Lemma 3.8 (ii). So $K(t) = K(t)' \oplus A_\theta \oplus S_t$. It follows that ψ_θ is a complete reduction for $K(t)'$. \square

Below is an algorithm for the complete reduction given in the above theorem.

ALGORITHM 3.11. COMPLETEREDUCTION

INPUT: $f \in K(t)$, the first and second pairs $(\lambda_t, \phi(t'))$ and (θ, c) associated to $K(t)$

OUTPUT: an R-pair of f with respect to ψ_θ in Theorem 3.10

1. $(g, p, s) \leftarrow \text{INITIALREDUCTION}(f)$ (*Algorithm 2.1*)
IF $p = 0$ THEN RETURN (g, s) END IF
2. $(q, r) \leftarrow \text{AUXILIARYREDUCTION}(p)$ (*Algorithm 3.4*)
IF $r = 0$ THEN RETURN $(g + q, s)$ END IF
3. $(u, v) \leftarrow \text{PROJECTION}(r, \lambda_t, \phi(t'), \theta, c)$ (*Algorithm 3.9*)
RETURN $(g + q + u, s + v)$

EXAMPLE 3.12. Let $K(t)$ and f be given in Example 2.2. The first and second associated pairs are $(0, x^{-1})$ and $(x^{-1}, 1)$, respectively. The above algorithm computes an R-pair of f as follows.

1. $(g, p, s) = \left(0, \frac{x+1}{x}t + \frac{x^2+x+1}{x}, -\frac{x}{t+1}\right)$ by Example 2.2.
2. Algorithm 3.4 finds $(q, r) = \left(xt + \frac{x^2}{2}, \frac{t+1}{x}\right) \in K[t] \times A$ such that (4) holds, where $A = S_x \otimes C[t]$.
3. Algorithm 3.9 finds $(u, v) = \left(\frac{t^2}{2} + t, 0\right)$ such that (9) holds.

Thus, $p = (q + u)'$ and $(g + q + u, s)$ is an R-pair of f . Algorithm 3.11 finds $s = -\frac{x}{t+1}$ as a “minimal” non-in-field integrable part.

At last, we describe the restriction of ψ_θ to K .

COROLLARY 3.13. Let $\phi : K \rightarrow K$ be a complete reduction for K' , (θ, c) be the second pair associated to $K(t)$ and ψ_θ be the complete reduction given in Theorem 3.10. Then, for every $f \in K$, we have that $\psi_\theta(f) = \phi(f) + \tilde{c}\phi(t')$, where $\tilde{c} = -\theta^*(\phi(f))c^{-1}$.

PROOF. Since $f \in K$, we have $f \equiv \phi(f) \pmod{K'}$. By Remark 3.5, $f \equiv \phi(f) + \tilde{c}\phi(t') \pmod{K(t)'}$. Note that $\phi(f) + \tilde{c}\phi(t')$ belongs to the θ -complement. Applying ψ_θ to the above congruence, we conclude that $\psi_\theta(f) = \phi(f) + \tilde{c}\phi(t')$, because $K(t)' = \ker(\psi_\theta)$ and the restriction of ψ_θ to A_θ is the identity map. \square

4 COMPLETE REDUCTION

In this section, we define primitive towers and remove the assumptions made in the previous section.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let K_0 be a differential field whose subfield of constants is denoted by C . A primitive tower over K_0 is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_0 & \subset & K_1 & \subset & \cdots & \subset & K_n \\ & & \parallel & & & & \parallel \\ & & K_0(t_1) & & & & K_{n-1}(t_n), \end{array} \quad (10)$$

where t_i is a primitive monomial over K_{i-1} for all $i \in [n]$.

Note that C is the subfield of constants in a primitive tower K_n .

THEOREM 4.2. Let K_n be a primitive tower in (10), and Θ_0 be an effective C -basis of K_0 . Assume that $\phi_0 : K_0 \rightarrow K_0$ is a complete reduction for K'_0 , and that there is an algorithm to compute an R-pair of every element in K_0 . Then the following two assertions hold.

- (i) For every $i \in [n]_0$, K_i has an effective C -basis Θ_i and a complete reduction ϕ_i for K'_i . Moreover, there is an algorithm to compute an R-pair of every element in K_i .
- (ii) For every $i \in [n-1]_0$ and $f \in K_i$, $\phi_n(f) - \phi_i(f)$ is a C -linear combination of $\phi_i(t'_{i+1}), \dots, \phi_{n-1}(t'_n)$, and belongs to K'_n .

PROOF. (i) We proceed by induction on n . If $n = 0$, then the conclusion clearly holds. Assume that there exists an effective C -basis Θ_{n-1} of K_{n-1} , a complete reduction ϕ_{n-1} on K_{n-1} for K'_{n-1} and an algorithm to compute an R-pair of every element in K_{n-1} .

The first and second pairs $(\lambda_n, \phi_{n-1}(t'_n))$ and (θ_n, c_n) associated to K_n can be constructed by ϕ_{n-1} and Θ_{n-1} , respectively.

The tower K_n has an effective C -basis Θ_n by Remark 2.8. Replacing K with K_{n-1} , t with t_n , ϕ with ϕ_{n-1} , and θ with θ_n in Theorem 3.10, we find a complete reduction ψ_{θ_n} on K_n for K'_n . Doing the same replacements in Algorithms 2.1, 3.4, 3.7, 3.9 and 3.11, we have an algorithm to compute an R-pair of every element in K_n with respect to ψ_{θ_n} . Then (i) is proved by setting $\phi_n = \psi_{\theta_n}$.

(ii) For every $j \in [n-1]_0$, $\phi_{j+1}(f) - \phi_j(f) = c_j \phi_j(t'_{j+1})$ for some $c_j \in C$ by Corollary 3.13. Summing up these equalities from i to $n-1$, we see that $\phi_n(f) - \phi_i(f)$ is a C -linear combination of $\phi_i(t'_{i+1}), \dots, \phi_{n-1}(t'_n)$. It belongs to K'_n by Remark 3.5. \square

To perform complete reductions in practice, we assume further that $[K_0 : C(x)] < \infty$ and that K_0 contains no new constant. Complete reductions in $C(x)$ and its finite algebraic extensions are given in Example 1.1 and [15], respectively. Improvements on the reduction for algebraic functions can be found in [12]. Algorithms 2.5 and 2.7 show that $C(x)$ has an effective C -basis. So does K_0 by Remark 2.8. We have a complete reduction on K_n for K'_n by Theorem 4.2.

Let us make a notational convention so that we can illustrate computations and proofs through a primitive tower concisely.

CONVENTION 4.3. Let K_n be a primitive tower in (10), and ϕ_0 be a complete reduction on K_0 for K'_0 . Let Θ be the effective C -basis of K_n obtained from a repeated use of Remark 2.8. For all $i \in [n]$,

- $\phi_i : K_i \rightarrow K_i$ stands for the complete reduction for K'_i in the proof of Theorem 4.2,
- $(\lambda_i, \phi(t'_i))$ and (θ_i, c_i) for the first and second pairs associated to K_i , respectively,
- S_i for the set of simple elements in K_i with respect to t_i , and
- A_i for the auxiliary subspace in $K_{i-1}[t_i]$.

All associated pairs are constructed once for all. So the possible ambiguity mentioned in Remark 2.6 will never occur.

EXAMPLE 4.4. Let $K_0 = C(x)$, $t_1 = \log(1-x)$, and t_2 be $\text{polylog}(2, x)$, which is equal to $-\int \frac{\log(1-x)}{x}$. Then $K_2 = K_0(t_1, t_2)$ is a primitive tower. We associate $(\lambda_1, \phi_0(t'_1)) = (0, \frac{1}{x-1})$, $(\theta_1, c_1) = (\frac{1}{x-1}, 1)$ and $(\lambda_2, \phi_1(t'_2)) = (0, -\frac{t_1}{x})$, $(\theta_2, c_2) = (\frac{t_1}{x}, -1)$ to K_1 and K_2 , respectively. Let us compute respective R -pairs of

$$f = \frac{(x-1)^2 t_1 + x}{x^2 (x-1) t_2^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f} = t_2^2.$$

First, $\text{INITIALREDUCTION}(f)$ finds $(g, p, s) \in K_1(t_2) \times K_1[t_2] \times S_2$ such that (1) holds, where

$$g = \frac{1}{t_2}, \quad p = \frac{(x-1)^2 t_1 + x}{x^2 (x-1)} t_2 \quad \text{and} \quad s = 0.$$

Second, $\text{AUXILIARYREDUCTION}(p)$ yields $(q, r) \in K_1[t_2] \times A_2$ such that (4) holds, where

$$q = \frac{t_1}{x} t_2 + \frac{x-1}{x} t_1^2 \quad \text{and} \quad r = \frac{t_1}{x} t_2 - \frac{2t_1}{x}.$$

At last, we project r to $K_1[t_2]'$ and the θ_2 -complement by PROJECTION . The projections are $u = -\frac{t_2^2}{2} + 2t_2$ and 0, respectively. So f has an R -pair $(g+q+u, 0)$. Consequently, $\int f = g+q+u$.

In the same vein, an R -pair of \tilde{f} is (\tilde{g}, \tilde{r}) , where

$$\tilde{g} = xt_2^2 + (2t_1x - 2t_1 - 2x) t_2 + 2t_1^2x - 2t_1^2 - 6t_1x + 6t_1 + 6x$$

and $\tilde{r} = -\frac{2t_1^2}{x}$. So \tilde{f} does not have any integral in K_2 . The remainder \tilde{r} is “simpler” than \tilde{f} in the sense that \tilde{r} is of degree 0 in t_2 .

EXAMPLE 4.5. Let $K_0 = C(x, y)$ with $y^3 - xy + 1 = 0$. Set $t_1 = \log(y)$. Then $K_1 = K_0(t_1)$ is a primitive tower. Two associated pairs of K_1 are $(\lambda_1, \phi_0(t'_1)) = (\frac{2xy}{3}, -y)$ and $(\theta_1, c_1) = (y, -1)$, respectively. We compute an R -pair of $f = y(2 - 3t_1)$.

$\text{INITIALREDUCTION}(f)$ finds a triplet (g, p, s) in $K_0(t_1) \times K_0[t_1] \times S_1$ such that (1) holds, where $g = 0$, $p = -3yt_1 + 2y$ and $s = 0$.

Since $\phi_0(t'_1) = -y$, we see that $y \in \text{im}(\phi_0)$. Then $p \in A_1$. So (4) holds by setting $q = 0$ and $r = p$.

$\text{PROJECTION}(r, \lambda_1, \phi_0(t'_1), \theta_1, c_1)$ yields $u = 3t_1^2 - (2xy)t_1 + 2xy$ and $v = 0$ such that (9) holds. Thus, an R -pair of f is $(u, 0)$, and u is an integral of f .

We present some empirical results about in-field integration obtained by our complete reduction (CR), Algorithm ADDDECOMP - INFIELD in [19, page 150] (AD), and the MAPLE function int . Experiments were carried out with MAPLE 2021 on a computer with imac CPU 3.6GHZ, Intel Core i9, 16G memory. MAPLE scripts of CR and AD are available at <https://haodu007.github.io/publication/CR-paper>.

Every integrand in experimental data was a derivative in the primitive tower $\mathbb{Q}(x)(t_1, t_2, t_3)$, where $t_1 = \log(x)$, $t_2 = \log(x+1)$

and $t_3 = \log(t_1)$. So CR, AD and int are all applicable and have the same output, which is an integral of the input in the same tower. Three integrands in the form p'_i were generated for each i , where p_i was a dense polynomial in some selected generators with (total) degree i . Below is a summary of the average timings (in seconds).

In the first suite of data, we set $p_i \in \mathbb{Q}(x, t_1, t_2)[t_3]$ such that $\deg_{t_3}(p_i) = i$ and all coefficients of p_i are rational functions whose numerators and denominators are both sparse random polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[x, t_1, t_2]$ with total degree 5.

i	1	2	3	4	5	6
CR	1.42	8.32	37.01	122.55	1085.04	>3600
AD	0.96	10.42	47.36	149.02	>3600	>3600
int	1.15	4.52	23.30	53.43	166.27	346.29

In the second suite, p_i is still in $\mathbb{Q}(x, t_1, t_2)[t_3]$. But its coefficients are quotients of linear polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[x, t_1, t_2]$.

i	6	8	10	12	14	16
CR	0.90	2.09	7.05	12.56	30.35	62.11
AD	1.23	4.29	12.31	31.08	57.67	170.70
int	3.83	17.46	31.61	66.22	144.70	322.19

In the third suite, $p_i \in \mathbb{Q}(x)[t_1, t_2, t_3]$ whose coefficients are quotients of random polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ with degree 5.

i	1	2	3	4	5	6
CR	0.35	0.19	0.59	4.02	21.32	88.51
AD	0.39	0.51	3.48	30.53	614.90	1453.61
int	0.53	0.63	4.68	51.82	154.31	1255.49

In the last suite, $p_i \in \mathbb{Q}[x, t_1, t_2, t_3]$. The MAPLE function int returned expressions involving unevaluated integrals for some inputs. Whenever this happened, the corresponding entry is marked by \int .

i	5	10	15	20	25	30
CR	0.39	0.25	0.81	1.98	4.32	8.71
AD	0.45	1.06	6.69	32.83	141.09	280.47
int	0.49	\int	\int	7.09	\int	\int

The timings reveal that CR outperformed AD, and was more efficient than int except for the integrands in the first suite. There are also examples for which int took more than one hour without any output, but both CR and AD returned correct results.

We also observe that INITIALREDUCTION and $\text{AUXILIARYREDUCTION}$ were much more time-consuming than PROJECTION in the complete reduction (see Algorithm 3.11).

5 APPLICATIONS OF REMAINDERS

This section contains two applications: computing elementary integrals over K_n with $K_0 = C(x)$, and constructing telescopers for some non-D-finite functions. Convention 4.3 is kept in the section.

5.1 Elementary integrals

Let $f \in K_n$. Then f has an elementary integral over K_n if and only if its remainder $\phi_n(f)$ has one. Two properties of remainders allow us to apply Algorithm 2.9 directly to compute elementary integrals. To describe the properties, we need three C -subspaces of K_n . Set

$$P = \sum_{i \in [n]} t_i K_{i-1}[t_i], \quad S = \sum_{i \in [n]} S_i,$$

The above two sums are both direct. Set T to be the C -subspace spanned by $\phi_0(t'_1), \dots, \phi_{n-1}(t'_n)$. The sum $K_0 + P + S$ is direct by a straightforward verification.

PROPOSITION 5.1. $\text{im}(\phi_n) \subset K_0 \oplus P \oplus S$.

PROOF. The conclusion holds for $n = 0$ because $\text{im}(\phi_0) \subset K_0$. Assume that $n > 0$ and that the conclusion holds for $n - 1$. By Theorem 3.10, $\text{im}(\phi_n) \subset A_n + S_n$. Since $A_n = \text{im}(\phi_{n-1}) \otimes C[t_n]$, it is contained in $\text{im}(\phi_{n-1}) + t_n K_{n-1}[t_n]$. So

$$\text{im}(\phi_n) \subset \text{im}(\phi_{n-1}) + t_n K_{n-1}[t_n] + S_n.$$

The proposition then follows from the induction hypothesis. \square

PROPOSITION 5.2. If $h \in K_0 \oplus S$, then $h - \phi_n(h) \in K'_0 + T$.

PROOF. Assume $h = h_0 + \sum_{i \in [n]} s_i$, where $h_0 \in K_0$ and $s_i \in S_i$. Then $s_i = \phi_i(s_i)$ by Theorem 3.10, and $\phi_i(s_i) \equiv \phi_n(s_i) \pmod T$ by Theorem 4.2 (ii). Hence, $s_i \equiv \phi_n(s_i) \pmod T$, which, together with the application of ϕ_n to h , implies $h - \phi_n(h) \equiv h_0 - \phi_n(h_0) \pmod T$. By Theorem 4.2 (ii) again, $h - \phi_n(h) \equiv h_0 - \phi_0(h_0) \pmod T$. The proposition is proved by noting that $h_0 - \phi_0(h_0) \in K'_0$. \square

An element s of S can be uniquely written as $\sum_{i \in [n]} s_i$, where $s_i \in S_i$. We say that all residues of s are constants if all residues of s_i as an element in $K_{i-1}(t_i)$ belong to \bar{C} for all $i \in [n]$.

THEOREM 5.3. Let K_n be the primitive tower in (10) with $K_0 = C(x)$. Assume that C is algebraically closed. Then $f \in K_n$ has an elementary integral over K_n if and only if

- (i) there exists $s \in S$ such that $\phi_n(f) \equiv s \pmod{K_0 + T}$, and
- (ii) all residues of s belong to \bar{C} .

PROOF. Assume that both (i) and (ii) hold. By (ii) and [18, Proposition 3.3], s has an elementary integral over K_n . Every element of K_0 has an elementary integral over K_0 because $K_0 = C(x)$. By Remark 3.5, $T \subset K'_n$. It follows from (i) that $\phi_n(f)$ has an elementary integral over K_n , and so does f .

Conversely, assume that f has an elementary integral over K_n . Then there exists a C -linear combination h of logarithmic derivatives in K_n such that $f \equiv h \pmod{K'_n}$ by [7, Theorem 5.5.2]. Since $\phi_n(f) = \phi_n(h)$, it suffices to show that $\phi_n(h)$ satisfies both (i) and (ii). By the logarithmic derivative identity, $h \equiv s \pmod{K_0}$ for some $s \in S$, which has merely constant residues. Then $h \equiv \phi_n(h) \pmod{K_0 + T}$ by Proposition 5.2. Hence, $\phi_n(h) \equiv s \pmod{K_0 + T}$ by the above two congruences. Both (i) and (ii) hold. \square

Next, we outline an algorithm for computing elementary integrals over K_n . Let $f \in K_n$.

1. Compute an R-pair $(g, \phi_n(f))$. If $\phi_n(f) = 0$, then $\int f = g$ and we are done.
2. Assume that $\phi_n(f) \neq 0$. By Proposition 5.1, we can write

$$\phi_n(f) = r + p + s \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{i-1}(t'_i) = r_i + p_i + s_i$$

where $i \in [n]$, $r, r_i \in K_0$, $p, p_i \in P$ and $s, s_i \in S$.

3. Let z_1, \dots, z_n be constant indeterminates.
 - Use CONSTANTMATRIX (Algorithm 2.9) to compute a matrix $M \in C^{k \times n}$ and $v \in C^k$ such that $s - \sum_{i \in [n]} z_i s_i$ has merely constant residues if and only if (3) holds.

- Compute $N \in C^{l \times n}$ and $w \in C^l$ such that $p = \sum_{i \in [n]} z_i p_i$ if and only if $N(c_1, \dots, c_n)^T = w$ has a solution.

- Solve the linear system $\begin{pmatrix} M \\ N \end{pmatrix} (z_1, \dots, z_n)^T = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ w \end{pmatrix}$.

4. If the above system has no solution, then f has no elementary integral over K_n by Theorem 5.3. Otherwise, let $\tilde{c}_1, \dots, \tilde{c}_n$ be such a solution. Set

$$\tilde{r} = r - \sum_{i \in [n]} \tilde{c}_i r_i \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{s} = s - \sum_{i \in [n]} \tilde{c}_i s_i.$$

Note that $\int \tilde{r}$ is elementary because $\tilde{r} \in C(x)$, and that $\int \tilde{s}$ is elementary over K_n by Theorem 5.3. So

$$\int f = g + \int \tilde{r} + \int \tilde{s} + \sum_{i \in [n]} \tilde{c}_i (t_i - \lambda_i).$$

An elementary integral of \tilde{s} can be computed by algorithms in [7, §5.6] and [18, 27].

EXAMPLE 5.4. We follow the above outline to integrate

$$f = \frac{x + (x-1)t_2}{(x-1)t_1} + \frac{t_2 + t_3(1-t_1)}{x}.$$

where $t_1 = \log(1-x)$, $t_2 = \log(x) + \text{polylog}(2, x)$, $t_3 = \log(x) - \text{Li}(1-x)$. Let $K_3 = K_0(t_1, t_2, t_3)$.

1. With ϕ_3 , we find an R-pair $(t_2 t_3, \phi_3(f))$ of f , where

$$\phi_3(f) = \frac{x}{(x-1)t_1}.$$

2. Compute $\phi_{i-1}(t'_i) = r_i + p_i + s_i$ where

i	1	2	3
(r_i, p_i, s_i)	$\left(\frac{1}{x-1}, 0, 0\right)$	$\left(\frac{1}{x}, -\frac{t_1}{x}, 0\right)$	$\left(\frac{1}{x}, 0, \frac{1}{t_1}\right)$

3. By CONSTANTMATRIX (Algorithm 2.9), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It has a solution $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ and $c_3 = 1$.

4. Computing the residues yields $\int f = t_2 t_3 + t_3 + \log\left(\frac{t_1}{x}\right)$.

Neither `int()` command in MAPLE 2021 nor `Integrate[]` command in MATHEMATICA 14.1 found an elementary integral for f . The AXIOM-based computer algebra system FRICAS 1.3.10 (see [20]) returned a correct integral. Comprehensive tests for elementary integration in current computer algebra systems are given in [1].

5.2 Telescopers

In this subsection, we let $K = C(x, y)$ be a differential field equipped with the usual partial derivatives D_x and D_y . Differential fields related to integration for several derivations can be found in [8, 30].

Let t be an element in some partial differential field extension of K such that t is transcendental over K , $D_y D_x(t) = D_x D_y(t)$, $D_x(t) \in K[t]$ with degree less than two, and $D_y(t) \in K \setminus D_y(K)$. Then t is a primitive monomial over K with respect to D_y . The extended derivatives are still denoted by D_x and D_y , respectively. Every element of $K[t]$ is D-finite over K . But $K(t)$ contains non-D-finite elements. For instance, t^{-1} is not D-finite over K , because t^{i+1} is the monic denominator of $D_y^i(t^{-1})$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $f \in K(t)$. A differential operator $L \in C(x)[D_x]^\times$ is called a *telescoper* for f if $L(f) \in D_y(K(t))$. A preliminary discussion on the existence of telescopers for elements in some primitive towers is given in [11, §7]. We discuss on it by means of remainders.

PROPOSITION 5.5. *Let $\phi : K(t) \rightarrow K(t)$ be the complete reduction for $D_y(K(t))$ given in Convention 4.3 with $K = K_0$ and $\phi = \phi_1$. For $f \in K(t)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, f has a telescoper of order no more than m if and only if there exist $l_0, \dots, l_m \in C(x)$, not all zero, such that*

$$\sum_{i \in [m]_0} l_i \phi(D_x^i(f)) = 0. \quad (11)$$

PROOF. Let $L = \sum_{i \in [m]_0} l_i D_x^i$ with $l_0, \dots, l_m \in C(x)$. Then

$$\phi(L(f)) = \sum_{i \in [m]_0} l_i \phi(D_x^i(f)), \quad (12)$$

because ϕ is $C(x)$ -linear. Assume that (11) holds. Then L is a telescoper for f with order no more than m . Conversely, assume that L is a telescoper for f with order no more than m . Then $\phi(L(f)) = 0$ because ϕ is a complete reduction. Hence, (11) holds by (12). \square

Below is a sufficient condition on the existence of telescopers.

PROPOSITION 5.6. *Let $f \in K(t)$. Then there exists a unique element $s \in S_t$ such that $\phi(f) \equiv s \pmod{K[t]}$. If all residues of s with respect to D_y are in $\overline{C(x)}$, then f has a telescoper.*

PROOF. There exists a unique pair (q, s) in $K[t] \times S_t$ such that $\phi(f) = q + s$ by Proposition 5.1. Since q is D -finite over K , it has a telescoper by [35, Lemma 4.1] or [25, Lemma 3].

It remains to prove that s has a telescoper by [14, Remark 2.3]. Let $s = \frac{a}{b}$, where $a, b \in K[t]$, b is monic with respect to t and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Assume that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ are the distinct roots of b . By [18, Lemma 3.1 (i)], we have that

$$s = \sum_{j \in [k]} \beta_j \frac{D_y(t - \alpha_j)}{t - \alpha_j} \quad (13)$$

where $\beta_j \in \overline{K}$ is the residue of f at α_j with respect to D_y . Since each β_j is assumed to be in $\overline{C(x)}$, there exists $L \in C(x)[D_x]$ annihilating all of them by [23, Theorem 3.29 (3)]. By the commutativity of applying derivations and taking logarithmic derivatives, we have

$$D_x \left(\gamma \frac{D_y(u)}{u} \right) = D_y \left(\gamma \frac{D_x(u)}{u} \right) + D_x(\gamma) \frac{D_y(u)}{u}.$$

for all $\gamma \in \overline{C(x)}$ and $u \in K(t)$. A repeated application of the above equality to (13), we find $g \in \overline{C(x)}(y, t)$ such that

$$L(s) = D_y(g) + \sum_{j \in [k]} L(\beta_j) \frac{D_y(t - \alpha_j)}{t - \alpha_j} = D_y(g)$$

Moreover, (13) implies that g is symmetric in $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ over $K(t)$ so that g actually belongs to $K(t)$. \square

EXAMPLE 5.7. *Let $K = \mathbb{C}(x, y)$ and $t = \log(x + y)$. We try to construct respective telescopers for*

$$f = \frac{2x}{(x+y)(t^2-x)} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f} = y \frac{D_y(t-y)}{t-y}.$$

Note that f is simple. So $\phi(f) = f$. Its nonzero residues are $\pm\sqrt{x}$ by [7, Theorem 4.4.3]. By Proposition 5.6, f has a telescoper. Using

notation in Proposition 5.5, we have $2x\phi(D_x(f)) = f$. Thus, the minimal telescoper for f is $2xD_x - 1$.

Again, \tilde{f} is simple. So $\phi(\tilde{f}) = \tilde{f}$. Since \tilde{f} has a nonzero residue y , Proposition 5.6 is not applicable. Let

$$g = \frac{D_y(t-y)}{t-y} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \frac{D_x(t-y)}{D_y(t-y)}.$$

Then $\tilde{f} = yg$ and $\gamma = (1-x-y)^{-1}$. For $\omega \in C(x, y)$, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} D_x(\omega g) &= D_x(\omega)g + \omega D_x(g) \\ &= D_x(\omega)g + \omega D_y \left(\frac{D_x(t-y)}{t-y} \right) \\ &\equiv D_x(\omega)g - D_y(\omega) \frac{D_x(t-y)}{t-y} \pmod{D_y(K(t))} \\ &\equiv (D_x(\omega) - \gamma D_y(\omega))g \pmod{D_y(K(t))}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\phi(D_x(\omega g)) = (D_x(\omega) - \gamma D_y(\omega))g$ because g is simple. Set $\gamma_0 = y$ and $\gamma_i = D_x(\gamma_{i-1}) - \gamma D_y(\gamma_{i-1})$ for $i \geq 1$. It follows from the above calculation that $\phi(D_x^i(\tilde{f})) = \gamma_i g$. Moreover, the denominator of γ_i has degree $2i-1$ in y for $i \geq 1$ by a straightforward induction. Therefore, $\phi(\tilde{f}), \phi(D_x(\tilde{f})), \phi(D_x^2(\tilde{f})), \dots$ are linearly independent over $C(x)$. Consequently, \tilde{f} has no telescoper by Proposition 5.5.

6 CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we have developed a complete reduction for derivatives in a primitive tower. The reduction algorithm decomposes an element of such a tower as the sum of a derivative and a remainder, where the derivative is unique up to an additive constant and the remainder is unique. The algorithm can be applied to compute elementary integrals over primitive towers and to construct telescopers for some non- D -finite functions. The work is a step forward in the development of complete reductions for derivatives in transcendental Liouvillian extensions or, more generally, admissible differential fields (see [26, Definition 3.3]).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Shaoshi Chen, Manuel Kauers, Peter Paule, Clemens Raab and Carsten Schneider for valuable discussions and suggestions.

Part of the calculation in Example 4.5 was carried out by the prototype implementation of lazy Hermite reduction and creative telescoping for algebraic functions by Shaoshi Chen, Lixin Du and Manuel Kauers.

Special thanks go to Nasser M. Abbasi and Ralf Hemmecke for helping us experiment with FriCAS and sharing their experience in symbolic integration.

REFERENCES

- [1] Nasser M. Abbasi. 2024. Computer Algebra Independent Integration Tests. Available at https://12000.org/my_notes/CAS_integration_tests/index.htm.
- [2] Sergei A. Abramov, Keith O. Geddes, and Ha Q. Le. 2002. Computer algebra library for the construction of the minimal telescopers. In *Mathematical Software (Beijing, 2002)*. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 319–329.
- [3] Sergei A. Abramov and Marko Petkovšek. 2001. Minimal decomposition of indefinite hypergeometric sums. In *Proceedings of the 26th International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (ISSAC'01)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 7–14.
- [4] Sergei A. Abramov and Marko Petkovšek. 2002. Rational normal forms and minimal decompositions of hypergeometric terms. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* 33, 5 (2002), 521–543.
- [5] Alin Bostan, Shaoshi Chen, Frédéric Chyzak, Ziming Li, and Guoce Xin. 2013. Hermite reduction and creative telescoping for hyperexponential functions. In *Proceedings of the 38th International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (ISSAC'13)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 77–84.
- [6] Alin Bostan, Frédéric Chyzak, Pierre Lairez, and Bruno Salvy. 2018. Generalized Hermite reduction, creative telescoping and definite integration of D-finite functions. In *Proceedings of the 43th International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (ISSAC'18)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 95–102.
- [7] Manuel Bronstein. 2005. *Symbolic Integration I: Transcendental Functions* (2nd ed.). Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [8] Bob F. Caviness and Michael Rothstein. 1975. A Liouville theorem on integration in finite terms for line integrals. *Communications in Algebra* 3 (1975), 781–795.
- [9] Shaoshi Chen and Hao Du. 2021. An additive decomposition in hyperexponential extensions. Private Communication.
- [10] Shaoshi Chen, Hao Du, Yiman Gao, Hui Huang, and Ziming Li. 2025. A complete reduction in hyperexponential towers. In preparation.
- [11] Shaoshi Chen, Hao Du, and Ziming Li. 2018. Additive decompositions in primitive extensions. In *Proceedings of the 43th International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (ISSAC'18)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 135–142.
- [12] Shaoshi Chen, Lixin Du, and Manuel Kauers. 2021. Lazy Hermite reduction and creative telescoping for algebraic functions. In *Proceedings of the 46th International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (ISSAC'21)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 75–82.
- [13] Shaoshi Chen, Lixin Du, and Manuel Kauers. 2023. Hermite reduction for D-finite functions via integral bases. In *Proceedings of the 48th International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (ISSAC'23)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 155–163.
- [14] Shaoshi Chen, Qinghu Hou, George Labahn, and Ronghua Wang. 2016. Existence problem of telescopers: beyond the bivariate case. In *Proceedings of the 41th International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (ISSAC'16)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 167–174.
- [15] Shaoshi Chen, Manuel Kauers, and Christoph Koutschan. 2016. Reduction-based creative telescoping for algebraic functions. In *Proceedings of the 41th International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (ISSAC'16)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 117–124.
- [16] Shaoshi Chen, Mark van Hoeij, Manuel Kauers, and Christoph Koutschan. 2018. Reduction-based creative telescoping for Fuchsian D-finite functions. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* 85 (2018), 108–127.
- [17] James H. Davenport. 1981. *On the Integration of Algebraic Functions*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [18] Hao Du, Yiman Gao, Jing Guo, and Ziming Li. 2023. Computing logarithmic parts by evaluation homomorphisms. In *Proceedings of the 45th International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (ISSAC'23)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 242–250.
- [19] Hao Du, Jing Guo, Ziming Li, and Elaine Wong. 2020. An additive decomposition in logarithmic towers and beyond. In *Proceedings of the 45th International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (ISSAC'20)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 146–153.
- [20] FriCAS team. 2024. FriCAS 1.3.10—an advanced computer algebra system. Available at <http://fricas.github.io>.
- [21] Yiman Gao. 2024. *Additive Decomposition and Elementary Integration over Exponential Extensions*. Ph.D. Dissertation. Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.
- [22] Michael Karr. 1981. Summation in finite terms. *Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery* 28, 2 (1981), 305–350.
- [23] Manuel Kauers. 2023. *D-Finite Functions*. Springer Cham, Switzerland.
- [24] Ha Q. Le. 2003. *Algorithms for the Construction of the Minimal Telescopers*. Ph.D. Dissertation. University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Canada, Ontario.
- [25] Leonard Lipshitz. 1988. The diagonal of a D-finite power series is D-finite. *Journal of Algebra* 113, 2 (1988), 373–378. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693\(88\)90166-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(88)90166-4)
- [26] Clemens G. Raab. 2012. *Definite Integration in Differential Fields*. Ph.D. Dissertation. RISC-Linz, Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria.
- [27] Clemens G. Raab. 2012. Using Gröbner bases for finding the logarithmic part of the integral of transcendental functions. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* 47 (2012), 1290–1296.
- [28] Clemens G. Raab and Michael F. Singer. 2022. *Integration in Finite Terms: Fundamental Sources*. Springer Cham, Switzerland.
- [29] Robert H. Risch. 1969. The problem of integration in finite terms. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 139 (1969), 167–189.
- [30] Maxwell Rosenlicht. 1976. On Liouville's theory of elementary functions. *Pacific J. Math.* 65, 2 (1976), 485 – 492.
- [31] Bruno Salvy. 2019. Linear differential equations as a data-structure. *Found. Comput. Math.* 19, 5 (2019), 1071–1112.
- [32] Carsten Schneider. 2021. Term algebras, canonical representations and difference ring theory for symbolic summation. In *Anti-Differentiation and the Calculation of Feynman Amplitudes*. Springer, Cham, Berlin, 423–485. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80219-6_17
- [33] Carsten Schneider. 2023. Refined telescoping algorithms in RII Σ -extensions to reduce the degrees of the denominators. In *Proceedings of the 48th International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (ISSAC'23)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 498–507.
- [34] Joris van der Hoeven. 2021. Constructing reductions for creative telescoping: the general differentially finite case. *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing* 32, 5 (2021), 575–602.
- [35] Doron Zeilberger. 1990. A holonomic systems approach to special functions identities. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 32, 3 (1990), 321–368. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-0427\(90\)90042-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-0427(90)90042-X)