

# MATH 206 Notes

Haoen Li

Latest revision: December 19, 2025

## Contents

<b>1 Hahn-Banach Theorem</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 “Great” Theorems in Functional Analysis</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3 Fredholm Theory</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4 Duality</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>5 Spectral Theorem for Self-Adjoint Operators</b>	<b>11</b>

## 1 Hahn-Banach Theorem

**Theorem 1.1** (Geometric Hahn-Banach for convex sets). *V vector space over  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $A$  is convex and linearly open.  $W$  (affined) subspace of  $V$ , with  $A \cap W = \emptyset$ , then  $\exists a(n)$  (affined) hyperplane  $H$  containing  $W$  and disjoint from  $A$ .*

**Remark 1.** • We say  $A \subset V$  is convex, if  $\{t \in \mathbb{R} : x + ty \in A\}$  is an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  for any  $x, y \in V$ , or equivalently, for any  $x, y \in A$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , we have  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in A$ .  
• We say that  $A \subset V$  is linearly open, if it is convex and for any  $x, y \in A$ ,  $\{t : x + ty \in A\}$  is an open interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (Hahn-Banach Theorem for topological vector spaces). *V topological vector space over  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Suppose  $A \subset V$  is convex and open, and  $W$  is a(n) (affined) subspace with  $A \cap W = \emptyset$ , then  $\exists a(n)$  (affined) **closed** hyperplane  $H$  containing  $W$  and disjoint from  $A$ .*

*Proof.* (Sketch)  $A$  open implies  $A$  linearly open. Applying Theorem 1.1 there exists a hyperplane  $H$  containing  $W$  and disjoint from  $A$ . Since  $V \setminus A$  is closed, we have  $\overline{H} \subset V \setminus A$ .  $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{H} \leq 1$  and  $\overline{H}$  is not  $V$ , thus  $\overline{H}$  is also a hyperplane. For  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ , we first view  $V$  as over  $\mathbb{R}$  and find  $H_1$  closed and  $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{R}} H_1 = 1$ . Then consider  $H = H_1 \cap (iH_1)$ . Then  $H$  is closed, a  $\mathbb{C}$ -subspace, and has codimension 2 over  $\mathbb{R}$  thus codimension 1 over  $\mathbb{C}$ . □

**Theorem 1.3** (Hahn-Banach for LCTVS, closed version). *V a locally convex topological vector space, B closed convex.  $x \notin B$ . Then there exists a continuous linear functional  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  such that  $\{f(x) = f(y)\} \cap B = \emptyset$ . Or analytically,*

$$\inf_{y \in B} |f(x) - f(y)| > 0. \quad (1)$$

*Proof.* The strategy is recasting it to the open version. Find a balanced nbhd  $N$  of 0 such that  $(x+N) \cap B = \emptyset$  and  $x \notin A := B + N$ . By the open version there exists closed  $H$  containing  $x$  and disjoint from  $A$ . This means  $f(x+z_1) \neq f(y+z_2)$  for any  $y \in B, z_1, z_2 \in N$ , thus  $f(N) \neq \{0\}$  but  $f(N)$  is balanced around 0 in  $\mathbb{K}$  thus  $\inf_{y \in B} |f(x) - f(y)| > 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Same setting as above. Suppose  $W \subset V$  a subspace, then  $\overline{W}$  can be characterized as*

$$W = \bigcap_{\substack{f \text{ continuous linear functional} \\ f|_W=0}} \ker f = \bigcap_{\substack{H \text{ closed hyperplane} \\ H \supset W}} H. \quad (2)$$

*Proof.* If  $x \in \overline{W}$ ,  $\ker f \supset W$  and by closedness we know that  $\ker f \supset \overline{W}$  and we know that  $x$  in the intersection. Conversely, if  $x \notin \overline{W}$ , we want to find  $f$  continuous such that  $f|_W = 0$  but  $f(x) \neq 0$ . This can be done by finding  $f$  such that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  for any  $y \in \overline{W}$  ( $\overline{W}$  closed and convex and away from  $x$ ). If  $f(y) \neq 0$ , then  $f(x) \neq f(f(x)/f(y) \cdot y) = f(x)$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Example 1** (Runge's approximation). *Let  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  compact, suppose  $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$  is connected. Then any function holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $K$  can be approximated uniformly on  $K$  by polynomials.*

*Proof.* We recall the Riesz representation of  $C(K)^*$ : for any linear functional  $L$  on  $C(K)$  we can write it as

$$L(f) = \int_K f(z) d\mu(z) \quad (3)$$

with some complex finite Borel measure  $\mu$  supported on  $K$ . Now using the characterization of the closure of subspace, the closure of  $\overline{W}$  with  $W$  the space of polynomials in  $C(K)$  is

$$\overline{W} = \bigcap_{\substack{L \in C(K)^* \\ L|_W=0}} \ker L. \quad (4)$$

Thus to show that any holomorphic  $f$  on  $\text{nbhd}(K)$  is in  $\overline{W}$ , we only need to show that for any  $\mu$  with

$$\int_K z^n d\mu(z) = 0, \forall n \geq 0, \quad (5)$$

we have

$$\int_K f(z) d\mu(z) = 0. \quad (6)$$

This is done by the integral formula

$$\int_K f(z) d\mu(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \int \int \frac{1}{\zeta - z} \bar{\partial} \varphi(\zeta) f(\zeta) d\mu(z) d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta, \quad (7)$$

and using the Taylor expansion and the moment condition to show that the integral is 0.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.4** (Hahn-Banach for extension of functionals). *Let  $V$  be a vector space (no topology at first).  $p : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  seminorm,  $W \subset V$  subspace,  $f : W \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  linear functional with*

$$|f(w)| \leq p(w), \forall w \in W. \quad (8)$$

*That is,  $f$  is a continuous linear functional on the locally convex TVS defined by the seminorm  $p$ . Then there exists an extension  $\tilde{f} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  of  $f$  to the whole  $V$  such that  $\tilde{f}$  is also a continuous linear functional with  $p$ , i.e.*

$$|\tilde{f}(v)| \leq p(v), \forall v \in V. \quad (9)$$

*and  $\tilde{f}|_W = f$ .*

*Proof.* In the following discussion we view  $V$  as a LCTVS with the seminorm  $p$ . Define the convex open set  $A = \{v \in V : p(v) < 1\}$  as well as the affined subspace  $F = \{v \in W : f(v) = 1\}$ . Then by  $p \leq f$  we know that  $A \cap F = \emptyset$ . By geometry Hahn-Banach (open ver.) there exists a closed affined hyperplane  $H = \{x : \tilde{f}(x) = 1\}$  containing  $F$  and disjoint from  $A$ . Since  $H \supset F$ , we have for  $\tilde{f}(x) = 1$  for  $x \in F$ , thus  $\tilde{f}|_W = f$ . Also, we can write  $|\tilde{f}(x)| = |\tilde{f}(x)| \cdot |\tilde{f}(x/\tilde{f}(x))|$ . Since  $x/\tilde{f}(x)$  is in  $H$  by definition, we have that  $p(x/\tilde{f}(x)) \geq 1$ , thus  $|\tilde{f}(x)| \leq |\tilde{f}(x)| p(x/\tilde{f}(x)) = p(x)$ .  $\square$

**Example 2** (Existence of weak solution to linear PDEs in the Segal-Bergmann space).  *$V = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, e^{-|x|^2/2} dx)$ , the Segal-Bergmann space. Consider the differential operator with constant coefficients  $P(D)$  where  $P$  is a polynomial and  $D = \frac{1}{i}\partial$ . We want to solve the equation  $P(D)u = f$  for given  $f \in V$ , i.e. we want to find  $u \in V$  such that the equation holds in the weak sense:*

$$\int uQ(D)v = \int fv, \forall v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (10)$$

*Here,  $Q(D) = P(-D)$  is the formal adjoint of  $P(D)$ .*

*To do this, we consider the subspace  $W = \{Q(D)v : v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$  of the space  $U = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, e^{-|x|^2/2} dx)$ . We define a linear functional  $L : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by*

$$L(Q(D)v) = \int fv. \quad (11)$$

*We show:*

- $\int |fv|^2 \leq \int |v|^2 e^{|x|^2} \int |f|^2 e^{-|x|^2} \lesssim \int |Q(D)v|^2 e^{|x|^2/2}$ , thus  $L$  is well-defined and bounded.
- *By Hahn-Banach, we can extend  $L$  to a bounded linear functional  $\tilde{L} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . By Riesz representation on  $L^2$ , there exists  $u \in V$  such that  $\tilde{L}(g) = \int ug$  for any  $g \in U$ . Restrict it back to  $W$ , we get*

$$\int uQ(D)v = \tilde{L}(Q(D)v) = L(Q(D)v) = \int fv, \quad \forall v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (12)$$

*which is exactly the weak solution we want.*

## 2 “Great” Theorems in Functional Analysis

**Theorem 2.1** (Baire).  *$(E, d)$  complete metric space.  $\{U_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  collection of open dense subsets of  $E$ . Then  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} U_n$  is dense in  $E$ . Equivalently, if  $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a collection of closed subsets of  $E$  with empty interior, then  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} F_n$  has empty interior.*

**Remark 2.** We say that the union of closed sets with empty interiors is a set of first category.

**Theorem 2.2** (Banach inverse mapping theorem).  *$T : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$  in an injective bounded linear operator between Banach (or Fréchet) spaces. We have the following dichotomy:  $\text{Im } T$  is either of first category in  $F_2$ , or  $\text{Im } T = F_2$  and  $T$  has a bounded inverse.*

*Another version is:  $T$  is a bounded linear operator (not necessarily injective) between Banach (or Fréchet) spaces. Then  $\text{Im } T$  is either of first category in  $F_2$ , or  $\text{Im } T = F_2$ . This can be shown by applying the first version to the induced map  $\tilde{T} : F_1 / \ker T \rightarrow F_2$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is based on Baire’s theorem. Suppose that  $\text{Im } T$  is not of first category. For fixed  $r > 0$ , denote

$$U = B_1(0, r) = \{x \in F_1 : \|x\| \leq r\}. \quad (1)$$

Then  $F_1 = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} nU$ . Thus

$$\text{Im } T = T(F_1) \subset \bigcup_{n \geq 1} T(nU) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} n\overline{T(U)}. \quad (2)$$

Note that this is a union of closed sets. Since we assumed that  $T$  is not of 1st cat., there exists some  $n$  such that there exists an open ball in  $F_2$  contained in  $n\overline{T(U)}$ . By scaling, symmetry and convexity arguments, there exists some  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\overline{B_2(0, \rho)} \subset \overline{T(U)}$ . Now we denote  $U_k := 2^{-k}U$ , then there exists  $\rho_k > 0$  such that  $\overline{B_2(0, \rho_k)} \subset \overline{T(U_k)}$ . Thus we have the estimate  $\rho_k \leq \|T\|2^{-k}r$ . Denote  $V_k = B_2(0, \rho_k)$ . We are in a position to show that  $T$  has a bounded inverse. For any  $y \in V_1$ , there exists  $x_1 \in U_1$  such that  $\|y - Tx_1\| \leq \rho_2$ , that is, there exists  $y_1 \in V_2$  such that  $y - Tx_1 = y_1$ . Repeating this process we get a sequence  $\{x_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  with  $x_k \in U_k$  such that

$$y - T\left(\sum_{k=1}^N x_k\right) = y_N \in V_{N+1}. \quad (3)$$

Since  $\{\sum_{k=1}^N x_k\}_{N \geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $F_1$ , it converges to some  $x \in F_1$ . Taking limit on both sides we get  $Tx = y$ . Also, we have the estimate

$$\|x\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k}r = r. \quad (4)$$

Since  $y \in V_1$  is arbitrary, we have shown that for any  $y \in B_2(0, \rho_1)$ , there exists  $x \in F_1$  with  $\|x\| \leq r$  such that  $Tx = y$ . Thus the inverse is bounded by  $\|T^{-1}\| \leq r/\rho_1$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.**  *$L^q([0, 1])$  is of first category in  $L^p([0, 1])$  for  $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$ . This is because the embedding is proper since  $g(x) = x^{-\frac{1}{r}}$  with  $p < r \leq q$  is in  $L^p$  but not in  $L^q$ . By the inverse mapping theorem, the image is of first category.*

**Example 4** (The characteristic variety of a linear PDO). *If for any  $u \in C^m(\Omega)$ , such that  $P(D)u = 0$ , we have  $u \in C^{m+1}(\Omega)$ , then an essential condition for this to hold is that the characteristic variety of  $P$ , defined as*

$$\Sigma = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n : P(\xi) = 0\}, \quad (5)$$

satisfies  $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty, \xi \in \Sigma} |\operatorname{Im} \xi| = \infty$ . A consequence of this fact is that, for Schrödinger equation, there exists  $C^2$  solution which is not  $C^3$ .

*Proof.* Consider two Fréchet spaces  $F_1 := \{u \in C^{m+1}(\Omega) : P(D)u = 0\}$  and  $F_2 := \{u \in C^m(\Omega) : P(D)u = 0\}$ . ( $C^m$  space are Fréchet with the family of seminorms  $\|u\|_K = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sup_{x \in K} |\partial^\alpha u(x)|$  for  $K \subset\subset \Omega$ ). This is an embedding. But we also hypothesize that this is a surjective, thus the inverse map is continuous. That is

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m+1} \sup_{x \in K} |\partial^\alpha u(x)| \lesssim \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} \sup_{x \in K'} |\partial^\beta u(x)|, \forall u \in F_1 = F_2. \quad (6)$$

We take the characteristic function  $u(x) = e^{i\xi \cdot x}$  with  $\xi \in \Sigma$ . Then  $P(D)u = P(\xi)e^{i\xi \cdot x} = 0$ . Plugging in we get  $\frac{A_{m+1}(\zeta)}{A_m(\zeta)} \lesssim \sup_{x \in K, x' \in K'} e^{-\operatorname{Im}\langle \zeta, x - x' \rangle} \lesssim e^{|\operatorname{Im} \zeta|}$  for any  $\zeta \in \Sigma$ , where  $A_k(\zeta) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} |\zeta^\alpha|$ . Since  $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty, \xi \in \Sigma} \frac{A_{m+1}(\xi)}{A_m(\xi)} = \infty$ , we must have  $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty, \xi \in \Sigma} |\operatorname{Im} \xi| = \infty$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3** (Closed graph theorem).  *$T : \mathcal{D}(T) \rightarrow F_2$  linear (can be unbounded a priori) and has closed graph ( $\mathcal{D}(T) \subset F_1$ ,  $F_1, F_2$  Banach or Fréchet spaces). Then either  $\mathcal{D}(T)$  is of first category in  $F_1$ , or  $\mathcal{D}(T)F_1 = F_1$  and  $T$  is bounded.*

*Proof.* Consider the continuous projectors  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}(T)$  is closed,  $\pi_1|_{\mathcal{G}(T)}$  is also continuous and  $\operatorname{Im} \pi_1|_{\mathcal{G}(T)} = \mathcal{D}(T)$ . If  $\mathcal{D}(T)$  is not of 1st cat., then  $\mathcal{D}(T) = F_1$ . In the latter case the inverse  $[\pi_1|_{\mathcal{G}(T)}]^{-1} : F_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(T)$  is bounded. Thus  $T = \pi_2 \circ [\pi_1|_{\mathcal{G}(T)}]^{-1}$  is also bounded.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4** (Banach-Steinhaus uniform boundedness principle).  *$F$  Fréchet,  $V$  LCVS,  $\Phi$  a family of linear conti. operators  $F \rightarrow V$ . Define the set of pts whose orbits under  $\Phi$  are bounded:  $\Sigma = \{x \in F : \Phi x \text{ is bounded in } V\}$ . Then either  $\Sigma$  is of 1st cat., or  $\Sigma = F$  and  $\Phi$  is equi-continuous.*

*Proof.* Fixed arbitrary balanced nbhd  $U$  of 0 in  $V$ . We write  $\Sigma = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} nA(U)$ , where  $A(U)$  is the intersection of the preimages of  $U$  in  $V$  under all maps  $T$  in  $\Phi$  (by the definition of boundedness). If  $\Sigma$  is not of 1st cat., then there exists some  $n$  such that  $nA(U)$  contains a balanced nbhd  $W$  of 0 in  $F$ , i.e.  $T(W) \subset U$ .  $\square$

**Example 5** (Divergence of Fourier series). *There exists a continuous function on the circle whose Fourier series diverges at a point. Since we can choose  $f$  such that*

$$|S_N f(0)| \gtrsim L_N \|f\|_\infty, \quad L_N = \|D_N\|_1 \gtrsim \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k} \sim O(\log N), \quad (7)$$

where  $D_N$  is the Dirichlet kernel. Then the operators  $T_N : C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $T_N f := S_N f(0)$  is not equi-continuous, thus there must be some  $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T})$  such that  $\sup_N |S_N \varphi(0)| = \infty$ .

### 3 Fredholm Theory

**Theorem 3.1** (Algebraic Fredholm theory). *Let  $T : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  such that  $\dim \ker T = n_+ < \infty$  and  $\dim \operatorname{coker} T = n_- < \infty$ . Then there exists  $R_- : \mathbb{K}^{n_-} \rightarrow V_2$  injective and  $R_+ : V_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{n_+}$  surjective such that the operator*

$$\begin{pmatrix} T & R_- \\ R_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} : V_1 \oplus \mathbb{K}^{n_-} \rightarrow V_2 \oplus \mathbb{K}^{n_+} \quad (1)$$

is an isomorphism.

**Remark 3.** Briefly, we can always “complete” a Fredholm operator to an isomorphism by adding finite-dimensional spaces.

*Proof.* The proof is based on construction.

- We take the basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n_+}\}$  of  $\ker T$ , by Hahn-Banach there exists a “dual basis” of linear functionals on  $V_1$ ,  $\{x_1^*, \dots, x_{n_+}^*\}$  such that  $\langle x_i^*, x_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ . We define  $R_+ : V_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{n_+}$  as  $u \mapsto (\langle x_1^*, u \rangle, \dots, \langle x_{n_+}^*, u \rangle)$ . This is surjective by construction. Moreover,  $\ker T \cap \ker R_+ = \{0\}$ .
- We take the basis  $\{[y_1], \dots, [y_{n_-}]\}$  of  $\text{coker } T = V_2 / \text{Im } T$ . We define  $R_- : \mathbb{K}^{n_-} \rightarrow V_2$  as  $R_-(e_i) = y_i$ , where  $\{e_i\}$  is the standard basis of  $\mathbb{K}^{n_-}$ . This is injective by construction. Moreover,  $\text{Im } T \cap \text{Im } R_- = \{0\}$ .

- We check  $\tilde{T} := \begin{pmatrix} T & R_- \\ R_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is (1) surjective since  $\tilde{T} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{n_-} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Tu + \sum_{i=1}^{n_-} c_i y_i \\ R_+ u \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $R_+$  is surjective.  
(2) injective since  $\tilde{T} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u_- \end{pmatrix} = 0$  iff  $Tu + R_- u_- = 0$  and  $R_+ u = 0$ . Note that  $Tu \in \text{Im } T \cap \text{Im } R_- = \{0\}$ , and thus  $Tu = R_- u_- = 0$ . Since  $R_-$  is injective, we have  $u_- = 0$  and thus  $u \in \ker T \cap \ker R_+ = \{0\}$ , thus  $u = 0$ .

□

**Proposition 1** (Schur complement formula).  $\tilde{T} = \begin{pmatrix} T & R_- \\ R_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is invertible with  $\tilde{T}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} E & E_- \\ E_+ & E_{-+} \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $\dim \ker T = \dim \ker E_{-+}$ ,  $\dim \text{coker } T = \dim \text{coker } E_{-+}$ . In particular,  $T^{-1}$  exists iff  $E_{-+}$  is invertible, and in this case

$$T^{-1} = E - E_- E_{-+}^{-1} E_+. \quad (2)$$

Note that in this case  $\text{Ind } T = \dim \ker T - \dim \text{coker } T = 0$ . Therefore  $E_{-+}$  is a  $n_\pm \times n_\pm$  matrix ( $n_+ = n_-$ ).

**Theorem 3.2.**  $T : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$  Fredholm operator between Banach spaces,  $S : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$  continuous with  $\|S\| \ll 1$ , then

- $T + S$  is also Fredholm,
- $\text{Ind}(T + S) = \text{Ind}(T)$ ,
- $\dim \ker(T + S) \leq \dim \ker T$ ,  $\dim \text{coker}(T + S) \leq \dim \text{coker } T$ .

*Proof.*  $\|S\| \ll 1$  implies that  $\left\| \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \ll 1$ . Thus  $\tilde{T} + \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is also invertible. Denote its inverse as  $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{E}^S & \tilde{E}_-^S \\ \tilde{E}_+^S & \tilde{E}_{-+}^S \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus  $\dim \ker(T + S) = \dim \ker E_{-+}^S \leq n_+$ ,  $\dim \text{coker}(T + S) = \dim \text{coker } E_{-+}^S \leq n_-$ , thus  $T + S$  is Fredholm with  $\text{Ind}(T + S) = n_+ - n_- = \text{Ind } T$ . □

Followings are some basic facts about compact operators:

**Proposition 2.** •  $\mathcal{L}_c(B_1, B_2) \subset \mathcal{L}(B_1, B_2)$  is a closed two-sided ideal.

- If  $T$  is semi-Fredholm i.e.  $\dim \ker T < \infty$  and  $\text{Im } T$  is closed,  $K$  is a compact operator, then  $T + K$  is also semi-Fredholm with  $\text{Ind}(T + K) = \text{Ind}T$ .

**Theorem 3.3** (Atkinson characterization of Fredholm operators).  $T : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$  bounded linear operator between Banach spaces, then TFAE:

- $T$  is Fredholm,
- $\exists E : B_2 \rightarrow B_1$ , such that  $TE = I + R_1$ ,  $ET = I + R_2$  with  $R_1, R_2$  finite rank operators,
- $\exists E : B_2 \rightarrow B_1$ , such that  $TE = I + K_1$ ,  $ET = I + K_2$  with  $K_1, K_2$  compact operators.
- $\exists E_1 : B_2 \rightarrow B_1$  and  $E_2 : B_2 \rightarrow B_1$  such that  $TE_1 = I + K_1$ ,  $E_2T = I + K_2$  with  $K_1, K_2$  compact operators.

**Remark 4.** Briefly, Fredholm operators are invertible up to compact perturbations.

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2) By algebraic Fredholm theory,

$$\begin{pmatrix} T & R_- \\ R_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E & E_- \\ E_+ & E_{-+} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} TE + R_-E_- & * \\ * & R_+E_+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} E & E_- \\ E_+ & E_{-+} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T & R_- \\ R_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ET + E_-R_+ & * \\ * & E_+R_- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Thus reading the first row/column we get the desired result with  $R_1 = R_-E_-$  and  $R_2 = E_-R_+$ , both finite rank.

(2)  $\implies$  (3) and (3)  $\implies$  (4) are trivial.

(4)  $\implies$  (1)  $TE_1 = I + K_1$ , thus  $\text{Im } T \supset \text{Im}(I + K_1)$ , which implies  $\text{codim Im } T < \infty$  (since  $I + K_1$  is Fredholm with index 0, because  $K_1$  is compact). Similarly,  $E_2T = I + K_2$  implies  $\dim \ker T < \infty$ . Thus  $T$  is Fredholm.  $\square$

**Example 6** (Application: Toeplitz operators).  $H_2 = \{f \in L^2 : f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n e^{inx}\}$  the Hardy space on the circle. Let  $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$  and  $f(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}_m e^{im\theta}$  be its Fourier series. The Toeplitz operator  $T_f : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$  is defined as  $T_f u = P(fu)$  where  $P$  is a projection from  $L^2$  to  $H_2$  (view as a strip-like matrix acting on  $\ell^2$  the Fourier coefficients). Then  $T_f$  is Fredholm with

$$\text{Ind}T_f = -\text{winding number of } f \text{ around } 0 = -\frac{1}{2\pi} [\arg f(e^{i\theta})]_{\theta=0}^{2\pi} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f'(e^{i\theta})}{f(e^{i\theta})} d\theta. \quad (5)$$

Here  $|f| > 0$  on  $\mathbb{T}$ .

*Proof.* We have  $f, g \in C(\mathbb{T})$  implies  $T_f T_g - T_{fg} \in \mathcal{L}_c(H_2)$ . This is because for  $p, q$  being trigonometric polynomials we have by suitable cutoffs that  $T_p T_q - T_{pq}$  is of finite rank. Thus by the fact that  $\mathcal{L}$  is compact and that  $\|T_f\| = \|f\|_\infty$  and that trigonometric polynomials are dense in  $C(\mathbb{T})$ , we get the desired result. Now if  $f$  is nowhere vanishing, then there exists  $g \in C(\mathbb{T})$  such that  $fg = 1$ . Thus  $T_f T_g = I + K_1$ ,  $T_g T_f = I + K_2$  with  $K_1, K_2$  compact. By Atkinson's theorem,  $T_f$  is Fredholm. We compute that  $\text{Ind}T_{e_n}$  which is a translation operator is  $-n$ . Thus  $\text{Ind}T_{e_n} = -\text{winding number of } e_n \text{ around } 0$ . Now it remains to show that for  $f$  with zero argument variation,  $\text{Ind}T_f = 0$ . In such case we write  $f = e^F$  with  $F \in C(\mathbb{T})$  continuous. Note that index is stable on a homotopy we have  $\text{Ind}T_f = \text{Ind}T_{e^F}|_{t=0}^1 = \text{Ind}T_1 = 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4** (Riesz). Suppose  $S \in \mathcal{L}_c(B)$ ,  $N_k := \ker(I + S)^k$ , then there exists some  $J$  such that  $N = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} N_k = \ker(I + S)^J$ . Moreover, for the same  $J$ ,  $F = \bigcap_{j \geq 0} F_j = \text{Im}(I + S)^J$  with  $F_j = \text{Im}(I + S)^j$ , and we have the topological direct sum decomposition  $B = N \oplus F$ , both  $N$  and  $F$  are invariant under  $S$ , and  $(I + S)|_F$  is invertible.

*Proof.* If the ascending chain  $N_k$  never stabilizes, then by the proof of “identity is compact iff finite dimensional” we can find  $x_k \in N_k$  such that  $\|x - x_k\| \geq 1$  for any  $x \in N_{k-1}$  and  $\|x_k\| = 1$ . Let  $x = (I + S)x_k - Sx_j$  ( $j \leq k - 1$ ), then since  $N_{k-1}$  is  $S$ -invariant, we have that  $x \in N_{k-1}$  and thus  $\|x - x_k\| = \|S(x_k - x_j)\| \geq 1$ . This means that  $\{Sx_k\}$  has no Cauchy subsequence, contradicting the compactness of  $S$ . Thus  $N_k$  stabilizes at some  $J$ . Similarly for  $F_j$  by the fact that  $\dim \ker(I + S)^{J+1} = \dim \ker(I + S)^J$  implies  $\dim \text{coker}(I + S)^{J+1} = \dim \text{coker}(I + S)^J$  implies  $\text{Im}(I + S)^{J+1} = \text{Im}(I + S)^J$ . (Note that  $S$  is compact and thus  $I + S$  is Fredholm with index  $\text{Ind}(I + S) = \text{Ind}(I) = 0$ .)

Now  $N$  is a finite union of finite dimensional spaces, thus is finite dimensional (or directly note that  $(I + S)^J = I + \text{compact}$  is Fredholm), and  $F$  is closed, thus this direct sum is topological.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5** (Analytical Fredholm theory). We assume that  $\Omega \ni z \mapsto A(z)$  is a meromorphic family of Fredholm operators on  $B$ , such that  $A(z_0)^{-1}$  exists for some  $z_0$ . Then  $\Omega \ni z \mapsto A(z)^{-1}$  is meromorphic with values in  $\mathcal{L}(B)$ , with poles of finite rank.

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Ind}(A(z_0)) = 0$  and index is stable under continuous perturbations, we have  $\text{Ind}(A(w)) = 0$  for any  $w \in \Omega$  ( $\Omega$  is a connected open set). Thus the Grushin operator  $\begin{pmatrix} A(w) & R_-^w \\ R_+^w & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is invertible. In a neighborhood of  $w$ ,  $z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A(z) & R_-^w \\ R_+^w & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is still invertible. Since  $z \mapsto A(z)$  is holomorphic, we have  $z \mapsto E_*^w(z)$  ( $*$  = NONE,  $-$ ,  $+$ ,  $-+$ ) are also holomorphic. Thus by Schur complement formula, we have

$$A(z)^{-1} = E^w(z) - E_-^w(z)(E_{-+}^w(z))^{-1}E_+^w(z). \quad (6)$$

By finite-dimensional argument, since  $\det E_{-+}^w(z)$  is holomorphic and non-vanishing at  $z = w$ ,  $E_-^w(z)(E_{-+}^w(z))^{-1}E_+^w(z)$  is meromorphic with poles of finite rank at  $z = w$ . Together with the holomorphy of  $E^w(z)$ , we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Example 7** (Application: Riesz projectors).  $P : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  is continuous inclusion, then by analytic Fredholm theory,

$$(P - zI)^{-1} = - \left( \frac{\Pi}{z - z_1} + \cdots + \frac{(P - z_1)^{N+1}\Pi}{(z - z_1)^N} \right) + R_0(z) \quad (7)$$

where  $z_1$  is a pole,  $z \mapsto R_0(z)$  is holomorphic, and  $\Pi : X_2 \rightarrow \ker(P - z_1 I)^N$  is the Riesz projector onto the generalized eigenspace of  $P$  at  $z_1$ . We can recover the Atkinson result by noting  $N = \Pi X_1$ ,  $F = (I - \Pi)X_1$ .

*Proof.* WLOG  $z_1 = 0$ , applying analytic Fredholm theory to  $P - zI$ , we have

$$(P - zI)^{-1} = \frac{A_1}{z} + \frac{A_2}{z^2} + \cdots + \frac{A_N}{z^N} + R_0(z), \quad (8)$$

$$\Pi := -A_1 = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=\epsilon} (P - zI)^{-1} dz, \quad (9)$$

$$\Pi^2 = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{|z|=\epsilon} \oint_{|w|=\epsilon} (P - zI)^{-1}(P - wI)^{-1} dz dw = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=\epsilon} (P - zI)^{-1} dz = \Pi, \quad (10)$$

applying  $(P - z)$  on both sides and matching the terms, we get  $PA_{-N} = 0$  and  $PA_{-k} = A_{-k-1}$  ( $k < N$ ), and  $\Pi + (P - z)R(z) = I \Rightarrow (P - z)R(z) = I - \Pi \Rightarrow (P - z)(I - \Pi)R(z) = I - \Pi \Rightarrow PR(0)(I - \Pi) = I - \Pi$ , (11) therefore  $P|_{\text{Im}(I - \Pi)}$  is invertible, and

$$N = \text{Im } \Pi = \ker P^N, \quad F = \text{Im}(I - \Pi) = \text{Im } P^N. \quad (12)$$

We recover the Atkinson decomposition  $X_1 = N \oplus F$ .  $\square$

## 4 Duality

First we give the two main results for dual operators.

**Theorem 4.1.**  $T \in \mathcal{L}(B_1, B_2)$ ,  $\text{Im } T$  closed, then  $\text{Im } T^*$  is also closed and

- $(\ker T)^\circ = \text{Im } T^*$ ,  $\ker T^* = (\text{Im } T)^\circ$ ,
- $\dim \ker T = \dim \text{coker } T^*$ ,  $\dim \text{coker } T = \dim \ker T^*$ ,
- In particular, if  $T$  is Fredholm, then so is  $T^*$  with  $\text{Ind } T^* = -\text{Ind } T$ .

The proof is based on the following algebraic result:

**Theorem 4.2.**  $W \subset B$  is closed, define

$$\iota^* : B^* \rightarrow W^*, \quad \iota^*|_{W^\circ} = 0, \quad (\iota^*)' : B^*/W^\circ \rightarrow W^* \text{ is an isometric isomorphism.} \quad (1)$$

$$q^* : (B/W)^* \rightarrow B^*, \quad q^*((B/W)^*) = W^\circ, \quad q^* : (B/W)^* \rightarrow W^\circ \text{ is an isometric isomorphism.} \quad (2)$$

*Proof.* •  $\iota^*\xi = \xi|_W$  since  $\iota$  is an embedding. Thus  $\iota^*\xi = 0$ , if and only if  $\xi \in W^\circ$ . For any  $\eta \in W^*$ , by HB  $\exists \xi \in B^*$  such that  $\xi|_W = \eta$  and  $\|\xi\| = \|\eta\|$ . Thus  $\|\iota^*\xi\| = \|\eta\| = \|[\xi]_{W^\circ}\|$ . Thus  $(\iota^*)'$  is an isometric isomorphism.

- For any  $\zeta \in (B/W)^*$ , we consider

$$\langle x, q^*\zeta \rangle = \langle qx, \zeta \rangle = \langle [x]_W, \zeta \rangle \quad (3)$$

Thus  $q^*\zeta : x \mapsto \langle [x]_W, \zeta \rangle$ , therefore  $q^*\zeta \in W^\circ$  because  $[x]_W = [0]_W$  for  $x \in W$ . We take any  $\xi \in W^\circ$ ,  $\xi' : B/W \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is well-defined since  $\xi|_W = 0$ . Moreover,  $\|\xi'\| = \|\xi\|$ . Therefore  $q^*$  is an isometric isomorphism.  $\square$

*Proof of the theorem.* If  $T$  is bijective, then there exists  $S$  such that  $ST = I_{B_1}$ ,  $T^*S^* = I_{B_1^*}$ ,  $S^*T^* = I_{B_2^*}$ , thus all the results hold trivially.

If  $T$  is not bijective, define

$$T_1 : B_1 \rightarrow B_1/\ker T, \quad T_2 : B_1/\ker T \rightarrow \text{Im } T, \quad T_3 : \text{Im } T \rightarrow B_2, \quad (4)$$

then  $T_1$  is surjective,  $T_2$  is bijective,  $T_3$  is injective.  $T = T_3 \circ T_2 \circ T_1$ , thus  $T^* = T_1^* \circ T_2^* \circ T_3^*$ .

$$T_1^* : (B_1/\ker T)^* \rightarrow B_1, \quad T_3^* : B_2^* \rightarrow (\text{Im } T)^*. \quad (5)$$

Since  $(B_1 / \ker T)^* \cong (\ker T)^\circ$ , thus  $\text{Im } T_1^* \cong (\ker T)^\circ$ . Since  $(\text{Im } T)^* \cong B_2^*/(\text{Im } T)^\circ$ , thus  $\ker T_3^* = (\text{Im } T)^\circ$ .

$T_1$  surjective  $\implies T_1^*$  injective,  $T_3$  injective  $\implies T_3^*$  surjective.  $T_2$  bijective  $\implies T_2^*$  bijective. Thus we have

$$\text{Im } T^* = \text{Im}(T_1^* T_2^* T_3^*) = \text{Im } T_1^* \cong (\ker T)^\circ, \quad (6)$$

$$\ker T^* = \ker(T_1^* T_2^* T_3^*) = \ker T_3^* = (\text{Im } T)^\circ. \quad (7)$$

For the dimensional relations, we just note that the dimension of a subspace equals to its dual space, thus

$$\dim \ker T = \dim(\ker T)^* = \dim(B_1^*/(\ker T)^\circ) = \dim(B_1^*/\text{Im } T^*) = \dim \text{coker } T^*, \quad (8)$$

$$\dim \text{coker } T = \dim(\text{coker } T)^* = \dim(B/\text{Im } T)^* = \dim(\text{Im } T)^\circ = \dim \ker T^*. \quad (9)$$

□

**Theorem 4.3.** If  $T \in \mathcal{L}_c(B_1, B_2)$ , then  $T^* \in \mathcal{L}_c(B_2^*, B_1^*)$ .

*Proof.* We need to show that for  $\xi_n \in B_2^*$ ,  $T^*\xi_n$  has a convergent subsequence. We define  $K = \{Tx : \|x\|_{B_1} \leq 1\} \subset\subset B_2$ . Note that  $\{\xi_n\}$  is in  $C(K)$  and  $|\langle \xi_j y \rangle| \leq \|\xi_j\| \|y\| = \|y\|$ . Therefore by Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, there exists a convergent subsequence  $\xi_{n_k} \rightarrow \xi$  in  $C(K)$ . By passing to a subsequence we assume that  $\xi_j$  is convergent in  $C(K)$ , thus

$$\|T^*\xi_j - T^*\xi_k\|_{B_1^*} \leq \sup_{x \in B_1, \|x\| \leq 1} |\langle T^*(\xi_j - \xi_k), x \rangle| = \sup_{x \in B_1, \|x\| \leq 1} \langle \xi_j - \xi_k, Tx \rangle \leq \|\xi_j - \xi_k\|_{C(K)} \rightarrow 0. \quad (10)$$

□

**Theorem 4.4** (Banach-Alaoglu).  $U$  is the closed unit ball in  $B^*$ , then  $U$  is compact in the weak-\* topology.

*Proof.* Only prove for the case  $B$  is separable. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a dense subset of  $B$ . We consider the seminorms

$$p_n(\xi) = |\langle \xi, x_n \rangle|, \quad \xi \in B^*. \quad (11)$$

We claim that the topology generated by these seminorms is exactly the weak-\* topology on  $B^*$ . By the following lemma, indeed, enough to show that for any given  $x, \varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $j, \delta > 0$  such that  $\{\xi : |\langle x, \xi \rangle| < \varepsilon\} \supset \{\xi : |\langle x_j, \xi \rangle| < \delta\}$ . We find  $x_j$  such that  $\|x - x_j\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{10}$  and take  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{10}$ , we have

$$|\langle x, \xi \rangle| \leq \|x - x_j\| \|\xi\| + \delta \leq \rho + \delta < \varepsilon. \quad (12)$$

Thus we only need to show that  $\xi_n \in U$  has a subsequence such that  $\langle x_j, \xi_{n_k} \rangle$  converges for any  $j$ . By diagonal argument, we can find such subsequence. □

**Example 8.**  $\{f_n\}$  a bounded sequence in  $L^q$ . By Banach Alaoglu, there exists a subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  and some  $f \in L^q$  such that for any  $g \in L^p$  ( $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ), we have

$$\int f_{n_k} g dx \rightarrow \int f g dx. \quad (13)$$

**Lemma 1.**  $L : F \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is continuous linear functional with respect to the topology  $\sigma(F, G)$  (generated by the huge number of seminorms  $x \mapsto |\langle x, y \rangle|$  for each fixed  $y \in G$ , and  $y \mapsto \langle x, y \rangle$  is linear for each fixed  $x \in F$ ). Then  $\exists y \in G$  such that  $L(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$  for any  $x \in F$ . In particular, if  $\xi_n - \xi_m$  converges to 0 in weak-\*, then there exists some  $\xi \in B^*$  such that  $\xi_n$  converges to  $\xi$  in weak-\*.

*Proof.* Since  $L$  is continuous, there exists some finite number of seminorms and  $C > 0$  such that

$$|L(x)| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^N |\langle x, y_j \rangle|, \quad \forall x \in F. \quad (14)$$

We define  $N = \{x \in F : \langle x, y_j \rangle = 0, j = 1, \dots, N\}$ , then  $L|_N = 0$ ,  $F/N$  is finite dimensional. We define  $L'$  and  $Y_j$  on  $F/N$  as

$$L'([x]) = L(x), \quad Y_j([x]) = \langle x, y_j \rangle. \quad (15)$$

Then they are all well-defined. Note that

$$\dim \text{Span}(Y_1, \dots, Y_N) \leq N = \dim(F/N)^* - \dim[\text{Span}(Y_1, \dots, Y_N)]^\circ = \dim(F/N) - \dim\{[0]\} = \dim(F/N), \quad (16)$$

thus we have  $F/N = \text{Span}(Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$ . Therefore there exists  $c_j$  such that  $L' = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j Y_j$ . Thus for any  $x \in F$ , we have

$$L(x) = L'([x]) = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j Y_j([x]) = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \langle x, y_j \rangle = \langle x, \sum_{j=1}^N c_j y_j \rangle. \quad (17)$$

We take  $y = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j y_j \in G$ , and we are done.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.**  $M \subset B^*$ , then  $M$  is closed in weak-\* iff  $M \cap U$  is closed in weak-\*.

**Corollary 3.** If  $\text{Im } T^*$  is closed, then  $\text{Im } T$  is also closed.

## 5 Spectral Theorem for Self-Adjoint Operators

**Example 9.**  $Tu = \frac{1}{i}u'$  on  $H = L^2(0, 1)$ . If  $\mathcal{D}_1 = C_c^\infty(0, 1)$ , then  $T$  is symmetric (since no boundary terms). If  $\mathcal{D}_2 = \{u \in L^2 : u' \in L^2\}$  ( $u'$  is the weak derivative), then  $T$  is not symmetric.

**Definition 5.1.**  $T$  densely defined, we say that  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{A^*}$  if  $v \mapsto \langle u, Av \rangle$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{D}_A$  with respect to the norm of  $H$ . In such case, since  $\mathcal{D}_A$  is dense in  $H$ , the continuous linear functional uniquely extends to  $H$  and thus by Riesz representation theorem there exists a unique  $f \in H$  such that  $\langle u, Av \rangle = \langle f, v \rangle$  for any  $v \in \mathcal{D}_A$ . We define  $A^*u = f$ .

**Proposition 3.** A closed and symmetric, then for any  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ , we have

- $\ker(A - zI) = \{0\}$ ,
- $\text{Im}(A - zI)$  is closed,
- $|\text{Im } z| \|u\| \leq \|(A - zI)u\|$  for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}_A$ .

*Proof.* We only need to prove the last item, since the first two follow directly. We compute

$$\|(A - z)u\|^2 = \|Bu\|^2 + (\text{Im } z)^2 \|u\|^2 \geq (\text{Im } z)^2 \|u\|^2. \quad (1)$$

$\square$

**Proposition 4.** *A symmetric and densely defined, then define*

$$n_{\pm} = \dim \ker(A^* \pm i) = \dim \text{coker}(A \mp i). \quad (2)$$

We have that  $\text{codim } \text{Im}(A - z) = \dim \ker(A^* - \bar{z})$  is constant on  $\{\text{Im } z > 0\}$  and  $\{\text{Im } z < 0\}$  respectively, equal to  $n_+$  and  $n_-$ . (They are called the deficiency indices of  $A$ .)

*Proof.* We first directly verify that  $\ker(A^* - \bar{z}) = [\text{Im}(A - z)]^\perp$  by the density of  $\mathcal{D}_A$ . Thus  $\text{codim } \text{Im}(A - z) = \dim \text{coker}(A^* - \bar{z})$ . Now we want to apply the Fredholm theory argument but cannot directly do this for unbdd operators. Thus we consider the graph operators:

$$T : \mathcal{G}(A) \ni (u, Au) \mapsto (A - z)u \in H, \quad (3)$$

$$S : \mathcal{G}(A) \ni (u, Au) \mapsto -\zeta u \in H. \quad (4)$$

For  $\text{Im } z > 0$  and  $|\zeta| \ll 1$ , note that  $\ker(A - z) = 0$  and  $\text{Im}(A - z)$  is closed ( $z \notin \mathbb{R}$ ), we have  $\ker T = 0$  and  $\text{Im } T$  is closed (semi-Fredholm). By the Fredholm theory we have  $\text{Ind}(T + S) = \text{Ind}(T)$  for  $\zeta$  small enough. That is,  $\text{Ind}T = -\text{codim}(\text{Im}(A - z)) = -\text{codim}(\text{Im}(A - z - \zeta))$ . Thus  $\text{codim } \text{Im}(A - z)$  is constant for  $\text{Im } z > 0$ . Similarly for  $\text{Im } z < 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.** *A is self-adjoint iff  $n_+ = n_- = 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A$  is self-adjoint, then  $n_{\pm} = \dim \ker(A^* \pm i) = \dim \ker(A \pm i) = 0$ , since  $\pm i \notin \mathbb{R}$  and the fact that  $A$  is closed and symmetric (self-adjointness implies closedness, since an adjoint opeartor is always closed). If  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{A^*}$  and  $\text{Im } z > 0$ , since  $n_+ = 0$ , we have  $\text{Im}(A - z) = H$ , thus  $\exists v \in \mathcal{D}_A$ ,  $(A - z)v = (A^* - z)u$ , since  $A$  is symmetric, we have  $(A^* - z)(u - v) = 0$ , by  $n_- = 0$  we have  $u = v \in \mathcal{D}_A$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2 (Kato).** *Suppose  $A$  is s.a. with domain  $\mathcal{D}_A$ , and  $V$  is symmetric with  $\mathcal{D}_V \supset \mathcal{D}_A$ . If there exists  $0 \leq a < 1$ ,  $b > 0$  such that for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}_A$ ,  $\|Vu\| \leq a\|Au\| + b\|u\|$ , then  $A + V$  with domain  $\mathcal{D}_A$  is also s.a.*

*Proof.* By the assumption graph norms of  $A$  and the norm of  $A + tV$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ) are equivalent. We WTS  $n_{\pm}(t) := \text{codim}(A + tV \pm i)$ . Once we have this, then  $n_{\pm}(0) = 0$  implies  $n_{\pm}(1) = 0$ , thus  $A + V$  is s.a. We consider the graph operators:

$$T : \mathcal{G}(A + tV \pm i) \rightarrow H, \quad T(u, (A + tV \pm i)u) = (A + tV \pm i)u, \quad (5)$$

$$S : \mathcal{G}(A + tV \pm i) \rightarrow H, \quad S(u, (A + tV \pm i)u) = \zeta u, \quad |\zeta| \ll 1. \quad (6)$$

$\text{Im } T$  closed,  $\ker T = \{0\}$ ,  $\|S\| \ll 1$ . By Fredholm,  $\text{Im}(T + S)$  is closed,  $\ker(T + S) = \{0\}$ , and  $\text{codim } \text{Im}(T + S) = -\text{Ind}(T + S) = -\text{Ind}T = \text{codim } \text{Im } T$ . Thus  $n_{\pm}(t)$  is constant in  $t$ .  $\square$

**Example 10.**  $H = H_0 + \gamma|x|^{-1}$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $H_0 = -\Delta$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{H_0} = \{u \in L^2 : \Delta u \in L^2\}$  is s.a. with  $\mathcal{D}_H = \mathcal{D}_{H_0}$ .

*Proof.* We prove the following estimate:

$$\int \frac{|\chi u|^2}{|x|^2} \lesssim \|\Delta u\|^2 + \|u\|^2, \quad (7)$$

then use Kato's theorem to conclude.  $\square$

**Remark 5.** *Remark of history: Kato also proved the many-body version of this theorem.*

**Theorem 5.3** (Cayley transform). *If  $A$  is symmetric, densely defined, then  $T : (A + i)u \mapsto (A - i)u$  with  $\mathcal{D}_T = (A + i)\mathcal{D}_A$  is isometric,  $\text{Im}(I - T)$  is dense,  $\ker(I - T) = \{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_A = (I - T)\mathcal{D}_T$ .*

*Conversely, if  $T$  is isometric with  $\text{Im}(I - T)$  dense and  $\ker(I - T) = \{0\}$ , then  $A : (I - T)v \mapsto i(I + T)v$  with  $\mathcal{D}_A = (I - T)\mathcal{D}_T$  is symmetric and densely defined.*

**Corollary 4.** *Suppose  $A$  is symmetric and densely defined and closed, then  $A$  has a s.a. extension iff  $n_+ = n_-$ .*

*Proof.* By Cayley transfrom,  $A$  has a s.a. extension iff  $T$  has a unitary extension. This iff

$$\text{codim } \mathcal{D}_T = \text{codim } \text{Im } T. \quad (8)$$

Since  $\mathcal{D}_T = \text{Im}(A + i)$ ,  $\text{Im } T = \text{Im } i(A + I) = \text{Im}(A - i)$ , we have the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.4** (Spectral theorem I). *There exists a unique operator-valued mapping  $f \mapsto f(H) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  for any s.a. operator  $H$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$  such that*

- $(fg)(H) = f(H)g(H)$ ,
- $f(H)^* = \overline{f}(H)$ ,
- The functional calculus of  $r_w(z) = (w - z)^{-1}$  is the resolvent, i.e.  $r_w(H) = (H - wI)^{-1}$ ,
- If  $\text{supp } f$  is away from  $\sigma(H)$ , then  $f(H) = 0$ ,

**Definition 5.5.** We say that  $L \subset \mathcal{H}$  closed subspace is invariant under  $H$ , if  $(H - z)^{-1}v \in L$  for any  $v \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ .

**Lemma 2.**  $\mathcal{H}$  is separable, then  $\exists L_j \subset H$  cyclic subspaces (i.e.  $\exists u_j \in L_j$  such that  $L_j = \overline{\text{Span}\{(H - z)^{-1}u_j : z \notin \mathbb{R}\}}$ ) such that  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_j L_j$  and  $L_j \perp L_k$  for  $j \neq k$ .

**Theorem 5.6** (Spectral theorem II).  *$H$  is s.a. on  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $S = \sigma(H) \subset \mathbb{R}$ , then there exists a  $\mu$  finite measure on  $S \times \mathbb{N}$ , and a unitary operator  $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(S \times \mathbb{N}, d\mu)$  such that*

- If  $h : S \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the function:

$$h(\lambda, n) = \lambda, \quad (9)$$

(i.e. the multiplication by  $\lambda$  on copies indexed by  $n$ ), then  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_H$  iff  $hU(\xi) \in L^2(S \times \mathbb{N}, d\mu)$ , and for such  $\xi$  we have the diagonalization

$$UHU^{-1}\zeta = h\zeta, \quad (10)$$

for any  $\zeta \in U(\mathcal{D}_H)$ .

- We have the functional calculus

$$Uf(H)U^{-1}\zeta = f(h)\zeta. \quad (11)$$

In the case where we have a cyclic vector, i.e.

$$\mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{Span}\{(z - H)^{-1}v : z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}\}} \quad (12)$$

Then the spectral theorem II can be simplified as follows:

**Theorem 5.7** (Spectral theorem II').  $\exists \mu$  a finite measure on  $S$ ,  $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(S, d\mu)$  unitary such that

- $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_H$  iff  $hU(\xi) \in L^2(S, d\mu)$ ,  $h$  is the multiplication by  $\lambda$  on  $S$ , and
- we have the diagonalization

$$UHU^{-1}\zeta = h\zeta, \quad (13)$$

for any  $\zeta \in U(\mathcal{D}_H)$ .

- we have the functional calculus.