

# Scope Economy Task Design

Yurika, Bergül, Taieba, Haoming

October 5, 2024

## 1 The questions that the experiment will address

- Is children's LF movement sensitive to the combination of the locality and economy conditions observed in adults? Ultimately, is LF movement acquired as being inherently subject to the locality and economy conditions?
- Specifically, is children's LF movement also sensitive to Scope Economy and Shortest Move as defined based on the adult data?

## 2 Task design

- A story that is compatible only with the inverse scope reading is presented to the child.
- The child is then asked to give truth value judgements to the sentences.
- The surface and inverse scope readings of the sentences are listed after the SS and IS labels, respectively.
- Scope Economy predicts that the inverse scope reading for (2) and (3) are unavailable.
- The expected truth value judgements (assuming children have adult-like behavior) are specified in the parenthesis.
- The test items are as follows:

- (1) A monkey hugged every rabbit, and a dog did, too. (T)
- SS  $\exists \forall$  and  $\exists \forall$  (F)
- IS  $\forall \exists$  and  $\forall \exists$  (T)

- Because (1) allows both readings and one of them is compatible with the story, it will be judged true.

- (2) A monkey hugged every rabbit, and Cookie Monster did, too. (F)
- SS  $\exists \forall$  and Def  $\forall$  (F)
- IS  $*\forall \exists$  and Def  $\forall$

- The reading of (2) that is compatible with the story is ruled out by Scope Economy, and so will be judged false.

- (3) A monkey hugged every rabbit, and every dog did, too. (F)
- SS  $\exists \forall$  and  $\forall \forall$  (F)
- IS  $*\forall \exists$  and  $\forall \forall$

- The reading of (3) that is compatible with the story is ruled out by Scope Economy, and so will be judged false.

### 3 Control ideas

- No VP ellipsis and simple sentences with inverse scope

- (4) A monkey hugged every rabbit.

- No VP ellipsis and conjunction of inverse scope and surface scope

- (5) A monkey hugged every rabbit and Cookie Monster hugged every dog.

### 4 Linking hypothesis

- Truth value judgment reflects available LF structures for the sentence.

### 5 Possible patterns of results

- (a) If (1) is judged true with inverse scope in inverse scope-only context: kids have inverse scope.
- (b) If (a) holds and
- (2) and (3) are judged false: kids have Scope Economy and Shortest Move;
  - (2) and (3) are judged true: kids lack at least one of Scope Economy and Shortest Move.

### 6 Questions for class

- Should we have a conjunction of two clauses or two independent clauses?
- (6) a. A monkey hugged every rabbit, and a dog did, too.
- b. A monkey hugged every rabbit. A dog did, too.
- How to block so that we make sure that all kids have inverse scope in the absence of Scope Economy effects but don't get carryover effect?
  - Acting out or one still slide?