



Passamaquoddy quantifiers: outlier of distributivity-number generalization?

Haoming Li, J. Cooper Roberts, Yizhen Jiang

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Overview

- **Distributivity-number generalization (DNG)** for universal quantifiers cross-linguistically (Haslinger et al. 2023)
- **Two counterexamples from Passamaquoddy:** a plural UQ with a necessarily distributive interpretation, and a singular UQ with a collective interpretation.
- **Possible solution: covert group formation operator**, which is independently needed for group predication, given a certain quirk of the language’s lexicon.
- **Remaining puzzle:** The UQ *psi-te* taking a singular NP complement is [-dist].

The Distributivity-Number Generalization (DNG)

- Haslinger et al. (2023), refining Gil (1995), propose the following cross-linguistic generalization for universal quantifiers (UQs) (cf. Winter 2001).

- (1) **Distributivity-number generalization:** If the complement of a UQ is...
- ...SINGULAR, it is [+dist] (restricted to distributive interpretations);
 - ...PLURAL, it is [-dist] (not restricted to distributive interpretations).

- See data from English, a language which abides by the DNG.

- (2) **Every girl** ate 20 sausages/*met in the yard.
✓ DISTRIBUTIVE, *CUMULATIVE, *COLLECTIVE
- (3) **All the girls** ate 20 sausages/met in the yard.
✓ DISTRIBUTIVE, ✓ CUMULATIVE, ✓ COLLECTIVE

- Some languages use the same lexical item for [+dist] and [-dist] UQs, interpretation correlating with the number of the noun selected.

- (4) **Kul tefi** akl tlet tufahat
UQ child.SG ate.PL three apple.PL
‘Every child ate three apples.’
✓ DISTRIBUTIVE, *CUMULATIVE
- (5) **Kul al atfal** akalu tlet tufahat
UQ DEF child.PL ate.PL three apple.PL
‘All the children ate three apples.’ [Syrian Arabic]
✓ /?DISTRIBUTIVE, ✓ CUMULATIVE

- Haslinger et al. propose a single meaning for UQs: Q_V

- (6) $Q_V = \lambda P_{\langle a,t \rangle}. \lambda Q_{\langle a,t \rangle}. \forall x. [[P(x) \wedge \neg \exists y. [P(y) \wedge \exists z. [z \sqsubseteq x \wedge z \sqsubseteq y] \wedge y \not\sqsubseteq x]] \rightarrow Q(x)]$

- Intuition: Q_V only quantifies over individuals not overlapping with any individual except its subparts.
- Q_V + **NP-PL**: only quantifies over the **unique maximal plurality**.
- The extension of NP-PL is closed under \oplus (Link et al. 1983).
- Q_V + **NP-SG**: quantifies over every individual in the denotation.
- An atom does not overlap with any other atom.



Two counterexamples from Passamaquoddy

- **Passamaquoddy(-Wolastoqey):** an endangered Eastern Algonquian language spoken on the Maritime Peninsula (now recognized as Maine, USA & New Brunswick, Canada).
- About 500 speakers, all of whom are over 60 years old (Lewis, Simons & Fennig 2016).

- Two universal quantifiers in the language:
- (i) ***i-pesq***: composed of the numeral *pesq* ‘one’ and a distributive prefix *i-*; seemingly specific to the Wolastoqey dialect.
- **Can only be combined with a plural NP complement and only has a distributive interpretation**, as demonstrated by its incompatibility with collective predicates.
- Regardless, our consultant **requires a morphologically plural key**.

- (7) #i=pesku-wok **ehpic-ik** kisi= maqey-yik
I=one-PROX.PL woman-PROX.PL PFV= be.together.AI-PROX.PL
Intended: ‘All the women gathered.’ (JCR: EM-2024.01.20)

- (8) a. i=pesku-wok **skitapi-yik** ‘t-opeltom-oni-ya-Ø ‘tapakon
I=one-PROX.PL man-PROX.PL 3-have.TI-N-PL-IN.SG car.IN.SG
‘Each man has a car.’
b. *i=pesq **skitap** ‘t-opeltom-on-Ø ‘tapakon
I-one.PROX.SG man.PROX.SG 3-have.TI-N-IN.SG car.IN.SG
(JCR: EM-2024.10.18)

- (ii) ***psi-te***: compatible with both SG and PL NPs for some speakers.
- Bruening (2008) reports that **both distributive and collective interpretations are available for *psi-te* with both NP.SG and NP-PL**.

- (9) *psi-te* **wasis** kisi-ntu-Ø
all-EMPH child.PROX.SG PFV-Sing.AI-PROX.SG
‘Every child sang.’
- (10) *psi-te* **wasis-ok** ‘tawi= pokom-ultu-wok
all-EMPH child-PROX.PL know.how= skate.AI-PL-PROX.PL
‘Every child knows how to skate.’
- (11) *psi-te* **skicin** naci= mawsqesu-Ø
all-EMPH Indian.PROX.SG go.do= gather.AI-PROX.SG
‘Every Indian is going to gather.’ (Above from Bruening 2008: p. 80)

- *Psi-te* can also take singular quexistentials such as *wen* ‘who.PROX.SG’.

- (12) *psi-te* **wen** maqehe-Ø
all-EMPH who.PROX.SG be.together.AI-PROX.SG
‘Everyone gathered.’ (JCR: EM-2024.01.20)
- (13) *psi-te* **wen** siktewocu-Ø welaqik
all-EMPH who.PROX.SG be.cold.AI-PROX.SG last.night
‘Everyone was cold last night.’ (PG: EM-2023.12.04)

- *Psi-te* + plural quexistential is possible for some speakers, but our fieldwork suggests there is a cline towards the singular; furthermore, text examples are sparse (Bruening 2008: fn. 11).

- **The DNG is seemingly violated on both counts**, because...
- i. The UQ *i-pesq* can only be combined with a plural NP complement but is [+dist] since it does not have a collective interpretation, as shown in (7-8a).
- ii. The UQ *psi-te* taking a singular NP complement is [-dist], in that it can be combined with a collective predicate and receive a collective interpretation.

How to reconcile: Covert group formation

- **A covert operator G to convert a set of pluralities into a set of group atoms.**

- (14) $G_{Cov} := \lambda P_{\langle e,t \rangle}. \lambda g_e. \exists X \in Cov. g = \uparrow X$ where Cov is a set of individuals that cover $\bigoplus P$.

- Independently needed to express group meanings in Passamaquoddy, because there are **no singular group nouns** (brought to our attention by Peter Grishin).
- Plural nouns and headless relative clauses with plural agreement can be **counted by the contextually salient groups** that could be formed from the atoms contained.

- (15) yaliqsenomuc-ik ‘kan-ey
oversee.TA-PROX.PL old-ADJZ
‘The steering committee is an old one.’
⇒ ‘The steering committee members are old.’ (JCR: MA-2025.04.11)

- (16) nisonu-l nuci=epeskom-hoti-htit ehte-k Sipayik
be.two.II-IN.PL regularly=play.ball.AI-PL-3PL:CJ IC.be.there.II-IN:CJ Sipayik
‘There are two baseball teams in Sipayik.’
⇒ ‘There are two baseball players in Sipayik.’ (JCR: MA-2025.05.02)

- G_{Cov} , with the Cov containing pluralities corresponding to the contextually salient groups, makes such counting possible.
- When Q_V applies to $G_{Cov}(\llbracket NP-PL \rrbracket)$, each group is quantified over, since the group atoms cannot overlap.
- Both the distribution-to-member and the distribution-to-committee readings are derivable for (17):

- (17) i=pesku-wok litposuwinu-wok
I=one-AN.PL council.member-PROX.PL
‘-kotuw-ewestuwwam-a-Ø not kehkimsu-lti-c-ik
3-going.to-speak.TA-3OBJ-PL-3CJ-PROX.SG that.AN learn.AI-PROX.PL
‘Each committee/each member of the committee spoke to the students.’
(JCR: EM-2024.11.11)

- Illustration with council_members = $\{a, b, c, d\}$

- (18) a. $G_{\{a \oplus b, c \oplus d\}}(\text{council_members}) = \{\uparrow(a \oplus b), \uparrow(c \oplus d)\}$.
b. $G_{\{a, b, c, d\}}(\text{council_members}) = \{\uparrow a, \uparrow b, \uparrow c, \uparrow d\}$.

- **Preventing the collective reading for *i-pesq*:**

- (19) $Q_V + G_{\{\bigoplus \llbracket NP-PL \rrbracket\} = \{a \oplus b \oplus c \oplus d\}} + NP-PL \longrightarrow$ collective reading!

- **Solution:** Quantifier domain can not be a singleton set (Haslinger et al. 2023).
- The argument of Q_V given Cov_{max} **is** a singleton set, $\{\uparrow \bigoplus \llbracket NP-PL \rrbracket\}$, i.e., $\{\uparrow(a \oplus b \oplus c \oplus d)\}$.

The puzzle of *psi-te* persists

- Collective reading for *psi-te* + NP.SG: the ban on singleton domains must be relaxed.
- Then, the cover $\{\bigoplus \llbracket NP.SG \rrbracket\}$ is used.
- However, this relaxation of the ban just for *psi-te* is ad hoc.
- Additionally, if such covers and **G** are generally available, NP.SG is predicted to be compatible with collective readings without UQs, contrary to fact.

- (20) *pesq ehpit maqehe-Ø
one woman.PROX.SG gather.AI-PROX.SG
Intended: ‘Some women gather.’ (JCR: EM-2024.01.20)

- The puzzle of *psi-te* + NP.SG is thus left for future research.

References: • Bruening, Benjamin. 2008. Quantification in passamaquoddy. *Quantification: A cross-linguistic perspective*. • Gil, David. 1995. Universal Quantifiers and Distributivity. In (Studies in Linguistics and Philosophy). Dordrecht. • Haslinger, Nina et al. 2023. *A Unified Semantics for Distributive and Non-Distributive Universal Quantifiers across Languages*. • Lewis, M. Paul, Gary F. Simons & Charles D. Fennig. 2016. *Ethnologue: languages of the world*. • Link, Godehard et al. 1983. The logical analysis of plurals and mass terms: a lattice-theoretical approach. *Formal semantics: The essential readings*. • Winter, Yoad. 2001. *Flexibility principles in boolean semantics: coordination, plurality and scope in natural language*. Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Acknowledgments: The current instantiation of this project is indebted to Nina Haslinger, Peter Grishin, members of the MIT Passamaquoddy group and members of the MIT Plurals workshop. We would also like to thank Yasu Sudo and Paul Marty for their helpful comments. We are especially grateful to Margaret Apt, Grace Paul, and Edwina Mitchell for lending us their judgments. The authors are responsible for any errors.