	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
Runtime (code base) 3	<ul><li>Can't install</li><li>Can't run</li><li>Can't evaluate</li></ul>	<ul><li>Runs</li><li>Covers some requirements</li><li>Unstable</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Runs</li> <li>Covers most requirements</li> <li>Somewhat unstable</li> <li>Calculates and updates stats</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Runs</li> <li>Covers all requirements</li> <li>Stable</li> <li>Calculates and updates stats</li> </ul>
Code Quality (code base) 1	<ul><li>Code lacking in quality</li><li>Unreadable</li><li>Unhelpful</li><li>Unclear names</li></ul>	<ul><li>Lack of comments</li><li>Somewhat readable</li><li>Able to discern what is happening</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Code is clear</li> <li>Readable</li> <li>Might not check for errors</li> <li>Some inconsistency</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Code is clearly OO</li> <li>Readable</li> <li>Checks for errors</li> <li>Follows conventions</li> </ul>
Code Design (code base) 1	Not written using OO paradigm	<ul> <li>Slightly OO but poor abstraction, hiding, or separation made</li> <li>Mixing UI and logic/state too much</li> <li>Uses SQLite</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Clean design</li><li>Intent is clear</li><li>Mostly OO</li><li>Some inconsistency</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Clean design</li> <li>Intent is clear</li> <li>Obviously OO</li> <li>Good encapsulation</li> <li>Good separation of concerns</li> </ul>
Video 1	No video	Video misses full scenario	Video mostly shows full scenario	Video shows full scenario
UML (system documentation) 2	Missing	<ul> <li>Included</li> <li>Not clear</li> <li>Too much cruft</li> <li>Missing important information</li> <li>Does not match code</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Included</li><li>Clear</li><li>Readable</li><li>Matches code</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Included</li> <li>Clear</li> <li>Readable</li> <li>Aesthetically pleasing layout</li> <li>Identifies key abstractions</li> <li>Matches code</li> </ul>

Runtime: U=0, S=1, G=2, E=3 Code Quality: U/S=0, G/E=1

Code Design: U/S=0, G/E=1

Video: U/S=0, G/E=1

UML: U=0, S=1, G/E=2