Lab 4: Linear Regressions

Hao Wang

February 13, 2017

Pearson and Spearman Correlation

http://support.minitab.com/en-us/minitab-express/1/help-and-how-to/modeling-statistics/regression/supporting-topics/basics/a-comparison-of-the-pearson-and-spearman-correlation-methods/#comparison-of-pearson-and-spearman-correlation-methods/#comparison-pearson-and-spearman-correlation-pearson-and-spearman-correlation-pearson-and-spearman-correlation-pearson-and-spearman-correlation-pearson-and-spearman-correlation-pearson-and-spearman-correlation-pearson-and-spearman-correlation-pearson-and-spearman-correlation-pearson-and-spearman-correlation-pearson-and-spearman-correlation-pearson-and-spearman-correlation-pearson-and-spearman-correlation-pearson-and-spearman-correlation-pearson-and-spearman-pearson-and-spearman-correlation-pearson-and-spearman-pearson-and-spearman-pearson-and-spearman-pearson-and-sp

t-test and Bayesian t-test

Source: BEST software http://www.indiana.edu/~kruschke/BEST/ ## Installation JAGS

```
#install.packages('rjags')
library(rjags)
```

Linear Regression

Definition

Linear regression attempts to model the relationship by fitting a linear equation to observed data. One variable is considered to be a dependent variable, and the others are considered to be explanatory variables. Linear regression with n explanatory variables have n + 1 parameters (with intercept).

$$\hat{y}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_n x_n$$

Or in the Matrix format

$$\hat{Y} = XB$$

Where the X matrix include the column $(1, x_{1i}, x_{2i}...)$ The B matrix is the parameter matrix

Variations of Linear Regression

Linear regression can take multiple formats:

1. Regression through the origin

$$\hat{y}_i = \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + ... \beta_n x_n$$

code:

```
library(car)
mydata <- Prestige
#help("Prestige")
lm(prestige ~ income -1, data = mydata)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = prestige ~ income - 1, data = mydata)
## Coefficients:
##
     income
## 0.005777
  2. Simple linear regression
                                              \hat{y}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1
lm(prestige ~ income , data = mydata)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = prestige ~ income, data = mydata)
##
## Coefficients:
## (Intercept)
                        income
     27.141176
                     0.002897
  3. Multivariate linear regression
                                    \hat{y}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_n x_n
lm(prestige ~ income + education, data = mydata)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = prestige ~ income + education, data = mydata)
## Coefficients:
## (Intercept)
                        income
                                   education
     -6.847779
                     0.001361
                                    4.137444
##
  4. Ploynomial regression
                                        \hat{y}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_1^2
note that the identity function I() allows terms in the model to include normal mathematical symbols.
Potential collinearity issue: center x
lm(prestige ~ income + I(income^2), data=mydata)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = prestige ~ income + I(income^2), data = mydata)
##
## Coefficients:
                        income I(income^2)
## (Intercept)
     1.418e+01
                    6.154e-03
                                 -1.433e-07
#-----
#center income
mydata$income.cen <- mydata$income - mean(mydata$income)</pre>
```

lm(prestige ~ income.cen + I(income.cen^2), data=mydata)

```
## Call:
## lm(formula = prestige ~ income.cen + I(income.cen^2), data = mydata)
##
## Coefficients:
                            income.cen I(income.cen^2)
##
        (Intercept)
          4.939e+01
                             4.205e-03
                                               -1.433e-07
##
  5. Interaction
5.a full interaction equation
                                   \hat{y}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_1 x_2
lm(prestige ~ income*education, data=mydata)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = prestige ~ income * education, data = mydata)
## Coefficients:
##
         (Intercept)
                                   income
                                                    education income:education
          -2.207e+01
                                3.944e-03
                                                                       -1.961e-04
##
                                                    5.373e+00
5.b the interaction term only
```

$$\hat{y}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_3 x_1 x_2$$

```
lm(prestige ~ income:education, data=mydata)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = prestige ~ income:education, data = mydata)
##
## Coefficients:
## (Intercept) income:education
## 3.105e+01 1.982e-04
```

Loss Function: Ordinary Least Squares (OLS)

In linear regression, we want to minimize its loss funtion, which mostly known as the ordinary least square (OLS) method

Def:

##

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

Loss funtion can appear in other ways, for instance in LASSO https://onlinecourses.science.psu.edu/stat857/node/158, least square is penalized with λ .

Calculate Linear Regression

The idea is to minimize the loss function. We can achieve this in multiple ways. + Let's begin with simple linear regression with prestige and income

By calculus

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$
$$\hat{y}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i$$

Take derivatives

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)^2$$
$$\frac{d(L)}{d(\beta_0)} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i) = 0$$
$$\frac{d(L)}{d(\beta_1)} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i) x_i = 0$$

solve these equations, we get

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$
$$\beta_0 = \bar{y} - \beta_1 \bar{x}$$

Let's do that in R

```
attach (mydata)
b1.upper <- sum(
  (education-mean(education))*
    (prestige -mean(prestige))
)
b1.lower <- sum(
  (education -mean(education))^2
b1 <- b1.upper / b1.lower
b0 <- mean(prestige) - b1*mean(education)</pre>
b1
## [1] 5.360878
b0
## [1] -10.73198
lm(prestige ~ education)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = prestige ~ education)
## Coefficients:
## (Intercept)
                  education
       -10.732
                       5.361
##
```

- Practice question 1: calculate prestige \sim income based on the formula above, compare your result with $lm(prestige \sim income)$

By Matrix

$$Y = X\beta + \epsilon$$

$$\epsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \dots \\ \epsilon_n \end{bmatrix}$$

We want to minimize

$$\sum \epsilon^2 = \epsilon' \epsilon$$

Note: tranpose notation can also be written as \boldsymbol{X}^T

$$(Y - X\beta)'(Y - X\beta)$$

Take derivatives

$$\frac{d}{d\beta}(Y - X\beta)'(Y - X\beta) = -2X'(Y - X\beta) = 0$$

Therefore

$$X'Y = X'X\beta$$

and

$$\beta = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y$$

Let's do it in R

```
X <- data.frame(1, education) # 1 is needed for intercept
X <- as.matrix(X)
y <- mydata$prestige
beta <-solve(t(X)%*%X)%*%t(X)%*%y #solve function returns inverse, t() returns transpose
beta</pre>
```

```
## X1 -10.731982
## education 5.360878
```

- Practice question 2: calculate linear regression prestige \sim income + education in matrix notation

```
attach(mydata)
# X <- data.frame(1, ?, ?)
# X <- ?
# y <- ?</pre>
```

(Take home practice) By Gradient Descent

Gradient decent is a machine learning algorithm fitting data, the main idea is to take the partial derivative of the cost function with respect to theta. That gradient, multiplied by a learning rate, becomes the update rule for the estimated values of the parameters. Iterate and things should converge nicely.


cost funtion

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^{2}$$

In action, gradient descent gradually approaches optimal values for θ . How gradual depends on the learning rate, α . h_{θ} is the prediction funtion.

```
\# generate random data in which y is a noisy function of x
x \leftarrow runif(1000, -5, 5)
y < -x + rnorm(1000) + 3
# fit a linear model
res \leftarrow lm(y \sim x)
print(res)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = y \sim x)
##
## Coefficients:
## (Intercept)
##
        3.0420
                      0.9899
# plot the data and the model
plot(x,y, col=rgb(0.2,0.4,0.6,0.4), main='Linear regression by gradient descent')
abline(res, col='blue')
```

Linear regression by gradient descent



```
# squared error cost function
cost <- function(X, y, theta) {</pre>
  sum((X %*% theta - y)^2) / (2*length(y))
# learning rate and iteration limit
alpha <- 0.01
num_iters <- 1000
# keep history
cost_history <- double(num_iters)</pre>
theta_history <- list(num_iters)</pre>
# initialize coefficients
theta \leftarrow matrix(c(0,0), nrow=2)
# add a column of 1's for the intercept coefficient
X <- cbind(1, matrix(x))</pre>
# gradient descent
for (i in 1:num_iters) {
  error <- (X %*% theta - y)
  delta <- t(X) %*% error / length(y) #delta is the mean residual
  theta <- theta - alpha * delta
  cost_history[i] <- cost(X, y, theta)</pre>
  theta_history[[i]] <- theta</pre>
```

```
print(theta)

## [,1]


## [1,] 3.0418530

## [2,] 0.9899452

# plot data and converging fit

plot(x,y, col=rgb(0.2,0.4,0.6,0.4), main='Linear regression by gradient descent')
for (i in c(1,3,6,10,14,seq(20,num_iters,by=10))) {
   abline(coef=theta_history[[i]], col=rgb(0.8,0,0,0.3))
}
abline(coef=theta, col='blue')
```

Linear regression by gradient descent




Interpret Linear Regression Results

Extract information from the summary()

```
#let's split our data into two parts first: train and test.
set.seed(99)
n <-nrow(mydata)
n1 <- floor(n/1.5) #train
n2 <- n -n1 #test</pre>
```

```
ii <- sample(1:n, n) # a funtion of sample</pre>
train <- mydata[ii[1:n1],]</pre>
test <- mydata[ii[n1+1:n2],]</pre>
lm <- lm(prestige ~ education + income, data =train)</pre>
summary(lm)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = prestige ~ education + income, data = train)
## Residuals:
##
      Min
               1Q Median
                               3Q
                                      Max
## -18.884 -5.253
                   1.166 4.688 18.741
##
## Coefficients:
##
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -9.5441437 4.2205068 -2.261 0.0271 *
## education 4.3605163 0.4721306 9.236 1.91e-13 ***
               ## income
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 8.26 on 65 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.8028, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7967
## F-statistic: 132.3 on 2 and 65 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
fit <- lm$fitted.values #fitted value</pre>
resid <- lm$residuals #residuals
coef <- summary(lm)$coefficients #coefficients matrix</pre>
coef
                  Estimate Std. Error t value
##
                                                      Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -9.544143682 4.2205067702 -2.261374 2.708893e-02
               4.360516290 0.4721305624 9.235827 1.907554e-13
## education
## income
               0.001393831 0.0003255424 4.281566 6.242489e-05
predict.test <- predict(lm, newdata = test) #use the previous fitted value to predict on test data.</pre>
plot(predict.test, test$prestige)
```



```
cor(predict.test, test$prestige)

## [1] 0.8928063

predict.point <- predict(lm, data.frame(education = 10, income=10000))
predict.point

## 1
## 47.99933</pre>
```

(Optional) Bootstrap


The fundamental problem: we are fitting our regression as if we knew the population – which is not true.

- The idea: We have just one dataset. When we compute a statistic on the data, we only know that one statistic we don't see how variable that statistic is. The bootstrap creates a large number of datasets that we might have seen and computes the statistic on each of these datasets. Thus we get a distribution of the statistic. Key is the strategy to create data that "we might have seen".
- Benefits: more consistent standard error

Bootstrap 95% CI for R-Squared

```
library(boot)
# Bootstrap 95% CI for R-Squared
```

```
\# function to obtain R-Squared from the data
rsq <- function(formula, data, indices) {</pre>
 d <- data[indices,] # allows boot to select sample</pre>
 fit <- lm(formula, data=d)</pre>
 return(summary(fit)$r.square)
}
# bootstrapping with 1000 replications
set.seed(99)
results <- boot(data=mydata, statistic=rsq,</pre>
    R=1000, formula=prestige~ income+education)
# view results
results
##
## ORDINARY NONPARAMETRIC BOOTSTRAP
##
##
## Call:
## boot(data = mydata, statistic = rsq, R = 1000, formula = prestige ~
       income + education)
##
## Bootstrap Statistics :
                               std. error
        original
                      bias
## t1* 0.7980008 0.003355002 0.03154118
plot(results)
```




```
# get 95% confidence interval
boot.ci(results, type="bca")
```

```
## BOOTSTRAP CONFIDENCE INTERVAL CALCULATIONS
## Based on 1000 bootstrap replicates
##
## CALL:
## boot.ci(boot.out = results, type = "bca")
##
## Intervals:
## Level BCa
## 95% ( 0.7217,  0.8490 )
## Calculations and Intervals on Original Scale
```


Bootstrap CI for regression coefficients

```
# Bootstrap 95% CI for regression coefficients
# function to obtain regression weights
bs <- function(formula, data, indices) {
   d <- data[indices,] # allows boot to select sample
   fit <- lm(formula, data=d)
   return(coef(fit))
}
# bootstrapping with 1000 replications
set.seed(99)</pre>
```


```
results <- boot(data=mydata, statistic=bs,</pre>
    R=1000, formula=prestige~income+education)
# view results
results
##
## ORDINARY NONPARAMETRIC BOOTSTRAP
##
##
## Call:
## boot(data = mydata, statistic = bs, R = 1000, formula = prestige ~
       income + education)
##
##
##
## Bootstrap Statistics :
           original
##
                           bias
                                     std. error
## t1* -6.847778720 -3.008593e-02 3.3711800814
## t2* 0.001361166 5.228186e-05 0.0002911381
## t3* 4.137444384 -2.483312e-02 0.3966468516
plot(results, index=1) # intercept
```



```
plot(results, index=2) # income
```



plot(results, index=3) # education



```
# get 95% confidence intervals
boot.ci(results, type="bca", index=1) # intercept
## BOOTSTRAP CONFIDENCE INTERVAL CALCULATIONS
## Based on 1000 bootstrap replicates
##
## CALL :
## boot.ci(boot.out = results, type = "bca", index = 1)
##
## Intervals :
               BCa
## Level
## 95%
         (-13.374, -0.376)
## Calculations and Intervals on Original Scale
boot.ci(results, type="bca", index=2) # income
## BOOTSTRAP CONFIDENCE INTERVAL CALCULATIONS
## Based on 1000 bootstrap replicates
##
## CALL :
## boot.ci(boot.out = results, type = "bca", index = 2)
##
## Intervals :
## Level
               BCa
## 95%
         (0.0009,
                   0.0020)
## Calculations and Intervals on Original Scale
```

Report

Package 'stargazer'

- Stargazer https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/stargazer/vignettes/stargazer.pdf
- stargazer cheatsheet http://jakeruss.com/cheatsheets/stargazer.html
- list of stats code https://rdrr.io/cran/stargazer/

```
lm <- lm(prestige ~income + education, data=train)
lm2 <- lm(prestige ~income + education, data=test)

library(stargazer)
stargazer(lm, lm2, title = "Table with Stargazer", style = "apsr", omit.stat = c("rsq", "f", "ser"))</pre>
```

- % Table created by stargazer v.5.2 by Marek Hlavac, Harvard University. E-mail: hlavac at fas.harvard.edu
- % Date and time: Mon, Feb 13, 2017 5:07:11 PM

Table 1: Table with Stargazer

| | prestige | |
|-------------------------|---------------|----------|
| | (1) | (2) |
| income | 0.001*** | 0.001*** |
| | (0.0003) | (0.0003) |
| education | 4.361^{***} | 3.601*** |
| | (0.472) | (0.529) |
| Constant | -9.544** | -0.731 |
| | (4.221) | (5.010) |
| N | 68 | 34 |
| Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2 | 0.797 | 0.785 |

p < .1; p < .05; p < .01