Chapter 1

The Least-Squares Commutator (LSC) Navier-Stokes preconditioner

The purpose of this tutorial is to show how to use <code>oomph-lib's Least Squares Commutator (LSC) Navier-Stokes preconditioner.</code>

1.1 Theory

oomph-lib currently provides two types of (LBB-stable) Navier-Stokes elements: Taylor-Hood (Q2Q1) and Crouzeix-Raviart (Q2Q-1) elements. These contain two distinct types of degrees of freedom, namely the velocities and pressures.

The least-squares commutator (LSC; formerly BFBT) Navier-Stokes preconditioner employs <code>oomph-lib'sblock-preconditioning framework</code> to (formally) re-order the linear system to be solved during the Newton iteration into 2x2 blocks, corresponding to the velocity and pressure unknowns. We note that all velocity components are treated as a single block of unknowns. The linear system therefore has the following block structure

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{G} \\ \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{z}_u \\ \mathbf{z}_p \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{r}_u \\ \mathbf{r}_p \end{array}\right).$$

Here F is the momentum block, G the discrete gradient operator, and D the discrete divergence operator. (For unstabilised elements, we have $D = G^T$ and in much of the literature the divergence matrix is denoted by B.)

An "exact" preconditioner would solve this system exactly and thus ensure the convergence of any iterative linear solver in a single iteration. However, the application of such a preconditioner would, of course, be exactly as expensive as a direct solve. The LSC/BFBT preconditioner replaces the exact Jacobian by a block-triangular approximation

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{G} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{M}_s \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{z}_u \\ \mathbf{z}_p \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{r}_u \\ \mathbf{r}_p \end{array}\right),$$

where M_s is an approximation to the pressure Schur-complement $S = DF^{-1}G$. This system can be solved in two steps:

1. Solve the second row for \mathbf{z}_p via

$$\mathbf{z}_p = -\mathbf{M}_s^{-1} \mathbf{r}_p$$

2. Given \mathbf{z}_p , solve the first row for \mathbf{z}_u via

$$\mathbf{z}_u = \mathbf{F}^{-1} (\mathbf{r}_u - \mathbf{G} \mathbf{z}_p)$$

In the LSC/BFBT preconditioner, the action of the inverse pressure Schur complement

$$\mathbf{z}_p = -\mathbf{M}_s^{-1} \mathbf{r}_p$$

is approximated by

$$\mathbf{z}_p = - \big(\mathbf{D}\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}^{-1}\mathbf{G}\big)^{-1} \big(\mathbf{D}\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}^{-1}\mathbf{F}\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}^{-1}\mathbf{G}\big) \big(\mathbf{D}\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}^{-1}\mathbf{G}\big)^{-1}\mathbf{r}_p,$$

where $\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}$ is the diagonal of the velocity mass matrix. The evaluation of this expression involves two linear solves involving the matrix

$$\mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{D}\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}^{-1}\mathbf{G})$$

which has the character of a matrix arising from the discretisation of a Poisson problem on the pressure space. We also have to evaluate matrix-vector products with the matrix

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{D}\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}^{-1}\mathbf{F}\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}^{-1}\mathbf{G}$$

Details of the theory can be found in "Finite Elements and Fast Iterative Solvers with Applications in Incompressible Fluid Dynamics" by Howard C. Elman, David J. Silvester, and Andrew J. Wathen, published by Oxford University Press, 2006.

In our implementation of the preconditioner, the linear systems can either be solved "exactly", using <code>SuperLU</code> (in its incarnation as an exact preconditioner; this is the default) or by any other <code>Preconditioner</code> (interpreted as an "inexact solver") specified via the access functions

```
{\tt NavierStokesSchurComplementPreconditioner::set\_f\_preconditioner(...)}
```

or

 ${\tt NavierStokesSchurComplementPreconditioner::set_p_preconditioner(...)}$

1.2 An example

To demonstrate how to use the preconditioner, here are the relevant extracts from the driver code driven—cavity.cc — a straightforward modification of the code for driven—cavity problem discussed elsewhere. As explained in the Linear Solvers Tutorial switching to an iterative linear solver is typically performed in the Problem constructor and involves a few straightforward steps:

1. Create an instance of the IterativeLinearSolver and pass it to the Problem

In our problem, we choose ${\tt GMRES}$ as the linear solver:

```
// Create oomph-lib iterative linear solver
Solver_pt=new GMRES<CRDoubleMatrix>;
// Set linear solver
linear_solver_pt() = Solver_pt;
```

2. Create an instance of the Preconditioner and pass it to the IterativeLinearSolver

1.2 An example 3

```
// Set preconditioner
Prec_pt=new NavierStokesSchurComplementPreconditioner(this);
Prec_pt->set_navier_stokes_mesh(this->mesh_pt());
Solver_pt->preconditioner_pt()=Prec_pt;
```

3. Customise the Preconditioner (if required)

The behaviour of many preconditioners can be fine-tuned for specific applications. The $NavierStokes \leftarrow LSCPreconditioner$ provides the option to perform the linear solves involving the P and F matrices with inexact solvers (i.e. other preconditioners), rather than with the "exact preconditioner" $SuperLU \leftarrow Preconditioner$. Since the matrix P has the character of a pressure Poisson matrix, it may be solved efficiently with algebraic multigrid (AMG) — at least for elements that employ a continuous pressure approximation; see Further comments and exercises. In these cases an efficient inexact solver is obtained by performing just a single multigrid cycle.

Assuming that Hypre is available, we therefore provide the option to use the Hypre AMG solver to solve the linear systems involving the P matrix.

We set the various solver flags to values that are appropriate for 2D Poisson problems. This is most easily done by calling a helper function, defined in the namespace <code>Hypre_default_settings:</code>

```
// Set parameters for use as preconditioner on Poisson-type problem
Hypre_default_settings::set_defaults_for_2D_poisson_problem(
    static_cast<HyprePreconditioner*>(P_matrix_preconditioner_pt));
```

Next we specify the Preconditioner as the inexact solver for the ${\bf P}$ matrix,

```
// Use Hypre for the Schur complement block
Prec_pt->set_p_preconditioner(P_matrix_preconditioner_pt);
```

and suppress the on-screen output from Hypre.

```
// Shut up!
static_cast<HyprePreconditioner*>(P_matrix_preconditioner_pt)->
disable_doc_time();
```

For modest Reynolds numbers, performing a single multigrid cycle also provides a good approximate solver for linear systems involving the momentum block, \mathbf{F} , so we repeat the steps just listed, using the solver flags assigned in another helper function in the namespace $\texttt{Hypre_default_settings}$:

```
F_matrix_preconditioner_pt = new HyprePreconditioner;

// Shut up!
static_cast<HyprePreconditioner*>(F_matrix_preconditioner_pt)->
disable_doc_time();

// Set parameters for use as preconditioner in for momentum
// block in Navier-Stokes problem
Hypre_default_settings::set_defaults_for_navier_stokes_momentum_block(
    static_cast<HyprePreconditioner*>(F_matrix_preconditioner_pt));

// Use Hypre for momentum block
Prec_pt->set_f_preconditioner(F_matrix_preconditioner_pt);
```

The driver code contains various other preconditioning options which you should explore yourself.

1.3 Further comments and exercises

Use the driver code demo_drivers/linear_solvers/driven_cavity.cc to explore the behaviour of the preconditioner for the driven cavity problem. The driver code uses command line flags to specify various solver/preconditioner combinations.

- 1. Show that for Taylor-Hood (Q2Q1) elements, the preconditioner performs extremely well, i.e. the solve time increases approximately linearly with the number of degrees of freedom.
- 2. Show that for Crouzeix-Raviart (Q2Q-1) elements, the use of the AMG solver for the pressure Schur complement block leads to a very poor performance.
- 3. Examine iteration numbers and CPU times for various problem sizes and show that for Taylor-Hood (Q2Q1) elements, the preconditioner leads to near-optimal behaviour in the sense that the CPU times increase approximately linearly with the number of unknowns. Determine the "break-even" point in the CPU times for the solution by direct and iterative solvers. **Hint:** You may wish to use the shell script time_driven_← cavity.bash to perform the parameter studies.

For your reference, here are a few timings (total time in seconds for the Newton solver) obtained from runs at a Reynolds number of Re=100 on various uniformly refined meshes. The first column in the tables shows the total number of degrees of freedom; the subsequent columns show the solve times for different solver/preconditioner combinations. For instance, GMRES [SuperLU,AMG] means that the linear systems were solved using the L \leftarrow SC preconditioner with an exact solve for the momentum block and an approximate AMG solve (a single multigrid cycle) for the pressure Schur complement block. All runs were performed with full optimisation (-O6) on an Intel Xeon 3.6GHz processor.

Taylor Hood

# of dofs	SuperLU	GMRES [Super← LU,SuperLU]	GMRES [Super⊷ LU,AMG]	GMRES [A↔ MG,SuperLU]	GMRES [A↔ MG,AMG]
842	0.38	0.51	0.52	0.93	0.91
3482	2.32	2.56	2.28	3.15	3.04
7922	9.24	6.34	6.3	7.54	7.41
14162	15.71	18.06	17.84	13.8	13.46
22202	36.88	28.46	27.21	23.26	23.29
32042	62.29	37.27	36.26	29.38	25.84
43682	108.97	66.26	57.7	41.71	37.6

Crouzeix Raviart

# of dofs	SuperLU	GMRES [Super← LU,SuperLU]	GMRES [Super← LU,AMG]	GMRES [A↔ MG,SuperLU]	GMRES [A↔ MG,AMG]
1021	0.29	0.51	0.72	0.72	0.99
4241	1.82	2.79	4.83	3.62	7.03
9661	7.06	8.06	20.43	9.64	27.33
17281	20.79	19.62	67.62	20.15	87.39
27101	55.43	44.34	169.8	46.04	227.11
39121	93.75	64.02	277.29	39.73	314.25
53341	108.96	78.27	521.00	60.02	596.27

1.4 Source files for this tutorial

• The source files for this tutorial are located in the directory:

demo_drivers/linear_solvers/

• The driver code is:

demo_drivers/linear_solvers/driven_cavity.cc

1.5 PDF file

A pdf version of this document is available.