TABLE 10
Compiler bug isolation effectiveness comparison with two state-of-the-art approaches (under Setting-1 in RQ1)-Details

Subject	Approach	Num. Top-1	↑ <i>Top</i> −1 (%)	Num. Top-5	↑ <i>Top</i> −5 (%)	Num. Top-10	↑ <i>Top</i> −10 (%)	Num. Top-20	↑Top-20 (%)	MFR	↑ <i>MFR</i> (%)	MAR	↑ <i>MAR</i> (%)
	DiWi [3]	7	57.14	19	36.84	32	28.13	40	25.00	23.27	35.67	23.31	32.26
		5	120.00	19	36.84	35	17.14	44	13.64	23.70	36.84	24.13	34.56
		7	57.14	22	18.18	30	36.67	40	25.00	23.43	36.11	23.88	33.88
		7	57.14	19	36.84	32	28.13	40	25.00	23.43	36.11	23.88	33.88
	RecBi [4]	8	37.50	24	8.33	35	17.14	45	11.11	19.33	22.56	19.90	20.65
GCC		8	50.00	23	13.04	35	17.14	42	19.05	18.28	18.11	18.90	16.46
		8	37.50	21	23.81	33	24.24	40	25.00	24.68	39.34	25.25	37.47
		8	37.50	23	13.04	35	17.14	42	19.05	19.33	22.56	19.90	20.65
	LLM4CBI	11	-	24	-	37	-	50	-	14.97	-	15.79	_
		12	-	26	-	41	-	46	-	14.47	-	14.79	-
		11	-	26	-	41	-	50	-	15.10	-	15.93	-
		11	-	26	-	41	-	50	-	14.97	-	15.79	-
	DiWi [3]	2	400.00	17	41.18	27	22.22	40	20.00	27.17	45.53	27.19	45.38
		5	80.00	18	33.33	27	22.22	42	14.29	26.08	43.25	26.20	43.32
		4	150.00	20	20.00	29	13.79	40	20.00	26.83	44.84	26.90	44.80
		4	150.00	18	33.33	27	22.22	40	20.00	26.83	44.84	26.90	44.80
	RecBi [4]	6	66.67	16	50.00	30	10.00	41	17.07	25.32	41.55	25.65	42.11
LLVM		6	66.67	21	14.29	28	17.86	44	9.09	24.52	39.64	24.58	39.59
		6	66.67	21	14.29	29	13.79	44	9.09	25.53	42.03	25.63	42.06
		6	66.67	21	14.29	29	13.79	44	9.09	25.32	41.55	25.63	42.06
		10	-	24	-	33	-	46	-	14.80	-	14.85	-
		9	-	22	-	33	-	48	-	15.08	-	15.16	-
		10	-	24	-	39	-	49	-	12.77	-	12.91	-
		10	-	24	-	33	-	48	-	14.80	-	14.85	-

Note: Columns "↑ *" present the improvement rates (%) of LLM4CBI over the compared approaches regarding various metrics. The numbers in a row highlighted with cyan refer to the median results of three times running.

TABLE 11
Compiler bug isolation effectiveness comparison with two state-of-the-art approaches (under Setting-2 in RQ1)-Details

Subject	Approach	Num. Top-1	↑ _{Top−1} (%)	Num. Top-5	↑ <i>Top</i> −5 (%)	Num. Top-10	↑ _{Top-10} (%)	Num. Top-20	↑Top-20 (%)	MFR	↑ <i>MFR</i> (%)	MAR	↑ <i>MAR</i> (%)
		6	33.33	20	20.00	32	9.38	42	4.76	22.15	28.13	22.18	26.38
	DiWi [3]	6	33.33	20	20.00	33	6.06	41	7.32	21.18	24.83	21.68	21.91
		4	100.00	19	26.32	33	6.06	41	7.32	23.13	31.17	23.65	28.41
		6	33.33	20	20.00	33	6.06	41	7.32	22.15	28.13	22.18	26.38
		6	33.33	22	9.09	34	2.94	43	2.33	18.88	15.68	19.21	14.99
GCC	DogD: [4]	7	14.29	21	14.29	33	6.06	42	4.76	18.53	14.09	19.07	14.37
	RecBi [4]	7	14.29	22	9.09	34	2.94	41	7.32	19.75	19.39	20.44	20.11
		7	14.29	22	9.09	34	2.94	42	4.76	18.88	15.68	19.21	14.99
	LLM4CBI	7	-	24	-	34	-	44	-	16.97	-	17.13	-
		8	-	25	-	35	-	42	-	15.46	-	15.83	-
		8	-	24	-	36	-	44	-	15.92	-	16.33	-
		8	-	24	-	35	-	44	-	15.92	-	16.33	-
	DiWi [3]	5	60.00	21	14.29	28	25.00	39	10.26	25.62	35.79	25.74	35.59
		5	60.00	19	26.32	32	9.38	39	10.26	26.37	37.62	26.44	37.29
		4	100.00	19	26.32	29	20.69	39	10.26	26.37	37.62	26.43	37.27
	RecBi [4]	5	60.00	19	26.32	29	20.69	39	10.26	26.37	37.62	26.43	37.27
		7	14.29	21	14.29	34	2.94	40	7.50	19.92	17.42	20.02	17.18
LLVM		5	60.00	20	20.00	31	12.90	42	2.38	19.35	14.99	19.40	14.54
		7	14.29	21	14.29	34	2.94	40	7.50	19.43	15.34	19.76	16.09
		7	14.29	21	14.29	34	2.94	40	7.50	19.43	15.34	19.76	7.43
	LLM4CBI	7	-	22	-	34	-	45	-	16.20	-	16.35	-
		8	-	22	-	34	-	43	-	17.18	-	17.36	-
		8	-	24	-	36	-	42	-	16.45	-	16.58	-
		8	-	24	-	35	-	43	-	16.45	-	16.58	-

Note: Columns "↑ *" present the improvement rates (%) of LLM4CBI over the compared approaches regarding various metrics. The numbers in a row highlighted with cyan refer to the median results of three times running.

TABLE 12
Compiler bug isolation effectiveness comparison with variant approaches -Details

Subject	Approach	Num. Top-1	↑ _{Top-1} (%)	Num. Top-5	↑ _{Top-5} (%)	Num. Top-10	↑ _{Top-10} (%)	Num. Top-20	↑ _{Top-20} (%)	MFR	↑ _{MFR} (%)	MAR	↑ <i>MAR</i> (%)
GCC	$LLM4CBI_{ep}$	6 7 7 7	71.43 57.14 57.14 57.14	24 22 24 24	8.33 18.18 8.33 8.33	34 35 33 34	20.59 17.14 24.24 20.59	42 41 43 42	19.05 21.95 16.28 19.05	17.13 17.62 18.01 17.62	12.61 15.04 16.88 15.04	17.45 18.28 18.45 18.28	9.51 13.62 14.42 13.62
	$LLM4CBI_{sp}$	7 6 6 6	57.14 83.33 83.33 83.33	23 23 22 23	13.04 13.04 18.18 13.04	33 32 33 33	24.24 28.13 24.24 24.24	40 41 40 40	25.00 21.95 25.00 25.00	19.12 18.88 19.78 19.12	21.71 20.71 24.32 21.71	19.67 19.13 19.82 19.67	19.73 17.46 20.33 19.73
	$LLM4CBI_{rand}$	6 6 7 6	83.33 83.33 57.14 83.33	22 23 23 23	18.18 13.04 13.04 13.04	33 33 34 33	24.24 24.24 20.59 24.24	41 43 42 42	21.95 16.28 19.05 19.05	18.23 19.71 17.89 18.23	17.88 24.05 16.32 17.88	18.90 19.94 18.24 18.73	16.46 20.81 13.43 15.70
	${\rm LLM4CBI}_{selnov}$	8 8 8	37.50 37.50 37.50 37.50	22 22 23 22	18.18 18.18 13.04 4.55	35 35 31 35	17.14 17.14 32.26 17.14	39 41 40 40	28.21 21.95 25.00 25.00	19.38 19.00 19.53 19.38	22.76 21.21 23.35 22.76	19.64 19.67 19.58 19.64	19.60 19.73 19.36 19.60
	LLM4CBI	11 12 11	- - -	24 26 26 26	- - -	37 41 41 41	- - -	50 46 50 50	- - -	14.97 14.47 15.10 14.97	- - -	15.79 14.79 15.93 15.79	- - -
	LLM4CBI _{ep}	8 8 8	25.00 25.00 25.00 25.00	21 20 20 20	14.29 20.00 20.00 20.00	32 31 31 31	3.13 6.45 6.45 6.45	43 42 43 43	11.63 14.29 11.63 11.63	17.27 18.98 19.02 18.98	14.30 22.02 22.19 22.02	17.49 19.08 19.78 19.08	15.09 22.17 24.92 22.17
	$LLM4CBI_{sp}$	7 6 7	42.86 66.67 42.86 42.86	23 21 23 23	4.35 14.29 4.35 4.35	32 30 32 32	3.13 10.00 3.13 3.13	39 43 39 39	23.08 11.63 23.08 23.08	17.32 17.75 18.14 17.75	14.55 16.62 18.41 16.62	17.69 17.88 18.86 17.88	16.05 16.95 21.26 16.95
LLVM	${\rm LLM4CBI}_{rand}$	8 8 9	25.00 25.00 11.11 25.00	23 23 22 23	4.35 4.35 9.09 4.35	31 30 32 31	6.45 10.00 3.13 6.45	41 40 43 41	17.07 20.00 11.63 17.07	16.10 17.18 16.40 16.40	8.07 13.85 9.76 9.76	16.46 17.83 16.52 16.52	9.78 16.71 10.11 10.11
	${\rm LLM4CBI}_{selnov}$	8 7 6 7	25.00 42.86 66.67 42.86	22 22 16 22	9.09 9.09 50.00 9.09	32 31 31 31	3.13 6.45 6.45 6.45	44 40 40 40	9.09 20.00 20.00 20.00	15.57 16.55 17.80 16.55	4.95 10.57 16.85 10.57	15.61 16.82 17.85 25.63	4.87 11.71 16.81 31.92
	LLM4CBI	10 9 10 10	- - -	24 22 24 24	- - -	33 33 39 33	- - -	46 48 49 48	- - -	14.80 15.08 12.77 14.80	- - -	14.85 15.16 12.91 14.85	- - -

Note: Columns "↑ *" present the improvement rates (%) of LLM4CBI over the compared approaches regarding various metrics. The numbers in a row highlighted with cyan refer to the median results of three times running.