

CURVE COMPLEX AS THE COSET INTERSECTION COMPLEX

HAOYANG HE AND EDUARDO MARTÍNEZ-PEDROZA

ABSTRACT. We show that, for every finitely generated group quasi-isometric to the mapping class group of a surface, there is a collection of subgroups such that their coset intersection complex is combinatorially equivalent to the curve complex, in the sense that one can be obtained from the other via taking a nerve. We also prove that the automorphism group of this coset intersection complex is the extended mapping class group, providing new evidence for Ivanov's metaconjecture.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Organisation	3
Acknowledgement	3
2. The nerve of the curve complex	3
3. Automorphism group of the nerve	5
4. Groups quasi-isometric to the mapping class groups	8
5. Quasi-isometry and homotopy equivalence	9
6. Curve complex as a subcomplex of coset intersection complex	11
References	11

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this article, S is a closed, connected, oriented surface of finite type with genus at least two. The *mapping class group* of S , denoted by $\text{Mod}(S)$, is the group of isotopy classes of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms $S \rightarrow S$. The *extended mapping class group* of S , denoted by $\text{Mod}^\pm(S)$, is the group of isotopy classes of homeomorphisms $S \rightarrow S$ (including the orientation-reversing ones). The following definition is due to Harvey.

Definition 1.1. [Har81, page 246] The *curve complex* of S , denoted by $C(S)$, is the simplicial complex whose vertices are isotopy classes of essential simple closed curves in S , and simplices are sets of isotopy classes that can be realised disjointly.

The curve complex is a powerful tool in low-dimensional topology. For instance, it is a key ingredient in establishing the quasi-isometric rigidity of $\text{Mod}^\pm(S)$ [BKMM12], in finding the curvature and rank of the Teichmüller space [BF06], and in proving the ending lamination conjecture [Min10, BCM12].

The purpose of this article is to demonstrate a combinatorial equivalence between $C(S)$ and a coset intersection complex of $\text{Mod}(S)$. The notion of coset intersection complex was introduced in [AMP25]. This is defined on a group pair (G, \mathcal{A}) , which consists of a finitely generated infinite group G and a finite collection \mathcal{A} of infinite subgroups of G . Denote $G/\mathcal{A} = \{gA \mid g \in G, A \in \mathcal{A}\}$.

Definition 1.2. [AMP25, Definition 4.1] The *coset intersection complex* of (G, \mathcal{A}) , denoted by $\mathcal{K}(G, \mathcal{A})$, is the simplicial complex whose vertex set is G/\mathcal{A} , and $\{g_0A_0, \dots, g_kA_k\} \subseteq G/\mathcal{A}$ is a simplex if $\bigcap_{i=0}^k g_iA_ig_i^{-1}$ is infinite.

Given a collection \mathcal{U} of non-empty subsets, the *nerve* of \mathcal{U} is the simplicial complex whose vertex set is \mathcal{U} and such that $\{U_0, \dots, U_k\} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ is a simplex if $\bigcap_{i=0}^k U_i$ is non-empty. The

combinatorial operation that relates the curve complex and a coset intersection complex is the following.

Definition 1.3. For a simplicial complex X , let $\mathcal{N}(X)$ denote the nerve of the collection of maximal simplices of X .

Our combinatorial equivalence is established as follows. The action of $\text{Mod}(S)$ on the set $\mathcal{P} = \{P \mid P \text{ is a maximal simplex in } C(S)\}$ has finitely many orbits, say n . Let P_1, \dots, P_n be representatives of the orbits of this action. Let $\mathcal{T} = \{\text{Stab}(P_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, where $\text{Stab}(P_i)$ is the subgroup of $\text{Mod}(S)$ that fixes the simplex P_i setwise.

Theorem 1.4.

- (1) $\mathcal{N}(C(S))$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$.
- (2) $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T}))$ is isomorphic to $C(S)$.

The following theorem is the analogous statement for groups quasi-isometric to $\text{Mod}(S)$, and it is a consequence of quasi-isometric rigidity of $\text{Mod}^\pm(S)$ [BKMM12] and results in [AMP25]. In particular, the theorem below applies to $\text{Mod}^\pm(S)$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let H be a finitely generated group quasi-isometric to $\text{Mod}(S)$. Then there exists a finite collection \mathcal{R} of subgroups of H such that the following hold.*

- (1) *The curve complex $C(S)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{K}(H, \mathcal{R}))$.*
- (2) *The coset intersection complex $\mathcal{K}(H, \mathcal{R})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}(C(S))$.*

We are also interested in the automorphism group of $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$. The motivation is from the following important result, which is due, independently, to Ivanov [Iva97] and Luo [Luo00] (cases of surfaces with genera zero and one were addressed in [Kor99] and [Luo00]).

Theorem 1.6 (Ivanov, Luo). *Let S be a surface with genus at least three. Then $\text{Aut}(C(S))$ is isomorphic to $\text{Mod}^\pm(S)$.*

Note that when S is the genus two surface, this theorem does not hold due to the fact that the hyperelliptic involution fixes every isotopy class of essential simple closed curve in S .

This theorem was followed by a number of similar results on other complexes associated to a surface: see, for example, [SS00, Mar04, BM04, Irm06, IK07, KP10, IM10, Kid11, KP12, MP12, BDT19, BM19, DKdLNG23]. In response to (some of) these results, Ivanov proposed the following metaconjecture [Iva06, Section 6].

Metaconjecture (Ivanov). *Every object that is naturally associated to a surface S and with a sufficiently rich structure has automorphism group isomorphic to $\text{Mod}^\pm(S)$. Moreover, this can be proved by a reduction to Theorem 1.6.*

In relation to this metaconjecture, we prove the following statement.

Theorem 1.7. *Let S be a surface with genus at least three. Then $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T}))$ is isomorphic to $\text{Mod}^\pm(S)$. Moreover, for every finitely generated group H that is quasi-isometric to $\text{Mod}(S)$, there is a finite collection \mathcal{Q} of subgroups of H such that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{K}(H, \mathcal{Q}))$ is isomorphic to $\text{Mod}^\pm(S)$.*

Theorem 1.7 follows from Theorems 1.4(1), 1.5 1.6, and the following theorem.

Theorem 1.8. $\text{Aut}(C(S))$ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{N}(C(S)))$.

We are also interested in the following collection of subgroups of $\text{Mod}(S)$. Denote T_x as the *Dehn twist* about the isotopy class x of simple closed curves in S . Let $H_i = \langle T_x \mid x \in P_i \rangle$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{H_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.

Theorem 1.9. *The curve complex $C(S)$ is quasi-isometric and homotopy equivalent to both $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$ and $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{H})$.*

In particular, the quasi-isometry between $C(S)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{H})$ is parallel to a result proved by Abbott and the second-named author with respect to the extension complex and two-dimensional right-angled Artin groups [AMP25, Theorem 6.1]. The result stated that, given a connected,

triangle-free graph Γ with no vertex of valence less than two, the extension complex $E(\Gamma)$ is quasi-isometric to $\mathcal{K}(A(\Gamma), \mathcal{B})$, where $A(\Gamma)$ is the right-angle Artin group with defining graph Γ and \mathcal{B} is the collection of maximal abelian subgroups of $A(\Gamma)$. There are many geometric and algebraic results drawing parallel between the extension complex and curve complex: see [KK14, Tables 1&2].

In view of Ivanov's metaconjecture and Theorem 1.7, the following question naturally arises.

Question 1.10. *Is $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{H}))$ isomorphic to $\text{Mod}^\pm(S)$? More generally, for a finite collection \mathcal{A} of infinite index subgroups of $\text{Mod}(S)$, when is $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{A}))$ isomorphic to $\text{Mod}^\pm(S)$?*

Organisation. We prove Theorem 1.4(1) in Section 2. More general versions of Theorem 1.4(2) and Theorem 1.8 are established in Section 3. Theorem 1.5 is proved in Section 4, and Theorem 1.9 is shown in Section 5. We conclude by constructing a simplicial embedding from $C(S)$ to another coset intersection complex of $\text{Mod}(S)$ in Section 6.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Saul Schleimer for his suggestion that streamlines the proof of Theorem 1.5 and Robert Tang for pointing out a reference. We also would like to thank Russ Woodroffe for comments on this article. HH is partially supported by the School of Graduate Studies at Memorial University of Newfoundland. EMP acknowledges funding by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada.

2. THE NERVE OF THE CURVE COMPLEX

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.4(1). Recall the following definition.

Definition 2.1. An (*abstract*) *simplicial complex* X is a set whose elements are non-empty finite subsets, and such that if $\emptyset \neq \tau \subseteq \sigma \in X$ then $\tau \in X$. Elements of X are called *simplices* of X , and elements of $\bigcup X$ are called *vertices* of X . Given two simplices τ and σ with $\tau \subseteq \sigma$, we say that τ is a *face* of σ .

The *dimension* of a simplex σ is its cardinality, and the dimension of X is the maximum of the dimension of its simplices, which could be infinite. A *maximal simplex* Δ of X is a maximal collection of vertices with the property that any finite subset of Δ is a simplex of X . Note that if X is infinite dimensional, then a maximal simplex may not be a simplex of X . The r -*skeleton* of X , denoted by $X^{[r]}$, is the collection of simplices in X with dimension at most r .

A simplicial map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between simplicial complexes is a function f from the vertex set of X to the vertex set of Y such that the image of each simplex of X is a simplex of Y .

We summarise some standard results about Dehn twists: see [FM12, Chapter 3] for detailed discussions.

Lemma 2.2. *Let a, b be vertices of $C(S)$. Let $f \in \text{Mod}(S)$. Then we have the following:*

- (1) *If a is an isotopy class of essential simple closed curves in S , then T_a is of infinite order.*
- (2) *For every $s, t \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, $T_a^s = T_b^t$ if and only if $a = b$ and $s = t$.*
- (3) $T_{f(a)} = fT_af^{-1}$.
- (4) $i(a, b) = 0$ if and only if $T_aT_b = T_bT_a$ if and only if $T_a(b) = b$.

The following lemma is a generalisation of Lemma 2.2(2).

Lemma 2.3. *Let $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ and $\{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$ be simplices in $C(S)$. Let $p_i, q_j \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ where $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$. Then if*

$$T_{a_1}^{p_1} T_{a_2}^{p_2} \cdots T_{a_m}^{p_m} = T_{b_1}^{q_1} T_{b_2}^{q_2} \cdots T_{b_k}^{q_k}$$

in $\text{Mod}(S)$, then $m = k$ and $\{T_{a_i}^{p_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\} = \{T_{b_i}^{q_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$.

It follows from Lemmata 2.2(1)(4) and 2.3 that, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $H_i \cong \mathbb{Z}^{3g-3}$, where $g \geq 2$ is the genus of surface S . By definition of Dehn twists, H_i is a subgroup of $\text{Stab}(P_i)$.

Given a collection of simple closed curves C , the *cut surface* S_C is obtained from S by removing a regular neighbourhood of C . A *pants decomposition* of S is a collection of disjoint simple closed

curves P in S such that S_P consists of disjoint copies of pairs of pants, which are spheres with three holes. An Euler characteristic argument shows that a pants decomposition P is a collection of $3g - 3$ disjoint, non-isotopic essential simple closed curves and S_P is a disjoint union of $2g - 2$ pairs of pants. Note that, up to isotopy of each curve, the collection of pants decompositions is in bijective correspondence with the collection maximal simplices of $C(S)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let P be a maximal simplex in $C(S)$. Let $H = \langle T_a \mid a \in P \rangle$. Then H is a finite-index subgroup of $\text{Stab}(P)$.*

Proof. Define $\text{Stab}^{\text{pw}}(P) = \{f \in \text{Mod}(S) \mid f(a) = a, \forall a \in P\}$. We will show that H is a finite-index subgroup of $\text{Stab}^{\text{pw}}(P)$, which is finite index in $\text{Stab}(P)$. Identify P with a pants decomposition of S . We associate a graph $\Gamma(P)$ with P , as in [HT80, Appendix]. The vertices of $\Gamma(P)$ are pairs of pants in S_P , and there is an edge if and only if two pairs of pants (which can be the same) in S_P bound the same curve.

Let $\varphi \in \text{Stab}(P)$. This induces a permutation $\varphi_*: P \rightarrow P$. Define $f: \text{Stab}(P) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(P)$ by $\varphi \mapsto \varphi_*$. Then $\ker(f) = \text{Stab}^{\text{pw}}(P)$, so we have a short exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Stab}^{\text{pw}}(P) \hookrightarrow \text{Stab}(P) \xrightarrow{f} \text{Im}(f) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Since $\text{Im}(f)$ is finite, $\text{Stab}^{\text{pw}}(P)$ is a finite-index subgroup of $\text{Stab}(P)$.

We now show that H is a finite index subgroup of $\text{Stab}^{\text{pw}}(P)$ by proving that H is the kernel of a group homomorphism $h: \text{Stab}^{\text{pw}}(P) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{3g-3} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. To define h , let $\gamma \in \text{Stab}^{\text{pw}}(P)$. Consider a collection of representatives $\{a_1, \dots, a_{3g-3}\}$ of the isotopy classes of the curves in P and consider the corresponding cut surface which for simplicity we denote by S_P . Consider a representative of the mapping class γ that fixes setwise a regular neighbourhood of each of the curves $\{a_1, \dots, a_{3g-3}\}$, for simplicity we denote this homeomorphism by γ . Denote two boundary components of such regular neighbourhood of a_i in S as a_i^+ and a_i^- . Then either $\gamma_*(a_i^+) = a_i^+$ and $\gamma_*(a_i^-) = a_i^-$, or $\gamma_*(a_i^+) = a_i^-$ and $\gamma_*(a_i^-) = a_i^+$ in S_P . Define $h: \text{Stab}^{\text{pw}}(P) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{3g-3} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ by $h(\gamma) = (h_1, \dots, h_{3g-3})$, where $h_i = 0$ if $\gamma_*(a_i^+) = a_i^+$ and $\gamma_*(a_i^-) = a_i^-$, and $h_i = 1$ if $\gamma_*(a_i^+) = a_i^-$ and $\gamma_*(a_i^-) = a_i^+$. Note that $H \subseteq \ker(h)$. Let $\sigma \in \ker(h)$ and consider a representative that fixes setwise each of the curves a_i . Let S_1, \dots, S_{2g-2} be pairs of pants in S_P . Then $\sigma_*(S_j) = S_j$ for every $1 \leq j \leq 2g-2$, and $\sigma_*(a_i^+) = a_i^+$ and $\sigma_*(a_i^-) = a_i^-$. This means σ_* fixes every boundary component of S_j , so $\sigma_*|_{S_j}$ is a composition of Dehn twists about boundary components of S_j . This forces $\sigma \in H$, since $T_{a_i^+} = T_{a_i^-} = T_{a_i}$ in $\text{Mod}(S)$, so $\ker(h) \subseteq H$. Therefore, we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow H \hookrightarrow \text{Stab}^{\text{pw}}(P) \xrightarrow{h} \text{Im}(h) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Since $\text{Im}(h)$ is finite, H is a finite-index subgroup of $\text{Stab}^{\text{pw}}(P)$. □

Recall that \mathcal{P} denotes the collection of all maximal simplices of $C(S)$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $Q_0, \dots, Q_k \in \mathcal{P}$. For each $0 \leq i \leq k$, let $G_i = \langle T_a \mid a \in Q_i \rangle$. Let $g_0, \dots, g_k \in \text{Mod}(S)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\bigcap_{i=0}^k g_i \text{Stab}(Q_i)g_i^{-1}$ is infinite.
- (2) $\bigcap_{i=0}^k g_i G_i g_i^{-1}$ is infinite.
- (3) $\{g_0 Q_0, \dots, g_k Q_k\}$ is a simplex of $N(C(S))$, that is, $\bigcap_{i=0}^k g_i(Q_i)$ is non-empty.

Moreover, $\bigcap_{i=0}^k g_i G_i g_i^{-1} = \langle T_a \mid a \in \bigcap_{i=0}^k g_i(Q_i) \rangle$.

Proof. Note that $g_i G_i g_i^{-1} = \langle T_a \mid a \in g_i(Q_i) \rangle$ for each $0 \leq i \leq k$, see Lemma 2.2(3).

We first prove that (2) implies (1). Note that if $\bigcap_{i=0}^k g_i G_i g_i^{-1}$ is infinite then $\bigcap_{i=0}^k g_i \text{Stab}(Q_i)g_i^{-1}$ is infinite, since each G_i is a subgroup of $\text{Stab}(Q_i)$, and therefore $\bigcap_{i=0}^k g_i \text{Stab}(Q_i)g_i^{-1}$ is infinite. That (1) implies (2) is a consequence of $\bigcap_{i=0}^k g_i G_i g_i^{-1}$ being a finite-index subgroup of $\bigcap_{i=0}^k g_i \text{Stab}(Q_i)g_i^{-1}$ which follows from Lemma 2.4 and the following well-known facts:

- (i) If $A_1, \dots, A_m, B_1, \dots, B_m$ are subgroups of a group G such that A_j is a finite-index subgroup of B_j for every $1 \leq j \leq m$, then $\bigcap_{j=1}^m A_j$ is finite-index in $\bigcap_{j=1}^m B_j$ (for a proof, see [CM13, Lemma 2.1]).
- (ii) If A, B are subgroups of a group G such that A is a finite-index subgroup of B , then for every $g \in G$, gAg^{-1} is finite-index in gBg^{-1} .

We now show that (2) and (3) are equivalent. Suppose that $\bigcap_{i=0}^k g_i(Q_i) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\gamma \in \bigcap_{i=0}^k g_i(Q_i)$. Then $T_\gamma \in g_iG_ig_i^{-1}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq k$. Then $\bigcap_{i=0}^k g_iG_ig_i^{-1}$ is infinite since it contains the subgroup $\langle T_\gamma \rangle$. Conversely, suppose that $\bigcap_{i=0}^k g_iG_ig_i^{-1}$ is infinite. In particular, there is a non-trivial $g \in \bigcap_{i=0}^k g_iG_ig_i^{-1}$ such that, for each $0 \leq i \leq k$, there are some $a_1^i, \dots, a_{t(i)}^i \in g_i(Q_i)$ and some $x_1^i, \dots, x_{t(i)}^i \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ such that

$$g = T_{a_1^0}^{x_1^0} \cdots T_{a_{t(0)}^0}^{x_{t(0)}^0} = \cdots = T_{a_1^k}^{x_1^k} \cdots T_{a_{t(k)}^k}^{x_{t(k)}^k}.$$

By Lemma 2.3, $t(0) = \cdots = t(k)$ and $\{T_{a_i^0}^{x_i^0} \mid 1 \leq i \leq t(0)\} = \cdots = \{T_{a_i^k}^{x_i^k} \mid 1 \leq i \leq t(k)\} \neq \emptyset$.

Then Lemma 2.2(2) implies that $\{a_i^0 \mid 1 \leq i \leq t(0)\} = \cdots = \{a_i^k \mid 1 \leq i \leq t(k)\} \subseteq g_i(Q_i)$ for every $0 \leq i \leq k$, hence $\bigcap_{i=0}^k g_i(Q_i)$ is non-empty.

For the last assertion, if $g \in \bigcap_{i=0}^k g_iG_ig_i^{-1}$, the argument above shows that $g \in \langle T_a \mid a \in \bigcap_{i=0}^k g_i(Q_i) \rangle$. Conversely, if $g \in \langle T_a \mid a \in \bigcap_{i=0}^k g_i(Q_i) \rangle$, then $g \in g_iG_ig_i^{-1}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq k$, so $g \in \bigcap_{i=0}^k g_iG_ig_i^{-1}$. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.4(1), that is, $\mathcal{N}(C(S))$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$. Recall that $\mathcal{N}(C(S))$ is the nerve of the collection of maximal simplices of $C(S)$, and \mathcal{T} is the collection of $\text{Mod}(S)$ -stabilisers of representatives P_1, \dots, P_n of $\text{Mod}(S)$ -orbits of the action on the maximal simplices of $C(S)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4(1). Define $\varphi: \mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(C(S))$ by $\varphi(g \text{Stab}(P_t)) = g(P_t)$ for each $1 \leq t \leq n$. For $g, h \in \text{Mod}(S)$, if $g \text{Stab}(P_a) = h \text{Stab}(P_b)$ then $a = b$, and $g \text{Stab}(P_t) = h \text{Stab}(P_t)$ if and only if $g(P_t) = h(P_t)$, so φ is well-defined and injective. For every $P \in \mathcal{P}$, there are $x \in \text{Mod}(S)$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$ with $x(P_j) = P$, so $\varphi(x \text{Stab}(P_j)) = x(P_j) = P$. This shows that φ is surjective.

We now show that φ, φ^{-1} are simplicial maps. Let $g_0 \text{Stab}(Q_0), \dots, g_k \text{Stab}(Q_k)$ be vertices of $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$. By Lemma 2.5, $\{g_0 \text{Stab}(Q_0), \dots, g_k \text{Stab}(Q_k)\}$ is a simplex in $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$ if and only if $\{g_0(Q_0), \dots, g_k(Q_k)\}$ is a simplex in $\mathcal{N}(C(S))$. It follows that φ and φ^{-1} are simplicial. This proves that φ is a simplicial isomorphism. \square

Remark 2.6. Note that $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{H})$ is not isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}(C(S))$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, H_i is not isomorphic to $\text{Stab}(P_i)$, so there are $g, h \in H_i$ with $gH_i \neq hH_i$ and $g(P_i) = h(P_i)$.

3. AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF THE NERVE

In this section, we prove two general results for simplicial complexes, Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. Then we use these results to deduce Theorem 1.4(2) and Theorem 1.8 from the introduction.

Let X be a finite-dimensional simplicial complex. Recall that $\mathcal{N}(X)$ denotes the nerve of the collection of maximal simplices of X . Analogously, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}(X))$ is the nerve of the collection of maximal simplices of $\mathcal{N}(X)$. For every $x \in X^{[0]}$, define Σ_x as the collection of maximal simplices of X that contain the vertex x .

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that for every $x, y \in X^{[0]}$, $\Sigma_x \subseteq \Sigma_y$ implies that $x = y$. Then there is a simplicial isomorphism between X and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}(X))$.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose the following two conditions hold for X .

- (1) For every $x, y \in X^{[0]}$, $\Sigma_x \subseteq \Sigma_y$ implies that $x = y$.
- (2) If K is a simplex of X and x is a vertex of X such that $x \notin K$, then there is a maximal simplex L of X such that $K \subseteq L$ and $x \notin L$.

Then $\text{Aut}(X)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{N}(X))$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $x_0, \dots, x_t \in X^{[0]}$. Then $\{x_0, \dots, x_t\}$ is a simplex in X if and only if $\bigcap_{i=0}^t \Sigma_{x_i} \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Assume that $\{x_0, \dots, x_t\}$ is a simplex in X . Then there is a maximal simplex K in X containing $\{x_0, \dots, x_t\}$ as a face, and hence $K \in \bigcap_{i=0}^t \Sigma_{x_i} \neq \emptyset$. Conversely, if $\bigcap_{i=0}^t \Sigma_{x_i} \neq \emptyset$ then there is $L \in \bigcap_{i=0}^t \Sigma_{x_i}$ and such simplex L of X contains $\{x_0, \dots, x_t\}$ as a face. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let Σ be a maximal simplex of $\mathcal{N}(X)$. Then there is some $x \in X^{[0]}$ with $\Sigma = \Sigma_x$. Assume further that, for every $a, b \in X^{[0]}$, $\Sigma_a \subseteq \Sigma_b$ implies that $a = b$. Then for every $y \in X^{[0]}$, Σ_y is a maximal simplex of $\mathcal{N}(X)$.*

Proof. If $\bigcap \Sigma \neq \emptyset$, then there is $x \in X^{[0]}$ such that $x \in L$ for every $L \in \Sigma$, so $\Sigma \subseteq \Sigma_x$, and then $\Sigma = \Sigma_x$ by maximality of Σ . Therefore, it suffices to show that $\bigcap \Sigma \neq \emptyset$. Assume for a contradiction that $\bigcap \Sigma = \emptyset$. Let $K \in \Sigma$ and suppose $K = \{x_0, \dots, x_d\}$. Then for every $0 \leq i \leq d$, there is $L_i \in \Sigma$ such that $x_i \notin L_i$. This means $\{K, L_0, \dots, L_d\} \subseteq \Sigma$ is a collection of maximal simplices in X such that $(\bigcap_{i=0}^d L_i) \cap K = \emptyset$, and hence Σ is not a maximal simplex in $\mathcal{N}(X)$, a contradiction.

Suppose that, for every $a, b \in X^{[0]}$, $\Sigma_a \subseteq \Sigma_b$ implies that $a = b$. Let $y \in X^{[0]}$. Since Σ_y is a simplex of $\mathcal{N}(X)$, there is a maximal simplex Φ with $\Sigma_y \subseteq \Phi$, so $\Phi = \Sigma_z$ for some $z \in X^{[0]}$. Hence $y = z$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Define $\beta: X \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}(X))$ by $\beta(x) = \Sigma_x$. By Lemma 3.4, the function β is well-defined and surjective. By assumption, $\Sigma_x = \Sigma_y$ if and only if $x = y$, therefore the map β is injective and hence a bijection. It remains to show that β and β^{-1} are simplicial. Let $\tau = \{x_0, \dots, x_r\}$ be a set of vertices of X . By Lemma 3.3, τ is a simplex of X if and only if $\bigcap_{i=0}^r \Sigma_{x_i} \neq \emptyset$. On the other hand, $\{\Sigma_{x_0}, \dots, \Sigma_{x_r}\}$ is a simplex of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}(X))$ if and only if $\bigcap_{i=0}^r \Sigma_{x_i} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore β and β^{-1} are simplicial, in particular, β is a simplicial isomorphism. \square

Now we prove Theorem 3.2. Define

$$\Omega: \text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{N}(X)), \quad \Omega(\varphi) = \varphi_*,$$

where for each $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(X)$, the map $\varphi_*: \mathcal{N}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(X)$ is defined by $\varphi_*(K) = \varphi(K)$. The function Ω is well-defined by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *If $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(X)$, then $\varphi_*: \mathcal{N}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(X)$ is a simplicial automorphism.*

Proof. Note that φ_* is a bijection. It remains to show that φ_* and $(\varphi_*)^{-1}$ are simplicial maps. Let $L = \{K_0, \dots, K_t\}$ be a simplex in $\mathcal{N}(X)$. Then $\bigcap_{i=0}^t K_i \neq \emptyset$. Since φ is bijective, we have $\bigcap_{i=0}^t \varphi(K_i) = \varphi(\bigcap_{i=0}^t K_i) \neq \emptyset$, so $\varphi_*(L)$ is a simplex in $\mathcal{N}(X)$. This shows that φ_* is a simplicial map. Since $(\varphi_*)^{-1} = (\varphi^{-1})_*$, we have that $(\varphi_*)^{-1}$ is simplicial. \square

Remark 3.6. If $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(X)$ and x is a vertex of X , then $\varphi_*(\Sigma_x) = \Sigma_{\varphi(x)}$.

For a simplex τ of X , let Σ_τ denote the collection of all maximal simplices of X that contain τ as a face.

Lemma 3.7. *If the second condition of Theorem 3.2 holds, $\bigcap \Sigma_\tau = \tau$ for every simplex τ in X . In particular, $\bigcap \Sigma_x = \{x\}$.*

Proof. Observe that $\tau \subseteq \bigcap \Sigma_\tau$. To show that $\bigcap \Sigma_\tau \subseteq \tau$, assume for a contradiction that there is $a \in \bigcap \Sigma_\tau$ such that $a \notin \tau$. By assumption on X , there is a maximal simplex L in X with $\tau \subseteq L$ and $a \notin L$. Since $L \in \Sigma_\tau$, we have that $a \notin \bigcap \Sigma_\tau$, a contradiction. \square

Proposition 3.8. *Suppose that for every $x, y \in X^{[0]}$, $\Sigma_x \subseteq \Sigma_y$ implies that $x = y$. Then Ω is injective.*

Proof. Let $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Aut}(X)$. Suppose that $\varphi_* = \psi_*$. Let $x \in X^{[0]}$. By Lemma 3.7, we have $\bigcap \Sigma_x = \{x\}$. Then by Remark 3.6, we have

$$\{\varphi(x)\} = \bigcap \Sigma_{\varphi(x)} = \bigcap \varphi_*(\Sigma_x) = \varphi_* \left(\bigcap \Sigma_x \right) = \psi_* \left(\bigcap \Sigma_x \right) = \bigcap \psi_*(\Sigma_x) = \bigcap \Sigma_{\psi(x)} = \{\psi(x)\}.$$

Since X is abstract simplicial complex, this shows that $\varphi = \psi$. \square

Proposition 3.9. *Suppose that X satisfies two conditions in Theorem 3.2. Then Ω is surjective.*

Proof. Let $g \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{N}(X))$. Lemma 3.4 shows that every maximal simplex in $\mathcal{N}(X)$ is of the form Σ_a for some $a \in X^{[0]}$, and note that g maps maximal simplices to maximal simplices bijectively. Then for each $x \in X^{[0]}$, there is $y \in X^{[0]}$ such that $g(\Sigma_x) = \Sigma_y$. This vertex y is unique since $\cap g(\Sigma_x) = \cap \Sigma_y = \{y\}$ by Lemma 3.7. Define $\varphi: X \rightarrow X$ by $\varphi(x) = y$.

We first show that φ is bijective. Let $x, y \in X^{[0]}$ and suppose that $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$. Note that $g(\Sigma_x) = \Sigma_{\varphi(x)}$ and $\Sigma_{\varphi(y)} = g(\Sigma_y)$, so $\Sigma_x = \Sigma_y$ since g is bijective, and then $x = y$ by our assumption. This proves that φ is injective. For each $a \in X^{[0]}$, there is $b \in X^{[0]}$ such that $g^{-1}(\Sigma_a) = \Sigma_b$, then $g(\Sigma_b) = \Sigma_a$, so $\varphi(b) = a$. This proves that φ is surjective.

We now verify that φ and φ^{-1} are simplicial maps. Let $\{x_0, \dots, x_k\}$ be a simplex in X . Then by Lemma 3.3, we have $\cap_{i=0}^k \Sigma_{x_i} \neq \emptyset$. Since g is bijective, we have

$$\cap_{i=0}^k \Sigma_{\varphi(x_i)} = \cap_{i=0}^k g(\Sigma_{x_i}) = g\left(\cap_{i=0}^k \Sigma_{x_i}\right) \neq \emptyset,$$

so $\{\varphi(x_0), \dots, \varphi(x_k)\}$ is a simplex in X by Lemma 3.3. Hence φ is a simplicial map. Similar argument on φ^{-1} shows that it is a simplicial map. Therefore, we have $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(X)$.

It remains to show that $\varphi_* = g$. Let $\sigma = \{v_0, \dots, v_t\}$ be a maximal simplex of X . Then $\varphi_*(\sigma) = \varphi(\sigma) = \{\varphi(v_0), \dots, \varphi(v_t)\}$, which is a maximal simplex in X . Then by Lemma 3.7,

$$g(\sigma) = g\left(\cap_{i=0}^t \Sigma_{v_i}\right) = \cap_{i=0}^t g(\Sigma_{v_i}) = \cap_{i=0}^t \Sigma_{\varphi(v_i)} = \{\varphi(v_0), \dots, \varphi(v_t)\}.$$

This shows that $\varphi_* = g$. □

Proof of Theorem 3.2. This follows from Propositions 3.8 and 3.9. □

To prove Theorem 1.4(2) and Theorem 1.8, it suffices to show the following proposition.

Proposition 3.10. *The curve complex $C(S)$ satisfies the following two properties.*

- (1) *For every pair of vertices x, y in $C(S)$, $\Sigma_x \subseteq \Sigma_y$ implies that $x = y$.*
- (2) *If K is a simplex of $C(S)$ and x is a vertex of $C(S)$ such that $x \notin K$, then there is a maximal simplex L of $C(S)$ such that $K \subseteq L$ and $x \notin L$.*

To prove this proposition, we need the following lemma. Recall that, given $a, b \in C^{[0]}(S)$, the *geometric intersection number* of a and b is $i(a, b) = \min\{|\alpha \cap \beta| \mid \alpha \in a, \beta \in b\}$.

Lemma 3.11 ([MP12, Proposition 2.3]). *Let $C = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ be a simplex in $C(S)$. Then for every $1 \leq t \leq k$, there is a vertex b of $C(S)$ such that $i(b, a_t) > 0$ and $i(b, a) = 0$ for every $a \in C - \{a_t\}$.*

Proof of Proposition 3.10. To show the first property, let a, b be vertices of $C(S)$ with $\Sigma_a \subseteq \Sigma_b$. Assume for a contradiction that $a \neq b$. Let $A \in \Sigma_a$. Then $A \in \Sigma_b$, so $a, b \in A$. We can write $A = \{c_1 = a, c_2, \dots, c_{3g-3} = b\}$. By Lemma 3.11, there is a vertex c of $C(S)$ such that $i(c, c_i) = 0$ for every $1 \leq i \leq 3g-4$ and $i(c, c_{3g-3}) > 0$, so $B = \{c_1 = a, c_2, \dots, c_{3g-4}, c\}$ is a maximal simplex in $C(S)$ with $B \in \Sigma_a$ and $B \notin \Sigma_b$, contradicting $\Sigma_a \subseteq \Sigma_b$. Hence $a = b$.

To show the second property, let K be a simplex of $C(S)$. Let x be a vertex of $C(S)$ with $x \notin K$. Write $K = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$. If $i(x, x_j) > 0$ for some $0 \leq j \leq n$, then we can take any maximal simplex L in $C(S)$ with $K \subseteq L$ and note that $x \notin L$. If $i(x, x_i) = 0$ for every $0 \leq i \leq n$, then $D = \{x_0, \dots, x_n, x\}$ is a simplex in $C(S)$. Let E be a maximal simplex in $C(S)$ with $D \subseteq E$. Then we apply Lemma 3.11 on E to obtain a maximal simplex L such that $K \subseteq L$ and $x \notin L$. □

We are now able to prove Theorem 1.4(2) and Theorem 1.8.

Proof of Theorem 1.4(2). The curve complex $C(S)$ is finite-dimensional, and by Proposition 3.10 it satisfies the condition of Theorem 3.1, so $C(S)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}(C(S)))$. Since $\mathcal{N}(C(S))$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$ by Theorem 1.4(1), $C(S)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T}))$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.8. By Proposition 3.10, the finite-dimensional simplicial complex $C(S)$ satisfies both conditions of Theorem 3.2, so $\text{Aut}(C(S))$ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{N}(C(S)))$. □

4. GROUPS QUASI-ISOMETRIC TO THE MAPPING CLASS GROUPS

We prove Theorem 1.5 in this section. All groups considered in this section are finitely generated, and they carry a word metric induced by a finite generating set.

Definition 4.1. A pair of subgroups H_1 and H_2 of a group G are *commensurable* if $H_1 \cap H_2$ is finite-index in both H_1 and H_2 . Given a subgroup K of G , the *commensurator* of K is defined as $\text{Comm}_G(K) = \{g \in G \mid K \text{ and } gKg^{-1} \text{ are commensurable}\}$.

The following proposition is well-known.

Proposition 4.2. *Let A, B be subgroups of a group G . Let $g \in G$. Then:*

- (1) $\text{Comm}_G(gAg^{-1}) = g\text{Comm}_G(A)g^{-1}$.
- (2) *If A is a finite-index subgroup of B , then $\text{Comm}_G(A) = \text{Comm}_G(B)$.*

Definition 4.3. A group pair (G, \mathcal{A}) is *reducible* if every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is finite-index in $\text{Comm}_G(A)$, and it is *reduced* if $A = \text{Comm}_G(A)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and subgroups in \mathcal{A} are pairwise non-conjugate.

Every reduced group pair is reducible. For the remainder of this article, we write $\text{Comm}_{\text{Mod}(S)}(K)$ as $\text{Comm}(K)$ for simplicity. Recall that P_1, \dots, P_n are representatives of $\text{Mod}(S)$ -action on the set of maximal simplices of $C(S)$, and $H_i = \langle T_a \mid a \in P_i \rangle$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Each H_i is a free abelian group of rank $3g - 3$, where $g \geq 2$ is the genus of the surface S .

Proposition 4.4. *For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have $\text{Comm}(H_i) = \text{Stab}(P_i)$. Consequently, $(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$ is reduced, and so reducible.*

Proof. Let $h \in \text{Stab}(P_i)$. Then $h(P_i) = P_i$, so $hH_ih^{-1} = H_i$ by Lemma 2.2(3). It follows that $h \in \text{Comm}(H_i)$. Conversely, let $h \in \text{Comm}(H_i)$. Then hH_ih^{-1} and H_i are commensurable and are both free abelian groups of rank $3g - 3$ by Lemma 2.2(3), so $hH_ih^{-1} \cap H_i$ is a free abelian group of rank $3g - 3$. Then by Lemma 2.5, $hH_ih^{-1} = H_i$, so $h(P_i) = P_i$, i.e. $h \in \text{Stab}(P_i)$.

By Lemma 2.4, H_i is a finite-index subgroup of $\text{Stab}(P_i)$, so $\text{Comm}(H_i) = \text{Comm}(\text{Stab}(P_i)) = \text{Stab}(P_i)$ by Proposition 4.2(2). \square

We also need the definition of virtually isomorphic group pairs.

Definition 4.5 ([AMP25, Definition 2.8]). Let (G, \mathcal{A}) and (K, \mathcal{B}) be two group pairs. We say that (G, \mathcal{A}) and (K, \mathcal{B}) are *virtually isomorphic* if one can be transformed to another by a finite sequence of the following operations and their inverses:

- (1) Substitute \mathcal{A} with a finite collection \mathcal{B} such that each $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is commensurable to a conjugate of $A \in \mathcal{A}$, and vice versa.
- (2) Substitute (G, \mathcal{A}) with $(G/N, \{AN/N \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\})$, where N is a finite normal subgroup of G .
- (3) Substitute (G, \mathcal{A}) with (K, \mathcal{B}) , where K is a finite-index subgroup of G , and \mathcal{B} is given by: if $\{g_i A_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a collection of representatives of the orbits of the action of K on G/\mathcal{A} by left multiplication, then $\mathcal{B} = \{g_i A_i g_i^{-1} \cap K \mid i \in I\}$.

A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between metric spaces is a (λ, μ, C) -quasi-isometry for $\lambda \geq 1, \mu, C \geq 0$ if for every $a, b \in X$, $\frac{1}{\lambda}d_X(x, y) - \mu \leq d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \leq \lambda d_X(x, y) + \mu$, and for every $y \in Y$, there is $x \in X$ with $d_Y(f(x), y) \leq C$.

A (λ, μ, C, M) -quasi-isometry of group pairs $q: (G, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (K, \mathcal{B})$ is a (λ, μ, C) -quasi-isometry $q: G \rightarrow K$ such that for every $gA \in G/\mathcal{A}$ there is $kB \in K/\mathcal{B}$ such that $\text{hdist}(q(gA), kB) < M$, where $\text{hdist}(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the Hausdorff distance in K , and the quasi-inverse of q has the analogous property: see [MPSSn22, Section 2]. This gives an equivalence relation in the class of group pairs.

Proposition 4.6 ([AMP25, Proposition 2.9]). *If two group pairs are virtually isomorphic, then they are quasi-isometric group pairs.*

The following important result is due, independently, to Behrstock–Kleiner–Minsky–Mosher [BKMM12] and Hamenstädt [Ham07].

Theorem 4.7 ([BKMM12, Theorem 1.2]). *Let K be a finitely generated group quasi-isometric to $\text{Mod}^\pm(S)$. Then there is a group homomorphism $K \rightarrow \text{Mod}^\pm(S)$ with finite kernel and finite-index image.*

A corollary of this result that we will use is the following.

Corollary 4.8. *Let H be a finitely generated group quasi-isometric to $\text{Mod}(S)$. Then there is a finite collection Q of subgroups of H such that (H, Q) is quasi-isometric to $(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$.*

Proof. We first show that $(\text{Mod}^\pm(S), \mathcal{T})$ is virtually isomorphic to $(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$ using items (1) and (2) of Definition 4.5. Let $\{h_i \text{Stab}(P_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be representatives of $\text{Mod}(S)$ -action on $\text{Mod}^\pm(S)/\mathcal{T}$. Since $g_i \text{Stab}(P_i)g_i^{-1} \subseteq \text{Mod}(S)$, $(\text{Mod}^\pm(S), \mathcal{T})$ is virtually isomorphic to $(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{R})$, where $\mathcal{R} = \{h_i \text{Stab}(P_i)h_i^{-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, there is $f_i \in \text{Mod}(S)$ such that $f_i(P_i) = h_i(P_i)$, so $f_i \text{Stab}(P_i)f_i^{-1} = h_i \text{Stab}(P_i)h_i^{-1}$. It follows that $(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{R})$ is virtually isomorphic to $(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$.

We next prove that $(\text{Mod}^\pm(S), \mathcal{T})$ is virtually isomorphic to a group pair (H, \mathcal{A}) by applying items (2) and (3) of Definition 4.5. By Theorem 4.7, there is a group homomorphism $f: H \rightarrow \text{Mod}^\pm(S)$ such that $\ker(f)$ is finite and $f(H)$ is finite-index in $\text{Mod}^\pm(S)$. Let $\{g_i \text{Stab}(P_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be representatives of $f(H)$ -action on $\text{Mod}^\pm(S)/\mathcal{T}$, and set $\mathcal{B} = \{g_i \text{Stab}(P_i)g_i^{-1} \cap H \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Then $(f(H), \mathcal{B})$ is virtually isomorphic to $(\text{Mod}^\pm(S), \mathcal{T})$. Note that $(f(H), \mathcal{B})$ is quasi-isometric to $(H/\ker(f), \{f^{-1}(B)/\ker(f) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\})$, and the latter pair is virtually isomorphic to (H, \mathcal{A}) where $\mathcal{A} = \{f^{-1}(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\}$.

Finally, Proposition 4.6 implies that $(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$ is quasi-isometric to (H, \mathcal{A}) . \square

We also need the following three results for the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 4.9 ([AMP25, Theorem 3.9]). *Let (G, \mathcal{A}) and (K, \mathcal{B}) be two quasi-isometric group pairs such that (G, \mathcal{A}) is reducible. Then (K, \mathcal{B}) is also reducible.*

Definition 4.10 ([AMP25, Definition 3.17]). Let \mathcal{A} be a finite collection of subgroups of a group G . A refinement of \mathcal{A} , denoted by \mathcal{A}^* , is a set of representatives of conjugacy classes of the collection $\{\text{Comm}_G(gAg^{-1}) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}, g \in G\}$.

Proposition 4.11 ([AMP25, Remark 3.18]). *Let (G, \mathcal{A}) be a reducible group pair. Then (G, \mathcal{A}^*) is reduced and (G, \mathcal{A}) is virtually isomorphic to (G, \mathcal{A}^*) .*

Proposition 4.12 ([AMP25, Proposition 4.9(3)]). *Let $q: (G, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (K, \mathcal{B})$ be a quasi-isometry of group pairs. If both (G, \mathcal{A}) and (K, \mathcal{B}) are reduced, then q induces a simplicial isomorphism $\dot{q}: \mathcal{K}(G, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(K, \mathcal{B})$.*

Theorem 4.13. *Let H be a finitely generated group quasi-isometric to $\text{Mod}(S)$. Then there is a finite collection \mathcal{R} of subgroups of H such that $(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$ is quasi-isometric to (H, \mathcal{R}) . Moreover, the coset intersection complexes $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$ and $\mathcal{K}(H, \mathcal{R})$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.8, there is a collection Q of subgroups of H such that (H, Q) is quasi-isometric to $(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$, which is reducible by Proposition 4.4. Then Theorem 4.9 implies that (H, Q) is reducible, so it is quasi-isometric to (H, Q^*) by Propositions 4.6 and 4.11. Therefore, $(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$ and (H, Q^*) are quasi-isometric and reduced by Propositions 4.4 and 4.11, so $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$ and $\mathcal{K}(H, Q^*)$ are isomorphic by Proposition 4.12. Setting $\mathcal{R} = Q^*$ completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Theorem 4.13 provides a group pair (H, \mathcal{R}) such that $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}(H, \mathcal{R})$, so they are both isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}(C(S))$ by Theorem 1.4(1). Moreover, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T}))$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{K}(H, \mathcal{R}))$ are isomorphic, so they are both isomorphic to $C(S)$ by Theorem 1.4(2). \square

5. QUASI-ISOMETRY AND HOMOTOPY EQUIVALENCE

We prove Theorem 1.9 in this section. We first recall the following classical result.

Theorem 5.1 (Nerve theorem, [Bjö81, Lemma 1.1]). *Let X be a simplicial complex. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a cover for X such that every finite intersection of elements in \mathcal{U} is either empty or contractible. Then X is homotopy equivalent to the nerve of \mathcal{U} .*

Corollary 5.2. *The curve complex $C(S)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$.*

Proof. Recall that \mathcal{P} denotes the collection of all maximal simplices of $C(S)$. The intersection of any two elements in \mathcal{P} is either empty or a simplex, so every finite intersection of elements in \mathcal{P} is either empty or a simplex. By the nerve theorem, the curve complex $C(S)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{N}(C(S))$. Then the corollary follows from Theorem 1.4(1). \square

Proposition 5.3. *The curve complex $C(S)$ is quasi-isometric to $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$.*

Proof. Denote the barycentric subdivision of a simplicial complex X as $\text{bsd}(X)$. Since $C(S)$ is connected, by Corollary 5.2, $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$ is also connected. Following Bridson [Bri91], it suffices to show that $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})^{[1]}$ and $\text{bsd}(C(S))^{[1]}$ are quasi-isometric. We regard $\text{bsd}(C(S))^{[1]}$ and $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})^{[1]}$ as geodesic metric spaces with metrics d_C and $d_{\mathcal{T}}$, where each edge is regarded as a segment of length one.

Recall that P_1, \dots, P_n are representatives of the $\text{Mod}(S)$ -orbits of the action of $\text{Mod}(S)$ on the set \mathcal{P} of maximal simplices of $C(S)$. Define $\Phi: \mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})^{[0]} \rightarrow \text{bsd}(C(S))^{[0]}$ by mapping the vertex $g \text{Stab}(P_i)$ to the barycentre of the simplex $g(P_i)$ in $C(S)$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$. We will show the following four properties, then one can verify that Φ defines a quasi-isometry $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})^{[1]} \rightarrow \text{bsd}(C(S))^{[1]}$:

- (1) Φ is injective.
- (2) For each vertex α of $\text{bsd}(C(S))$, there is a vertex gG of $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$ such that $d_C(\alpha, \Phi(gG)) \leq 1$.
- (3) If two vertices $g \text{Stab}(P_i)$ and $f \text{Stab}(P_j)$ are adjacent in $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$, then we have $d_C(\Phi(g \text{Stab}(P_i)), \Phi(f \text{Stab}(P_j))) \leq 2$.
- (4) For each pair $g \text{Stab}(P_i)$ and $f \text{Stab}(P_j)$ of vertices of $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$ such that the distance $d_C(\Phi(g \text{Stab}(P_i)), \Phi(f \text{Stab}(P_j)))$ is at most two, then $d_{\mathcal{T}}(g \text{Stab}(P_i), f \text{Stab}(P_j)) \leq 1$.

To prove (1), let $\Phi(g \text{Stab}(P_i)) = \Phi(h \text{Stab}(P_j))$. Then $g(P_i)$ and $h(P_j)$ are maximal simplices in $C(S)$ with the same barycentre, so $g(P_i) = h(P_j)$. By definition of P_i and P_j , $i = j$, so $g^{-1}h \in \text{Stab}(P_i)$. Hence $g \text{Stab}(P_i) = h \text{Stab}(P_j)$.

For (2), if α is a barycentre of some maximal simplex τ of $C(S)$, then there are $x \in \text{Mod}(S)$ and $Q \in \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ such that $x(Q) = \tau$, so $\Phi(x \text{Stab}(Q)) = x(Q)$. This means $\text{Im}(\Phi)$ is the set of all barycentres of maximal simplices in $C(S)$. If $\alpha \in \text{bsd}(C(S))^{[0]} - \text{Im}(\Phi)$, then there is a barycentre of some maximal simplex of $C(S)$ that is adjacent to α , so $d_C(\alpha, \Phi(yR)) \leq 1$ for some vertex yR of $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$.

To show (3), let $g \text{Stab}(P_i)$ and $f \text{Stab}(P_j)$ be adjacent vertices in $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$. Then $d_C(\Phi(g \text{Stab}(P_i)), \Phi(f \text{Stab}(P_j))) \leq 2$ since, by Lemma 2.5, there is a vertex $\gamma \in g(P_i) \cap f(P_j)$, and therefore there is a path of length two in $\text{bsd}(C(S))$ between $\Phi(g \text{Stab}(P_i))$ and $\Phi(f \text{Stab}(P_j))$.

For (4), let $g \text{Stab}(P_i)$ and $f \text{Stab}(P_j)$ be vertices of $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$ such that the distance between $\Phi(g \text{Stab}(P_i))$ and $\Phi(f \text{Stab}(P_j))$ in $\text{bsd}(C(S))$ is at most two. Since $\Phi(g \text{Stab}(P_i))$ and $\Phi(f \text{Stab}(P_j))$ are barycentres of maximal simplices in $C(S)$, their distance is either zero or two. If the distance is zero, then $f \text{Stab}(P_i) = g \text{Stab}(P_j)$ by injectivity of Φ . If the distance is two, then there is a vertex $\beta \in g(P_i) \cap f(P_j)$, so Lemma 2.5 implies that $\{g \text{Stab}(P_i), f \text{Stab}(P_j)\}$ is a simplex in $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$. This concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 5.4. *The curve complex $C(S)$ is quasi-isometric and homotopy equivalent to the coset intersection complex $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. Corollary 5.2 and Proposition 5.3 shows that $C(S)$ is quasi-isometric and homotopy equivalent to $(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$. By Proposition 4.4, $\text{Comm}(H_i) = \text{Stab}(P_i)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, so $(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{H})$ and $(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$ are virtually isomorphic. It follows from Proposition 4.6 that these two group pairs are quasi-isometric, and hence $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{T})$ are quasi-isometric and homotopy equivalent by Theorem 5.5 below. \square

Theorem 5.5 ([AMP25, Theorem 1.4]). *Let $q: (G, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (H, \mathcal{B})$ be a quasi-isometry of group pairs. Then q induces a map $\dot{q}: \mathcal{K}(G, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(H, \mathcal{B})$ that is a quasi-isometry and homotopy equivalent simplicial map.*

Proof of Theorem 1.9. This follows from Corollary 5.2, Propositions 5.3 and 5.4. \square

6. CURVE COMPLEX AS A SUBCOMPLEX OF COSET INTERSECTION COMPLEX

We have shown that the nerve of the curve complex is isomorphic to a coset intersection complex, so it is natural to consider the following question.

Question 6.1. *Is the curve complex $C(S)$ isomorphic, as a simplicial complex, to a coset intersection complex of some group pair about $\text{Mod}(S)$?*

Whilst we are unable to answer the question above, we construct a coset intersection complex that contains the curve complex as a subcomplex, see Theorem 6.3 below.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $a \in C^{[0]}(S)$. Then $\text{Comm}(\langle T_a \rangle) = \text{Stab}(a)$.*

Proof. Let $g \in \text{Comm}(\langle T_a \rangle)$. Then $g\langle T_a \rangle g^{-1} \cap \langle T_a \rangle$ is finite-index in both $g\langle T_a \rangle g^{-1} = \langle T_{g(a)} \rangle$ and $\langle T_a \rangle$, so Lemma 2.5 implies that $g(a) = a$, i.e. $g \in \text{Stab}(a)$. Conversely, let $g \in \text{Stab}(a)$. Then $g(a) = a$, so $g\langle T_a \rangle g^{-1} = \langle T_{g(a)} \rangle = \langle T_a \rangle$, which implies that $g \in \text{Comm}(\langle T_a \rangle)$. \square

The action of $\text{Mod}(S)$ on the vertex set $C^{[0]}(S)$ of curve complex has finitely many orbits, say m . Let b_1, \dots, b_m be representatives of these orbits.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\text{Comm}(\langle T_{b_i} \rangle) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. Then there is a $\text{Mod}(S)$ -equivariant simplicial embedding $C(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{B})$ such that $C^{[0]}(S)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Mod}(S)/\mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. Define $f: C^{[0]}(S) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(S)/\mathcal{B}$ by $f(g(b_i)) = g \text{Comm}(\langle T_{b_i} \rangle)$. This map is $\text{Mod}(S)$ -equivariant and surjective. To show f is injective, suppose that $a \text{Comm}(\langle T_x \rangle) = b \text{Comm}(\langle T_y \rangle)$ where $a, b \in \text{Mod}(S)$ and $x, y \in \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$. Then $b^{-1}a \text{Comm}(\langle T_x \rangle) = \text{Comm}(\langle T_y \rangle)$. Since $\text{Comm}(\langle T_y \rangle)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Mod}(S)$, $b^{-1}a \in \text{Comm}(\langle T_x \rangle)$, so $\text{Comm}(\langle T_x \rangle) = \text{Comm}(\langle T_y \rangle)$. Then Lemma 6.2 implies that $\text{Stab}(x) = \text{Stab}(y)$. Note that $T_x \in \text{Stab}(x)$, so $T_x(y) = y$, which implies that $i(x, y) = 0$ by Lemma 2.2(4). If $x \neq y$, then there is $z \in C^{[0]}(S)$ such that $i(x, z) = 0$ and $i(y, z) > 0$, see Lemma 3.11, and hence $T_z \in \text{Stab}(x)$ and $T_z \notin \text{Stab}(y)$, a contradiction. This means $x = y$, so $b^{-1}a(x) = x = y$, and it follows that $a(x) = b(y)$. This shows that f is injective.

It remains to prove that f defines a simplicial map. Let $\{g_0(a_0), \dots, g_k(a_k)\}$ be a k -simplex of $C(S)$ where each $a_i \in \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ and $g_i \in \text{Mod}(S)$. For every $0 \leq i, j \leq k$, if $i \neq j$ then

$$T_{g_i(a_i)} \in \text{Stab}(g_j(a_j)) = \text{Comm}(\langle T_{g_j(a_j)} \rangle) = \text{Comm}(g_j \langle T_{a_j} \rangle g_j^{-1}) = g_j \text{Comm}(\langle T_{a_j} \rangle) g_j^{-1}$$

by Lemma 2.2(3)(4) and Proposition 4.2(1), so $\langle T_{g_i(a_i)} \mid 0 \leq i \leq k \rangle \subseteq \bigcap_{i=0}^k g_i \text{Comm}(\langle T_{a_i} \rangle) g_i^{-1}$. Hence $\{g_i \text{Comm}(\langle T_{a_i} \rangle) \mid 0 \leq i \leq k\}$ is a simplex in $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{B})$. \square

Remark 6.4. The map f in the proof of Theorem 6.3 does not define a simplicial isomorphism. The reason is as follows. Let $g(x), h(y) \in C^{[0]}(S)$ such that $i(g(x), h(y)) = 1$, where $g, h \in \text{Mod}(S)$ and $x, y \in \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$. Since S is a surface of genus at least two, there is $z \in C^{[0]}(S)$ such that $i(g(x), z) = i(h(y), z) = 0$ (see, for instance, the proof of [FM12, Theorem 4.3]), so $\langle T_z \rangle \in g \text{Comm}(\langle T_x \rangle) g^{-1} \cap h \text{Comm}(\langle T_y \rangle) h^{-1}$ by a similar argument above. This means $\{g \text{Comm}(\langle T_x \rangle), h \text{Comm}(\langle T_y \rangle)\}$ is a 1-simplex in $\mathcal{K}(\text{Mod}(S), \mathcal{B})$, but $\{g(x), h(y)\}$ is not a simplex in $C(S)$.

REFERENCES

- [AMP25] Carolyn Abbott and Eduardo Martínez-Pedroza. The quasi-isometry invariance of the coset intersection complex, 2025. To appear in *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* arXiv: 2404.16628.
- [BCM12] Jeffrey F. Brock, Richard D. Canary, and Yair N. Minsky. The classification of Kleinian surface groups, II: The ending lamination conjecture. *Ann. of Math.* (2), 176(1):1–149, 2012. doi:10.4007/annals.2012.176.1.1.

- [BDT19] Mark C. Bell, Valentina Disarlo, and Robert Tang. Cubical geometry in the polygona lisation complex. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 167(1):1–22, 2019. doi:10.1017/s0305004118000130.
- [BF06] Jeffrey Brock and Benson Farb. Curvature and rank of Teichmüller space. *Amer. J. Math.*, 128(1):1–22, 2006. URL: <https://muse.jhu.edu/article/192500/pdf>.
- [Bjö81] Anders Björner. Homotopy type of posets and lattice complementation. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 30(1):90–100, 1981. doi:10.1016/0097-3165(81)90042-X.
- [BKMM12] Jason Behrstock, Bruce Kleiner, Yair Minsky, and Lee Mosher. Geometry and rigidity of mapping class groups. *Geom. Topol.*, 16(2):781–888, 2012. doi:10.2140/gt.2012.16.781.
- [BM04] Tara E. Brendle and Dan Margalit. Commensurations of the Johnson kernel. *Geom. Topol.*, 8:1361–1384, 2004. doi:10.2140/gt.2004.8.1361.
- [BM19] Tara E. Brendle and Dan Margalit. Normal subgroups of mapping class groups and the metaconjecture of Ivanov. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 32(4):1009–1070, 2019. doi:10.1090/jams/927.
- [Bri91] Martin R. Bridson. Geodesics and curvature in metric simplicial complexes. In *Group theory from a geometrical viewpoint (Trieste, 1990)*, pages 373–463. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1991.
- [CM13] Greg Conner and Michael Mihalik. Commensurated subgroups and ends of groups. *J. Group Theory*, 16(1):107–139, 2013. doi:10.1515/jgt-2012-0035.
- [DKdLNG23] Valentina Disarlo, Thomas Koberda, and J. de la Nuez González. The model theory of the curve graph, 2023. arXiv:2008.10490.
- [FM12] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit. *A primer on mapping class groups*, volume 49 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.
- [Ham07] Ursula Hamenstädt. Geometry of the mapping class groups iii: Quasi-isometric rigidity, 2007. arXiv:math/0512429.
- [Har81] W. J. Harvey. Boundary structure of the modular group. In *Riemann surfaces and related topics: Proceedings of the 1978 Stony Brook Conference (State Univ. New York, Stony Brook, N.Y., 1978)*, volume No. 97 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 245–251. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1981.
- [HT80] A. Hatcher and W. Thurston. A presentation for the mapping class group of a closed orientable surface. *Topology*, 19(3):221–237, 1980. doi:10.1016/0040-9383(80)90009-9.
- [IK07] Elmas Irmak and Mustafa Korkmaz. Automorphisms of the Hatcher-Thurston complex. *Israel J. Math.*, 162:183–196, 2007. doi:10.1007/s11856-007-0094-7.
- [IM10] Elmas Irmak and John D. McCarthy. Injective simplicial maps of the arc complex. *Turkish J. Math.*, 34(3):339–354, 2010.
- [Irm06] Elmas Irmak. Complexes of nonseparating curves and mapping class groups. *Michigan Math. J.*, 54(1):81–110, 2006. doi:10.1307/mmj/1144437439.
- [Iva97] Nikolai V. Ivanov. Automorphism of complexes of curves and of Teichmüller spaces. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (14):651–666, 1997. doi:10.1155/S1073792897000433.
- [Iva06] Nikolai V. Ivanov. Fifteen problems about the mapping class groups. In *Problems on mapping class groups and related topics*, volume 74 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 71–80. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006. doi:10.1090/pspum/074/2264532.
- [Kid11] Yoshikata Kida. Automorphisms of the Torelli complex and the complex of separating curves. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 63(2):363–417, 2011. URL: <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.jmsj/1303737792>.
- [KK14] Sang-Hyun Kim and Thomas Koberda. The geometry of the curve graph of a right-angled Artin group. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 24(2):121–169, 2014. doi:10.1142/S021819671450009X.

- [Kor99] Mustafa Korkmaz. Automorphisms of complexes of curves on punctured spheres and on punctured tori. *Topology Appl.*, 95(2):85–111, 1999. doi:[10.1016/S0166-8641\(97\)00278-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-8641(97)00278-2).
- [KP10] Mustafa Korkmaz and Athanase Papadopoulos. On the arc and curve complex of a surface. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 148(3):473–483, 2010. doi:[10.1017/S0305004109990387](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004109990387).
- [KP12] Mustafa Korkmaz and Athanase Papadopoulos. On the ideal triangulation graph of a punctured surface. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 62(4):1367–1382, 2012. doi:[10.5802/aif.2725](https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.2725).
- [Luo00] Feng Luo. Automorphisms of the complex of curves. *Topology*, 39(2):283–298, 2000. doi:[10.1016/S0040-9383\(99\)00008-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-9383(99)00008-7).
- [Mar04] Dan Margalit. Automorphisms of the pants complex. *Duke Math. J.*, 121(3):457–479, 2004. doi:[10.1215/S0012-7094-04-12133-5](https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-04-12133-5).
- [Min10] Yair Minsky. The classification of Kleinian surface groups. I. Models and bounds. *Ann. of Math.* (2), 171(1):1–107, 2010. doi:[10.4007/annals.2010.171.1](https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2010.171.1).
- [MP12] John D. McCarthy and Athanase Papadopoulos. Simplicial actions of mapping class groups. In *Handbook of Teichmüller theory. Volume III*, volume 17 of *IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys.*, pages 297–423. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2012. doi:[10.4171/103-1/6](https://doi.org/10.4171/103-1/6).
- [MPSSn22] Eduardo Martínez-Pedroza and Luis Jorge Sánchez Saldaña. Quasi-isometric rigidity of subgroups and filtered ends. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 22(6):3023–3057, 2022. doi:[10.2140/agt.2022.22.3023](https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2022.22.3023).
- [SS00] Paul Schmutz Schaller. Mapping class groups of hyperbolic surfaces and automorphism groups of graphs. *Compositio Math.*, 122(3):243–260, 2000. doi:[10.1023/A:1002672721132](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1002672721132).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY OF NEWFOUNDLAND, ST JOHN'S, NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR, CANADA

Email address: haoyangh@mun.ca, emartinezped@mun.ca