

Correlation


When two sets of data are strongly linked together we say they have a **High Correlation**.



The word Correlation is made of Co- (meaning "together"), and Relation

- Correlation is Positive when the values increase together, and
- Correlation is **Negative** when one value **decreases** as the other increases

A correlation is assumed to be **linear** (following a line).



Correlation can have a value:

- 1 is a perfect positive correlation
- 0 is no correlation (the values don't seem linked at all)
- -1 is a perfect negative correlation

The value shows **how good the correlation is** (not how steep the line is), and if it is positive or negative.


Example: Ice Cream Sales

The local ice cream shop keeps track of how much ice cream they sell versus the temperature on that day, here are their figures for the last 12 days:

Ice Cream Sales vs Temperature	
Temperature °C	Ice Cream Sales
14.2°	\$215

	Correlation
16.4°	\$325
11.9°	\$185
15.2°	\$332
18.5°	\$406
22.1°	\$522
19.4°	\$412
25.1°	\$614
23.4°	\$544
18.1°	\$421
22.6°	\$445
17.2°	\$408

And here is the same data as a Scatter Plot:



We can easily see that warmer weather and higher sales go together. The relationship is good but not perfect.

In fact the correlation is **0.9575** ... see at the end how I calculated it.

Also try the **Correlation Calculator**.


Correlation Is Not Good at Curves

The correlation calculation only works properly for straight line relationships.

Our Ice Cream Example: there has been a heat wave!

It gets so hot that people aren't going near the shop, and sales start dropping.

Here is the latest graph:



The correlation value is now 0: "No Correlation" ...!

The **calculated** correlation value is **0** (I worked it out), which means "no correlation".

But we can see the data follows a nice **curve** that reaches a peak around 25° C.

But the correlation calculation is not "smart" enough to see this.

Moral of the story: make a <u>Scatter Plot</u>, and look at it! You may see a relationship that the calculation does not.

"Correlation Is Not Causation"

A common saying is "Correlation Is Not Causation".

What it **really** means is that a correlation does **not prove** one thing causes the other:

One thing might cause the other


• The other **might** cause the first to happen

- They may be linked by a different thing
- Or it could be random chance!

There can be many reasons the data has a good correlation.

Example: Sunglasses vs Ice Cream

Our Ice Cream shop finds how many sunglasses were sold by a big store for each day and compares them to their ice cream sales:



The correlation between Sunglasses and Ice Cream sales is high

Does this mean that sunglasses make people want ice cream?

Example: Poor suburbs are more likely to have high pollution.

Why?

- Do poor people make pollution?
- Are polluted suburbs the only place poor people can afford?
- Is it a common link, such as factories with low paying jobs and lots of pollution?


Example: A Real Case!

A few years ago a survey of employees found a strong positive correlation between "Studying an external course" and Sick Days.

Does this mean:

- Studying makes them sick?
- Sick people study a lot?
- Or did they lie about being sick so they can study more?

Without further research we can't be sure why.



How To Calculate

How did I calculate the value **0.9575** at the top?


I used "Pearson's Correlation". There is software that can calculate it, such as the CORREL() function in Excel or LibreOffice Calc ...

... but here is how to calculate it yourself:

Let us call the two sets of data "x" and "y" (in our case Temperature is \mathbf{x} and Ice Cream Sales is \mathbf{y}):

- Step 1: Find the mean of x, and the mean of y
- Step 2: Subtract the mean of x from every x value (call them "a"), and subtract the mean of y from every y value (call them "b")
- Step 3: Calculate: **ab**, **a**² and **b**² for every value
- Step 4: Sum up ab, sum up a^2 and sum up b^2
- Step 5: Divide the sum of ab by the square root of [(sum of a^2) × (sum of b^2)]

Here is how I calculated the first Ice Cream example (values rounded to 1 or 0 decimal places):



$$\frac{5,325}{\sqrt{177.0 \times 174,757}} = 0.9575$$

As a formula it is:

$$r_{xy} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2}}$$

Where:

- Σ is <u>Sigma</u>, the symbol for "sum up"
- ullet $(x_i ar{x})$ is each x-value minus the mean of x (called "a" above)
- ullet $(y_i ar{y})$ is each y-value minus the mean of y (called "b" above)

You probably won't have to calculate it like that, but at least you know it is not "magic", but simply a routine set of calculations.

Note for Programmers

You can calculate it in one pass through the data. Just sum up x, y, x^2 , y^2 and xy (no need for a or b calculations above) then use the formula:

$$r_{xy} = \frac{n \sum x_i y_i - \sum x_i \sum y_i}{\sqrt{n \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2} \sqrt{n \sum y_i^2 - (\sum y_i)^2}}$$

Other Methods

There are other ways to calculate a correlation coefficient, such as "Spearman's rank correlation coefficient".



<u>Question 1 Question 2 Question 3 Question 4 Question 5 Question 6</u> <u>Question 7 Question 8 Question 9 Question 10</u>

Copyright © 2018 MathsIsFun.com