Study	TE	seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Di et al.	-0.97	0.5039		-0.97	[-1.96; 0.02]	2.5%
Babacic et al.	-0.49	0.4445		-0.49	[-1.36; 0.38]	3.2%
Shen et al.	-0.33	0.2408		-0.33	[-0.80; 0.14]	10.8%
Geyer et al.	-0.22	0.2628		-0.22	[-0.73; 0.30]	9.1%
Sullivan et al.	-0.21	0.2158		-0.21	[-0.63; 0.21]	13.4%
Overmyer et al.	-0.12	0.2166	- i	-0.12	[-0.55; 0.30]	13.3%
Messner-Discovery et al.	-0.08	0.2120	- 11	-0.08	[-0.50; 0.34]	13.9%
Suvarna et al.	0.05	0.2815	- : •	0.05	[-0.51; 0.60]	7.9%
Zhang et al.	0.05	0.2621		0.05	[-0.46; 0.56]	9.1%
Messner-Validation et al.	0.19	0.2181	-	0.19	[-0.24; 0.61]	13.2%
Shu et al.	0.33	0.4152		0.33	[-0.48; 1.14]	3.6%
Random effects model				-0.11	[-0.26; 0.05]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-0.28; 0.07]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, $p =$	0.58					
			–1 0 1			

Standardised Mean