Study	TE seT		ndardised Differenc		SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Babacic et al.	-0.81 0.453	6 —			-0.81	[-1.70; 0.08]	11.7%
Di et al.	-0.36 0.461	2 —	- +		-0.36	[-1.27; 0.54]	11.5%
Sullivan et al.	-0.26 0.216	0			-0.26	[-0.68; 0.17]	19.7%
Shen et al.	0.29 0.240	4	-	_	0.29	[-0.18; 0.76]	18.8%
Overmyer et al.	0.44 0.218	2	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0.44	[0.02; 0.87]	19.6%
Geyer et al.	0.87 0.706	7	- :		- 0.87	[-0.52; 2.25]	6.6%
Shu et al.	0.96 0.438	8	<u>:</u>	+	0.96	[0.10; 1.82]	12.1%
Random effects model					0.13	[-0.29; 0.55]	100.0%
Prediction interval						[-1.10; 1.36]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 62^\circ$	%, $p = 0.01$						
		-2 -	1 0	1 2			