Study	TE seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Shu et al.	-2.89 0.5633 —	-	-2.89	[-3.99; -1.78]	9.5%
Babacic et al.	-1.73 0.5020		-1.73	[-2.72; -0.75]	10.1%
Geyer et al.	-0.85 0.1932		-0.85	[-1.23; -0.47]	13.0%
Overmyer et al.	-0.83 0.2226	-	-0.83	[-1.27; -0.39]	12.8%
Shen et al.	-0.80 0.2488	-	-0.80	[-1.29; -0.32]	12.6%
Sullivan et al.	-0.66 0.2203	-	-0.66	[-1.09; -0.23]	12.9%
Di et al.	0.30 0.7353	-	0.30	[-1.14; 1.74]	7.8%
Zhang et al.	0.33 0.2409	-	0.33	[-0.15; 0.80]	12.7%
Suvarna et al.	0.41 0.6639	-	0.41	[-0.89; 1.71]	8.5%
Random effects model			-0.75	[–1.37; –0.14]	100.0%
Prediction interval				[-2.89; 1.38]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 81\%$ , $p < 0.01$		1 1			
		-2 0 2			

**Standardised Mean**