Study	TE	seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Zhang et al.		0.2139			[-1.18; -0.34]	10.7%
Shu et al.		0.4188	•		[-1.35; 0.29]	5.3%
Di et al.	-0.35	0.3814		-0.35	[-1.10; 0.40]	6.0%
Messner-Validation et a	ıl. –0.20	0.2182		-0.20	[-0.62; 0.23]	10.6%
Sullivan et al.	-0.19	0.2157	 	-0.19	[-0.62; 0.23]	10.7%
Messner-Discovery et a	al. –0.17	0.2107		-0.17	[-0.59; 0.24]	10.9%
Geyer et al.	-0.07	0.1900		-0.07	[-0.45; 0.30]	11.6%
Suvarna et al.	0.26	0.2648		0.26	[-0.26; 0.78]	9.0%
Babacic et al.	0.30	0.4411		0.30	[-0.57; 1.16]	4.9%
Overmyer et al.	0.30	0.2172	+ -	0.30	[-0.13; 0.73]	10.6%
Shen et al.	0.45	0.2422	-	0.45	[-0.03; 0.92]	9.7%
Random effects mode				-0.09	[-0.31; 0.14]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-0.77; 0.60]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 56\%$, I	0 = 0.01					
-			-1 -05 0 05 1			

Standardised Mean Difference