Study	TE	seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Sullivan et al.	-0.26	0.2161		-0.26	[-0.69; 0.16]	12.7%
Geyer et al.	0.12	0.3277		0.12	[-0.53; 0.76]	10.3%
Suvarna et al.	0.19	0.2651	- • ·	0.19	[-0.33; 0.71]	11.6%
Messner-Validation et al.	0.26	0.2186	+	0.26	[-0.17; 0.69]	12.6%
Babacic et al.	0.27	0.4408	- • - 	0.27	[-0.60; 1.13]	8.1%
Overmyer et al.	0.69	0.2206	- -	0.69	[0.25; 1.12]	12.6%
Shen et al.	0.93	0.2519	<u> </u>	0.93	[0.43; 1.42]	11.9%
Messner-Discovery et al.	1.20	0.2319		1.20	[0.75; 1.66]	12.4%
Shu et al.	1.37	0.4509	-	- 1.37	[0.48; 2.25]	7.9%
Random effects model				0.51	[0.15; 0.86]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-0.66; 1.67]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 76\%$, p	< 0.01					

Standardised Mean

-2 -1 0 1 2