Feng et al.	-0.0318	0.5774		-0.03 [-1.16; 1.10]	5.9%
Shen et al.	-0.0296	0.2392	- •	-0.03 [-0.50; 0.44]	18.2%
Geyer et al.	0.2946	0.3084		0.29 [-0.31; 0.90]	14.1%
Shu-Validation et al.	0.3034	0.4995		0.30 [-0.68; 1.28]	7.4%
Byeon et al.	0.3924	0.3962	- •	0.39 [-0.38; 1.17]	10.3%
Ciccosanti et al.	0.7460	0.3997	+	0.75 [-0.04; 1.53]	10.2%
Babacic et al.	0.7906	0.4530	+ : •	0.79 [-0.10; 1.68]	8.5%

-1.5 -1 -0.5 0

0.5

SMD SE(SMD)

0.2803

0.4131

-0.5282

-0.1108

Study

Suvarna et al.

Shu-Discovery et al.

Random effects model

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 31\%$, p = 0.17

Prediction interval

Standardised Mean

Difference

SMD

-0.53 [-1.08; 0.02]

-0.11 [-0.92; 0.70]

0.15 [-0.16; 0.45] 100.0%

[-0.59; 0.89]

95%-CI Weight

15.6%

9.8%