Shu–Validation et al.	-1.1947	0.5262 -		-1.19 [-2.23; -0.16]	6.8%
Babacic et al.	-1.0150	0.4617		-1.02 [-1.92; -0.11]	7.8%
Messner-Discovery et al.	-0.7689	0.2342		-0.77 [-1.23; -0.31]	12.6%
Shu–Discovery et al.	-0.5156	0.4185		-0.52 [-1.34; 0.30]	8.6%
Byeon et al.	-0.4451	0.3126		-0.45 [-1.06; 0.17]	10.8%
Sullivan et al.	-0.0668	0.2153	- - - - - - - - - -	-0.07 [-0.49; 0.36]	13.1%
Tepasse et al.	0.1184	0.4107		0.12 [-0.69; 0.92]	8.8%
Feng et al.	0.3676	0.5830	-	0.37 [-0.78; 1.51]	6.0%
Overmyer et al.	0.3728	0.2177	 	0.37 [-0.05; 0.80]	13.0%
Shen et al.	0.4138	0.2417	 	0.41 [-0.06; 0.89]	12.5%

-1

0

2

SMD SE(SMD)

Study

Random effects model

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 69\%, p < 0.01$

Prediction interval

Standardised Mean

Difference

SMD

95%-CI Weight

-0.23 [-0.58; 0.13] 100.0%

[-1.34; 0.89]