Study	TE	seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Zhang et al.	-1.68	0.4423		-1.68	[-2.55; -0.81]	9.6%
Shen et al.	-1.02	0.2545			[-1.52; -0.52]	12.0%
Babacic et al.	-0.61	0.4475		-0.61	[-1.49; 0.26]	9.6%
Shu et al.	-0.55	0.4193	 	-0.55	[-1.37; 0.27]	9.9%
Sullivan et al.	-0.15	0.2190	-	-0.15	[-0.58; 0.28]	12.4%
Geyer et al.	0.27	0.1942	 • -	0.27	[-0.11; 0.65]	12.6%
Overmyer et al.	0.31	0.2173	+	0.31	[-0.12; 0.73]	12.4%
Suvarna et al.	0.59	0.3921		0.59	[-0.18; 1.36]	10.3%
Messner-Validation et al.	0.70	0.3130	-	0.70	[0.09; 1.31]	11.3%
Random effects model				-0.21	[-0.70; 0.29]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-1.94; 1.53]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 82\%$, p	< 0.01				- · ·	
, ,			-2 -1 0 1	2		

Standardised Mean