Shu–Discovery et al.	-1.6016	0.4643 -			-1.60	[-2.51; -	-0.69]
Babacic et al.	-0.8286	0.4543			-0.83	[-1.72;	0.06]
Di et al.	-0.6161	0.5488	-		-0.62	[-1.69;	0.46]
Feng et al.	-0.3554	0.6515			-0.36	[-1.63;	0.92]
Sahin et al.	-0.3534	0.6820	-		-0.35	[-1.69;	0.98]
Shen et al.	-0.2517	0.2935	-	_	-0.25	[-0.83;	0.32]
Byeon et al.	-0.2355	0.3097	-		-0.24	[-0.84;	0.37]
Overmyer et al.	0.0057	0.2164	- 	-	0.01	[-0.42;	0.43]
Suvarna et al.	0.4192	0.3986	<u>: </u>	1	0.42	[-0.36;	1.20]
Messner-Validation et al.	0.7818	0.4134		•	0.78	[-0.03;	1.59]
Random effects model				•	-0.25	[-0.66;	0.15]
Prediction interval					_	[-1.47;	0.96]
					1		

SMD SE(SMD)

Study

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 56\%$, p = 0.01

Standardised Mean

Difference

-2 -1 0 1

SMD

95%-CI Weight

9.3% 9.4% 7.8% 6.3% 6.0% 13.0% 12.6% 14.8% 10.6%

100.0%