Study	SMD	SE(SMD)	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Feng et al.	0.1944	0.5789		0.10	[-0.94; 1.33]	4.3%
Spick et al.	0.1944	0.3769	<u> </u>		[-0.34, 1.33] [-0.12; 0.92]	5.3%
•	0.3998	0.2029			-	
Overmyer et al.					[-0.02; 0.83]	5.4%
Sullivan et al.	0.4234	0.2173			[-0.00; 0.85]	5.4%
Shen et al.	0.4930	0.2428	=		[0.02; 0.97]	5.3%
Ahern et al.	0.6137	0.2268	=	0.61	[0.17; 1.06]	5.4%
Suvarna et al.	0.6680	0.2699		0.67	[0.14; 1.20]	5.3%
Di et al.	0.8368	0.3932		0.84	[0.07; 1.61]	4.9%
Ciccosanti et al.	0.8560	0.4036	-	0.86	[0.06; 1.65]	4.9%
Geyer et al.	0.9393	0.1939		0.94	[0.56; 1.32]	5.4%
Zhang et al.	1.1467	0.2243		1.15	[0.71; 1.59]	5.4%
Byeon et al.	1.1954	0.3360	-	1.20	[0.54; 1.85]	5.1%
Shu-Validation et al.	1.3055	0.5316		1.31	[0.26; 2.35]	4.5%
Sameh et al.	1.3844	0.2975	 	1.38	[0.80; 1.97]	5.2%
Messner-Discovery et al.	1.3909	0.2275	-	1.39	[0.94; 1.84]	5.4%
Shu-Discovery et al.	1.6397	0.4667	-	1.64	[0.73; 2.55]	4.7%
Babacic et al.	2.1692	0.5344	 •	2.17	[1.12; 3.22]	4.5%
Mohammed et al.	2.2758	0.2520	-	2.28	[1.78; 2.77]	5.3%
Sahin et al.	3.7252	0.8378		3.73	[2.08; 5.37]	3.5%
Messner-Validation et al.	5.1467	0.4498	-	5.15	[4.27; 6.03]	4.8%
Random effects model			÷	1.30	[0.81; 1.79]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-0.99; 3.59]	
		Γ		7	- · ·	
		-6	-4 -2 0 2 4	6		
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 88\%$, p	< 0.01					

Standardised Mean