Study	TE seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Zhang et al. Overmyer et al. Shen et al.	-0.93 0.3836 -0.18 0.2167 -0.06 0.2392		-0.18	[-1.68; -0.18] [-0.61; 0.24] [-0.53; 0.40]	8.9% 13.8% 13.1%
Geyer et al. Sullivan et al.	-0.00 0.2392 -0.02 0.2154 0.09 0.2154		-0.02	[-0.33; 0.40] [-0.44; 0.40] [-0.33; 0.51]	13.1 % 13.9% 13.9%
Shu et al. Suvarna et al.	0.20 0.4137 0.51 0.2674		0.20 0.51	[-0.61; 1.01] [-0.01; 1.03]	8.2% 12.2%
Di et al. Babacic et al.	0.82 0.3928 1.07 0.4643		0.82 — 1.07	[0.05; 1.59] [0.16; 1.98]	8.7% 7.2%
Random effects model Prediction interval Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 60\%$, $p = 0.01$			0.12	[-0.19; 0.43] [-0.83; 1.07]	100.0%

Standardised Mean

-1 0 1