Study	SMD	SE(SMD)	Standardised Mean Difference	SMD	95%–CI	Weight
Shu–Validation et al.	−1.6765	0.5525		−1.68	[−2.76; −0.59]	6.9%
Sahin et al.	−0.4427	0.6738		−0.44	[−1.76; 0.88]	5.9%
Shu–Discovery et al.	−0.2691	0.4144		−0.27	[−1.08; 0.54]	8.1%
Shen et al.	0.1407	0.2394		0.14	[−0.33; 0.61]	9.5%
Geyer et al.	0.2657	0.1903		0.27	[−0.11; 0.64]	9.9%
Tepasse et al.	0.3595	0.4123		0.36	[−0.45; 1.17]	8.1%
Byeon et al.	0.7439	0.3195		0.74	[0.12; 1.37]	8.9%
Feng et al.	0.8115	0.6046		0.81	[−0.37; 2.00]	6.5%
Sullivan et al.	0.8523	0.2235		0.85	[0.41; 1.29]	9.7%
Overmyer et al.	0.8902	0.2235		0.89	[0.45; 1.33]	9.7%
Babacic et al.	1.0440	0.4630		1.04	[0.14; 1.95]	7.7%
Messner–Validation et al.	2.0356	0.2919		2.04	[1.46; 2.61]	9.1%
Random effects model				**0.46**	**[−0.03; 0.95]**	**100.0%**
Prediction interval					**[−1.34; 2.26]**	

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 81\%$, $p < 0.01$