Feng et al1.0168 0.6503 -1.02 [-2.29; 0.26] 12. Di et al0.5657 0.3855 -0.57 [-1.32; 0.19] 26. Shen et al0.2742 0.2403 -0.27 [-0.75; 0.20] 41. Random effects model -0.65 [-1.15; -0.15] 100.				-2	_1 _1	0	1	2			-
Feng et al1.0168 0.6503 -1.02 [-2.29; 0.26] 12. Di et al0.5657 0.3855 -0.57 [-1.32; 0.19] 26.	Random effects model Prediction interval								_	_	
Feng et al. −1.0168 0.6503 	Shen et al.	-0.2742	0.2403		<u>:</u> :	+			-0.27	[-0.75; 0.20	0] 41.5%
Babacic et al1.3366 0.47751.34 [-2.27; -0.40] 19.	<u> </u>				-	-			-1.02	[-2.29; 0.26	6] 12.4%

SMD

95%-CI Weight

Standardised Mean

Difference

SMD SE(SMD)

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 34\%$, p = 0.21

Study