76	0.74.0.0400	. : 1	0.74 [4.40, 0.20] 40.40/
Zhang et al.	-0.74 0.2136	·	-0.74 [-1.16; -0.32] 12.1%
Messner-Discovery et al	. –0.63 0.2143	3	-0.63 [-1.05; -0.21] 12.0%
Overmyer et al.	-0.32 0.2174	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-0.32 [-0.75; 0.10] 11.8%
Shu et al.	-0.31 0.4149		-0.31 [-1.12; 0.50] 4.1%
Messner-Validation et al.	0.30 0.2189		-0.30 [-0.73; 0.13] 11.7%
Geyer et al.	-0.26 0.1902	2	-0.26 [-0.63; 0.12] 14.1%
Suvarna et al.	-0.23 0.2653	3	-0.23 [-0.75; 0.29] 8.7%
Babacic et al.	-0.13 0.439	5	-0.13 [-0.99; 0.73] 3.7%
Shen et al.	-0.09 0.2393	3	-0.09 [-0.56; 0.38] 10.2%
Sullivan et al.	0.08 0.2188	3	0.08 [-0.34; 0.51] 11.7%
Random effects model			-0.31 [-0.48; -0.14] 100.0%
Prediction interval			[-0.69; 0.06]
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 16\%$, p	= 0.30		
		-1 -0.5 0 0.5	1

TE seTE

Study

Standardised Mean

Difference

SMD

95%-CI Weight