<b>5</b>		0.00.40			: 1						<b>= 0</b> 0/
Babacic et al.	-3.83	0.6940			:			-3.83	[-5.19; -2]	2.47]	7.2%
Messner-Validation et al.	-1.27	0.2385		+	<u>:</u>			-1.27	[-1.74; -0	0.81]	10.5%
Shu et al.	-1.27	0.4458		-	<u>:</u>			-1.27	[-2.14; -0	0.39]	9.1%
Sullivan et al.	-1.23	0.2322		-+	<del>[</del>			-1.23	[-1.69; -0	0.78]	10.6%
Geyer et al.	-1.12	0.1955		-	+			-1.12	[-1.50; -0	0.74]	10.7%
Di et al.	-0.66	0.3878		-	•			-0.66	[-1.42; (	0.10]	9.6%
Zhang et al.	-0.35	0.2080						-0.35	[-0.75; (	0.06]	10.7%
Suvarna et al.	0.03	0.2638			-			0.03	[-0.49; (	0.54]	10.4%
Messner-Discovery et al.	0.09	0.2115			-			0.09	[-0.32; (	0.51]	10.7%
Shen et al.	0.31	0.2406						0.31	[-0.16; (	0.78]	10.5%
Random effects model				<	>			-0.83	[-1.45; -0	0.22]	100.0%
Prediction interval				<u> </u>		1			[-3.11; 1	1.45]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 89\%$ , $p$	< 0.01				1						
			_4	-2	0	2	4				

TE seTE

Study

**Standardised Mean** 

**Difference** 

SMD

95%-CI Weight