Study	TE	seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Shu et al.	-0.87	0.4287	-		[-1.71; -0.03]	8.5%
Suvarna et al.	-0.45	0.2690		-0.45	[-0.98; 0.08]	11.1%
Di et al.	-0.16	0.3793		-0.16	[-0.90; 0.58]	9.3%
Sullivan et al.	-0.08	0.2154	- 	-0.08	[-0.51; 0.34]	12.0%
Geyer et al.	0.00	0.2104		0.00	[-0.41; 0.42]	12.1%
Zhang et al.	0.07	0.2372	- 1	0.07	[-0.40; 0.53]	11.7%
Overmyer et al.	0.60	0.2197		0.60	[0.17; 1.03]	12.0%
Messner-Validation et al.	0.82	0.2328		0.82	[0.36; 1.27]	11.8%
Messner-Discovery et al.	1.08	0.2447	-	1.08	[0.60; 1.56]	11.6%
Random effects model				0.15	[-0.23; 0.54]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-1.18; 1.48]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 80\%$, p	< 0.01				- · · •	

Standardised Mean

-1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5