Chiek et al	0.0420	0.2739		0.04 [0.50, 0.40] 40.20/
Spick et al.	-0.0438	0.2739		-0.04 [-0.58; 0.49] 18.2%
Geyer et al.	0.0066	0.3304	- •	0.01 [-0.64; 0.65] 15.3%
Babacic et al.	0.3390	0.4417	- •	0.34 [-0.53; 1.20] 11.0%
Byeon et al.	0.3713	0.3114	 • • • • • • • • • 	0.37 [-0.24; 0.98] 16.2%
Shu-Validation et al.	0.7663	0.5269	 •	0.77 [-0.27; 1.80] 8.6%
Suvarna et al.	1.0274	0.4500	 	1.03 [0.15; 1.91] 10.7%
Random effects model				0.19 [-0.17; 0.54] 100.0%
Prediction interval				[–0.78; 1.15]

SMD SE(SMD)

0.2416

-0.4043

Study

Shen et al.

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 48\%$, p = 0.07

Standardised Mean

Difference

 $-1.5 - 1 - 0.5 \ 0 \ 0.5 \ 1 \ 1.5$

SMD

95%-CI Weight

-0.40 [-0.88; 0.07] 20.0%