	Study	TE	seTE		Sta	ndar Diff	dise erer		ean		SMD	95	%-CI	Weight
	Shu et al.	-1.23	0.4648			+	- i				-1.23	[–2.14; -	-0.32]	22.4%
	Babacic et al.	-0.48	0.4444				-				-0.48	[-1.35;	0.39]	23.2%
	Di et al.	-0.13	0.4159					_			-0.13	[-0.95;	0.68]	24.2%
	Shen et al.	0.49	0.2427					-			0.49	[0.01;	0.96]	30.2%
	Random effects model Prediction interval Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 76\%$, $p < 0.01$				<	<u> </u>			_	-0.27	[-1.00; [-3.41;	_	100.0%	
									_		[-3.41,	2.00]		
	rieterogeneity. 7 – 70%, p	0.01		-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3				