Shu et al.	-1.12	0.4387	-	- li		-1.12	[-1.98; -	-0.26]	6.7%
Suvarna et al.	-0.35	0.3095		-		-0.35	[-0.95;	0.26]	9.1%
Messner-Validation et al.	-0.34	0.2193		-		-0.34	[-0.77;	0.09]	11.0%
Geyer et al.	-0.12	0.1900	-	- -		-0.12	[-0.49;	0.25]	11.6%
Shen et al.	-0.09	0.2393	_			-0.09	[-0.56;	0.38]	10.6%
Sullivan et al.	0.22	0.2159		-	_	0.22	[-0.20;	0.65]	11.1%
Zhang et al.	0.50	0.2097		-	-	0.50	[0.09;	0.91]	11.2%
Overmyer et al.	0.52	0.2188			-	0.52	[0.09;	0.94]	11.0%
Babacic et al.	0.67	0.4491		<u> </u>	+	0.67	[-0.21;	1.55]	6.6%
Messner-Discovery et al	0.73	0.2152		-	•	0.73	[0.31;	1.15]	11.1%
Random effects model						0.09	[-0.22;	0.40]	100.0%
Prediction interval							[-0.95;	1.13]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 73\%$, p	< 0.01								
			–1	0	1				

TE seTE

Study

Standardised Mean

Difference

SMD

95%-CI Weight