Study	TE	seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Messner-Validation et al.	-0.61	0.2226		-0.61	[-1.05; -0.17]	10.4%
Shu et al.	-0.54	0.4190	•	-0.54	[-1.36; 0.28]	5.3%
Overmyer et al.	-0.16	0.2167	<del></del>	-0.16	[-0.58; 0.26]	10.6%
Geyer et al.	-0.15	0.1900	<del></del>	-0.15	[-0.52; 0.22]	11.6%
Shen et al.	-0.04	0.2392	<del></del>	-0.04	[-0.51; 0.43]	9.8%
Di et al.	-0.02	0.3788		-0.02	[-0.77; 0.72]	6.1%
Zhang et al.	0.01	0.2065		0.01	[-0.40; 0.41]	11.0%
Sullivan et al.	0.01	0.2153		0.01	[-0.41; 0.44]	10.7%
Suvarna et al.	0.04	0.2639		0.04	[-0.47; 0.56]	9.0%
Babacic et al.	0.47	0.4442	-	0.47	[-0.40; 1.35]	4.9%
Messner-Discovery et al.	0.76	0.2162		0.76	[ 0.33; 1.18]	10.6%
Random effects model				-0.02	[-0.25; 0.21]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-0.72; 0.68]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 58\%$ , p <	< 0.01					

**Standardised Mean** 

-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1