-						_
Di et al.	-0.62 0.3896		 -	-0.62	[-1.38; 0.14]	5.2%
Shu et al.	-0.45 0.4172			-0.45	[-1.27; 0.36]	4.5%
Babacic et al.	-0.27 0.4408		-	-0.27	[-1.13; 0.60]	4.0%
Messner-Discovery et al.	0.13 0.2976	_	- •	0.13	[-0.45; 0.72]	8.9%
Shen et al.	0.15 0.2395			0.15	[-0.32; 0.62]	13.7%
Geyer et al.	0.20 0.1931			0.20	[-0.18; 0.57]	21.0%
Overmyer et al.	0.33 0.2174		+ + +	0.33	[-0.09; 0.76]	16.6%
Sullivan et al.	0.38 0.2170		+ + +	0.38	[-0.04; 0.81]	16.7%
Suvarna et al.	0.60 0.2872		-		[0.04; 1.16]	9.5%
Dandom offecte model				0.40	[0.04.0.00]	400.00/
Random effects model				0.19	[0.01; 0.36]	100.0%
Prediction interval				_	[-0.02; 0.40]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 28\%$, $p = 28\%$	= 0.19	1 1	I I	I		

-1 -0.5 0

0.5

TE

seTE

Study

Standardised Mean

Difference

SMD

95%-CI Weight