Study	SMD	SE(SMD)	Standardised Mean Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Tepasse et al.	-1.2278				[-2.07; -0.38]	
Zhang et al.	-0.4605				[-0.95; 0.03]	
Shen et al.	-0.0034				[-0.47; 0.47]	
Geyer et al.	0.0301		=		[-0.37; 0.43]	
Feng et al.	0.1472	0.5783		0.15	[-0.99; 1.28]	5.1%
Overmyer et al.	0.2297	0.2169		0.23	[-0.20; 0.65]	6.7%
Babacic et al.	0.3001	0.4412	- - 	0.30	[-0.56; 1.16]	5.8%
Messner-Discovery et al.	0.5300	0.2218	-	0.53	[0.10; 0.96]	6.7%
Ahern et al.	0.5477	0.2264	-	0.55	[0.10; 0.99]	6.7%
Byeon et al.	0.7534	0.3198	-	0.75	[0.13; 1.38]	6.3%
Sameh et al.	0.8937	0.2801	<u> </u>	0.89	[0.34; 1.44]	6.5%
Suvarna et al.	0.8972	0.2746	-	0.90	[0.36; 1.44]	6.5%
Shu–Validation et al.	1.1006	0.5220		1.10	[0.08; 2.12]	5.4%
Messner-Validation et al.	2.1017	0.2706	-	2.10	[1.57; 2.63]	6.5%
Mohammed et al.	2.2321	0.2504	-	2.23	[1.74; 2.72]	6.6%
Shu-Discovery et al.	2.8461	0.5595	-	2.85	[1.75; 3.94]	5.2%
Random effects model				0.67	[0.18; 1.15]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-1.39; 2.73]	
			-2 0 2			
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 90\%$, $p < 1$	< 0.01		-2 0 2			