Study	SMD	SE(SMD)	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Sameh et al.	-1.1172	0.2872		-1.12	[-1.68; -0.55]	6.7%
Sahin et al.	-0.8857	0.5486		-0.89	[-1.96; 0.19]	4.5%
Suvarna et al.	-0.6183	0.3225	<del></del>	-0.62	[-1.25; 0.01]	6.4%
Di et al.	-0.3910	0.3820	<del>  </del>	-0.39	[-1.14; 0.36]	5.8%
Zhang et al.	0.0258	0.2065	<del></del>	0.03	[-0.38; 0.43]	7.4%
Shen et al.	0.0456	0.2392	_	0.05	[-0.42; 0.51]	7.1%
Messner-Discovery et al.	0.1872	0.2112	-	0.19	[-0.23; 0.60]	7.3%
Shu–Validation et al.	0.3110	0.4996	<del>-   •</del>	0.31	[-0.67; 1.29]	4.8%
Babacic et al.	0.3329	0.4416	<del>-   • -</del>	0.33	[-0.53; 1.20]	5.3%
Geyer et al.	0.3457	0.1905	<del> </del>	0.35	[-0.03; 0.72]	7.5%
Byeon et al.	0.5004	0.3136	+	0.50	[-0.11; 1.12]	6.5%
Overmyer et al.	0.6320	0.2200		0.63	[ 0.20; 1.06]	7.3%
Sullivan et al.	0.7374	0.2215		0.74	[ 0.30; 1.17]	7.2%
Mohammed et al.	0.9650	0.2167		0.96	[ 0.54; 1.39]	7.3%
Feng et al.	1.2751	0.6425		- 1.28	[ 0.02; 2.53]	3.8%
Shu–Discovery et al.	1.6033	0.4644		1.60	[ 0.69; 2.51]	5.1%
Random effects model			÷	0.23	[-0.10; 0.57]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-1.09; 1.56]	
			-2 -1 0 1 2			
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 78\%$ , $p < 6$	< 0.01		-			

CMD CE/CMD)

**Standardised Mean**