		Standardised Mean			
Study	TE seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Shen et al.	0.13 0.2394	-	0.13	[-0.34; 0.60]	13.7%
Suvarna et al.	0.33 0.2854	<del>       </del>	0.33	[-0.23; 0.89]	13.2%
Di et al.	0.57 0.3856	+	0.57	[-0.18; 1.33]	11.8%
Geyer et al.	0.64 0.2002		0.64	[ 0.25; 1.04]	14.1%
Sullivan et al.	0.81 0.2228		0.81	[ 0.38; 1.25]	13.9%
Overmyer et al.	0.93 0.2242	<del>-</del>	0.93	[ 0.49; 1.37]	13.9%
Shu et al.	2.31 0.5144	-	2.31	[ 1.30; 3.32]	10.1%
Babacic et al.	2.72 0.5820	-	- 2.72	[ 1.58; 3.86]	9.2%
Random effects model			0.95	[ 0.39; 1.50]	100.0%
<b>Prediction interval</b>				[-0.95; 2.85]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 77$	′%, <i>p</i> < 0.01				
	-	-3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3			