Suvarna et al.	-1.1211	0.6457	* <u> </u>	-1.12 [-2.39; 0.14]	3.0%
Shu-Validation et al.	-0.9069	0.5143		-0.91 [-1.91; 0.10]	4.7%
Sahin et al.	-0.8608	0.8112 -		-0.86 [-2.45; 0.73]	1.9%
Di et al.	-0.1877	0.3795		-0.19 [-0.93; 0.56]	8.6%
Shu-Discovery et al.	-0.1465	0.4133		-0.15 [-0.96; 0.66]	7.3%
Byeon et al.	-0.0083	0.3086	- 	-0.01 [-0.61; 0.60]	13.0%
Overmyer et al.	0.1752	0.2167	-	0.18 [-0.25; 0.60]	26.5%
Shen et al.	0.2611	0.2402	-	0.26 [-0.21; 0.73]	21.5%
Geyer et al.	0.3266	0.3465		0.33 [-0.35; 1.01]	10.3%
Feng et al.	0.7005	0.6272	- I	0.70 [-0.53; 1.93]	3.2%
			li.		

-1

0

1

SMD SE(SMD)

Study

Random effects model

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 22\%$, p = 0.24

Prediction interval

Standardised Mean

Difference

SMD

95%-CI Weight

0.04 [-0.18; 0.26] 100.0%

[-0.22; 0.30]