Prediction interval					[-0.94;	1.61]	
Random effects model				0.34	[-0.02;	0.69]	100.0%
Shu-Discovery et al.	2.6408	0.5415	-	2.64	[ 1.58;	3.70]	5.4%
Sahin et al.	1.2123	0.5756	-	1.21	[ 0.08;	-	5.1%
Babacic et al.	0.8472	0.4550	<del>  •</del>	0.85	[-0.04;	1.74]	6.4%
Messner-Discovery et al.	0.7243	0.2561	<del>                                    </del>	0.72	[ 0.22;	1.23]	8.8%
Feng et al.	0.3590	0.6387	<del>-   •</del>	0.36	[-0.89;	1.61]	4.6%
Geyer et al.	0.3030	0.1903	<del>-</del>	0.30	[-0.07;	0.68]	9.6%
Overmyer et al.	0.2273	0.2169	-	0.23	[-0.20;	0.65]	9.3%
Messner-Validation et al.	0.1690	0.2181	-	0.17	[-0.26;	0.60]	9.3%
Byeon et al.	-0.0324	0.3086	<del>-      </del>	-0.03	[-0.64;	0.57	8.2%
Shen et al.	-0.1288	0.2394	-	-0.13	[-0.60;	0.34]	9.0%
Ahern et al.	-0.1653	0.2250	-	-0.17	[-0.61;	0.28]	9.2%
Shu-Validation et al.	-0.1991	0.4984	<del></del> -	-0.20	[-1.18;	0.78]	5.9%
Sullivan et al.	-0.3202	0.2165	<del></del>	-0.32	[-0.74;	0.10	9.3%

-3 -2 -1 0

2

SMD SE(SMD)

Study

Heterogeneity:  $I^2 = 71\%$ , p < 0.01

**Standardised Mean** 

**Difference** 

95%-CI Weight

SMD