Study	TE	seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Shu et al.	-0.49	0.4180		-0.49	[-1.31; 0.33]	6.5%
Shen et al.	-0.19	0.2397	- 	-0.19	[-0.66; 0.28]	12.9%
Di et al.	-0.10	0.3790		-0.10	[-0.85; 0.64]	7.5%
Zhang et al.	-0.01	0.2087	- •	-0.01	[-0.42; 0.40]	14.6%
Babacic et al.	0.00	0.4392		0.00	[-0.86; 0.86]	6.0%
Messner-Validation et al.	0.04	0.3873		0.04	[-0.72; 0.80]	7.2%
Overmyer et al.	0.33	0.2174	+ -	0.33	[-0.10; 0.76]	14.1%
Messner-Discovery et al.	0.59	0.2313		0.59	[0.13; 1.04]	13.3%
Sullivan et al.	0.61	0.2196		0.61	[0.18; 1.04]	14.0%
Geyer et al.	0.89	0.5744	+ -	- 0.89	[-0.23; 2.02]	3.9%
Random effects model				0.18	[-0.06; 0.42]	100.0%
Prediction interval				-	[-0.45; 0.82]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 42\%$, p	= 0.08			l		

Standardised Mean

-2 -1 0 1 2