Study	SMD	SE(SMD)	Standardised Mean Difference	SMD	95%–CI	Weight
Zhang et al.	−1.4936	0.2366		−1.49	[−1.96; −1.03]	5.8%
Tepasse et al.	−1.3818	0.4359		−1.38	[−2.24; −0.53]	4.7%
Shu–Validation et al.	−0.4035	0.5010		−0.40	[−1.39; 0.58]	4.3%
Byeon et al.	−0.4024	0.3118		−0.40	[−1.01; 0.21]	5.4%
Ahern et al.	−0.3983	0.2257		−0.40	[−0.84; 0.04]	5.9%
Sullivan et al.	−0.1520	0.2155		−0.15	[−0.57; 0.27]	5.9%
Di et al.	−0.1116	0.3790		−0.11	[−0.85; 0.63]	5.0%
Ciccosanti et al.	−0.0657	0.3874		−0.07	[−0.83; 0.69]	5.0%
Feng et al.	0.0377	0.5774		0.04	[−1.09; 1.17]	3.9%
Suvarna et al.	0.1822	0.2650		0.18	[−0.34; 0.70]	5.7%
Overmyer et al.	0.2381	0.2169		0.24	[−0.19; 0.66]	5.9%
Geyer et al.	0.4104	0.1907		0.41	[0.04; 0.78]	6.0%
Messner–Validation et al.	0.4329	0.2202		0.43	[0.00; 0.86]	5.9%
Shen et al.	0.6608	0.2457		0.66	[0.18; 1.14]	5.8%
Shu–Discovery et al.	0.7698	0.4253		0.77	[−0.06; 1.60]	4.8%
Babacic et al.	0.8104	0.4536		0.81	[−0.08; 1.70]	4.6%
Messner–Discovery et al.	1.1168	0.2216		1.12	[0.68; 1.55]	5.9%
Mohammed et al.	1.3362	0.2242		1.34	[0.90; 1.78]	5.9%
Sahin et al.	1.4559	0.5847		1.46	[0.31; 2.60]	3.9%
Random effects model				**0.16**	**[−0.20; 0.51]**	**100.0%**
Prediction interval					**[−1.40; 1.71]**	

Heterogeneity: I^2 = 87%, $p < 0.01$