Study	SMD	SE(SMD)	Standardised Mean Difference	SMD	95%–CI	Weight
Zhang et al.	−1.6808	0.4423		−1.68	[−2.55; −0.81]	6.4%
Shen et al.	−1.0201	0.2545		−1.02	[−1.52; −0.52]	8.3%
Babacic et al.	−0.6147	0.4475		−0.61	[−1.49; 0.26]	6.3%
Shu−Discovery et al.	−0.5527	0.4193		−0.55	[−1.37; 0.27]	6.6%
Spick et al.	−0.4244	0.3328		−0.42	[−1.08; 0.23]	7.5%
Feng et al.	−0.1874	0.5788		−0.19	[−1.32; 0.95]	5.1%
Sullivan et al.	−0.1530	0.2190		−0.15	[−0.58; 0.28]	8.7%
Shu−Validation et al.	−0.0154	0.4976		−0.02	[−0.99; 0.96]	5.8%
Geyer et al.	0.2669	0.1942		0.27	[−0.11; 0.65]	8.9%
Overmyer et al.	0.3064	0.2173		0.31	[−0.12; 0.73]	8.7%
Suvarna et al.	0.5868	0.3921		0.59	[−0.18; 1.36]	6.9%
Sahin et al.	0.6369	0.5523		0.64	[−0.45; 1.72]	5.3%
Messner−Validation et al.	0.7000	0.3130		0.70	[0.09; 1.31]	7.7%
Byeon et al.	0.7610	0.3200		0.76	[0.13; 1.39]	7.7%
Random effects model				**−0.09**	**[−0.46; 0.28]**	**100.0%**
Prediction interval					**[−1.46; 1.29]**	

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 76\%$, $p < 0.01$