Study	TE seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Babacic et al.	-1.80 0.5064 —	-	-1.80 [	[-2.79; -0.80]	12.0%
Di et al.	-0.76 0.4429	<del>  </del>	-0.76	[-1.63; 0.11]	13.1%
Suvarna et al.	-0.62 0.2912	<del></del>	-0.62 [	-1.19; -0.05]	15.6%
Shen et al.	-0.08 0.2392	_	-0.08	[-0.55; 0.39]	16.4%
Overmyer et al.	-0.06 0.2165	_	-0.06	[-0.48; 0.37]	16.7%
Geyer et al.	0.65 0.4382		0.65	[-0.21; 1.51]	13.2%
Shu et al.	0.81 0.4449	<del>                                     </del>	0.81	[-0.06; 1.68]	13.1%
Random effects model			-0.24	[-0.83; 0.35]	100.0%
<b>Prediction interval</b>				[-2.21; 1.72]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 74$	ŀ%, <i>p</i> < 0.01				
		_2 _1 0 1 3	)		

**Standardised Mean**