Study	SMD	SE(SMD)	Difference	SMD	95%-C	I Weight
Tepasse et al.	-1.1323	0.4277		-1.13	[-1.97; -0.29	5.6%
Ahern et al.	-1.0302	0.2303		-1.03	[-1.48; -0.58	7.6%
Feng et al.	-0.6011	0.5925		-0.60	[-1.76; 0.56	4.2%
Shen et al.	-0.3546	0.6112		-0.35	[-1.55; 0.84	4.1%
Mohammed et al.	-0.3128	0.2091	- 	-0.31	[-0.72; 0.10	7.8%
Babacic et al.	-0.3105	0.4413		-0.31	[-1.18; 0.55	5.5%
Zhang et al.	-0.1274	0.5531	 	-0.13	[-1.21; 0.96	4.5%
Geyer et al.	-0.0868	0.1900		-0.09	[-0.46; 0.29	8.0%
Shu–Validation et al.	-0.0617	0.4977		-0.06	[-1.04; 0.91	5.0%
Suvarna et al.	-0.0267	0.3193		-0.03	[-0.65; 0.60	6.7%
Messner-Validation et al.	0.3067	0.2285		0.31	[-0.14; 0.75	7.6%
Overmyer et al.	0.3325	0.2174	+ -	0.33	[-0.09; 0.76	7.7%
Byeon et al.	0.4546	0.5072	- -	0.45	[-0.54; 1.45] 4.9%
Sullivan et al.	0.6579	0.2488	[—	0.66	[0.17; 1.15	7.4%
Shu-Discovery et al.	1.0426	0.4354	į 	1.04	[0.19; 1.90	5.6%
Messner-Discovery et al.	1.1038	0.2261	-	1.10	[0.66; 1.55	7.6%
Random effects model Prediction interval				0.02	[-0.31; 0.35	-
					[–1.25; 1.29	1
			–1 0 1			

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 79\%$, p < 0.01

Standardised Mean