		1		
Shu et al.	-3.00 0.5734	_:	3.00 [-4.12; -1.87]	9.6%
Babacic et al.	-2.61 0.5719 	<u>-</u> :	2.61 [-3.73; -1.49]	9.6%
Overmyer et al.	-1.01 0.2255 	_	1.01 [-1.45; -0.57]	12.2%
Shen et al.	-0.82 0.2714	_	0.82 [-1.35; -0.29]	11.9%
Sullivan et al.	-0.73 0.2214	_	0.73 [-1.16; -0.30]	12.2%
Suvarna et al.	-0.71 0.2871	-	0.71 [-1.27; -0.14]	11.8%
Geyer et al.	-0.06 0.2997	-	0.06 [-0.65; 0.52]	11.7%
Di et al.	-0.05 0.6269	-	0.05 [-1.28; 1.18]	9.2%
Messner-Discovery et a	al. 0.52 0.2944		0.52 [-0.05; 1.10]	11.8%
Random effects mode	ı.	.	0.88 [-1.58; -0.19]	100 0%
	•		-	100.0 /0
Prediction interval			[-3.38; 1.62]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 85\%$,	<i>p</i> < 0.01	1 1		
	-4 -2	0 2 4		

TE

seTE

Study

Standardised Mean

Difference

SMD

95%-CI Weight