Study	SMD	SE(SMD)	Standardised Mean Difference	SMD	95%–CI	Weight
Shu–Validation et al.	−2.6693	0.6275		−2.67	[−3.90; −1.44]	4.2%
Byeon et al.	−1.2792	0.3398		−1.28	[−1.95; −0.61]	5.7%
Suvarna et al.	−1.2028	0.2829		−1.20	[−1.76; −0.65]	5.9%
Shu–Discovery et al.	−1.1732	0.4412		−1.17	[−2.04; −0.31]	5.2%
Messner–Validation et al.	−1.0095	0.2310		−1.01	[−1.46; −0.56]	6.1%
Babacic et al.	−0.9333	0.4583		−0.93	[−1.83; −0.04]	5.1%
Mohammed et al.	−0.8431	0.2147		−0.84	[−1.26; −0.42]	6.2%
Overmyer et al.	−0.7396	0.2213		−0.74	[−1.17; −0.31]	6.2%
Zhang et al.	−0.6882	0.2442		−0.69	[−1.17; −0.21]	6.1%
Sahin et al.	−0.6024	0.5433		−0.60	[−1.67; 0.46]	4.6%
Spick et al.	−0.4186	0.3211		−0.42	[−1.05; 0.21]	5.8%
Di et al.	−0.2060	0.4942		−0.21	[−1.17; 0.76]	4.9%
Feng et al.	−0.2033	0.5791		−0.20	[−1.34; 0.93]	4.4%
Shen et al.	−0.1188	0.2394		−0.12	[−0.59; 0.35]	6.1%
Geyer et al.	−0.0871	0.2794		−0.09	[−0.63; 0.46]	5.9%
Ciccosanti et al.	−0.0319	0.3873		−0.03	[−0.79; 0.73]	5.4%
Sullivan et al.	0.0382	0.2153		0.04	[−0.38; 0.46]	6.2%
Messner–Discovery et al.	2.0709	0.2608		2.07	[1.56; 2.58]	6.0%
Random effects model				**−0.52**	**[−0.94; −0.11]**	**100.0%**
Prediction interval					**[−2.34; 1.29]**	

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 88\%$, $p < 0.01$