Shu et al.	-1.91 0.4938		:		-1.91	[-2.87; -	-0.94]	9.3%
Suvarna et al.	-0.94 0.7156	-			-0.94	[-2.34;	0.46]	6.9%
Shen et al.	-0.01 0.2391		_		-0.01	[-0.48;	0.46]	12.5%
Geyer et al.	0.06 0.2194	-	-		0.06	[-0.37;	0.49]	12.7%
Sullivan et al.	0.43 0.2174		-	_	0.43	[0.01;	0.86]	12.7%
Messner-Discovery et al.	0.56 0.2136	;	-	⊢	0.56	[0.15;	0.98]	12.7%
Overmyer et al.	0.63 0.2200)		-	0.63	[0.20;	1.06]	12.7%
Babacic et al.	0.75 0.6109	1	 	+	0.75	[-0.44;	1.95]	7.9%
Messner-Validation et al.	0.86 0.2293	}		+	0.86	[0.41;	1.31]	12.6%
Random effects model					0.14	[-0.38;	0.65]	100.0%
Prediction interval						[-1.64;	1.92]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 78\%$, $p < 6$	< 0.01		ĺ					
		−2 −1	0	1 2				

TE seTE

Study

Standardised Mean

Difference

SMD

95%-CI Weight