Study	TE seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Zhang et al.	-1.05 0.3524		-1.05	[-1.74; -0.36]	10.0%
Di et al.	-0.90 0.4329 -		-0.90	[-1.75; -0.05]	8.3%
Babacic et al.	-0.76 0.4520		-0.76	[-1.65; 0.12]	7.9%
Shu et al.	-0.66 0.4220	-	-0.66	[-1.49; 0.17]	8.5%
Geyer et al.	-0.36 0.1941	<del></del>	-0.36	[-0.74; 0.02]	13.9%
Sullivan et al.	-0.17 0.2156		-0.17	[-0.59; 0.25]	13.4%
Overmyer et al.	-0.10 0.2165	<del>- 1</del>	-0.10	[-0.52; 0.33]	13.4%
Suvarna et al.	0.33 0.2726		0.33	[-0.20; 0.87]	11.9%
Shen et al.	0.50 0.2430	-	0.50	[ 0.03; 0.98]	12.7%
Random effects model			-0.28	[-0.62; 0.07]	100.0%
Prediction interval				[-1.37; 0.82]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 67\%$ , $p < 0.01$			$\neg$		

**Standardised Mean** 

-1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5