Study	TE	seTE		rdised N fference		SMD	95%-	-CI	Weight
Shen et al.	-0.56 0			+			[-1.03; -0.	-	14.7%
Sullivan et al.	-0.29 0).2162		+		-0.29	[-0.71; 0.	14]	14.8%
Di et al.	-0.05 0).6406				-0.05	[-1.30; 1.3	21]	14.0%
Overmyer et al.	0.24 0).2169		+-		0.24	[-0.19; 0.0	66]	14.8%
Suvarna et al.	0.47 0).2726		+		0.47	[-0.06; 1.0	01]	14.7%
Babacic et al.	0.57 0).4464				0.57	[-0.30; 1.4]	45]	14.4%
Shu et al.	7.76 1	.1095				7.76	[5.59; 9.	94]	12.6%
Random effects model Prediction interval Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 90\%$, $p < 0.01$					1.03	[-0.93; 2.9	_	100.0%	
				\neg		[–6.11; 8.	17]		
	-, -		- 5	0	5				