			Standa	ardised Me	ean				
Study	TE	seTE	Di	ifference		SMD	95	%–CI	Weight
Shen et al.	-1.44	0.2689	-	-		-1.44	[–1.97; -	-0.91]	12.9%
Sullivan et al.	-0.09	0.2154				-0.09	[-0.51;	0.33]	13.0%
Geyer et al.	0.07	0.5671				0.07	[-1.04;	1.18]	12.2%
Di et al.	0.12	0.4158				0.12	[-0.69;	0.94]	12.6%
Suvarna et al.	0.22	0.3052				0.22	[-0.37;	0.82]	12.9%
Overmyer et al.	0.44	0.2182		+		0.44	[ 0.01;	0.87]	13.0%
Babacic et al.	1.20	0.4705				1.20	[ 0.28;	2.13]	12.5%
Shu et al.	6.57	0.9645				6.57	[ 4.68;	8.46]	10.9%
<b>-</b>									400.007
Random effects model						0.78	[-0.73;	_	100.0%
Prediction interval							[-4.74;	6.29]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 92\%$ , $\mu$	0.01		ı	I	ı				
			<b>-</b> 5	0	5				