Study	TE	seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Babacic et al.	-0.83	0.4542		-0.83	[-1.72; 0.06]	6.0%
Di et al.	-0.76	0.3907	-	-0.76	[-1.52; 0.01]	7.0%
Sullivan et al.	-0.34	0.2166		-0.34	[-0.76; 0.09]	10.2%
Shen et al.	-0.31	0.2407		-0.31	[-0.79; 0.16]	9.7%
Shu et al.	-0.25	0.4142	-	-0.25	[-1.07; 0.56]	6.6%
Zhang et al.	-0.02	0.2090		-0.02	[-0.43; 0.39]	10.4%
Messner-Discovery et al.	0.16	0.2170	- - - - - - - - - - 	0.16	[-0.27; 0.58]	10.2%
Messner-Validation et al.	0.17	0.2181	-	0.17	[-0.26; 0.60]	10.2%
Overmyer et al.	0.18	0.2167		0.18	[-0.24; 0.61]	10.2%
Geyer et al.	0.35	0.1933	-	0.35	[-0.03; 0.73]	10.7%
Suvarna et al.	1.19	0.2834		1.19	[0.63; 1.74]	8.9%
Random effects model				0.01	[-0.29; 0.31]	100.0%
Prediction interval				3101	[-1.01; 1.03]	1001070

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 70\%$, p < 0.01

Standardised Mean

-1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5