Study	TE seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Zhang et al.	-0.56 0.210	5 +	-0.56	[-0.97; -0.15]	9.6%
Di et al.	-0.12 0.379°	- 	-0.12	[-0.86; 0.63]	8.6%
Overmyer et al.	-0.03 0.2164	1	-0.03	[-0.45; 0.39]	9.6%
Suvarna et al.	0.16 0.2642	2	0.16	[-0.36; 0.68]	9.3%
Shen et al.	0.17 0.2396	5	0.17	[-0.30; 0.64]	9.5%
Sullivan et al.	0.17 0.2156	5	0.17	[-0.25; 0.60]	9.6%
Shu et al.	0.32 0.4150) —	0.32	[-0.49; 1.13]	8.4%
Messner-Discovery et al.	0.46 0.2124	1	0.46	[0.04; 0.88]	9.6%
Geyer et al.	0.62 0.1917	7	0.62	[0.24; 1.00]	9.7%
Messner-Validation et al.	2.20 0.2753	3	2.20	[1.66; 2.74]	9.3%
Babacic et al.	3.05 0.613	5	3.05	[1.85; 4.25]	6.9%
Random effects model Prediction interval Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 89\%$, $p = 10$	< 0.01		0.53	[-0.04; 1.09] [-1.62; 2.68]	100.0%

Standardised Mean

-4 -2 0 2 4