Study	SMD	SE(SMD)	Standardised Mean Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Shu-Discovery et al.	-4.5475	0.7311	-	-4.55	[-5.98; -3.11]	4.3%
Messner-Validation et al.	-1.4438	0.2539	-	-1.44	[-1.94; -0.95]	6.7%
Shu-Validation et al.	-1.4419	0.5388	-	-1.44	[-2.50; -0.39]	5.3%
Geyer et al.	-0.7689	0.1964	-	-0.77	[-1.15; -0.38]	6.9%
Mohammed et al.	-0.6545	0.2168	-	-0.65	[-1.08; -0.23]	6.8%
Byeon et al.	-0.5769	0.3152	-	-0.58	[-1.19; 0.04]	6.4%
Babacic et al.	-0.4353	0.4434	-	-0.44	[-1.30; 0.43]	5.8%
Ciccosanti et al.	-0.3526	0.3901	-	-0.35	[-1.12; 0.41]	6.1%
Zhang et al.	-0.2498	0.2572	=	-0.25	[-0.75; 0.25]	6.7%
Di et al.	-0.2004	0.3796	-	-0.20	[-0.94; 0.54]	6.1%
Sullivan et al.	-0.1068	0.2198	-	-0.11	[-0.54; 0.32]	6.8%
Spick et al.	-0.0909	0.2605	*	-0.09	[-0.60; 0.42]	6.7%
Shen et al.	0.0381	0.2392	-	0.04	[-0.43; 0.51]	6.8%
Overmyer et al.	0.2131	0.2168		0.21	[-0.21; 0.64]	6.8%
Feng et al.	0.6055	0.5927	+-	0.61	[-0.56; 1.77]	5.0%
Suvarna et al.	0.7998	0.2790	=	0.80	[0.25; 1.35]	6.6%
Random effects model			÷	-0.49	[-0.97; -0.02]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-2.48; 1.49]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 84\%$, $p < 1$	< 0.01		-4 -2 0 2 4			