Shu-Validation et al.	-0.6883	0.5288 -		-0.69	[-1.72; 0.35]	3.1%
Di et al.	-0.4621	0.3832		-0.46	[-1.21; 0.29]	5.9%
Messner-Validation et al.	-0.3581	0.3515	-	-0.36	[-1.05; 0.33]	7.1%
Geyer et al.	-0.1801	0.2292	<del></del>	-0.18	[-0.63; 0.27]	16.6%
Overmyer et al.	-0.0990	0.2165	_	-0.10	[-0.52; 0.33]	18.6%
Messner-Discovery et al.	-0.0847	0.2441	<del>- 1</del>	-0.08	[-0.56; 0.39]	14.6%
Shu-Discovery et al.	-0.0181	0.4859	<del></del>	-0.02	[-0.97; 0.93]	3.7%
Feng et al.	0.0377	0.5774		0.04	[-1.09; 1.17]	2.6%
Sullivan et al.	0.0538	0.2153	_	0.05	[-0.37; 0.48]	18.8%
Byeon et al.	0.3410	0.3109	-	0.34	[-0.27; 0.95]	9.0%
			:			

-1.5 -1 -0.5 0

0.5

SMD SE(SMD)

Study

Random effects model

Heterogeneity:  $I^2 = 0\%$ , p = 0.80

**Prediction interval** 

**Standardised Mean** 

**Difference** 

95%-CI Weight

-0.09 [-0.28; 0.09] 100.0%

[-0.31; 0.12]

**SMD**