Study	TE	seTE		Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Zhang et al. Sullivan et al.		0.2366 - 0.2155	-			[-1.96; -1.03] [-0.57; 0.27]	9.5% 9.7%
Di et al. Suvarna et al.		0.3790 0.2650				[-0.85; 0.63] [-0.34; 0.70]	8.1% 9.3%
Overmyer et al. Geyer et al.	0.24	0.2169 0.1907		+		[-0.19; 0.66] [0.04; 0.78]	9.7% 9.9%
Messner-Validation et al.	0.43	0.2202		-	0.43	[0.00; 0.86]	9.7%
Shen et al. Shu et al.		0.2457 0.4253		-	0.66 0.77	[0.18; 1.14] [-0.06; 1.60]	9.5% 7.6%
Babacic et al. Messner-Discovery et al.		0.4536 0.2216		-	- 0.81 1.12	[-0.08; 1.70] [0.68; 1.55]	7.3% 9.7%
Random effects model Prediction interval Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 88\%$, $p = 10$			1			[-0.18; 0.67] [-1.32; 1.81]	

Standardised Mean