C4d.,	CMD	SE(SMD)	Standardised Mean	CMD	050)/ CI	Waiah4
Study	SIVID	SE(SMD)	Difference	SMD	907	/o-CI	Weight
Sameh et al.	-1.2976	0.2940		-1.30	[-1.87; -	0.72]	5.5%
Babacic et al.	-0.9290	0.6868	- 	-0.93	[-2.28;	0.42]	3.3%
Zhang et al.	-0.8840	0.2165		-0.88	[-1.31; -	0.46]	5.9%
Suvarna et al.	-0.2506	0.2647		-0.25	[-0.77;	0.27]	5.7%
Spick et al.	-0.1420	0.2607	- 	-0.14	[-0.65;	0.37]	5.7%
Shu–Validation et al.	-0.0755	0.4977	- •	-0.08	[-1.05;	0.90]	4.3%
Ciccosanti et al.	0.1466	0.3878	- - - - - - - - - - 	0.15	[-0.61;	0.91]	5.0%
Byeon et al.	0.1795	0.3093	-	0.18	[-0.43;	0.79]	5.4%
Sullivan et al.	0.2246	0.2159	- i	0.22	[-0.20;	0.65]	5.9%
Messner-Validation et al.	0.3917	0.2197	 	0.39	[-0.04;	0.82]	5.9%
Geyer et al.	0.5655	0.1914	=-	0.57	[0.19;	0.94]	6.0%
Shen et al.	0.5797	0.2442	- 	0.58	[0.10;	1.06]	5.8%
Overmyer et al.	0.7300	0.2212	-	0.73	[0.30;	1.16]	5.9%
Feng et al.	0.8355	0.6062	+	0.84	[-0.35;	2.02]	3.7%
Messner-Discovery et al.	0.8755	0.2174	-	0.88	[0.45;	1.30]	5.9%
Ahern et al.	0.8841	0.2289		0.88	[0.44;	1.33]	5.9%
Shu–Discovery et al.	1.0082	0.4340		1.01	[0.16;	1.86]	4.7%
Mohammed et al.	1.4863	0.2279	-	1.49	[1.04;	1.93]	5.9%
Sahin et al.	1.9553	0.6277	-	1.96	[0.73;	3.19]	3.6%
Random effects model				0.32	[-0.02;	0.67]	100.0%
Prediction interval						_	
			-3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3				
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 86\%$, $p < 6$	< 0.01		-5 -2 -1 0 1 2 3				