Study	TE	seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Di et al.	-0.42	0.3824	- I	-0.42	[-1.17; 0.33]	6.0%
Overmyer et al.	-0.25	0.2170	- 	-0.25	[-0.68; 0.17]	11.4%
Shen et al.	-0.10	0.2393		-0.10	[-0.57; 0.36]	10.5%
Sullivan et al.	-0.08	0.2153		-0.08	[-0.50; 0.34]	11.5%
Geyer et al.	0.06	0.1928		0.06	[-0.32; 0.44]	12.6%
Messner-Validation et al.	0.13	0.2179	- i -	0.13	[-0.30; 0.56]	11.4%
Babacic et al.	0.14	0.4396		0.14	[-0.72; 1.01]	4.9%
Zhang et al.	0.27	0.2097	- 	0.27	[-0.14; 0.68]	11.8%
Suvarna et al.	0.56	0.2680	-	0.56	[0.03; 1.08]	9.3%
Messner-Discovery et al.	0.79	0.2332		0.79	[0.33; 1.24]	10.7%
Random effects model			:	0.12	[-0.09; 0.34]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-0.50; 0.75]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 50\%$, $p =$	= 0.03					

Standardised Mean

-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1