Study	TE	seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Shu et al.		0.4286			[-1.71; -0.03]	8.5%
Suvarna et al. Shen et al.		0.3117 0.2402			[-1.13; 0.09] [-0.73; 0.21]	10.7% 12.2%
Babacic et al.		0.5919 0.2333			[-1.25; 1.07]	6.1%
Geyer et al. Sullivan et al.		0.2333		0.08	[-0.37; 0.54] [-0.04; 0.81]	12.3% 12.6%
Messner–Validation et al.		0.2212		0.52	[0.08; 0.95]	12.5%
Overmyer et al. Messner–Discovery et al.		0.2198 0.2194		0.61 0.95	[0.18; 1.04] [0.52; 1.38]	12.5% 12.6%
Random effects model				0.15	[-0.22; 0.52]	100 0%
Prediction interval				0.15	[-0.22, 0.32] [-1.08; 1.39]	100.0 /0
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 76\%$, p	< 0.01					

Standardised Mean

-1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5