Study	TE	seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Messner-Validation et al.	-0.22	0.2659	-	-0.22	[-0.75; 0.30]	10.5%
Suvarna et al.	0.33	0.2891	- - 		[-0.24; 0.89]	10.3%
Sullivan et al.	0.49	0.2180	-	0.49	[0.06; 0.91]	10.9%
Geyer et al.	0.51	0.1911	-	0.51	[0.13; 0.88]	11.0%
Overmyer et al.	0.99	0.2252	-	0.99	[0.55; 1.43]	10.8%
Shen et al.	1.00	0.2538	<u> </u>	1.00	[0.50; 1.49]	10.6%
Di et al.	1.14	0.4053		1.14	[0.35; 1.94]	9.2%
Shu et al.	1.23	0.4440		1.23	[0.36; 2.10]	8.8%
Babacic et al.	1.41	0.4819		1.41	[0.47; 2.36]	8.4%
Zhang et al.	2.87	0.3498	-	2.87	[2.19; 3.56]	9.7%
Random effects model Prediction interval Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 85\%$, $p < 10$	< 0.01			0.94	[0.43; 1.45] [-0.92; 2.80]	100.0%

-3 -2 -1 0

2 3

Standardised Mean