Study	TE	seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Shu et al.	-2.02	0.4923 -	-	-2.02	[-2.99; -1.06]	7.6%
Babacic et al.	-1.21	0.4710		-1.21	[-2.14; -0.29]	7.8%
Messner-Validation et al.	-0.76	0.2500	<del>- • ·</del>	-0.76	[-1.25; -0.27]	10.5%
Shen et al.	-0.27	0.2402	-	-0.27	[-0.74; 0.20]	10.6%
Di et al.	-0.26	0.3802		-0.26	[-1.01; 0.48]	8.9%
Sullivan et al.	-0.26	0.2165	<del>- i-</del>	-0.26	[-0.68; 0.17]	10.9%
Overmyer et al.	-0.13	0.2166	-	-0.13	[-0.55; 0.30]	10.9%
Geyer et al.	0.25	0.1902	<del>    -</del>	0.25	[-0.13; 0.62]	11.2%
Zhang et al.	0.31	0.2077	+	0.31	[-0.10; 0.71]	11.0%
Messner-Discovery et al.	0.68	0.2354	-	0.68	[ 0.21; 1.14]	10.7%
Random effects model				-0.29	[-0.72; 0.14]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-1.83; 1.25]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 81\%$ , $p = 60\%$	< 0.01		1 1 1 1			
			_2 _1 0 1 2			

**Standardised Mean**