•										_
Messner-Validation et al.	-1.97 0.2	2659 —	- :	1			-1.97	[-2.49; -	-1.45]	10.2%
Di et al.	-0.84 0.3	3934 -		-			-0.84	[-1.61; -	-0.07]	9.1%
Shu et al.	-0.72 0.4	4237 -	1	+			-0.72	[-1.55;	0.11]	8.8%
Messner-Discovery et al.	$-0.36 \ 0.2$	2116		+			-0.36	[-0.77;	0.06]	10.6%
Geyer et al.	-0.29 0.1	1903		╫			-0.29	[-0.66;	0.09]	10.8%
Sullivan et al.	-0.19 0.2	2157	-				-0.19	[-0.61;	0.23]	10.6%
Overmyer et al.	$-0.10 \ 0.2$	2165	-	-			-0.10	[-0.53;	0.32]	10.6%
Babacic et al.	0.14 0.4	4396	<u>:</u>		_		0.14	[-0.73;	1.00]	8.7%
Suvarna et al.	0.81 0.2	2726		_			0.81	[ 0.28;	1.34]	10.2%
Shen et al.	0.89 0.2	2509	:	-	+		0.89	[ 0.39;	1.38]	10.4%
Random effects model			The state of the state of</td <td><b>\</b></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-0.26</td> <td>[-0.77;</td> <td>0.26]</td> <td>100.0%</td>	<b>\</b>			-0.26	[-0.77;	0.26]	100.0%
Prediction interval								[-2.16;	1.64]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 89\%$ , $p$	< 0.01									
		-2	<b>–1</b>	0	1	2				

TE seTE

Study

**Standardised Mean** 

Difference

SMD

95%-CI Weight