Gtarragi aroog moarr						
Study	TE	seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Shen et al.	-0.02	0.2392	-	-0.02	[-0.49; 0.45]	13.5%
Sullivan et al.	0.05	0.2153	_	0.05	[-0.38; 0.47]	14.3%
Messner-Validation et al.	0.14	0.3177	- - - - - - - - - - 	0.14	[-0.48; 0.77]	10.9%
Di et al.	0.35	0.3813	- • • • • • • • • • 	0.35	[-0.40; 1.10]	9.1%
Suvarna et al.	0.45	0.3909	- i -	0.45	[-0.32; 1.21]	8.9%
Geyer et al.	0.53	0.2027		0.53	[0.13; 0.93]	14.7%
Babacic et al.	0.56	0.4462		0.56	[-0.31; 1.44]	7.6%
Overmyer et al.	0.97	0.2247		0.97	[0.53; 1.41]	14.0%
Shu et al.	1.68	0.4694	-	- 1.68	[0.76; 2.60]	7.1%
Random effects model				0.47	[0.16; 0.78]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-0.45; 1.39]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 62\%$, $p < 0.01$						

Standardised Mean

-2 -1 0 1 2