Suvarna et al.	-0.2477	0.2959	<del>- +  </del>	-0.25 [-0.83; 0.33]	14.3%
Overmyer et al.	-0.0736	0.2165	<del></del>	-0.07 [-0.50; 0.35]	24.8%
Babacic et al.	-0.0367	0.4392		-0.04 [-0.90; 0.82]	6.8%
Geyer et al.	0.0834	0.5201		0.08 [-0.94; 1.10]	4.9%
Byeon et al.	0.2241	0.3096	<del>-   -</del>	0.22 [-0.38; 0.83]	13.1%
Feng et al.	0.2643	0.6087		0.26 [-0.93; 1.46]	3.6%
Shu-Validation et al.	0.6418	0.5060	-	- 0.64 [-0.35; 1.63]	5.2%
Shu-Discovery et al.	0.6542	0.4393	-	0.65 [-0.21; 1.52]	6.8%

-1.5 -1 -0.5 0

0.5

1.5

Standardised Mean

**Difference** 

**SMD** 

-0.39 [-0.86; 0.08]

-0.02 [-0.24; 0.21] 100.0%

[-0.36; 0.33]

95%-CI Weight

20.5%

**Prediction interval** 

Heterogeneity:  $I^2 = 0\%$ , p = 0.44

Random effects model

Study

Shen et al.

SMD SE(SMD)

0.2414

-0.3882