Study	TE	seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Sullivan et al.	-0.32 0	.2165	-	-0.32	[-0.74; 0.10]	12.2%
Shen et al.	-0.130	.2394	-	-0.13	[-0.60; 0.34]	12.0%
Di et al.	0.03 0	.3814	- • •	0.03	[-0.72; 0.78]	10.1%
Messner-Validation et al.	0.17 0	.2181	-	0.17	[-0.26; 0.60]	12.2%
Overmyer et al.	0.23 0	.2169	-	0.23	[-0.20; 0.65]	12.2%
Geyer et al.	0.30 0	.1903	-	0.30	[-0.07; 0.68]	12.5%
Messner-Discovery et al.	0.72 0	.2561	-	0.72	[0.22; 1.23]	11.8%
Babacic et al.	0.85 0	.4550	 	0.85	[-0.04; 1.74]	9.1%
Shu et al.	2.64 0	.5415	-	2.64	[1.58; 3.70]	7.9%
Random effects model				0.41	[-0.06; 0.87]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-1.20; 2.02]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 77\%$, p	< 0.01					

Standardised Mean

-3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3