Study	SMD	SE(SMD)	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Messner-Discovery et al.	0.0399	0.2105	- :	0.04	[-0.37; 0.45]	5.5%
Zhang et al.	0.0885	0.2066	-		[-0.32; 0.49]	5.5%
Feng et al.	0.1080	0.5778	- •	0.11	[-1.02; 1.24]	4.4%
Spick et al.	0.3368	0.2622	-	0.34	[-0.18; 0.85]	5.3%
Suvarna et al.	0.5110	0.2674	-	0.51	[-0.01; 1.04]	5.3%
Shen et al.	0.6826	0.2462		0.68	[0.20; 1.17]	5.4%
Overmyer et al.	0.6850	0.2206		0.68	[0.25; 1.12]	5.4%
Sullivan et al.	0.7540	0.2217		0.75	[0.32; 1.19]	5.4%
Di et al.	0.7588	0.3907	 	0.76	[-0.01; 1.52]	5.0%
Geyer et al.	0.9401	0.1939	=	0.94	[0.56; 1.32]	5.5%
Byeon et al.	1.1937	0.3359	-	1.19	[0.54; 1.85]	5.2%
Ciccosanti et al.	1.2903	0.4235	- !	1.29	[0.46; 2.12]	4.9%
Ahern et al.	1.6347	0.2383	-	1.63	[1.17; 2.10]	5.4%
Sameh et al.	1.8545	0.3198	-	1.85	[1.23; 2.48]	5.2%
Messner-Validation et al.	2.2237	0.2763	-	2.22	[1.68; 2.77]	5.3%
Shu-Validation et al.	2.2269	0.5910	+ -	2.23	[1.07; 3.39]	4.3%
Mohammed et al.	3.2040	0.2886	-	3.20	[2.64; 3.77]	5.3%
Shu-Discovery et al.	3.3505	0.6067		3.35	[2.16; 4.54]	4.3%
Babacic et al.	3.5340	0.6624	-	3.53	[2.24; 4.83]	4.1%
Sahin et al.	3.8464	0.8544	-	3.85	[2.17; 5.52]	3.4%
Random effects model			÷	1.37	[0.88; 1.86]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-0.90; 3.64]	
			1 1 1 1			
			-4 -2 0 2 4			
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 90\%$, $p < 0.01$						

Standardised Mean