Study	TE	seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Messner-Validation et a	al. –2.53	0.2915 -	-	-2.53	[-3.11; -1.96]	10.1%
Messner–Discovery et a	al. –0.59	0.2136		-0.59	[-1.01; -0.17]	10.5%
Sullivan et al.	-0.41	0.2172	-	-0.41	[-0.83; 0.02]	10.5%
Di et al.	-0.39	0.3820	- 	-0.39	[-1.14; 0.36]	9.5%
Shu et al.	-0.11	0.4131		-0.11	[-0.92; 0.70]	9.2%
Geyer et al.	-0.08	0.1900	-	-0.08	[-0.45; 0.30]	10.6%
Overmyer et al.	0.02	0.2164	-	0.02	[-0.41; 0.44]	10.5%
Shen et al.	0.42	0.2418	+	0.42	[-0.06; 0.89]	10.3%
Babacic et al.	0.90	0.4569	—	0.90	[0.00; 1.79]	8.9%
Suvarna et al.	1.09	0.2956	-	1.09	[0.51; 1.67]	10.0%
Random effects mode	ı			-0.18	[-0.80; 0.44]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-2.48; 2.12]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 91\%$, $p < 0.01$						

-3 -2 -1 0 1

Standardised Mean