Study	SMD	SE(SMD)	Standardised Mean Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Zhang et al.	-1.2891	0.2328		-1.29	[-1.75; -0.83]	6.0%
Sullivan et al.	0.0565	0.2153		0.06	[-0.37; 0.48]	6.1%
Spick et al.	0.1378	0.2606			[-0.37; 0.65]	
Feng et al.	0.1633	0.5785		0.16	[-0.97; 1.30]	3.4%
Byeon et al.	0.2677	0.3100		0.27	[-0.34; 0.88]	5.4%
Shen et al.	0.3541	0.2411	+	0.35	[-0.12; 0.83]	5.9%
Shu-Discovery et al.	0.3545	0.4155	- •	0.35	[-0.46; 1.17]	4.5%
Ciccosanti et al.	0.4835	0.3926		0.48	[-0.29; 1.25]	4.7%
Geyer et al.	0.5137	0.1912	- i -	0.51	[0.14; 0.89]	6.3%
Shu-Validation et al.	0.5179	0.5031	-	0.52	[-0.47; 1.50]	3.9%
Babacic et al.	0.6916	0.4498	+		[-0.19; 1.57]	
Messner-Discovery et al.	0.7371	0.2156	-	0.74	[0.31; 1.16]	6.1%
Messner-Validation et al.	0.8602	0.2274		0.86	[0.41; 1.31]	6.0%
Overmyer et al.	0.8851	0.2234	! + -	0.89	[0.45; 1.32]	6.1%
Di et al.	0.9001	0.3954		0.90	[0.13; 1.68]	4.7%
Suvarna et al.	0.9296	0.2803	-	0.93	[0.38; 1.48]	5.6%
Sahin et al.	1.2976	0.5731	-	— 1.30	[0.17; 2.42]	3.4%
Mohammed et al.	1.3172	0.2238		1.32	[0.88; 1.76]	6.1%
Sameh et al.	1.3828	0.2975		1.38	[0.80; 1.97]	5.5%
Random effects model			\Diamond	0.54	[0.25; 0.83]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-0.68; 1.76]	
			-2 -1 0 1 2			
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 82\%$, $p < 6$	< 0.01					