Study	TE	seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Zhang et al.	-0.35	0.2106		-0.35	[-0.76; 0.06]	9.7%
Di et al.	-0.30	0.3807		-0.30	[-1.05; 0.44]	8.3%
Suvarna et al.	-0.23	0.2645	-	-0.23	[-0.75; 0.29]	9.3%
Babacic et al.	0.01	0.4392	- • -	0.01	[-0.85; 0.87]	7.8%
Messner-Validation et al.	0.15	0.2180	-	0.15	[-0.27; 0.58]	9.7%
Overmyer et al.	0.21	0.2168	-	0.21	[-0.21; 0.64]	9.7%
Sullivan et al.	0.36	0.2168	 •	0.36	[-0.06; 0.79]	9.7%
Geyer et al.	0.62	0.1921	-	0.62	[0.24; 0.99]	9.9%
Messner-Discovery et al.	0.90	0.2242		0.90	[0.46; 1.34]	9.6%
Shen et al.	1.76	0.2824		1.76	[1.20; 2.31]	9.2%
Shu et al.	2.47	0.5268	-	2.47	[1.43; 3.50]	7.0%
Random effects model Prediction interval				0.47	[0.00; 0.95] [-1.31; 2.25]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 86\%$, p	< 0.01				- · · · -	

-3 -2 -1 0

Standardised Mean