Study	SMD	SE(SMD)	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Sahin et al.	-4.4429	0.9389		-4.44	[-6.28; -2.60]	3.5%
Babacic et al.	-2.9851	0.6069		-2.99	[-4.17; -1.80]	5.0%
Ahern et al.	-2.0555	0.2457	 :	-2.06	[-2.54; -1.57]	6.9%
Messner-Validation et al.	-1.6553	0.2518	-	-1.66	[-2.15; -1.16]	6.8%
Overmyer et al.	-1.3825	0.2331	-	-1.38	[-1.84; -0.93]	6.9%
Shu–Validation et al.	-1.2575	0.5292	-	-1.26	[-2.29; -0.22]	5.4%
Messner-Discovery et al.	-1.1343	0.2219	=======================================	-1.13	[-1.57; -0.70]	6.9%
Sameh et al.	-0.8447	0.2787	-	-0.84	[-1.39; -0.30]	6.7%
Byeon et al.	-0.7475	0.3196	-	-0.75	[-1.37; -0.12]	6.5%
Di et al.	-0.5290	0.3846	-	-0.53	[-1.28; 0.22]	6.2%
Shen et al.	-0.4883	0.2428	-	-0.49	[-0.96; -0.01]	6.9%
Shu–Discovery et al.	-0.2583	0.4143		-0.26	[-1.07; 0.55]	6.1%
Geyer et al.	-0.2191	0.1902		-0.22	[-0.59; 0.15]	7.1%
Sullivan et al.	0.0158	0.2153		0.02	[-0.41; 0.44]	7.0%
Mohammed et al.	0.0356	0.2082		0.04	[-0.37; 0.44]	7.0%
Feng et al.	0.1653	0.5785	-	0.17	[-0.97; 1.30]	5.2%
Random effects model			♦	-0.99	[-1.46; -0.52]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-2.95; 0.97]	
			-6 -4 -2 0 2 4	6		
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 88\%$, $p < 6$	< 0.01					

Standardised Mean