Study	TE seTI		ndardised M Difference	ean SMI	95%-CI	Weight
Suvarna et al.	-0.28 0.339		-		3 [-0.94; 0.39]	14.7%
Shen et al.	0.13 0.239	1		0.13	3 [-0.34; 0.60]	15.5%
Di et al.	0.24 0.380	)	_	0.24	1 [-0.50; 0.99]	14.4%
Overmyer et al.	0.29 0.217	2	-	0.29	9 [-0.14; 0.71]	15.7%
Sullivan et al.	0.48 0.218	)	<u> </u>	0.48	3 [ 0.06; 0.91]	15.7%
Babacic et al.	1.87 0.511	3		1.87	7 [0.87; 2.88]	13.1%
Shu et al.	3.32 0.713	5		3.32	2 [1.92; 4.72]	11.0%
Random effects mod	el			0.74	<b>[-0.06; 1.55]</b>	100.0%
Prediction interval		-			[-2.09; 3.57]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 81\%$	, <i>p</i> < 0.01				- · -	
Ç ,	•	-4 -2	2 0 2	2 4		