Study	TE seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Shen et al.	-0.35 0.6112		-0.35	[-1.55; 0.84]	4.5%
Geyer et al.	-0.35 0.1905	-	-0.35	[-0.72; 0.03]	12.0%
Babacic et al.	-0.31 0.4413	-	-0.31	[-1.18; 0.55]	6.7%
Zhang et al.	-0.13 0.5531		-0.13	[-1.21; 0.96]	5.2%
Suvarna et al.	-0.03 0.3193		-0.03	[-0.65; 0.60]	9.0%
Geyer et al.	0.30 0.2068	+ -	0.30	[-0.10; 0.71]	11.6%
Messner-Validation et	al. 0.31 0.2285	+ -	0.31	[-0.14; 0.75]	11.1%
Overmyer et al.	0.33 0.2174	+ -	0.33	[-0.09; 0.76]	11.3%
Sullivan et al.	0.66 0.2488		0.66	[0.17; 1.15]	10.6%
Shu et al.	1.04 0.4354	-	1.04	[0.19; 1.90]	6.8%
Messner-Discovery et	al. 1.10 0.2261		1.10	[0.66; 1.55]	11.1%
Random effects mode	el		0.28	[-0.02; 0.59]	100.0%
Prediction interval				[-0.71; 1.28]	

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 70\%$, p < 0.01

Standardised Mean

-1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5