	ean	I					
Study	TE seTE		Difference		SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Shen et al.	-0.79 0.2484				-0.79 [-	1.27; -0.30]	36.3%
Overmyer et al.	0.01 0.2164		+		0.01 [-	-0.42; 0.43]	38.1%
Babacic et al.	0.41 0.4429				0.41 [-	-0.46; 1.28]	25.6%
Random effects model Prediction interval Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 76\%$, $p = 0.02$		<u></u>			-	0.85; 0.49] 7.94; 7.58]	100.0%
					- L-	7.94, 7.56]	
		-5	0	5			