-								
Shu et al.	-4.55 0.7311				-4.55	[-5.98; -	-3.11]	7.9%
Messner-Validation e	t al1.44 0.2539		-		-1.44	[-1.94; -	-0.95]	10.3%
Geyer et al.	-0.77 0.1964		<u> </u>		-0.77	[–1.15; -	-0.38]	10.5%
Babacic et al.	-0.44 0.4434				-0.44	[-1.30;	0.43]	9.5%
Zhang et al.	-0.25 0.2572				-0.25	[-0.75;	0.25]	10.3%
Di et al.	-0.20 0.3796				-0.20	[-0.94;	0.54]	9.8%
Sullivan et al.	-0.11 0.2198				-0.11	[-0.54;	0.32]	10.4%
Shen et al.	0.04 0.2392		-		0.04	[-0.43;	0.51]	10.4%
Overmyer et al.	0.21 0.2168				0.21	[-0.21;	0.64]	10.5%
Suvarna et al.	0.80 0.2790		-		0.80	[0.25;	1.35]	10.2%
Random effects mod	lel				-0.58	[–1.36;	0 201	100 0%
Prediction interval					0.00	[-3.53;	_	100.070
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 89\%$, $p < 0.01$						[0.00,	2.57]	
rictorogeneity. 7 = 0970	, ρ < 0.01	-4 -	2 0 2	4				

TE seTE

Study

Standardised Mean

Difference

SMD

95%-CI Weight