•					•
Shu et al.	-1.74 0.4730	-	-1.74	[-2.67; -0.81]	9.2%
Di et al.	-0.50 0.3840	-	-0.50	[-1.25; 0.25]	10.3%
Overmyer et al.	0.05 0.2164	_	0.05	[-0.38; 0.47]	12.2%
Sullivan et al.	0.07 0.2168		0.07	[-0.35; 0.50]	12.2%
Shen et al.	0.10 0.2393	- - - -	0.10	[-0.37; 0.57]	12.0%
Geyer et al.	0.11 0.1930	-	0.11	[-0.26; 0.49]	12.4%
Babacic et al.	0.41 0.5974		0.41	[-0.76; 1.58]	7.8%
Messner-Discovery et al.	0.61 0.2295	-	0.61	[0.16; 1.06]	12.1%
Messner-Validation et al.	1.34 0.2428	-	- 1.34	[0.87; 1.82]	11.9%
Random effects model			0.09	[-0.41; 0.60]	100.0%
Prediction interval			_	[-1.68; 1.87]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 83\%$, $p \cdot$	< 0.01				
		-2 -1 0 1	2		

TE seTE

Study

Standardised Mean

Difference

SMD

95%-CI Weight