Study	SMD	SE(SMD)	Standardised Mean Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Zhang et al.	-0.5599	0.2105		-0.56	[-0.97; -0.15]	5.8%
Sameh et al.	-0.1329	0.2672	-	-0.13	[-0.66; 0.39]	5.6%
Di et al.	-0.1153	0.3791	-	-0.12	[-0.86; 0.63]	5.1%
Overmyer et al.	-0.0307	0.2164	-	-0.03	[-0.45; 0.39]	5.8%
Shu–Validation et al.	0.1092	0.4979		0.11	[-0.87; 1.08]	4.6%
Suvarna et al.	0.1591	0.2642	-	0.16	[-0.36; 0.68]	5.6%
Shen et al.	0.1659	0.2396	-	0.17	[-0.30; 0.64]	5.7%
Sullivan et al.	0.1729	0.2156	-	0.17	[-0.25; 0.60]	5.8%
Shu–Discovery et al.	0.3205	0.4150	- 	0.32	[-0.49; 1.13]	5.0%
Messner-Discovery et al.	0.4602	0.2124	-	0.46	[0.04; 0.88]	5.8%
Geyer et al.	0.6204	0.1917	-	0.62	[0.24; 1.00]	5.9%
Ciccosanti et al.	0.6456	0.3967	 	0.65	[-0.13; 1.42]	5.1%
Ahern et al.	0.9564	0.2296	 	0.96	[0.51; 1.41]	5.8%
Byeon et al.	1.2465	0.3383	! -	1.25	[0.58; 1.91]	5.3%
Feng et al.	1.6841	0.6870		1.68	[0.34; 3.03]	3.7%
Sahin et al.	1.8373	0.6167		1.84	[0.63; 3.05]	4.0%
Mohammed et al.	1.9123	0.2399	-	1.91	[1.44; 2.38]	5.7%
Messner-Validation et al.	2.2034	0.2753	-	2.20	[1.66; 2.74]	5.6%
Babacic et al.	3.0522	0.6135		3.05	[1.85; 4.25]	4.0%
Random effects model				0.71	[0.30; 1.12]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-1.10; 2.52]	
			-4 -2 0 2	4		
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 88\%$, $p < 6$	< 0.01		-4 -2 0 2	4		