Tepasse et al.	-1.1236	0.4298	-	-1.12	[-1.97; -0.28]	8.3%
Messner-Discovery et al.	-0.2905	0.2156		-0.29	[-0.71; 0.13]	13.2%
Shen et al.	-0.2658	0.2402	-	-0.27	[-0.74; 0.21]	12.6%
Shu–Discovery et al.	-0.2511	0.4142		-0.25	[-1.06; 0.56]	8.6%
Byeon et al.	-0.0792	0.3087		-0.08	[-0.68; 0.53]	10.9%
Babacic et al.	0.0992	0.4394	-	<b>–</b> 0.10	[-0.76; 0.96]	8.1%
Sullivan et al.	0.2139	0.2158		0.21	[-0.21; 0.64]	13.2%
Shu-Validation et al.	0.6384	0.5059	-	0.64	[-0.35; 1.63]	7.0%
Messner-Validation et al.	0.7593	0.6463	-	0.76	[-0.51; 2.03]	5.1%
Overmyer et al.	0.8212	0.2225	_	0.82	[ 0.39; 1.26]	13.0%

-1

0

1

2

-2

SMD SE(SMD)

Study

Random effects model

Heterogeneity:  $I^2 = 67\%$ , p < 0.01

Prediction interval

**Standardised Mean** 

**Difference** 

SMD

95%-CI Weight

0.03 [-0.31; 0.38] 100.0%

[-1.04; 1.11]