Study	TE seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Shu et al.	-1.33 0.4491		–1.33 [-	-2.21; -0.45]	12.7%
Shen et al.	-0.63 0.2451	- <u> </u>	-0.63 [-	-1.11; -0.15]	18.3%
Overmyer et al.	-0.59 0.2195		- 0.59 [-	-1.02; -0.16]	19.0%
Di et al.	-0.37 0.3844		-0.37	[-1.13; 0.38]	14.4%
Babacic et al.	-0.33 0.4415		-0.33	[-1.19; 0.54 <u>]</u>	12.9%
Suvarna et al.	0.36 0.4817		0.36	[-0.59; 1.30]	11.9%
Geyer et al.	1.05 0.5386	-	1.05	[0.00; 2.11]	10.7%
Random effects model			-0.34 [-0.81; 0.14]	100.0%
Prediction interval	_		Ī	-1.79 ; 1.12]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 6$	1%, <i>p</i> = 0.02			·	
	2	1 0 1	2		

Standardised Mean