Messner-Discovery et a	l. –1.5221	0.2307		-1.52 [-1.97; -1.07]	8.8%
Messner-Validation et a	l0.6453	0.2232		-0.65 [-1.08; -0.21]	8.9%
Sullivan et al.	-0.2604	0.2161	-	-0.26 [-0.68; 0.16]	8.9%
Byeon et al.	-0.0858	0.3088	<del></del>	-0.09 [-0.69; 0.52]	8.0%
Geyer et al.	-0.0674	0.1900	-	-0.07 [-0.44; 0.30]	9.2%
Overmyer et al.	0.0532	0.2165	<del>- i -</del>	0.05 [-0.37; 0.48]	8.9%
Sameh et al.	0.1255	0.2672		0.13 [-0.40; 0.65]	8.5%
Babacic et al.	0.2060	0.4401		0.21 [-0.66; 1.07]	6.7%
Sahin et al.	0.2457	0.5280		0.25 [-0.79; 1.28]	5.8%
Shen et al.	0.4183	0.2418	-	0.42 [-0.06; 0.89]	8.7%
Shu-Validation et al.	0.4532	0.5018	<del></del>	0.45 [-0.53; 1.44]	6.1%
Feng et al.	1.0675	0.6237	+ -	<b>1.07</b> [-0.16; 2.29]	5.0%
Shu-Discovery et al.	1.3795	0.4516	-	<b>1.38</b> [ 0.49; 2.26]	6.6%
			ř.		

-1

0

-2

**Standardised Mean** 

Difference

95%-CI Weight

0.02 [-0.36; 0.41] 100.0%

[-1.41; 1.46]

SMD

2

1

Heterogeneity:  $I^2 = 82\%$ , p < 0.01

Random effects model

Prediction interval

Study

SMD SE(SMD)