Study	TE seTE		dardised Me Difference	ean	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Babacic et al.	-0.85 0.6162		+		-0.85	[-2.06; 0.35]	24.1%
Di et al.	0.18 0.3795		-		0.18	[-0.57; 0.92]	39.6%
Suvarna et al.	0.66 0.4194				0.66	[-0.16; 1.48]	36.4%
Random effects model Prediction interval Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 51\%$, $p = 0.13$			\rightarrow		0.10	[-0.64; 0.85]	100.0%
				\neg		[-7.60; 7.80]	
rieterogeneity. 1 – 3	1 /0, p = 0.13	-5	0	5			