Study	SMD	SE(SMD)	Standardised Mean Difference	SMD	95%_(CI Weight
otuuy	OIIID	OL(OMD)	Dinioronos	OIIID	0070	or worging
Shu-Validation et al.	-1.1375	0.5236		-1.14	[-2.16; -0.1	1] 1.7%
Di et al.	-0.9724	0.5039		-0.97	[-1.96; 0.0	2] 1.8%
Babacic et al.	-0.4893	0.4445		-0.49	[-1.36; 0.3	8] 2.3%
Shen et al.	-0.3315	0.2408		-0.33	[-0.80; 0.1	4] 7.6%
Sameh et al.	-0.2841	0.2683		-0.28	[-0.81; 0.2	4] 6.2%
Geyer et al.	-0.2152	0.2628		-0.22	[-0.73; 0.3	0] 6.5%
Sullivan et al.	-0.2086	0.2158		-0.21	[-0.63; 0.2	1] 9.4%
Byeon et al.	-0.1919	0.3093		-0.19	[-0.80; 0.4	1] 4.7%
Feng et al.	-0.1589	0.5784		-0.16	[-1.29; 0.9	7] 1.4%
Overmyer et al.	-0.1228	0.2166		-0.12	[-0.55; 0.3	0] 9.3%
Messner-Discovery et al.	-0.0797	0.2120	- 1	-0.08	[-0.50; 0.3	4] 9.7%
Suvarna et al.	0.0465	0.2815		0.05	[-0.51; 0.6	0] 5.7%
Zhang et al.	0.0504	0.2621		0.05	[-0.46; 0.5	6] 6.5%
Messner-Validation et al.	0.1855	0.2181	+-	0.19	[-0.24; 0.6	1] 9.2%
Mohammed et al.	0.2616	0.2088	+ -	0.26	[-0.15; 0.6	7] 10.0%
Shu–Discovery et al.	0.3300	0.4152		0.33	[-0.48; 1.1	4] 2.7%
Spick et al.	0.4803	0.2873	-	0.48	[-0.08; 1.0	4] 5.4%
Random effects model				-0.07	[-0.21; 0.0	6] 100.0%
Prediction interval			<u></u>		[-0.26; 0.1	2]
			-2 -1 0 1 2			
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 20\%$, $p =$	= 0.22		-2 -1 0 1 2			