Study	SMD	SE(SMD)	Standardised Mean Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Sullivan et al.	−0.1465	0.2155		−0.15	[−0.57; 0.28]	8.9%
Shen et al.	−0.1235	0.2394		−0.12	[−0.59; 0.35]	8.8%
Geyer et al.	−0.1151	0.2727		−0.12	[−0.65; 0.42]	8.5%
Suvarna et al.	0.4510	0.2666		0.45	[−0.07; 0.97]	8.6%
Shu−Discovery et al.	0.5658	0.4196		0.57	[−0.26; 1.39]	7.3%
Overmyer et al.	0.5721	0.2194		0.57	[0.14; 1.00]	8.9%
Shu−Validation et al.	0.7624	0.5094		0.76	[−0.24; 1.76]	6.5%
Di et al.	1.0686	0.4021		1.07	[0.28; 1.86]	7.4%
Byeon et al.	1.1615	0.3345		1.16	[0.51; 1.82]	8.0%
Ciccosanti et al.	1.4023	0.4297		1.40	[0.56; 2.24]	7.2%
Babacic et al.	1.6876	0.4989		1.69	[0.71; 2.67]	6.6%
Feng et al.	2.0697	0.7368		2.07	[0.63; 3.51]	4.8%
Mohammed et al.	2.2045	0.2495		2.20	[1.72; 2.69]	8.7%
Random effects model				**0.82**	**[0.38; 1.26]**	**100.0%**
Prediction interval					**[−0.84; 2.48]**	

−3 −2 −1 0 1 2 3

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 86\%$, $p < 0.01$