# A Theorical Analysis of Fitted Q-Iteration

### Jacob Harder University of Copenhagen

March 12, 2020

#### 1 Abstract

### 2 Foreword

The main purpose of this master thesis for me, has been to uncover what (at present) it is possible to say (mathematically) about the convergence of Q-learning algorithms. In particular Q-learning algorithms using (deep) ANNs.

I came to realize during my reading of [TODO ref to YangXieWang] that it is quite error-prone with some errors not obviously fixable.

## 3 Disambiguation

- $[\phi] = 1$  when  $\phi$  is true/holds and 0 otherwise, for a logical formula  $\phi$ .
- $[q] = \{1, \dots, q\}$  for  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- $C_{\mathbb{K}}(X) = \{ f : X \to \mathbb{K} \mid f \text{ continuous} \}, \ \mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}. \ C(X) = C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$
- ANN abrv. artificial neural network see definition 2.
- $\delta_a$  Dirac-measure of point a. I.e.  $\delta_a(A) = [a \in A]$ .
- $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  the underlying measure space of all random variables and processes when not otherwise specified.
- $\mathbb{B}_n$  the *n*-dimensional Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra.

#### 3.1 Notational deviations from [TODO ref YangXieWang]

Because  $\sigma$  is used ambigously in theorem 1 we denote the probability distribution ' $\sigma$ ' from [YangX-ieWang, thm. 6.2, p. 20] by  $\nu$  instead.

I dislike the shorthand defined in [YangXieWang, p. 26 bottom]:  $||f||_n^2 = 1/n \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i)^2$ . This is partially due to inconsistencies and abuse of this notation employed. For example  $||f||_n$  is used as  $1/n \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i)$  as opposed another likely interpretation  $\sqrt{||f||_n^2}$ , whereas  $||f||_n^{-1}$  is used to mean  $1/(||f||_n)$ . This is avoided by using finite dimensional *p*-norms instead. The conversion to my notation thus becomes  $||f||_n \leadsto ||f||_1^2 \leadsto ||f||_n^2 \leadsto ||f||_n^{-1} \leadsto n||f||_1^{-1}$ .

#### 4 Introduction

#### 4.1 Reinforcement Learning

In Reinforcement Learning (RL) we are concerned with finding an optimal policy for an agent in some environment. Typically (also in the case of Q-learning) this environment is a Markov decision process

**Definition 1.** A Markov decision process (MDP)  $(S, A, P, R, \gamma)$  consists of

- S a set of states
- $\mathcal{A}$  a set of actions
- $P: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$  its Markov transition kernel
- $R: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  its immediate reward distribution
- $\gamma \in (0,1)$  the discount factor

A policy (for an MDP) is a function

$$\pi: \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$$

With this we can define the state-value function  $V^{\pi}: \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{R}$ 

$$V^{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{t \ge 0} \gamma^t R_t \mid R_t \sim R(S_t, A_t), S_t \sim P(S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}), A_t \sim \pi(S_t), S_0 = s\right)$$

And the state-action-value (Q-) function  $Q^{\pi}: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ 

$$Q^{\pi}(s, a) = \mathbb{E}(R(s, a) + \gamma V^{\pi}(S_0) \mid S_0 \sim P(s, a))$$

The optimal Q-function is defined as

$$Q^*(s,a) = \sup_{\pi} Q^{\pi}(s,a)$$

One can show that there is a policy  $\pi^*$  such that  $Q^* = Q^{\pi^*}$ . This is the optimal policy - the goal of RL.

Note that  $V^{\pi}$ ,  $Q^{\pi}$  and  $Q^*$  are usually infeasible to calculate to machine precision, unless  $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}$  is finite and not very big.

#### 4.2 Q-Learning

Let  $\pi: \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  be a policy. We define the operator

$$(P^{\pi}Q)(s,a) = \mathbb{E}(Q(S',A') \mid S' \sim P(s,a), A' \sim \pi(S'))$$

Intuitively this operator yields the expected state-action-value function when looking one step ahead following the policy  $\pi$  and taking expectation of Q.

We define the operator  $T^{\pi}$  called the Bellman operator by

$$(T^{\pi}Q)(s,a) = \mathbb{E}R(s,a) + \gamma(P^{\pi}Q)(s,a)$$

This operator adjust the Q function to look more like  $Q^{\pi}$  making one "iteration" of "propagation of rewards" discounting with  $\gamma$ . Indeed it is easily seen that  $Q^{\pi}$  is a fixed point for  $T^{\pi}$ .

A greedy policy  $\pi$  with respect to a state-action value function Q is a policy which deterministically chooses an action with maximal value of Q for each state. That is  $\pi(s) = \delta_a$  for some  $a \in \operatorname{argmax}_a Q(s, a)$ . We then write  $\pi = \pi_Q$ . With this we can define the operator T:

$$TQ = T^{\pi_Q}Q$$

called the Bellman optimality operator.

The Bellman optimality equation can then be written  $Q^* = TQ^*$ .

**Proposition 1.**  $Q^{\pi}$  is the unique fixed point of  $T^{\pi}$ .

*Proof.* Clearly 
$$T^{\pi}Q^{\pi} = Q^{\pi}$$
. [TODO: rest of this proof]

#### 4.3 Artificial Neural Networks

**Definition 2.** An **ANN** (Artificial Neural Network) with structure  $\{d_i\}_{i=0}^{L+1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , activation functions  $\sigma_i = (\sigma_{ij} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R})_{j=1}^{d_i}$  and weights  $\{W_i \in M^{d_i \times d_{i-1}}, v_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i}\}_{i=1}^{L+1}$  is the function  $F : \mathbb{R}^{d_0} \to \mathbb{R}^{d_{L+1}}$ 

$$F = w_{L+1} \circ \sigma_L \circ w_L \circ \sigma_{L-1} \circ \cdots \circ w_1$$

where  $w_i$  is the affine function  $x \mapsto W_i x + v_i$  for all i.

Here  $\sigma_i(x_1, ..., x_{d_i}) = (\sigma_{i1}(x_1), ..., \sigma_{id_i}(x_{d_i})).$ 

 $L \in \mathbb{N}_0$  is called the number of hidden layers.

 $d_i$  is the number of neurons or nodes in layer i.

An ANN is called *deep* if there are two or more hidden layers.

#### 4.4 Fitted Q-Iteration

We here present the algorithm which everything in this paper revolves around:

#### Algorithm 1: Fitted Q-Iteration Algorithm

**Input:** MDP  $(S, A, P, R, \gamma)$ , function class  $\mathcal{F}$ , sampling distribution  $\nu$ , number of iterations K, number of samples n, initial estimator  $\widetilde{Q}_0$ 

for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, K - 1$  do

Sample i.i.d. observations  $\{(S_i, A_i), i \in [n]\}$  from  $\nu$  obtain  $R_i \sim R(S_i, A_i)$  and  $S'_i \sim P(S_i, A_i)$ 

Let  $Y_i = R_i + \gamma \cdot \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \widetilde{Q}_k(S_i', a)$ 

Update action-value function:

$$\widetilde{Q}_{k+1} \leftarrow \underset{f \in \mathcal{F}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - f(S_i, A_i))^2$$

Define  $\pi_K$  as the greedy policy w.r.t.  $\widetilde{Q}_K$ 

**Output:** An estimator  $Q_K$  of  $Q^*$  and policy  $\pi_K$ 

## 5 Measure Theory

We are mostly concerned with a random process

$$(Z_i)_{i=1}^K = (S_i, A_i, R_i)_{i=1}^K \in (S \times A \times (0, R_{\text{max}}))^K$$
 (1)

where  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is compact and A is finite, so we can model this as a discrete (and finite) time random process in a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  having the Markov property, namely that

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_j \in A \mid Z_{j-1}, \dots, Z_1) = \mathbb{P}(Z_j \in A \mid Z_{j-1})$$
(2)

These random variables live on some background probability space, denote this  $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{P})$ .

# 6 Assumptions

#### 6.1 Assumption 1: Holder Smoothness

**Definition 3.** For  $s, V \in \mathbb{R}$  a (s,V)-**Sparse ReLU Network** is an ANN f with any structure  $\{d_i\}_{i\in[L+1]}$ , all activation functions being ReLU i.e.  $\sigma_{ij} = \max(\cdot,0)$  and any weights  $(W_\ell, v_\ell)$  satisfying

- $\max_{\ell \in [L+1]} \left\| \widetilde{W}_{\ell} \right\|_{\infty} \le 1$
- $\bullet \ \textstyle \sum_{\ell=1}^{L+1} \left\| \widetilde{W}_{\ell} \right\|_0 \leq s$
- $\max_{j \in [d_{L+1}]} ||f_j||_{\infty} \leq V$

Here  $\widetilde{W}_{\ell} = (W_{\ell}, v_{\ell})$ . The set of them we denote  $\mathcal{F}(s, V)$ .

**Definition 4.** Let  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^r$  be compact and  $\beta, H > 0$ . A function  $f: \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}$  we call Holder smooth if

$$\sum_{\alpha: |\alpha| < \beta} \lVert \partial^{\alpha} f \rVert_{\infty} + \sum_{\alpha: \lVert \alpha \rVert_{1} = \lfloor \beta \rfloor} \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|\partial^{\alpha} (f(x) - f(y))|}{\lVert x - y \rVert_{\infty}^{\beta - \lfloor \beta \rfloor}} \leq H$$

Where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ . We write  $f \in C_r(\mathcal{D}, \beta, H)$ .

**Definition 5.** Let  $t_j, p_j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t_j \leq p_j$  and  $H_j, \beta_j > 0$  for  $j \in [q]$ . We say that f is a Composition of Holder smooth Functions when

$$f = g_a \circ \cdots \circ g_1$$

for some functions  $g_j: [a_j, b_j]^{p_j} \to [a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}]^{p_{j+1}}$  that only depend on  $t_j$  of their inputs for each of their components  $g_{jk}$ , and satisfies  $g_{jk} \in C_{t_j}([a_j, b_j]_j^t, \beta_j, H_j)$ , i.e. they are Holder smooth. We denote the class of these functions

$$\mathcal{G}(\{p_j, t_j, \beta_j, H_j\}_{j \in [q]})$$

**Definition 6.** Define

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \{ f : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R} \mid f(\cdot, a) \in \mathcal{F}(s, V) \ \forall a \in \mathcal{A} \}$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \{ f : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R} \mid f(\cdot, a) = \mathcal{G}(\{p_j, t_j, \beta_t, H_j\}_{j \in [q]}) \ \forall a \in \mathcal{A} \}$$

**Assumption 1.** It is assumed that  $Tf \in \mathcal{G}_0$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}_0$ .

I.e. when using the Bellman optimality operator on our sparse ReLU networks, we should stay in the class of compositions of Holder smooth functions.

#### 6.2Assumption 2: Concentration Coefficients

**Definition 7** (Concentration coefficients). Let  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A})$  be probability measures, absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $m_{\lambda}$  Define

$$\kappa(m, \nu_1, \nu_2) = \sup_{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{v_2} \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}(P^{\pi_m} \dots P^{\pi_1} \nu_1)}{\mathrm{d}\nu_2} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

**Assumption 2.** Let  $\nu$  be the sampling distribution from the algorithm, and  $\mu$  the distribution over which we measure the error in the main theorem, then we assume

$$(1-\gamma)^2 \sum_{m>1} \gamma^{m-1} m \kappa(m,\mu,\nu) = \phi_{\mu,\nu} < \infty$$

#### 7 Main theorem

**Theorem 1** (Yang, Xie, Wang). For any  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $Q^{\pi_K}$  be the action-value function corresponding to policy  $\pi_K$  which is returned by Algorithm 1, when run with a sparse ReLU network on the form

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \{ f(\cdot, a) \in \mathcal{F}(L^*, \{d_j^*\}_{j=0}^{L^*+1}, s^*) \mid a \in \mathcal{A} \}$$

where

$$L^* \lesssim (\log n)^{\xi'}, d_0 = r, d_i^*, d_{L+1} = 1, \lesssim n^{\xi'}, s^* \asymp n^{\alpha^*} \cdot (\log n)^{\xi'}$$

Let  $\mu$  be any distribution over  $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}$ . Under assumption 1 and assumption 2

$$\|Q^* - Q^{\pi_K}\|_{1,\mu} \le C \cdot \frac{\phi_{\mu,\nu} \cdot \gamma}{(1-\gamma)^2} \cdot |\mathcal{A}| \cdot (\log n)^{\xi^*} \cdot n^{(\alpha^*-1)/2} + \frac{4\gamma^{K+1}}{(1-\gamma)^2} \cdot R_{\max}$$

Here  $C, \xi', \xi^*, \phi_{\mu,\nu} \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\alpha^* \in (0,1)$  are constants depending on the assumptions and  $R_{\text{max}}$ the maximum possible reward.

### 8 Proofs

Proof of main theorem. Using theorem 2 we get

$$\|Q^* - Q^{\pi_K}\|_{1,\mu} \le \frac{2\phi_{\mu,\nu}\gamma}{(1-\gamma)^2} + \frac{4\gamma^{K+1}}{(1-\gamma)^2} R_{\text{max}}$$
(3)

where  $\varepsilon_{\max} = \max_{k \in [K]} \left\| T \widetilde{Q}_{k-1} - \widetilde{Q}_k \right\|_{2,\nu}$ . Using theorem 3 with  $Q = \widetilde{Q}_{k-1}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0$ ,  $\epsilon = 1$  and  $\delta = 1/n$ , we get

$$\varepsilon_{\text{max}} \le 4n^{-1}V_{\text{max}}^2 + 12\delta V_{\text{max}} + 2\omega(\mathcal{F}_0) + 8\sqrt{2}V_{\text{max}}n^{-1/2}\sqrt{\log N_0} + 16V_{\text{max}}n^{-1}$$
 (4)

where  $N_0 = |\mathcal{N}(1/n, \mathcal{F}_0, ||\cdot||_{\infty})|$ . To bound  $\omega(\mathcal{F}_0)$  we want to employ the following lemma by

**Lemma 1** (Approximation of Hölder Smooth Functions). Let  $m, M \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $N \ge \max\{(\beta + 1)^r, (H+1)\}$ ,  $L=8+(m+5)(1+\lceil \log_2 r \rceil)$ ,  $d_0=r, d_j=12rN, d_{L+1}=1$ . Then for any  $g \in \mathcal{C}_r([0,1]^r,\beta,H)$  there exists a ReLU network  $f \in \mathcal{F}(L,\{d_j\}_{j=0}^{L+1},s,V_{\max})$  with  $s \le 94r^2(\beta+1)^{2r}N(m+6)(1+\lceil \log_2 r \rceil)$  such that

$$||f - g||_{\infty} \le (2H + 1)3^{r+1}N2^{-m} + H2^{\beta}N^{-\beta/r}$$

Therefore the first step is to refit our Hölder Smooth compositions in  $\mathcal{G}_0$  to be defined on a hyper-cube instead. This is a relatively simple procedure:

Let  $f \in \mathcal{G}_0$  then  $f(\cdot, a) \in \mathcal{G}(\{p_j, t_j, \beta_j, H_j\})$  so let  $f(\cdot, a) = g_q \circ \cdots \circ g_1$  where  $g_{jk} \in C_{t_j}([a_j, b_j]^{t_j}, \beta_j, H_j)$  for each  $j \in [q]$  and  $k \in [p_{j+1}]$ . Define

$$h_1 = g_1/(2H_1) + 1/2 \tag{5}$$

$$h_j(u) = g_j(2H_{j-1}u - H_{j-1})/(2H_j) + 1/2, \qquad j \in \{2, \dots, q-1\}$$
 (6)

$$h_q(u) = g_q(2H_{q-1}u - H_{q-1}) \tag{7}$$

Then  $g_q \circ \cdots \circ g_1 = h_q \circ \cdots \circ h_1$  and

$$h_{1k} \in C_{t_1}([0,1]^{t_1},\beta_1,1)$$
 (8)

$$h_{ik} \in C_{t,i}([0,1]^{t_j}, \beta_i, (2H_{i-1})^{\beta_j})$$
 (9)

$$h_q \in C_{t_q}([0,1]^{t_q}, \beta_q, H_q(2H_{q-1})^{\beta_q})$$
(10)

**Theorem 2** (Error Propagation). Let  $\{\widetilde{Q}_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq K}$  be the iterates of the fitted Q-iteration algorithm. Then

 $\|Q^* - Q^{\pi_K}\|_{1,\mu} \le \frac{2\phi_{\mu,\nu}\gamma}{(1-\gamma)^2} \cdot \varepsilon_{\max} + \frac{4\gamma^{K+1}}{(1-\gamma)^2} \cdot R_{\max}$ 

Where

$$\varepsilon_{\max} = \max_{k \in [K]} \left\| T\widetilde{Q}_{k-1} - \widetilde{Q}_k \right\|_{2,\nu}$$

**Lemma 2.**  $TQ \geq T^{\pi}Q$  for any policy  $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  and any action value function  $Q : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ . *Proof.* 

$$(TQ)(s, a) = \mathbb{E}\left(R(s, a) + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(S', a') \mid S' \sim P(\cdot \mid s, a)\right)$$

$$\geq \mathbb{E}\left(R(s, a) + \gamma Q(S', A') \mid S' \sim P(\cdot \mid s, a), A' \sim \pi(\cdot \mid S')\right)$$

$$= T^{\pi}Q(s, a)$$

**Lemma 3.** Let  $f: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$  be an action-value function,  $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m$  be policies and  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A})$  be a probability measure. Then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu}[(P^{\tau_m} \dots P^{\tau_1})(f)] \leq \kappa(k-i+j;\mu,\nu) \|f\|_{2,\nu}$$

For any measure  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A})$  which is absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $(P^{\tau_m} \dots P^{\tau_1})(\mu)$ . Here  $\kappa$  is the concentration coefficients defined in definition 7.

*Proof.* Recall that

$$\kappa(m; \mu, \nu) := \sup_{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{\nu} \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}(P^{\pi_m} \dots P^{\pi_1} \mu)}{\mathrm{d}\nu} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}$$
$$= \sup_{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m} \left\| \frac{\mathrm{d}(P^{\pi_m} \dots P^{\pi_1} \mu)}{\mathrm{d}\nu} \right\|_{2, \nu}$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu}[(P^{\tau_m} \dots P^{\tau_1})(f)] = \int (P^{\tau_m} \dots P^{\tau_1})(f) \,\mathrm{d}\mu$$
 (11)

$$= \int f \, \mathrm{d}(P^{\tau_m} \dots P^{\tau_1} \mu) \tag{12}$$

$$= \int f \frac{\mathrm{d}(P^{\tau_m} \dots P^{\tau_1} \mu)}{\mathrm{d}\nu} \,\mathrm{d}\nu \tag{13}$$

$$\leq \left\| \frac{\mathrm{d}(P^{\tau_m} \dots P^{\tau_1} \mu)}{\mathrm{d}\nu} \right\|_{2,\nu} \cdot \|f\|_{2,\nu} \tag{14}$$

$$\leq \kappa(m,\mu,\nu) \|f\|_{2,\nu} \tag{15}$$

Where eq. (13) is due to the Radon-Nikodym theorem and eq. (14) is Cauchy-Schwarz.

Proof of theorem 2. First some things to keep in mind during the proof. Recall that  $V_{\text{max}} = R_{\text{max}}/(1-\gamma)$  and that  $\pi_Q$  is the greedy policy w.r.t. Q. Denote

$$\pi_i = \pi_{\widetilde{Q}_i}, \ Q_{i+1} = T\widetilde{Q}_i, \ \varrho_i = Q_i - \widetilde{Q}_i, \ \text{ for } i \in \{0, \dots, K+1\}$$

Note that for any policy  $\pi$ ,  $P^{\pi}$  is linear and 1-contrative on  $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A})$ . Also

$$T^{\pi}Q^{\pi} = Q^{\pi}, \ TQ = T^{\pi_Q}Q, \ TQ^* = Q^* = Q^{\pi^*}$$

where  $\pi^*$  is greedy w.r.t.  $Q^*$ . If f > f' for  $f, f' : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$  then  $P^{\pi} f \geq P^{\pi} f'$ .

The proof consists of four steps.

**Step 1** We start by relating  $Q^* - Q^{\pi_K}$ , the quantity of interest, to  $Q^* - \widetilde{Q}_K$ , which is more related to the output of the algorithm. Using lemma 2 we can make the upper bound

$$Q^{*} - Q^{\pi_{K}} = T^{\pi^{*}}Q^{*} - T^{\pi_{K}}Q^{\pi_{K}}$$

$$= T^{\pi^{*}}Q^{*} + (T^{\pi^{*}}\widetilde{Q}_{K} - T^{\pi^{*}}\widetilde{Q}_{K}) + (T\widetilde{Q}_{K} - T\widetilde{Q}_{K}) - T^{\pi_{K}}Q^{\pi_{K}}$$

$$= (T^{\pi^{*}}\widetilde{Q}_{K} - T\widetilde{Q}_{K}) + (T^{\pi^{*}}Q^{*} - T^{\pi^{*}}\widetilde{Q}_{K}) + (T\widetilde{Q}_{K} - T^{\pi_{K}}Q^{\pi_{K}})$$

$$\leq (T^{\pi^{*}}Q^{*} - T^{\pi^{*}}\widetilde{Q}_{K}) + (T\widetilde{Q}_{K} - T^{\pi_{K}}Q^{\pi_{K}})$$

$$= (T^{\pi^{*}}Q^{*} - T^{\pi^{*}}\widetilde{Q}_{K}) + (T^{\pi_{K}}\widetilde{Q}_{K} - T^{\pi_{K}}Q^{\pi_{K}})$$

$$= \gamma P^{\pi^{*}}(Q^{*} - \widetilde{Q}_{K}) + \gamma P^{\pi_{K}}(\widetilde{Q}_{K} - Q^{\pi_{K}})$$

$$= \gamma (P^{\pi^{*}} - P^{\pi_{K}})(Q^{*} - \widetilde{Q}_{K}) + \gamma P^{\pi_{K}}(Q^{*} - Q^{\pi_{K}})$$
(16)

This implies

$$(I - \gamma P^{\pi_K})(Q^* - Q^{\pi_K}) \le \gamma (P^{\pi^*} - P^{\pi_K})(Q^* - \widetilde{Q}_K)$$

Since  $\gamma P^{\pi_K}$  is  $\gamma$ -contractive,  $U = (I - \gamma P^{\pi_K})^{-1}$  exists as a bounded operator on  $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A})$  and equals

$$U = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma^i (P^{\pi_K})^i$$

From this we also see that  $f \geq f' \implies Uf \geq Uf'$  for any  $f, f' : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore we can apply U on both sides of eq. (16) to obtain

$$Q^* - Q^{\pi_K} \le \gamma U^{-1} (P^{\pi^*} (Q^* - \widetilde{Q}_K) - P^{\pi_K} (Q^* - \widetilde{Q}_K))$$
(17)

**Step 2** Using lemma 2 for any  $i \in [K]$  we can get an upper bound

$$Q^{*} - \widetilde{Q}_{i+1} = Q^{*} + (T\widetilde{Q}_{i} - T\widetilde{Q}_{i}) - \widetilde{Q}_{i+1} + (T^{\pi^{*}}\widetilde{Q}_{i} - T^{\pi^{*}}\widetilde{Q}_{i})$$

$$= (Q^{*} - T^{\pi^{*}}\widetilde{Q}_{i}) + (T\widetilde{Q}_{i} - \widetilde{Q}_{i+1}) + (T^{\pi^{*}}\widetilde{Q}_{i} - T\widetilde{Q}_{i})$$

$$= (T^{\pi^{*}}Q^{*} - T^{\pi^{*}}\widetilde{Q}_{i}) + \varrho_{i+1} + (T^{\pi^{*}}\widetilde{Q}_{i} - T\widetilde{Q}_{i})$$

$$\leq T^{\pi^{*}}Q^{*} - T^{\pi^{*}}\widetilde{Q}_{i} + \varrho_{i+1}$$

$$= \gamma P^{\pi^{*}}(Q^{*} - \widetilde{Q}_{i}) + \varrho_{i+1}$$
(18)

and a lower bound

$$Q^* - \widetilde{Q}_{i+1} = Q^* + (T\widetilde{Q}_i - T\widetilde{Q}_i) - \widetilde{Q}_{i+1} + (T^{\pi_i}Q^* - T^{\pi_i}Q^*)$$

$$= (T^{\pi_i}Q^* - T^{\pi_i}\widetilde{Q}_i) + \varrho_{i+1} + (TQ^* - T^{\pi_i}Q^*)$$

$$\geq T^{\pi_i}Q^* - T^{\pi_i}\widetilde{Q}_i + \varrho_{i+1}$$

$$= \gamma P^{\pi_i}(Q^* - \widetilde{Q}_i) + \varrho_{i+1}$$
(19)

Applying eq. (18) and eq. (19) iteratively we get

$$Q^* - \widetilde{Q}_K \le \gamma^K (P^{\pi^*})^K (Q^* - \widetilde{Q}_0) + \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \gamma^{K-1-i} (P^{\pi^*})^{K-1-i} \varrho_{i+1}$$
 (20)

and

$$Q^* - \widetilde{Q}_K \ge \gamma^K (P^{\pi_{K-1}} \dots P^{\pi_0})(Q^* - \widetilde{Q}_0) + \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \gamma^{K-1-i} (P^{\pi_{K-1}} \dots P^{\pi_{i+1}}) \varrho_{i+1}$$
 (21)

Step 3 Combining eq. (20) and eq. (21) with eq. (17) we get

$$Q^* - Q^{\pi_K} \le U^{-1} \left( \gamma^{K+1} ((P^{\pi^*})^{K+1} - P^{\pi_K} \dots P^{\pi_0}) (Q^* - \widetilde{Q}_0) + \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \gamma^{K-i} ((P^*)^{K-i} - P^{\pi_K} \dots P^{\pi_{i+1}}) \varrho_{i+1} \right)$$
(22)

For shorthand define constants

$$\alpha_i = \frac{(1-\gamma)\gamma^{K-i-1}}{1-\gamma^{K+1}} \text{ for } 0 \le i \le K-1 \text{ and } \alpha_K = \frac{(1-\gamma)\gamma^K}{1-\gamma^{K+1}}$$
 (23)

(note that  $\sum_{i=0}^{K} \alpha_i = 1$ ) and operators

$$O_i = (1 - \gamma)/2U^{-1}[(P^{\pi^*})^{K-i} + (P^{\pi_K} \dots P^{\pi_{i+1}})]$$
(24)

$$O_K = (1 - \gamma)/2U^{-1}[(P^{\pi^*})^{K+1} + (P^{\pi_K} \dots P^{\pi_0})]$$
(25)

Then by eq. (22)

$$|Q^* - Q^{\pi_K}| \le \frac{2\gamma(1 - \gamma^{K+1})}{(1 - \gamma)^2} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \alpha_i O_i |\varrho_{i+1}| + \alpha_K O_K |Q^* - \widetilde{Q}_0| \right]$$
(26)

So by linearity of expectation

$$\|Q^* - Q^{\pi_K}\|_{1,\mu} = \mathbb{E}_{\mu}|Q^* - Q^{\pi_K}| \tag{27}$$

$$\leq \frac{2\gamma(1-\gamma^{K+1})}{(1-\gamma)^2} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \alpha_i \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(O_i|\varrho_{i+1}|) + \alpha_K \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(O_K|Q^* - \widetilde{Q}_0|) \right]$$
(28)

With the bound on rewards we (crudely) estimate

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu}O_K \left| Q^* - \widetilde{Q}_0 \right| \le 2V_{\text{max}} = 2R_{\text{max}}/(1 - \gamma) \tag{29}$$

The remaining difficulty lies in  $\mathbb{E}_{\mu}(O_i|\varrho_{i+1}|)$ . **Step 4** Using the sum expansion of  $U^{-1}$  we get

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu}(O_i|\varrho_{i+1}|) \tag{30}$$

$$= \frac{1 - \gamma}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mu} \left( U^{-1} [(P^{\pi_K})^{K-i} + P^{\pi_K} \dots P^{\pi_{i+1}}] |\varrho_{i+1}| \right)$$
 (31)

$$= \frac{1-\gamma}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mu} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [(P^{\pi_K})^j (P^{\pi_K})^{K-i} + (P^{\pi_K})^{j+1} P^{\pi_{K-1}} \dots P^{\pi_{i+1}}] |\varrho_{i+1}| \right)$$
(32)

$$= \frac{1 - \gamma}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mu} \left( [(P^{\pi_K})^j (P^{\pi_K})^{K-i} + (P^{\pi_K})^{j+1} P^{\pi_{K-1}} \dots P^{\pi_{i+1}}] |\varrho_{i+1}| \right)$$
(33)

Notice that there are K - i + j P-operators on both terms in the sum. Therefore were can employ lemma 3 twice. Moreover define  $\varepsilon_{\max} = \max_{i \in [K]} \|\varrho_i\|_{2,\nu}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu}(O_{i}|\varrho_{i+1}|) \leq (1-\gamma) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{j} \kappa(K-i+j;\mu,\nu) \|\varrho_{i+1}\|_{2,\nu}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon_{\max}(1-\gamma) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{j} \kappa(K-i+j;\mu,\nu)$$
(34)

Using eq. (28), eq. (29) and eq. (34)

$$\|Q^* - Q^{\pi_K}\|_{1,\mu} \le \frac{2\gamma(1 - \gamma^{K+1})}{1 - \gamma} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i \gamma^j \kappa(K - i + j; \mu, \nu) \right] \varepsilon_{\text{max}} + \frac{4\gamma(1 - \gamma^{K+1})}{(1 - \gamma)^3} \alpha_K R_{\text{max}}$$
(35)

Focusing on the first term on RHS of eq. (35), if we then we can take the norm out of the sum as a constant. We are left with

$$\sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i} \gamma^{j} \kappa(K - i + j; \mu, \nu)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \gamma) \gamma^{K - i + j - 1}}{1 - \gamma^{K + 1}} \kappa(K - i + j; \mu, \nu)$$

$$= \frac{1 - \gamma}{1 - \gamma^{K + 1}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{K - 1} \gamma^{K - i + j - 1} \kappa(K - i + j; \mu, \nu)$$

$$\leq \frac{1 - \gamma}{1 - \gamma^{K + 1}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{m - 1} \cdot m \cdot \kappa(m; \mu, \nu)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{1 - \gamma^{K + 1} (1 - \gamma)} \phi_{\mu, \nu} \tag{36}$$

Where the last inequality is due to assumption 2. Combining eq. (35) and eq. (36) we arrive at

$$\|Q^* - Q^{\pi_K}\|_{1,\mu} \le \frac{2\gamma \cdot \phi_{\mu,\nu}}{(1-\gamma)^2} \cdot \varepsilon_{\max} + \frac{4\gamma^{K+1}}{(1-\gamma)^2} \cdot R_{\max}$$
 (37)

**Theorem 3** (One-step Approximation Error). Let

- $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}, V_{\max})$  be a class of bounded measurable functions
- $\mathcal{G} = T(\mathcal{F})$  the class of functions obtainable by applying T to some function in  $\mathcal{F}$ .
- $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A})$  be a probability measure

- $(S_i, A_i)_{i \in [n]}$  be n i.i.d. samples following  $\nu$
- $(R_i, S_i')_{i \in [n]}$  be the rewards and next states corresponding to the samples
- $Q \in \mathcal{F}$  be fixed
- $Y_i = R_i + \gamma \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(S_i', a)$
- $\widehat{Q} = \operatorname{argmin}_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (f(S_i, A_i) Y_i)^2$
- $\kappa \in (0,1], \ \delta > 0$  be fixed
- $\mathcal{N}(\delta, \mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$  a minimal  $\delta$ -covering of  $\mathcal{F}$  w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$
- $N_{\delta} = |\mathcal{N}(\delta, \mathcal{F}, ||\cdot||_{\infty})|$  the number of elements in this covering

Then

$$\|\widehat{Q} - TQ\|_{\nu}^{2} \leq \frac{(1+\kappa)^{2}}{\kappa} \frac{1}{n} V_{\max}^{2} + (1+\kappa) \left(6\delta V_{\max} + \omega(\mathcal{F})\right) + 8\sqrt{2} V_{\max} n^{-1/2} \sqrt{\log N_{\delta}} + 8V_{\max}(n^{-1} + \delta)$$

Where

$$\omega(\mathcal{F}) = \sup_{q \in \mathcal{G}} \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \|f - TQ\|^2$$

where

**Lemma 4** (Rotation invariance). Let  $(X_i)_{i=1}^n$  be independent, centered and sub-gaussian. Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is centered and sub-gaussian with

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \right\|_{\psi_2}^2 \le C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| X_i \right\|_{\psi_2}^2$$

Proof. See [Vershynin 2010].

**Proposition 2.** Let v be a random vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  then

$$\mathbb{E}\|v\|_1 \le \sqrt{n}\sqrt{\mathbb{E}\|v\|_2^2}$$

*Proof.* Denote v's coordinates  $v=(v_1,\ldots,v_n)$ . Cauchy-Schwarz applied to some vector w and  $(1,\ldots,1)$  yields

$$||w||_1 \leq \sqrt{n}||w||_2$$

Now let  $w = (\mathbb{E}v_1, \dots, \mathbb{E}v_n)$ . Then by linearity of expectation and Jensens inequality

$$\mathbb{E}||v|| = ||w|| \le \sqrt{n} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbb{E}v_i)^2} \le \sqrt{n} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i^2} = \sqrt{n} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}||v||_2^2}$$

**Theorem 4** (Bernstein's inequality). Suppose  $U_1, \ldots, U_n$  are independent with  $\mathbb{E}U_i = 0, |U_i| \leq M$  for all  $i \in [n]$ . Then for all t > 0

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} U_i\right| \ge t\right) \le \exp\left(\frac{-t^2}{2/3Mt + 2\sigma^2}\right)$$

where  $\sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n V(U_i)$ .

Proof of theorem 3. First some introductory fixing of notation and variables. Fix a minimal  $\delta$ -covering of  $\mathcal{F}$  with centers  $f_1, \ldots, f_{N_{\delta}}$ . Define

$$\widetilde{Q} := \underset{f \in \mathcal{F}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|f - TQ\|_{\nu}^{2}$$

$$k^* := \underset{k \in [N_\delta]}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\| f_k - \widehat{Q} \right\|_{\infty}$$

and  $X_i := (S_i, A_i)$ . Notice that  $\widetilde{Q}$  differs from  $\widehat{Q}$  in that  $\widetilde{Q}$  approximates TQ w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}^2$  while  $\widehat{Q}$  approximates  $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$  in mean squared error over  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ . We shall be loose about applying functions to vectors (of random variables) in the sense that they are applied entry-wise. We use  $\|\cdot\|_p$  to denote the (finite dimensional) p-norm (p ommitted when p = 2). When talking about p-norms on the random variables we always specify the distribution (e.g.  $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$ ). When the sample (e.g. X) is clear from context we omit it writing  $\|f\| = \|f(X)\|$ .

**Step 1** By definion (of  $\widehat{Q}$ ) for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  we have  $\|\widehat{Q}(X) - Y\|^2 \le \|f(X) - Y\|^2$ , leading to

$$||Y||^{2} + ||\widehat{Q}||^{2} - 2Y \cdot \widehat{Q} \le ||Y||^{2} + ||f||^{2} - 2Y \cdot f$$
(38)

$$\iff \left\| \widehat{Q} \right\|^{2} + \left\| TQ \right\|^{2} - 2\widehat{Q} \cdot TQ \le \left\| f \right\|^{2} + \left\| TQ \right\|^{2} - 2f \cdot TQ + 2Y \cdot \widehat{Q} - 2Y \cdot f - 2\widehat{Q} \cdot TQ + 2f \cdot TQ$$
(39)

$$\iff \left\| \widehat{Q} - TQ \right\|^2 \le \left\| f - TQ \right\|^2 + 2(Y - TQ) \cdot (\widehat{Q} - f) \tag{40}$$

$$\iff \left\| \widehat{Q} - TQ \right\|^2 \le \left\| f - TQ \right\|^2 + 2\xi \cdot (\widehat{Q} - f) \tag{41}$$

Where  $\xi_i := Y_i - TQ(X_i)$  and  $\xi := (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ . Let  $\Sigma = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_n) \in \mathcal{H}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the samples. Now we proof a minor lemma

**Proposition 3.**  $\mathbb{E}(\xi_i \mid \Sigma) = 0$  and thus  $\mathbb{E}(\xi_i g(X_i)) = 0$  for any function  $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $X_i = (S_i, A_i)$ ,

$$Y_i = R_i + \gamma \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(S_{i+1}, a)$$

where  $S_{i+1} \sim P(X_i)$ ,  $R_i \sim R(X_i)$  and

$$TQ(X_i) = \mathbb{E}_{\Sigma} R_i' + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{\Sigma} Q(S', \underset{a \in \mathcal{A}}{\operatorname{argmax}} Q(S', a))$$

where  $S' \sim P(X_i)$ ,  $R'_i \sim R(X_i)$ . Since S' and  $S_{i+1}$  are i.i.d.

$$\mathbb{E}_{\Sigma} \xi_{i} = \mathbb{E}_{\Sigma} \left( Y_{i} - TQ(X_{i}) \right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\Sigma} R_{i} - \mathbb{E}_{\Sigma} R'_{i} + \gamma \left( \mathbb{E}_{\Sigma} \left( \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(S_{i+1}, a) \right) - \mathbb{E}_{\Sigma} \operatorname*{argmax}_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left( Q(S', a) \right) \right)$$

$$= 0$$

Therefore  $\mathbb{E}(\xi_i \mid \Sigma) = 0$ .

By this lemma we can deduce

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\xi\cdot(\widehat{Q}-f)\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\xi\cdot(\widehat{Q}-TQ)\right) \tag{42}$$

To bound this we insert  $f_{k^*}$  by the triangle inequality

$$\left| \mathbb{E}\left( \xi \cdot (\widehat{Q} - TQ) \right) \right| \le \left| \mathbb{E}\left( \xi \cdot (\widehat{Q} - f_{k^*}) \right) \right| + \left| \mathbb{E}\left( \xi \cdot (f_{k^*} - TQ) \right) \right| \tag{43}$$

We now bound these two terms. The first by Cauchy-Schwarz

$$\left| \mathbb{E}\xi \cdot (\widehat{Q} - f_{k^*}) \right| \le \mathbb{E}\left( \|\xi\| \left\| \widehat{Q} - f_{k^*} \right\| \right) \le \mathbb{E}(\|\xi\|) \sqrt{n}\delta \le 2nV_{\max}\delta \tag{44}$$

where we have used that  $\|\widehat{Q} - f_{k^*}\|_{\infty} \leq \delta$  so

$$\left\|\widehat{Q} - f_{k^*}\right\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (\widehat{Q}(X_i) - f_{k^*}(X_i))^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^n \delta^2 = n\delta^2$$
 (45)

and that  $|Y_i|, TQ(X_i) \leq V_{\text{max}}$  so

$$\|\xi\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - TQ(X_i))^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^n (2V_{\text{max}})^2 = 4V_{\text{max}}^2 n$$
(46)

To bound the second term in eq. (43) define

$$Z_j := \xi \cdot (f_j - TQ) \| f_j - TQ \|^{-1}$$
(47)

Note that since  $\xi_i$  are centered  $Z_j$ . For a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  define the *sub-gaussian* norm by **Definition 8** (Subgaussian norm).

$$\|W\|_{\psi_2,\Sigma} := \sup_{p \ge 1} p^{-1/2} \left( \mathbb{E}_{\Sigma} |W|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

Because of proposition  $3 \xi_i(f_j(X_i) - TQ(X_i))$  is centered for any  $i \in [n]$  and

$$\left\| \xi_i (f_j(X_i) - TQ(X_i)) \right\|_{\psi_{\alpha, \Sigma}} \le 2V_{\text{max}} \left| f_j(X_i) - TQ(X_i) \right| \tag{48}$$

Therefore by lemma 4

$$||Z_i||_{\psi_2,\Sigma}^2 \le ||f_j - TQ||^{-2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(f_j(X_i) - TQ(X_i)) \right\|_{\psi_2,\Sigma}^2$$
(49)

$$\leq \|f_j - TQ\|^{-1} C_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi_i(f_j(X_i) - TQ(X_i))\|_{\psi_2, \Sigma}^2$$
(50)

$$\leq \|f_j - TQ\|^{-1} C_1 \sum_{i=1}^n 4V_{\max} |f_j(X_i) - TQ(X_i)|^2$$
(51)

$$\mathbb{E}Z_{k^*}^2 = \mathbb{E}\max_{j \in [N_k]} Z_j^2 \tag{52}$$

$$\leq 32C_1^2 V_{\text{max}}^4 \tag{53}$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\xi \cdot (f_{k^*} - TQ)\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\|f_{k^*} - TQ\||Z_{k^*}|\right) \tag{54}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\left\|\widehat{Q} - TQ\right\| + \left\|\widehat{Q} - f_{k^*}\right\|\right) | Z_{k^*}|\right) \tag{55}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\left\|\widehat{Q} - TQ\right\| + n\delta\right) | Z_{k^*}|\right) \tag{56}$$

$$\leq \left( \mathbb{E} \left( \left\| \widehat{Q} - TQ \right\| + n\delta \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \mathbb{E} Z_{k^*}^2 \right)^{1/2} \tag{57}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\widehat{Q} - TQ\right\| + n\delta\right) \left(\mathbb{E}Z_{k^*}^2\right)^{1/2} \tag{58}$$

$$\leq \left(\sqrt{\mathbb{E}\|\widehat{Q} - TQ\|_{2}^{2}} + n\delta\right) \left(\mathbb{E}Z_{k^{*}}^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$
(59)

$$\leq \left(\sqrt{\mathbb{E}\|\widehat{Q} - TQ\|_{2}^{2}} + n\delta\right) 2V_{\max}\sqrt{n} \tag{60}$$

Where eq. (54) to eq. (55) is by the triangle inequality, eq. (58) to eq. (59) is proposition 2 and eq. (59) to eq. (60) is due to that Combining eq. (41), eq. (43), eq. (44) and eq. (60)

$$\mathbb{E} \|\widehat{Q} - TQ\|^{2} \leq \mathbb{E} \|f - TQ\|^{2} + 4nV_{\max}\delta + \left(\sqrt{\mathbb{E} \|\widehat{Q} - TQ\|^{2}} + \sqrt{n}\delta\right) 2V_{\max}$$
 (61)

$$=2V_{\max}\sqrt{n}\sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left\|\widehat{Q}-TQ\right\|^{2}}+6n\delta V_{\max}+\mathbb{E}\left\|f-TQ\right\|^{2}$$
(62)

**Lemma 5.** Let  $a, b > 0, \kappa \in (0, 1]$  then

$$a^2 \le 2ab + c \implies a^2 \le (1+\kappa)^2 b^2 / \kappa + (1+\kappa)c$$

Proof.  $0 \le (x-y)^2 = x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \implies 2xy \le x^2 + y^2$  for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  so

$$2ab = 2\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{1+\kappa}}a\sqrt{\frac{1+\kappa}{\kappa}}b$$
$$\leq \frac{\kappa}{1+\kappa}a^2 + \frac{1+\kappa}{\kappa}b^2$$

By lemma 5 applied to eq. (62)

$$\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\left\|\widehat{Q} - TQ\right\|^{2} \le \frac{(1+\kappa)^{2}}{\kappa} \frac{1}{n} V_{\max}^{2} + (1+\kappa) \left(6\delta V_{\max} + \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\|f - TQ\|^{2}\right)$$

$$(63)$$

Since this holds for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  we can further say

$$\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\left\|\widehat{Q} - TQ\right\|^{2} \le \frac{(1+\kappa)^{2}}{\kappa} \frac{1}{n} V_{\max}^{2} + (1+\kappa) \left(6\delta V_{\max} + \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\|f - TQ\|^{2}\right)$$
(64)

$$\leq \frac{(1+\kappa)^2}{\kappa} \frac{1}{n} V_{\text{max}}^2 + (1+\kappa) \left(6\delta V_{\text{max}} + \omega(\mathcal{F})\right) \tag{65}$$

Where we take the supremum over  $\mathcal{G}$  (recall  $TQ \in \mathcal{G}$ ). Step 2 Here we link up  $\|\widehat{Q} - TQ\|_{\mathfrak{T}}^2$  with  $\mathbb{E}\frac{1}{n}\|\widehat{Q} - TQ\|^2$ . First note that

$$\left| \left( \widehat{Q}(x) - TQ(x) \right)^2 - \left( f_{k^*}(x) - TQ(x) \right)^2 \right| = \left| \widehat{Q}(x) - f_{k^*}(x) \right| \cdot \left| \widehat{Q}(x) + f_{k^*}(x) - 2TQ(x) \right|$$
 (66)

$$\leq 4V_{\rm max}\delta$$
 (67)

Using this twice we can say

$$(\widehat{Q}(\widehat{X}_i) - TQ(\widehat{X}_i)^2 \tag{68}$$

$$\leq (\widehat{Q}(\widetilde{X}_i) - TQ(\widetilde{X}_i))^2 - (f_{k^*}(\widetilde{X}_i) - TQ(\widetilde{X}_i))^2 + (f_{k^*}(\widetilde{X}_i) - TQ(\widetilde{X}_i))^2$$

$$\tag{69}$$

$$\leq (f_{k^*}(\widetilde{X}_i) - TQ(\widetilde{X}_i))^2 + (\widehat{Q}(X_i) - TQ(X_i))^2 - (\widehat{Q}(X_i) - TQ(X_i))^2$$

$$+ (f_{k^*}(X_i) - TQ(X_i))^2 - (f_{k^*}(X_i) - TQ(X_i))^2 + 4V_{\max}\delta$$
(70)

$$\leq (\widehat{Q}(X_i) - TQ(X_i))^2 + (f_{k^*}(\widetilde{X}_i) - TQ(\widetilde{X}_i))^2 - (f_{k^*}(X_i) - TQ(X_i))^2 + 8V_{\max}\delta$$
 (71)

Thus we get

$$\left\| \widehat{Q} - TQ \right\|_{\sigma}^{2} \tag{72}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\widehat{Q}(\widetilde{X}_{i}) - TQ(\widetilde{X}_{i}))^{2}$$

$$\tag{73}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( (\widehat{Q}(X_i) - TQ(X_i))^2 + (f_{k^*}(\widetilde{X}_i) - TQ(\widetilde{X}_i))^2 - (f_{k^*}(X_i) - TQ(X_i))^2 \right) + 8V_{\max}\delta$$
 (74)

$$= \frac{1}{n} \|\widehat{Q} - TQ\|^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_{k^*}(X_i, \widetilde{X}_i) + 8V_{\max}\delta$$
 (75)

Where we define

$$h_i(x,y) := (f_i(y) - TQ(y))^2 - (f_i(x) - TQ(x))^2$$
(76)

For any  $j \in [N_{\delta}]$ . Define  $\Upsilon = 2V_{\text{max}}$  and

$$T := \max_{j \in [N_{\delta}]} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_j(X_i, \widetilde{X}_i) / \Upsilon \right|$$
 (77)

Then we can bound the middle term in eq. (75)

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}h_{k^*}(X_i,\widetilde{X}_i)\right) \leq \Upsilon/n\mathbb{E}\max_{j\in[N_{\delta}]}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}h_j(X_i,\widetilde{X}_i)/\Upsilon\right|\right)$$
(78)

$$\leq \Upsilon/n\mathbb{E}T$$
 (79)

We want to use Bernsteins inequality (theorem 4) with  $U_i = h_j(X_i, \widetilde{X}_i)$ . Therefore notice that  $|h_j| \leq \Upsilon^2$  and

$$Vh_j(X_i, \widetilde{X}_i) = 2V \left( f_j(X_i) - TQ(X_i) \right)^2$$
(80)

$$\leq 2\mathbb{E}\left(f_j(X_i) - TQ(X_i)\right)^4 \tag{81}$$

$$\leq 2\Upsilon^4$$
 (82)

so by union bounding for any  $u < 6n\Upsilon$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}T = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(T \ge t) \tag{83}$$

$$\leq u + \int_{u}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(T \geq t) dt \tag{84}$$

$$\leq u + \int_{u}^{\infty} 2N_{\delta} \exp\left(\frac{-t^2}{2\Upsilon t/3 + 4n\Upsilon^2}\right) dt \tag{85}$$

$$\leq u + 2N_{\delta} \int_{u}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{-t^2}{2\Upsilon^2(t/(3\Upsilon) + 2n)}\right) dt \tag{86}$$

$$\leq u + 2N_{\delta} \left( \int_{u}^{6n\Upsilon} \exp\left(\frac{-t^{2}}{8n\Upsilon^{2}}\right) dt + \int_{6n\Upsilon}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{-t}{4/3\Upsilon}\right) dt \right)$$
 (87)

$$\leq u + 2N_{\delta} \left( \frac{8n\Upsilon}{2u} \exp\left(\frac{-u^2}{8n\Upsilon}\right) + \frac{4\Upsilon}{3} \exp\left(\frac{-24n\Upsilon}{3\Upsilon}\right) \right)$$
 (88)

where we use lemma 6 from eq. (87) to eq. (88). Now set  $u = \Upsilon \sqrt{8n \log N_{\delta}}$  continuing from eq. (88) we have

$$\cdots = \Upsilon \sqrt{8n \log N_{\delta}} + \frac{\Upsilon^2 8n N_{\delta}}{\Upsilon \sqrt{8n \log N_{\delta}}} \exp(-\log N_{\delta}) + 8/3N_{\delta} \Upsilon \exp(-9/2n)$$
(89)

$$=\Upsilon 2\sqrt{2n}\left(\log N_{\delta} + \frac{1}{\log N_{\delta}}\right) + 8/3N_{\delta}e^{-9/2n} \tag{90}$$

$$\leq 4\sqrt{2}\Upsilon\sqrt{n\log N_{\delta}} + 8/3\Upsilon \tag{91}$$

Inserting eq. (91) and eq. (65) into eq. (75)

$$\|\widehat{Q} - TQ\|_{\nu}^{2} \le \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \|\widehat{Q} - TQ\|^{2} + 8\sqrt{2}V_{\max}n^{-1/2}\sqrt{\log N_{\delta}} + 8V_{\max}(n^{-1} + \delta)$$
 (92)

$$\leq \frac{(1+\kappa)^2}{\kappa} \frac{1}{n} V_{\max}^2 + (1+\kappa) \left( 6\delta V_{\max} + \omega(\mathcal{F}) \right) + 8\sqrt{2} V_{\max} n^{-1/2} \sqrt{\log N_{\delta}} + 8V_{\max} (n^{-1} + \delta)$$
(93)

# 9 Appendices

# 9.1 Various lemmas

**Lemma 6.** For x > 0.

$$\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-t^{2}/2} \, \mathrm{d}t \le \frac{1}{x} e^{-x^{2}/2}$$

*Proof.* Observe that for  $t \ge x > 0$  we have  $1 \le t/x$  so

$$\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-t^{2}/2} dt \le \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{t}{x} e^{-t^{2}/2} dt$$
$$\le \frac{1}{x} e^{-x^{2}/2}$$