

STAT 420 Spring 2014
HOMEWORK 5: DUE MARCH 11 BY 7:00PM

Exercise 1

A student wonders if people of similar heights tend to date each other. She measures herself, her dormitory roommate, and the women in the adjoining rooms; then she measures the next man each woman dates. Here are the data (heights in inches):

Men (y)	73	68	71	69	73	66
Women (x)	68	64	68	67	70	65

In this case we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^6 x_i &= 402, & \sum_{i=1}^6 y_i &= 420, & \sum_{i=1}^6 x_i^2 &= 26958, & \sum_{i=1}^6 y_i^2 &= 29440, \\ \sum_{i=1}^6 x_i y_i &= 28167, & \sum_{i=1}^6 (x_i - \bar{x})^2 &= 24, & \sum_{i=1}^6 (y_i - \bar{y})^2 &= 40, & \sum_{i=1}^6 (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) &= \sum_{i=1}^6 (x_i - \bar{x})y_i = 27 \end{aligned}$$

Assume that (X, Y) have a bivariate normal distribution.

- (a) Find the sample correlation coefficient r between the heights of the women and men.
- (b) Test $H_0 : \rho = 0$ versus $H_1 : \rho \neq 0$ at $\alpha = 0.05$. What is the p-value of this test? (You may give a range for the p-value).
- (c) Test $H_0 : \rho = 0.3$ versus $H_1 : \rho > 0.3$ at $\alpha = 0.05$. What is the p-value of this test?
- (d) Test $H_0 : \rho = 0.5$ versus $H_1 : \rho \neq 0.5$ at $\alpha = 0.05$. What is the p-value of this test?
- (e) Construct a 95% confidence interval for ρ .
- (f) If every woman wore 2-inch heels when she was measured, what is the correlation between the actual female and male heights? Justify your answer.
- (g) If every woman dated a man exactly 3 inches taller than herself, what would be the correlation between female and male heights? Justify your answer.

Exercise 2

The dataset `prostate` comes from a study on 97 men with prostate cancer who were due to receive a radical prostatectomy. The data frame has 97 rows and 9 columns:

<code>lcavol</code>	log(cancer volume)	<code>lweight</code>	log(prostate weight)
<code>age</code>	age	<code>lbph</code>	log(benign prostatic hyperplasia amount)
<code>svi</code>	seminal vesicle invasion	<code>lcp</code>	log(capsular penetration)
<code>gleason</code>	Gleason score	<code>pgg45</code>	percentage Gleason scores 4 or 5
<code>lpsa</code>	log(prostate specific antigen)		

```
> install.packages("faraway")
> library(faraway)
> prostate[1:3,] ### first three observations
```

	<code>lcavol</code>	<code>lweight</code>	<code>age</code>	<code>lbph</code>	<code>svi</code>	<code>lcp</code>	<code>gleason</code>	<code>pgg45</code>	<code>lpsa</code>
1	-0.5798185	2.7695	50	-1.386294	0	-1.38629	6	0	-0.43078
2	-0.9942523	3.3196	58	-1.386294	0	-1.38629	6	0	-0.16252
3	-0.5108256	2.6912	74	-1.386294	0	-1.38629	7	20	-0.16252

Fit a model with `lpsa` as the response and the other variables as predictors.

- Compute 90 and 95% CIs for the parameter associated with `age`. Using just these intervals, what could we have deduced about the p-value for `age` in the regression summary?
- Plot the residuals versus the fitted values. Check the constant variance assumption for the errors.
- Make a histogram and a Normal Q-Q plot for the residuals. Check the normality assumption for the errors.
- Check for large leverage points (that is, identify point(s) with large leverage).
- Remove all predictors that are not significant at a 5% level. Test this model against the full model question. Which model is preferred?
- Using the `prostate` data, plot `lpsa` against `lcavol`. Fit the regressions of `lpsa` on `lcavol` and `lcavol` on `lpsa`. Display both regression lines on the plot. At what point do the two lines intersect? (Hint: If $x = my + b$, then $y = \frac{1}{m}x - \frac{b}{m}$).

Exercise 3

Prove (show) that for simple linear regression model, the leverages are

$$h_{ii} = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$