

## STAT 420 – Homework 9

### 1. Sports Programs (without R)

- a. Consider the model  $Y_{ij} = \mu_j + \varepsilon_{ij}$ , where  $\varepsilon_{ij}$ 's are i.i.d.  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

Test  $H_0: \mu_B = \mu_F = \mu_S$  with  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

$$J = 3.$$

$$N = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_J = 5 + 5 + 5 = 15.$$

$$\bar{y} = \frac{n_1 \cdot \bar{y}_1 + n_2 \cdot \bar{y}_2 + \dots + n_J \cdot \bar{y}_J}{N} = \frac{5 \cdot 3.0 + 5 \cdot 3.3 + 5 \cdot 2.4}{15} = 2.9.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SSB} &= n_1 \cdot (\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y})^2 + n_2 \cdot (\bar{y}_2 - \bar{y})^2 + \dots + n_J \cdot (\bar{y}_J - \bar{y})^2 \\ &= 5 \cdot (3.0 - 2.9)^2 + 5 \cdot (3.3 - 2.9)^2 + 5 \cdot (2.4 - 2.9)^2 = 2.1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SSW} &= (n_1 - 1) \cdot s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1) \cdot s_2^2 + \dots + (n_J - 1) \cdot s_J^2 \\ &= 4 \cdot 0.220 + 4 \cdot 0.145 + 4 \cdot 0.235 = 2.4. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{SSTotal} = \text{SSB} + \text{SSW} = 2.1 + 2.4 = 4.5.$$

Completing the ANOVA table,

Source	SS	df	MS	F
Between Groups	2.1	$J - 1 = 2$	1.05	<b>5.25</b>
Within Groups	2.4	$N - J = 12$	0.2	
Total	4.5	$N - 1 = 14$		

According to the  $F$ -distribution, the critical region is  $F > F_{0.05}(2, 12) = \mathbf{3.89}$ . Since the test statistic lies in the critical region, we reject  $H_0$  and conclude that the model does a significant job of predicting GPA.

- b. The 95% confidence level using Tukey's pairwise comparison procedure is

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}_j) \pm \frac{q_\gamma(J, N - J)}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot s_{pooled} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_i} + \frac{1}{n_j}} &= (3.3 - 2.4) \pm \frac{q_{0.05}(3, 12)}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \sqrt{0.2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5}} \\ &= 0.9 \pm \frac{3.77}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \sqrt{0.2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5}} \\ &= 0.9 \pm 0.75 \\ &= (0.15, 1.65) \end{aligned}$$

With this method, we are 95% confident that the mean difference between the average GPAs of Football and Soccer players is between 0.15 and 1.65.

- c. The 95% confidence level using Scheffe's multiple comparison procedure is

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^J c_j \bar{y}_j \pm \sqrt{F_{\alpha}(J-1, N-J) \cdot \text{MSW}} \cdot \sqrt{(J-1) \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{c_j^2}{n_j}} &= (3.3 - 2.4) \pm \sqrt{F_{\alpha}(2, 12)} \cdot \sqrt{0.2} \cdot \sqrt{2 \cdot \left(0 + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5}\right)} \\ &= 0.9 \pm \sqrt{3.89} \cdot \sqrt{0.2} \cdot \sqrt{2 \cdot \left(0 + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5}\right)} \\ &= 0.9 \pm 0.79 \\ &= (0.11, 1.69)\end{aligned}$$

where  $c_B = 0$ ,  $c_F = 1$ , and  $c_S = -1$ .

With this method, we are 95% confident that the mean difference between the average GPAs of Football and Soccer players is between 0.11 and 1.69.

- d. The 95% confidence level using Scheffe's multiple comparison procedure is

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^J c_j \bar{y}_j \pm \sqrt{F_{\alpha}(J-1, N-J) \cdot \text{MSW}} \cdot \sqrt{(J-1) \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{c_j^2}{n_j}} &= \left(\frac{3.0 + 3.3}{2} - 2.4\right) \pm \sqrt{F_{\alpha}(2, 12)} \cdot \sqrt{0.2} \cdot \sqrt{2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{5}\right)} \\ &= 0.75 \pm \sqrt{3.89} \cdot \sqrt{0.2} \cdot \sqrt{2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{5}\right)} \\ &= 0.75 \pm 0.68 \\ &= (0.07, 1.43)\end{aligned}$$

where  $c_B = 1/2$ ,  $c_F = 1/2$ , and  $c_S = -1$ .

With this method, we are 95% confident that the difference between the average GPAs of Baseball and Football compared to the Soccer players is between 0.07 and 1.43.

- e. First order the GPAs and rank them.

Sport	S	S	B	S	S	F	B	B	B	F	S	F	F	B	F
GPA	1.9	2.0	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.3	3.5	3.6	3.8
Rank	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5	12	13	14	15

Then calculate the rank mean for each group.

$$\bar{r}_B = \frac{3+7+9.5+9.5+14}{5} = 8.6.$$

$$\bar{r}_F = \frac{6+9.5+12+13+15}{5} = 11.1.$$

$$\bar{r}_S = \frac{1+2+4+5+9.5}{5} = 4.3.$$

$$\bar{r} = \frac{N+1}{2} = 8.$$

Test Statistic:

$$K = \frac{12}{15 \cdot 16} \left[ 5 \cdot (8.6 - 8)^2 + 5 \cdot (11.1 - 8)^2 + 5 \cdot (4.3 - 8)^2 \right] = \mathbf{5.915}.$$

Critical Value:  $\chi_{\alpha}^2(J-1) = \chi_{0.10}^2(2) = \mathbf{4.605}.$

Since the test statistic does lie in the critical region ( $5.915 > 4.605$ ), we reject  $H_0$  and conclude that the model does a significant job of predicting GPA. This is the same result as the “parametric” test back in part a.

## 2. Product Data (without R)

For this exercise you are not to use R or any other software to solve the exercises. A calculator is allowed.

In order to rate three brands of a particular product, a consumer agency divided eighteen individuals at random into three groups and asked each one of them to rate one brand of the product on the scale from 0 to 100.

Brand							Mean	Variance
1	66	72	77	81	87	85	$\bar{y}_1 = 78$	$s_1^2 = 64$
2	83	73	69	77	67	87	$\bar{y}_2 = 76$	$s_2^2 = 62$
3	85	74	85	88	89	95	$\bar{y}_3 = 86$	$s_3^2 = 48$

a. Test  $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3$  with  $\alpha = 0.10$ .

$$J = 3.$$

$$N = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_J = 6 + 6 + 6 = 18.$$

$$\bar{y} = \frac{n_1 \cdot \bar{y}_1 + n_2 \cdot \bar{y}_2 + \dots + n_J \cdot \bar{y}_J}{N} = \frac{6 \cdot 78 + 6 \cdot 76 + 6 \cdot 86}{18} = 80.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SSB} &= n_1 \cdot (\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y})^2 + n_2 \cdot (\bar{y}_2 - \bar{y})^2 + \dots + n_J \cdot (\bar{y}_J - \bar{y})^2 \\ &= 6 \cdot (78 - 80)^2 + 6 \cdot (76 - 80)^2 + 6 \cdot (86 - 80)^2 = 336. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SSW} &= (n_1 - 1) \cdot s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1) \cdot s_2^2 + \dots + (n_J - 1) \cdot s_J^2 \\ &= 5 \cdot 64 + 5 \cdot 62 + 5 \cdot 48 = 870. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{SSTotal} = \text{SSB} + \text{SSW} = 336 + 870 = 1206.$$

Completing the ANOVA table,

Source	SS	df	MS	F
Between Groups	336	$J - 1 = 2$	168	<b>2.90</b>
Within Groups	870	$N - J = 15$	58	
Total	1206	$N - 1 = 17$		

According to the  $F$ -distribution, the critical region is  $F > F_{0.05}(2, 15) = \mathbf{3.68}$ . Since the test statistic does not lie in the critical region, we fail to reject  $H_0$  and conclude that the average ratings of the three brands are not significantly different.

- b. The 90% confidence level using Tukey's pairwise comparison procedure is

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}_j) \pm \frac{q_\gamma(J, N - J)}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot s_{pooled} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_i} + \frac{1}{n_j}} &= (86 - 76) \pm \frac{q_{0.10}(3, 15)}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \sqrt{58} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6}} \\ &= 10 \pm \frac{3.14}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \sqrt{58} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6}} \\ &= 10 \pm 9.76 \\ &= (0.24, 19.76) \end{aligned}$$

With this method, we are 90% confident that the mean difference between the average rating for Brand 3 and the average rating for Brand 2 is between 0.24 and 19.76.

- c. The 90% confidence level using Scheffe's multiple comparison procedure is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^J c_j \bar{y}_j \pm \sqrt{F_{\alpha}(J-1, N-J)} \cdot \sqrt{\text{MSW}} \cdot \sqrt{(J-1) \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{c_j^2}{n_j}} \\
&= \left( 86 - \frac{78+76}{2} \right) \pm \sqrt{F_{\alpha}(2,15)} \cdot \sqrt{58} \cdot \sqrt{2 \cdot \left( \frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{6} \right)} \\
&= 9 \pm \sqrt{2.48} \cdot \sqrt{58} \cdot \sqrt{2 \cdot \left( \frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{6} \right)} \\
&= 9 \pm 8.48 \\
&= (0.52, 17.48)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $c_1 = -1/2$ ,  $c_2 = -1/2$ , and  $c_3 = 1$ .

With this method, we are 95% confident that the difference between the average rating for Brand 3 as compared to the average rating for Brand 1 and Brand 2 is between 0.52 and 17.48.

d. First order the brand ratings and rank them.

Brand	1	2	2	1	2	3	1	2	1	1
Rating	66	67	69	72	73	74	77	77	81	66
Rank	1	2	3	4	5	6	7.5	7.5	9	1

Brand	2	1	3	3	1	2	3	3	3	2
Rating	83	85	85	85	87	87	88	89	95	83
Rank	10	12	12	12	14.5	14.5	16	17	18	10

Then calculate the rank mean for each group.

$$\bar{r}_1 = \frac{48}{6} = 8.0 \quad \bar{r}_2 = \frac{42}{6} = 7.0 \quad \bar{r}_3 = \frac{81}{6} = 13.5 \quad \bar{r} = \frac{N+1}{2} = 9.5$$

Test Statistic:

$$K = \frac{12}{18 \cdot 19} \cdot [6 \cdot (8 - 9.5)^2 + 6 \cdot (7 - 9.5)^2 + 6 \cdot (13.5 - 9.5)^2] = \mathbf{5.158}$$

$$\text{Critical Value: } \chi_{\alpha}^2(J-1) = \chi_{0.10}^2(2) = \mathbf{4.605}.$$

Since the test statistic does lie in the critical region ( $5.158 > 4.605$ ), we reject  $H_0$  and conclude that the model does a significant job of product rating.