

Chapter 2

Personnel Security and Risk Management Concepts

THE CISSP TOPICS COVERED IN THIS CHAPTER INCLUDE:

✓ Domain 1.0: Security and Risk Management

- 1.8 Contribute to and enforce personnel security policies and procedures
 - 1.8.1 Candidate screening and hiring
 - 1.8.2 Employment agreements and policy driven requirements
 - 1.8.3 Onboarding, transfers, and termination processes
 - 1.8.4 Vendor, consultant, and contractor agreements and controls
- 1.9 Understand and apply risk management concepts
 - 1.9.1 Threat and vulnerability identification
 - 1.9.2 Risk analysis, assessment, and scope
 - 1.9.3 Risk response and treatment (e.g., cybersecurity insurance)
 - 1.9.4 Applicable types of controls (e.g., preventive, detection, corrective)
 - 1.9.5 Control assessments (e.g., security and privacy)
 - 1.9.6 Continuous monitoring and measurement
 - 1.9.7 Reporting (e.g., internal, external)
 - 1.9.8 Continuous improvement (e.g., risk maturity modeling)
 - 1.9.9 Risk frameworks (e.g., International Organization for Standardization (ISO), National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Control Objectives for Information and Related Technology (COBIT), Sherwood Applied Business

Security Architecture (SABSA), Payment Card Industry (PCI))

- 1.12 Establish and maintain a security awareness, education, and training program
 - 1.12.1 Methods and techniques to present awareness and training (e.g., social engineering, phishing, security champions, gamification)
 - 1.12.2 Periodic content reviews to include emerging technologies and trends (e.g., cryptocurrency, artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain)
 - 1.12.3 Program effectiveness evaluation

Additional elements of this domain are discussed in various chapters:

- [Chapter 1](#), “Security Governance Through Principles and Policies”
- [Chapter 3](#), “Business Continuity Planning”
- [Chapter 4](#), “Laws, Regulations, and Compliance”

Please review all of these chapters to have a complete perspective on the topics of this domain.

Personnel Security Policies and Procedures

Humans are often considered the weakest element in any security solution. No matter what physical or logical controls are deployed, humans can discover ways to avoid, circumvent, subvert, or disable them. Thus, it is important to consider your users' humanity when designing and deploying security solutions for your environment. To understand and apply security governance, you must address the potentially weakest link in your security chain—people.

However, people can also become a key security asset when they are properly trained and motivated to protect not only themselves

but the security of the organization. It is important to not treat personnel as a problem to be solved, but as people who can become valued partners in a security endeavor.

Issues, problems, and compromises related to humans occur at all stages of a security solution development. This is because humans are involved throughout any solution's development, deployment, and ongoing management. Therefore, you must evaluate the effect users, designers, programmers, developers, managers, vendors, consultants, and implementers have on the process.

Job Descriptions and Responsibilities

Hiring new staff typically involves several distinct steps: creating a *job description* or *position description*, setting a classification for the job, screening employment candidates, and hiring and training someone best suited for the job. Without a job description, there is no consensus on what type of individual should be hired. Any job description for any position within an organization should address relevant security issues, such as whether the position requires handling sensitive material or access to classified information. In effect, the job description defines the roles to which an employee needs to be assigned to perform their work tasks. Job roles typically align to a rank or level of privilege, whereas job descriptions map to specifically assigned responsibilities and tasks.

Job responsibilities are the specific work tasks an employee is required to perform regularly. Employees require access to various objects, resources, and services depending on their responsibilities. Job responsibilities should be detailed in a job description. Thus, a list of job responsibilities guides the assignment of access rights, permissions, and privileges. On a secured network, users must be granted access privileges for those elements related to their work tasks.

Job descriptions are not used exclusively for the hiring process; they should be maintained throughout the organization's life. Only through detailed job descriptions can a comparison be made

between what a person should be responsible for and what they actually are responsible for. Managers should audit privilege assignments to ensure that workers do not obtain access that is not strictly required for them to accomplish their work tasks.

Candidate Screening and Hiring

Employment *candidate screening* for a specific position is based on the sensitivity and classification defined by the job description. Thus, the thoroughness of the screening process should reflect the security of the position to be filled.

Employment candidate screening, background checks, reference checks, education verification, and security clearance validation are essential elements in proving that a candidate is adequate, qualified, and trustworthy for a secured position. *Background checks* include obtaining a candidate's work and educational history; checking references; verifying education; interviewing colleagues; checking police and government records for arrests or illegal activities; verifying identity through fingerprints, driver's license, and/or birth certificate; and holding a personal interview. Depending on the job position, this process could also include skill challenges, drug testing, credit checks, checking driving records, and personality testing/evaluation.

Performing online background checks and reviewing the social networking accounts of applicants has become standard practice for many organizations. If a potential employee has posted inappropriate materials online, then they are not as promising a candidate as those who did not. A general picture of a person's attitude, intelligence, loyalty, common sense, diligence, honesty, respect, consistency, and adherence to social norms and/or corporate culture can be gleaned quickly by viewing a person's online identity. However, being fully aware of the legal restrictions against discrimination is essential. Various countries have vastly different freedoms or limitations on background checks, especially criminal history research. Always confirm with your legal department before evaluating an applicant's online persona.

During the initial applicant review process, the human resources (HR) staff are looking to confirm that a candidate is appropriately qualified for a job, but they are also on the lookout for issues that would disqualify the applicant.

Interviewing qualified applicants is the next filter to eliminate those not suited for the job or the organization. When conducting interviews, it is important to have a standardized interview process in order to treat each candidate fairly. Although some aspects of an interview are subjective and based on the interplay of personalities of the candidates and the interviewer, the decision whether or not to hire someone needs to be legally defensible.

Onboarding: Employment Agreements and Policy-Driven Requirements

Once a qualified but not-disqualified candidate is found and interviewed, they can be offered the job. If accepted, the new hire will need to be integrated into the organization. This process is known as *onboarding*. As with all tasks within a security-focused organization, the process of onboarding should be guided by policy-driven requirements.

Onboarding is the process of adding new employees to the organization, having them review and sign employment agreements and policies, be introduced to managers and coworkers, and be trained in employee operations and logistics. Onboarding can also include organizational socialization and orientation. This is the process by which new employees are trained in order to be properly prepared for performing their job responsibilities. It can include training, job skill acquisition, and behavioral adaptation in an effort to integrate employees efficiently into existing organizational culture, processes, and procedures. Well-designed onboarding can result in higher levels of job satisfaction, higher levels of productivity, faster integration with existing workers, a rise in organizational loyalty, stress reduction, and a decreased resignation rate.

A new employee will often be provided with a computer/network user account. This is accomplished through the *identity and access management (IAM)* system of an organization, which will provision the account and assign necessary privileges and access. The onboarding process is also used when an employee's role or position changes or when that person is awarded additional levels of privilege or access.

To maintain security, access should be assigned according to the principle of least privilege. The *principle of least privilege* states that users should be granted the minimum amount of access necessary for them to complete their required work tasks or job responsibilities. True application of this principle requires low-level granular control over all resources and functions. Further discussion of least privilege is in [Chapter 16](#), “Managing Security Operations.”

When a new employee is hired, they should sign an employment agreement. Such a document outlines the rules and restrictions of the organization, the security policy, details of the job description, violations and consequences, and the minimum or probationary length of time the position is to be filled by the employee. These items might be separate documents, such as an acceptable use policy (AUP). In such a case, the employment agreement is used to verify that the employment candidate has read and understood the associated documentation and signed their agreement to adhere to the necessary policies related to their prospective job position.



An acceptable use policy (AUP) defines what is and what is not an acceptable activity, practice, or use for company equipment and resources. The AUP is specifically designed to assign security roles within the organization as well as prescribe the responsibilities tied to those roles. This policy defines a level of acceptable performance and expectations of behavior and activity. Failure to comply with the policy may result in job action warnings, penalties, or termination.

In addition to employment agreements, there may be other security-related documentation and policy-driven requirements that must be addressed. One common document is a *nondisclosure agreement (NDA)*. An NDA is used to protect confidential information within an organization from being disclosed by a current or former employee. Violations of an NDA are often met with strict penalties. Throughout a worker's employment, they may be asked to sign additional NDAs as their job responsibilities change and they need to access new sensitive, proprietary, or confidential assets. When an employee leaves the organization, they should be reminded of their legal obligation to maintain silence on all items covered by any signed NDAs. In fact, they may be required to re-sign the NDA upon departure as a means to legally confirm that they are fully aware of their legally recognized obligation to maintain trade secrets and other confidential information.

There are several forms of NDA to be aware of. A unilateral NDA, also known as a one-way NDA, is used when one party needs to share sensitive information with another party while retaining control and protection over that information. A bilateral NDA (aka mutual NDA or two-way NDA) is a legally binding contract between two parties, often individuals or organizations, where both parties agree to protect each other's confidential information. A multilateral NDA is a legal contract involving three or more parties, each of whom agrees to protect and keep confidential the sensitive information shared by the other parties.

Another potential element of an employment contract is a non-compete agreement (NCA). A non-compete agreement, often called a non-compete clause or covenant not to compete (CNC), is a legal contract between an employer and an employee, a business and an independent contractor, or between business partners. The primary purpose of a non-compete agreement is to restrict the ability of one party (usually the employee or business partner) from engaging in competitive activities or working for a competing entity, typically within a specific geographical area and for a defined duration, after the termination of their relationship with the other party. Non-compete agreements are common in

various industries to protect a business's interests, trade secrets, and client relationships. However, their enforceability can vary by jurisdiction, and there are often legal restrictions on the extent to which non-compete agreements can be applied, as they must strike a reasonable balance between protecting a business's legitimate interests and an individual's right to pursue their livelihood. Before entering into or enforcing a non-compete agreement, seeking legal counsel to ensure that it complies with applicable laws and regulations in a specific jurisdiction is advisable.

Employee Oversight

Throughout the employment lifetime of personnel, managers should regularly review or audit the job descriptions, work tasks, privileges, and responsibilities for every staff member. It is common for work tasks and privileges to drift over time. Drifting job responsibilities or privilege creep can also result in security violations. Excess privileges held by a worker represent an increased risk to the organization. That risk includes the greater chance for mistakes to damage asset confidentiality, integrity, and availability (CIA) outside of the worker's actual responsibilities, greater ability for a disgruntled worker to cause harm on purpose, and greater ability for an attack that takes over a worker's account to cause harm. Reviewing and then adjusting user capabilities to realign with the principle of least privilege is a risk-reduction strategy.

For some organizations, mostly those in the financial industry, a key part of this review process is enforcing mandatory vacations. *Mandatory vacations* are used as a peer review process. This process requires a worker to be away from the office and without remote access for one to two weeks per year. While the worker is on “vacation,” a different worker (i.e., an auditor) performs the work duties (potentially with the same account), which makes it easier to verify the work tasks and privileges of workers while attempting to detect abuse, fraud, or negligence. This does not mean that passwords are shared. Mandatory vacation auditing could be implemented by redefining the target worker's account's

password for the auditor, then redefining the password again when the worker returns. Or another account could be created for the auditor with the same access, permissions, and privileges as the worker's.

Other user and worker management and evaluation techniques include separation of duties, job rotation, and cross-training. These concepts are discussed in [Chapter 16](#).

When several people work together to perpetrate a crime, it's called *collusion*. Employing the principles of separation of duties, restricted job responsibilities, mandatory vacations, job rotation, and cross-training reduces the likelihood that a coworker will be willing to collaborate on an illegal or abusive scheme because of the higher risk of detection, reporting, or whistleblowing. Collusion and other privilege abuses can also be reduced through strict monitoring of special privileges and privileged accounts, such as those of an administrator, root, and others.

For many job positions that are considered sensitive or critical, especially in medical, financial, government, and military organizations, periodic revaluation of employees may be needed. This could be a process that is just as thorough as the original background check and investigation performed when the individual was hired, or it may require performing only a few specific checks to confirm consistency in the person's qualifications as well as researching for any new information regarding disqualifications.

User behavior analytics (UBA) and *user and entity behavior analytics (UEBA)* are the concepts of analyzing the behavior of users, subjects, visitors, customers, and so forth for some specific goal or purpose. The *E* in UEBA extends the analysis to include *entity* activities (i.e., devices, systems, networks, and applications) that take place but that are not necessarily directly linked or tied to a user's specific actions, but that can still correlate to a vulnerability, reconnaissance, intrusion, breach, or exploit occurrence. Information collected from UBA/UEBA monitoring can be used to improve personnel security policies, procedures, training, and related security oversight programs.

Offboarding, Transfers, and Termination Processes

Offboarding is the reverse of the onboarding process.

Offboarding is the removal of an employee's identity from the IAM system once that person has left the organization. But offboarding can also be an element used when an *employee transfers* to a new position at the same organization, especially when shifting between departments, facilities, or geographic locations. Personnel transfers may be treated as a termination/rehire rather than a personnel move. This depends on the organization's policies and the means they have determined to best manage this change. Some of the elements that go into making the decision as to which procedure to use include whether the same user account will be retained, if their clearance will be adjusted, if their new work responsibilities are similar to the previous position, and if a “clean slate” account is required for auditing purposes in the new job position.

When a full offboarding is going to occur, whether as part of a termination/rehire transfer, a retirement, or a termination, this can include disabling and/or deleting the user account, revoking certificates, canceling access codes, and terminating other specifically granted privileges. It is common to deactivate the accounts of prior employees in order to retain the identity for auditing purposes for a few months. After the allotted time, if no incidents are discovered regarding the former employee's account, it can be deleted from the IAM completely. If the account is deleted prematurely, any logged events that are of a security concern no longer point to an actual account and thus can make tracking down further evidence of violations more complicated.



An internal employee transfer should not be used to move a problem employee into a different department rather than firing them. Consider the overall 5 Pillars of Information Security and benefit to the organization; if a person is not acceptable as an employee in one department, is it realistic to assume they would be in another? Rather than passing around the problem, the better option is to terminate the problematic employee, especially if direct training and coaching do not provide a resolution.

The offboarding process may also include informing security guards and other physical facility and property access management personnel to disallow entry to the former employee in the future.

The procedures for onboarding and offboarding should be clearly documented to ensure consistency of application and compliance with regulations or contractual obligations. Disclosure of these policies may need to be a standard element of the hiring process.

Numerous issues must be addressed when an employee must be terminated or offboarded. A strong relationship between the security department and HR is essential to maintain control and minimize risks during termination.

Terminations are typically unpleasant processes for all involved. However, they might be elevated to a neutral experience when well planned and scripted. The intent of a termination policy is to reduce the risk associated with employee termination while treating the person with respect. The termination meeting should take place with at least one witness, preferably a higher-level manager and/or a security guard. Once the employee has been informed of their release, they should be reminded of the liabilities and restrictions placed on the former employee based on the employment agreement, NDAs, and any other security-related documentation. During this meeting, all organization-specific identification, access, or security badges as well as

devices, cards, keys, and access tokens should be collected (see [Figure 2.1](#)). The termination of an employee should be handled in a private and respectful manner. However, this does not mean that precautions should not be taken.




FIGURE 2.1 Former employees must return all company property.

For nonvoluntary terminations where there is a perceived risk of a confrontation, the termination process may need to be abrupt and attended by security guards. Any need to resolve HR issues, retrieve company equipment, review NDAs, and so forth can be handled afterward through an attorney.

For terminations that are expected to be professional and for voluntary separations (such as quitting, retiring, or taking extended leave), an additional process may be called an exit interview. An *exit interview* is normally done by an HR person who specializes in those interviews with the idea of learning from the employee's experience. The purpose of an exit interview is to understand why the employee is leaving, what their perspective is of the organization (its personnel, culture, process, etc.), and what they suggest could be done to improve conditions for current and future employees. Information learned from an exit interview may assist the organization with retaining employees through employment improvements and process/policy changes.

Whether an abrupt termination process is used or a cordial process was concluded, the now former employee should be escorted off the premises and not allowed to return to their work area without an escort for any reason.

The following list includes some other security issues that should be handled as soon as possible:

- Remove or deactivate the employee's user account at the same time as or just before they are notified of being terminated.
- Make sure the employee returns any organizational equipment or supplies from their vehicle or home.
- Arrange for a security department member to accompany the released employee while they gather their personal belongings from the work area.
- Inform all security personnel and anyone else who watches or monitors any entrance point to ensure that the former employee does not attempt to reenter the building without an escort.

Firing: Timing Is Everything

Firing an employee has become a complex process. That's why you need a well-designed termination process. However, it must be followed correctly every time. Unfortunately, this doesn't always happen. You might have heard of some fiasco caused by a botched termination procedure. Common examples include performing any of the following before the employee is officially informed of their termination (thus giving the employee prior warning of their termination):

- The IT department is requesting the return of a mobile device
- Deactivating a network user account
- Blocking a person's personal identification number (PIN) or smartcard for building entrance
- Revoking a parking pass
- Distributing a revised company organizational chart
- Positioning a new employee in their cubicle or workspace
- Allowing layoff information to be leaked to the media

Vendor, Consultant, and Contractor Agreements and Controls

Vendor, consultant, and contractor controls are used to define the levels of performance, expectation, compensation, and consequences for entities, persons, or organizations that are external to the primary organization.

Multiparty risk exists when several entities or organizations are involved in a project. The risk or threats are often due to the variations of objectives, expectations, timelines, budgets, and security priorities of those involved. Risk management is the processes of identifying risks, assessing those risks, then

selecting responses to risks that need mitigation. The risk response strategies implemented by one party may in fact cause additional risks against or from another party. Often a risk management governing body must be established to oversee the multiparty project and enforce consistent security parameters for the member entities, at least as their interactions relate to the project.

Using service-level agreements (SLAs) is a means to ensure that organizations providing services maintain an appropriate level of service agreed on by the service provider, vendor, or contractor and the customer organization. You'd be wise to put SLAs in place for any data circuits, applications, information processing systems, databases, or other critical components that are vital to your organization's continued viability. SLAs are important when using any type of third-party service provider, including cloud services. SLAs also commonly include financial and other contractual remedies that kick in if the agreement is not maintained. For example, if a critical circuit is down for more than 15 minutes, the service provider might agree to waive all charges on that circuit for one week.

SLAs and vendor, consultant, and contractor controls are an important part of risk reduction and risk avoidance. By clearly defining the expectations and penalties for external parties, everyone involved knows what is expected of them and what the consequences are in the event of a failure to meet those expectations. Although it may be very cost-effective to use outside providers for a variety of business functions or services, it does increase potential risk by expanding the potential attack surface and range of vulnerabilities. SLAs should include a focus on protecting and improving security in addition to ensuring quality and timely services at a reasonable price. Some SLAs are set and cannot be adjusted, whereas, with others, you may have significant influence over their content. You should ensure that an SLA supports the tenets of your security policy and infrastructure rather than being in conflict with them, which could introduce weak points, vulnerabilities, or exceptions.

Outsourcing is the term often used to describe the use of an external third party, such as a vendor, consultant, or contractor, rather than performing the task or operation in-house.

Outsourcing can be used as a risk response option known as transference or assignment (see the “Risk Responses” section later in this chapter). However, though the risk of operating a function internally is transferred to a third party, other risks are taken on by using a third party. This aspect needs to be evaluated as to whether it is a benefit or a consequence of the SLA. For more on service-level agreements (SLAs), see [Chapter 16](#).

Vendors, consultants, and contractors also represent an increase in the risk of trade secret theft or espionage. Outsiders often lack organizational loyalty that internal employees typically have; thus, the temptation to take advantage of intellectual property access opportunities may seem easier or less of an internal conflict to a perpetrator. For more on espionage, see [Chapter 17](#), “Preventing and Responding to Incidents.”

Some organizations may benefit from a *vendor management system (VMS)*. A VMS is a software solution that assists with managing and procuring staffing services, hardware, software, and other needed products and services. A VMS can offer ordering convenience, order distribution, training, consolidated billing, and more. In regard to security, a VMS can potentially keep communications and contracts confidential, require encrypted and authenticated transactions, and maintain a detailed activity log of events related to vendors and suppliers. A VMS is particularly valuable for organizations that work with a large number of vendors and require a centralized and systematic approach to vendor relationship management. A VMS helps enhance vendor performance, reduce costs, manage risks, and maintain compliance while fostering collaborative and productive relationships with external partners.



Compliance is the act of conforming to or adhering to rules, policies, regulations, standards, or requirements. Compliance is an important concern of security governance.

Understand and Apply Risk Management Concepts

Risk management is a detailed process of identifying factors that could damage or disclose assets, evaluating those factors in light of asset value and countermeasure cost, and implementing cost-effective solutions for mitigating or reducing risk. The overall process of risk management is used to develop and implement information security strategies that support the mission of the organization. The result of performing risk management for the first time is the skeleton of a security policy. Subsequent risk management events are used to improve and sustain an organization's security infrastructure over time as internal and external conditions change.

The primary goal of risk management is to reduce risk to an acceptable level. What that level actually is depends on the organization, the value of its assets, the size of its budget, and many other factors. One organization might consider something to be an acceptable risk, whereas another organization might consider the very same thing to be an unreasonably high level of risk. It is impossible to design and deploy a totally risk-free environment; however, significant risk reduction is possible, often with modest effort.

Risks to an IT infrastructure are not all computer-based. In fact, many risks come from non-IT sources. It is important to consider all possible risks when performing risk evaluation, including accidents, natural disasters, financial threats, civil unrest, pandemics, physical threats, technical exploitations, and social engineering attacks. Failing to evaluate and respond to all forms of risk properly will leave a company vulnerable.

Risk management is composed of two primary elements:

- *Risk assessment* or *risk analysis* is the examination of an environment for risks, evaluating each threat event as to its likelihood of occurring and the severity of the damage it would cause if it did occur, and assessing the cost of various countermeasures for each risk. This results in a sorted criticality prioritization of risks. From there, risk response takes over.
- *Risk response* involves evaluating countermeasures, safeguards, and security controls using a cost/benefit analysis; adjusting findings based on other conditions, concerns, priorities, and resources; and providing a proposal of response options in a report to senior management. Based on management decisions and guidance, the selected responses can be implemented into the IT infrastructure and integrated into the security policy documentation. This activity is also known as risk reduction or risk mitigation, which is the overall goal of risk management.

A concept related to risk management is risk awareness. *Risk awareness* is the effort to increase the knowledge of risks within an organization. This includes understanding the value of assets, inventorying the existing threats that can harm those assets, and the responses selected and implemented to address the identified risk. Risk awareness helps to inform an organization about the importance of abiding by security policies and the consequences of security failures.

Risk Terminology and Concepts

Risk management employs a vast terminology that must be clearly understood. This section defines and discusses all the important risk-related terminology:

Asset An *asset* is anything used in a business process or task. If an organization relies on a person, place, or thing, whether tangible or intangible, then it is an asset.

Asset Valuation *Asset valuation* is the value assigned to an asset based on a number of factors, including importance to the organization, use in critical processes, actual cost, and nonmonetary expenses/costs (such as time, attention, productivity, and research and development). When performing a math-based risk evaluation (i.e., quantitative; see the “Quantitative Risk Analysis” section later in this chapter), a dollar figure is assigned as the asset value (AV).

Threats Any potential occurrence that may cause an undesirable or unwanted outcome for an organization or for a specific asset is a *threat*. Threats are any action or inaction that could cause damage, destruction, alteration, loss, or disclosure of assets or that could block access to or prevent maintenance of assets. They can be intentional or accidental. They can originate from inside or outside. You can loosely think of a threat as a weapon that could cause harm to a target.

Threat Agent/Actors *Threat agents* or *threat actors* intentionally exploit vulnerabilities. Threat agents are usually people, but they could also be programs, hardware, or systems. Threat agents wield threats to cause harm to targets. Aka attacker, adversary, or bad guy.

Threat Events *Threat events* are accidental occurrences and intentional exploitations of vulnerabilities. They can also be natural or person-made. Threat events include fire, earthquake, flood, system failure, human error (due to a lack of training or ignorance), and power outage.

Threat Vector A *threat vector* or *attack vector* is the path or means by which an attack or threat agent can gain access to a target to cause harm. Threat vectors can include email, web surfing, external drives, Wi-Fi networks, physical access, mobile devices, cloud, social media, supply chain, removable media, and commercial software.

Vulnerability The weakness in an asset or the absence or the weakness of a safeguard or countermeasure is a *vulnerability*. In other words, a vulnerability is a flaw,

loophole, oversight, error, limitation, frailty, or susceptibility that enables a threat to cause harm.

Exposure *Exposure* is being susceptible to asset loss because of a threat; there is the possibility that a vulnerability can or will be exploited by a threat agent or event. Exposure doesn't mean that a realized threat (an event that results in loss) is actually occurring, just that there is the potential for harm to occur. The quantitative risk analysis value of the exposure factor (EF) is derived from this concept.

Risk

Risk is the possibility or likelihood that a threat will exploit a vulnerability to cause harm to an asset and the severity of damage that could result. The more likely it is that a threat event will occur, the greater the risk. The greater the amount of harm that could result if a threat is realized, the greater the risk. Every instance of exposure is a risk. When written as a conceptual formula, risk can be defined as follows:

$$\text{risk} = \text{threat} * \text{vulnerability}$$

or

$$\text{risk} = \text{probability of harm} * \text{severity of harm}$$

Safeguards A *safeguard*, *security control*, protection mechanism, or *countermeasure* is anything that removes or reduces a vulnerability or protects against one or more specific threats. This concept is also known as a risk response. A safeguard is any action or product that reduces risk through the elimination or lessening of a threat or a vulnerability. Safeguards are the means by which risk is mitigated or resolved. It is important to remember that a safeguard need not involve purchasing a new product; reconfiguring existing elements and removing elements from the infrastructure are also valid safeguards or risk responses.

Attack An *attack* is intentionally exploiting a vulnerability by a threat agent to cause damage, loss, or disclosure of

assets, whether or not the attempt is successful. An attack can also be viewed as any violation or failure to adhere to an organization's security policy. A malicious event does not have to succeed in violating security to be considered an attack.

Breach A *breach*, intrusion, or penetration is when a security mechanism is bypassed or thwarted by a threat agent. A breach is a successful attack.

Hazard

A *hazard* refers to a potential source or situation that has the capability to cause harm, loss, damage, injury, or adverse consequences to an organization, its assets, individuals, or the environment.

Some of these risk terms and elements are clearly related, as shown in [Figure 2.2](#). Threats exploit vulnerabilities, which results in exposure. Exposure is a risk, and risk is mitigated by safeguards. Safeguards protect assets that are endangered by threats.



FIGURE 2.2 The cyclical relationships of risk elements

There are many approaches to risk assessment. Some are initiated by evaluating threats, whereas others focus first on assets. Whether a risk assessment starts with inventorying threats, then looks for assets that could be harmed, or starts with inventorying assets, then looks for threats that could cause harm, both approaches result in asset-threat pairings that then need to be risk evaluated. Both approaches have merit, and organizations should shift or alternate their risk assessment processes between these methods. When focusing first on threats, a broader range of harmful issues may be considered, without being limited to the context of the assets. But this may result in the collection of information about threats that the organization does not need to worry about as they don't have the assets or vulnerabilities that the threat focuses on. When focusing first on assets, the entirety of organizational resources can be discovered without being limited to the context of the threat list. But this may result in spending time evaluating assets of very low value and low risk (which would or will be defined as acceptable risk), which may increase the overall time involved in risk assessment.

Risk perspectives, also known as risk management perspectives or approaches, are different lenses through which organizations

and individuals can view and address risks. Each perspective emphasizes certain aspects of risk and can guide decision-making, risk assessment, and mitigation strategies. There are innumerable options of risk perspective, including asset, outcome, vulnerability, threat, financial, strategic, operational, compliance, legal, reputational, supply chain, third-party, and workforce. Each risk perspective offers a unique way to approach risk management and provides insights into different aspects of risk. An effective risk management strategy may incorporate elements of multiple perspectives to comprehensively assess and address risks based on their impact, likelihood, and the organization's specific objectives.

The general idea of a threat-based risk assessment was discussed in [Chapter 1](#), “Security Governance Through Principles and Policies”—that is, threat modeling. The discussion of risk assessment in this chapter will focus on an asset-based risk assessment approach.

Asset Valuation

An asset-based or asset-initiated risk analysis starts with inventorying all organizational assets. Once that inventory is complete, a valuation needs to be assigned to each asset. The evaluation or appraisal of each asset helps establish its importance or criticality to the business operations. If an asset has no value, there is no need to provide protection for it. A primary goal of risk analysis is to ensure that only cost-effective safeguards are deployed. It makes no sense to spend \$100,000 protecting an asset that is worth only \$1,000. Therefore, the value of an asset directly affects and guides the level of safeguards and security deployed to protect it. As a rule, the annual costs of safeguards should not exceed the potential annual cost of asset value loss.

When the cost of an asset is evaluated, there are many aspects to consider. The goal of asset valuation is to assign to an asset a specific dollar value that encompasses tangible costs as well as intangible ones. Determining the exact value of an asset is often difficult, if not impossible, but nevertheless, a specific value must

be established in order to perform quantitative mathematical calculations. (Note that the discussion of qualitative versus quantitative risk analysis later in this chapter may clarify this issue; see the “Risk Assessment/Analysis” section.) Improperly assigning value to assets can result in failing to protect an asset or implementing financially infeasible safeguards properly. The following list includes tangible and intangible issues that contribute to the valuation of assets:

- Purchase cost
- Development cost
- Administrative or management cost
- Maintenance or upkeep cost
- Cost of acquiring an asset
- Cost to protect or sustain an asset
- Value to owners and users
- Value to competitors
- Intellectual property or equity value
- Market valuation (sustainable price)
- Replacement cost
- Productivity enhancement or degradation
- Operational costs of asset presence and loss
- Liability of asset loss
- Usefulness
- Relationship to research and development

Assigning or determining the value of assets to an organization can fulfill numerous requirements by:

- Serving as the foundation for performing a cost/benefit analysis of asset protection when performing safeguard selection

- Serving as a means for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of safeguards and countermeasures
- Providing values for insurance purposes and establishing an overall net worth or net value for the organization
- Helping senior management understand exactly what is at risk within the organization
- Preventing negligence of due care/due diligence and encouraging compliance with legal requirements, industry regulations, and internal security policies

If threat-based or threat-initiated risk analysis is being performed, asset valuation occurs after the organization discovers threats and identifies vulnerable assets to those threats.

Identify Threats and Vulnerabilities

An essential part of risk management is identifying and examining threats. This involves creating an exhaustive list of all possible threats for the organization's identified assets. The list should include threat agents as well as threat events. Keep in mind that threats can come from anywhere. Threats to IT are not limited to IT sources or concepts. When compiling a list of threats, be sure to consider threats from a wide range of sources.

For an expansive and formal list of threat examples, concepts, and categories, consult National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Special Publication (SP) 800-30r1 Appendix D, "Threat sources," and Appendix E, "Threat events." For coverage of threat modeling, see [Chapter 1](#).

In most cases, a team rather than a single individual should perform risk assessment and analysis. Also, the team members should be from various departments within the organization. It is not usually a requirement that all team members be security professionals or even network/system administrators. The team's diversity based on the organization's demographics will help to broadly identify and address a wider range of threats and risks.

The Consultant Cavalry

Risk assessment is a highly involved, detailed, complex, and lengthy process. Often risk analysis cannot be properly handled by existing employees because of the size, scope, or liability of the risk; thus, many organizations bring in risk management consultants to perform this work. This provides a high level of expertise, does not bog down employees, and can be a more reliable measurement of real-world risk. However, even risk management consultants do not perform risk assessment and analysis on paper only; they typically employ risk assessment software. This software streamlines the overall task, provides more reliable results, and produces standardized reports that are acceptable to insurance companies, boards of directors, and so on.

Risk Assessment/Analysis

Risk management is primarily the responsibility of upper management. However, upper management typically assigns risk analyses and risk response modeling tasks to a team from the IT and security departments. The results of the risk assessment team will be submitted as a proposal to upper management. Upper management will make the final decisions as to which responses are implemented by the organization.

It is the responsibility of upper management to initiate and support risk analysis and assessment by defining the scope and purpose of the endeavor. All risk assessments, results, decisions, actions, and outcomes must be understood and approved by upper management as an element in providing prudent due care/due diligence.

“Prudent actions” and “reasonable actions” are terms used in legal and ethical contexts to describe different standards of behavior or decision-making, especially related to risk management. While these standards share some similarities, they have distinct meanings. Prudent actions refer to actions or

decisions that are marked by a high degree of caution, care, and foresight. They are characterized by careful consideration of potential risks, a focus on preventing harm, and a commitment to acting in a manner that is consistent with established best practices or industry standards. Prudent actions often involve taking additional precautions beyond what might be considered “reasonable” to ensure the highest level of safety and protection. Prudence implies a proactive and diligent approach to decision-making. In a legal context, acting prudently may serve to protect an individual or organization from liability in cases where a higher standard of care is expected.

Reasonable actions refer to actions or decisions that are in line with what a person of ordinary prudence and judgment would do in similar circumstances. These actions are based on the idea of acting in a manner that is sensible, rational, and consistent with societal norms and expectations. Reasonable actions are a standard often used in legal and ethical contexts to assess whether an individual's behavior or decisions meet a minimum threshold of acceptability. In a legal context, “acting reasonably” often serves as a benchmark to determine whether someone has met their duty of care, particularly in cases where negligence or liability is in question.

Therefore, prudent actions are characterized by an above-average level of caution and diligence, whereas reasonable actions are aligned with common expectations and societal norms. The choice between these standards may depend on the specific circumstances, legal requirements, and ethical considerations, as well as the degree of care and caution expected in a given situation.

All IT systems have risk. All organizations have risk. Every task performed by a worker has risk. There is no way to eliminate 100 percent of all risks. Instead, upper management must decide which risks are acceptable and which are not. Determining which risks are acceptable requires detailed and complex asset and risk assessments, as well as a thorough understanding of the organization's budget, internal expertise and experience, business conditions, and many other internal and external factors. What is

deemed acceptable to one organization may not be viewed the same way by another. For example, you might think that losing \$100 is a significant loss and impact to your monthly personal budget, but the wealthy might not even realize if they lost or wasted hundreds or thousands of dollars. Risk is personal, or at least specific to an organization based on its assets, its threats, its threat agents/actors, and its risk tolerance.

Scope refers to the extent or boundaries of a risk management process, project, or assessment. It defines what is included and what is excluded in the risk management efforts. Determining the scope is a critical step in effectively managing and addressing risks, as it helps organizations focus their resources and efforts on the most relevant areas. Establishing a well-defined scope is essential for effective risk management because it helps organizations prioritize their efforts, allocate resources efficiently, and ensure that risks are adequately assessed and mitigated in the areas that matter most. A clear and well-communicated scope also reduces ambiguity and ensures that all relevant parties are on the same page regarding the objectives and boundaries of the risk management process.

Once an inventory of threats and assets (or assets and threats) is developed based on a defined scope, each asset-threat pairing must be individually evaluated and its related risk calculated or assessed. There are two primary risk assessment methodologies: quantitative and qualitative. *Quantitative risk analysis* assigns real dollar figures to the loss of an asset and is based on mathematical calculations. *Qualitative risk analysis* assigns subjective and intangible values to the loss of an asset and takes into account perspectives, feelings, intuition, preferences, ideas, and gut reactions. Both methods are necessary for a complete perspective on organizational risk. Most environments employ a hybrid of both risk assessment methodologies in order to gain a balanced view of their security concerns.

The goal of risk assessment is to identify risks (based on asset-threat pairings) and rank them in order of criticality. This risk criticality prioritization is needed in order to guide the organization in optimizing the use of their limited resources on

protections against identified risks, from the most significant to those just above the risk acceptance threshold.

The two risk assessment approaches (quantitative and qualitative) can be seen as distinct and separate concepts or endpoints on a sliding scale. As discussed in [Chapter 1](#), a basic probability versus potential damage 3×3 matrix relies on an innate understanding of the assets and threats and relies on a judgment call of the risk analyst to decide whether the likelihood and severity are low, medium, or high. This is likely the simplest form of qualitative assessment. It requires minimum time and effort. However, if it fails to provide the needed clarity or distinction of criticality prioritization, then a more in-depth approach should be undertaken. A 5×5 matrix or even larger could be used. However, each increase in matrix size requires more knowledge, more research, and more time to assign a level to probability and severity properly. At some point, the evaluation shifts from being mostly subjective qualitative to more substantial quantitative.

Another perspective on the two risk assessment approaches is that a qualitative mechanism can be used first to determine whether a detailed and resource/time-expensive quantitative mechanism is necessary. An organization can also perform both approaches and use them to adjust or modify each other; for example, qualitative results can be used to fine-tune quantitative priorities.

Qualitative Risk Analysis

Qualitative risk analysis is more scenario-based, perception-based, or gut reaction-based than it is mathematically-based. Rather than assigning exact dollar figures to possible losses, you rank threats on a relative scale to evaluate their risks, costs, and effects. Since a purely quantitative risk assessment is not possible, balancing the results of a quantitative analysis is essential. The method of combining quantitative and qualitative analysis into a final assessment of organizational risk is known as *hybrid assessment* or *hybrid analysis*. The process of performing qualitative risk analysis involves judgment, intuition, and

experience. You can use many techniques to perform qualitative risk analysis:

- Brainstorming
- Storyboarding
- Focus groups
- Surveys
- Questionnaires
- Checklists
- One-on-one meetings
- Interviews
- Scenarios
- Delphi technique

Determining which mechanism to employ is based on the culture of the organization and the types of risks and assets involved. It is common for several methods to be employed simultaneously and their results compared and contrasted in the final risk analysis report to upper management. Two of these that you need to be more aware of are scenarios and the Delphi technique.

Scenarios

The basic process for all these mechanisms involves the creation of scenarios. A scenario is a written description of a single major threat. The description focuses on how a threat would be instigated and what effects its occurrence could have on the organization, the IT infrastructure, and specific assets. Generally, the scenarios are limited to one page of text to keep them manageable. For each scenario, several safeguards are described that would completely or partially protect against the major threat discussed in the scenario. The analysis participants then assign to the scenario a threat level, a loss potential, and the advantages of each safeguard. These assignments can be simple—such as High, Medium, and Low, or a basic number scale of 1 to 10—or they can be detailed essay responses. The responses from

all participants are then compiled into a single report that is presented to upper management. For examples of reference ratings and levels, please see Tables D-3, D-4, D-5, D-6, and E-4 in NIST SP 800-30 Rev.1:

csrc.nist.gov/pubs/sp/800/30/r1/final.

The usefulness and validity of a qualitative risk analysis improves as the number and diversity of the participants in the evaluation increases. Whenever possible, include one or more people from each level of the organizational hierarchy, from upper management to end users. It is also important to include a cross-section from each major department, division, office, or branch.

Delphi Technique

The Delphi technique is probably the primary mechanism on the previous list that is not immediately recognizable and understood. The *Delphi technique* is simply an anonymous feedback-and-response process used to enable a group to reach an anonymous consensus. Its primary purpose is to elicit honest and uninfluenced responses from all participants, while minimizing the influence of bias and discrimination. The participants are usually gathered in a single meeting room. To each request for feedback, each participant writes down their response on paper or through digital messaging services anonymously. The results are compiled and presented to the group for evaluation. The process is repeated until a consensus is reached. The goal or purpose of the Delphi technique is to facilitate the evaluation of ideas, concepts, and solutions on their own merit without the discrimination that often occurs based on who the idea comes from.

Quantitative Risk Analysis

The quantitative method results in concrete probability indications or a numeric indication of relative risk potential. That means the end result is a report that has dollar figures for levels of risk, potential loss, cost of countermeasures, and value of safeguards. This report is usually fairly easy to understand, especially for anyone with knowledge of spreadsheets and budget

reports. Think of quantitative analysis as the act of assigning a quantity to risk—in other words, placing a dollar figure on each asset and threat impact. However, a purely quantitative analysis is not sufficient—not all elements and aspects of the analysis can be accurately quantified because some are qualitative, subjective, or intangible.

The process of quantitative risk analysis starts with asset valuation and threat identification (which can be performed in any order). This results in asset-threat pairings that need to have estimations of harm potential/severity and frequency/likelihood assigned or determined. This information is then used to calculate various cost functions that are used to evaluate safeguards.

The major steps or phases in quantitative risk analysis are as follows (see [Figure 2.3](#), with terms and concepts defined after this list of steps):

1. Inventory assets and assign a value (asset value [AV]).
2. Research each asset and produce a list of all possible threats to each individual asset. This results in asset-threat pairings.
3. For each asset-threat pairing, calculate the exposure factor (EF).
4. Calculate the single loss expectancy (SLE) for each asset-threat pairing.
5. Perform a threat analysis to calculate the likelihood of each threat being realized within a single year—that is, the annualized rate of occurrence (ARO).
6. Derive the overall loss potential per threat by calculating the annualized loss expectancy (ALE).
7. Research countermeasures for each threat and then calculate the changes to ARO, EF, and ALE based on an applied countermeasure.
8. Perform a cost/benefit analysis of each countermeasure for each threat for each asset. Select the most appropriate response to each threat.




FIGURE 2.3 The six major elements of quantitative risk analysis

The cost functions associated with quantitative risk analysis include the following:

Exposure Factor The *exposure factor (EF)* represents the percentage of loss that an organization would experience if a specific asset were violated by a realized risk. The EF can also be called the *loss potential*. In most cases, a realized risk does not result in the total loss of an asset. The EF simply indicates the expected overall asset value loss because of a single realized risk. The EF is usually small for assets that are easily replaceable, such as hardware. It can be very large for assets that are irreplaceable or proprietary, such as product designs or a database of customers. The EF is expressed as a percentage. The EF is determined by using historical internal data, performing statistical analysis,

consulting public or subscription risk ledgers/registers, working with consultants, or using a risk management software solution.

Single-Loss Expectancy The *single-loss expectancy (SLE)* is the potential loss associated with a single realized threat against a specific asset. It indicates the potential amount of loss an organization would or could experience if an asset were harmed by a specific threat occurring.

The SLE is calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{SLE} = \text{asset value (AV)} * \text{exposure factor (EF)}$$

or more simply:

$$\text{SLE} = \text{AV} * \text{EF}$$

The SLE is expressed in a dollar value. For example, if an asset is valued at \$200,000 and it has an EF of 45 percent for a specific threat, then the SLE of the threat for that asset is \$90,000. It is not always necessary to calculate an SLE, as the ALE is the most commonly needed value in determining criticality prioritization. Thus, sometimes, during risk calculation, SLE may be skipped entirely.

Annualized Rate of Occurrence The *annualized rate of occurrence (ARO)* is the expected frequency with which a specific threat or risk will occur (that is, become realized) within a single year. The ARO can range from a value of 0.0 (zero), indicating that the threat or risk will never be realized, to a very large number, indicating that the threat or risk occurs often. Calculating the ARO can be complicated. It can be derived by reviewing historical internal data, performing statistical analysis, consulting public or subscription risk ledgers/registers, working with consultants, or using a risk management software solution. The ARO for some threats or risks is calculated by multiplying the likelihood of a single occurrence by the number of users who could initiate the threat. ARO is also known as a probability determination. Here's an example: the ARO of an earthquake in Tulsa may be .00001, whereas the ARO of an earthquake

in San Francisco may be .03 (for a 6.7+ magnitude), or you can compare the ARO of an earthquake in Tulsa of .00001 to the ARO of an email virus in an office in Tulsa of 10,000,000.

Annualized Loss Expectancy

The *annualized loss expectancy (ALE)* is the possible yearly loss of all instances of a specific realized threat against a specific asset. The ALE is calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{ALE} = \text{single loss expectancy (SLE)} * \text{annualized rate of occurrence (ARO)}$$

or

$$\text{ALE} = \text{asset value (AV)} * \text{exposure factor (EF)} * \text{annualized rate of occurrence (ARO)}$$

or more simply:

$$\text{ALE} = \text{SLE} * \text{ARO}$$

or

$$\text{ALE} = \text{AV} * \text{EF} * \text{ARO}$$

For example, if the SLE of an asset is \$90,000 and the ARO for a specific threat (such as total power loss) is .5, then the ALE is \$45,000. If the ARO for a specific threat (such as a compromised user account) is 15 for the same asset, then the ALE would be \$1,350,000.

The task of calculating EF, SLE, ARO, and ALE for every asset and every threat/risk is a daunting one. Fortunately, quantitative risk assessment software tools can simplify and automate much of this process. These tools produce an asset inventory with valuations and then, using predefined AROs along with some customizing options (industry, geography, IT components, and so on), produce risk analysis reports.

Once an ALE is calculated for each asset-threat pairing, then the entire collection should be sorted from largest ALE to smallest. Although the actual number of the ALE is not an absolute

number (it is an amalgamation of intangible and tangible value multiplied by a future prediction of loss multiplied by a future prediction of likelihood), it does have relative value. The largest ALE is the biggest problem the organization is facing and, thus, the first risk to be addressed in risk response.

The “Cost vs. Benefit of Security Controls” section, later in this chapter, discusses the various formulas associated with quantitative risk analysis that you should be familiar with.

Both the quantitative and qualitative risk analysis mechanisms offer useful results. However, each technique involves a unique method of evaluating the same set of assets and risks. Prudent due care requires that both methods be employed in order to obtain a balanced perspective on risk. [Table 2.1](#) describes the benefits and disadvantages of these two systems.

TABLE 2.1 Comparison of quantitative and qualitative risk analysis

Characteristic	Qualitative	Quantitative
Employs math functions	No	Yes
Uses cost/benefit analysis	May	Yes
Requires estimation	Yes	Some
Supports automation	No	Yes
Involves a high volume of information	No	Yes
Is objective	Less so	More so
Relies substantially on opinion	Yes	No
Requires significant time and effort	Sometimes	Yes
Offers useful and meaningful results	Yes	Yes

At this point, the risk management process shifts from risk assessment to risk response. Risk assessment is used to identify the risks and set criticality priorities, and then risk response is used to determine the best defense for each identified risk.

However, identified risks need to be prioritized before any response strategies can be selected or implemented.

Prioritization in risk management is the process of systematically ranking and organizing risks based on their significance, potential impact, likelihood, or other relevant criteria. The objective of prioritization is to identify and focus on the most critical or high-priority risks so that limited resources, time, and attention can be allocated effectively to address them. Prioritization is a fundamental step in the risk management process, helping organizations make informed decisions about risk mitigation and risk response strategies. Risk prioritization (or criticality prioritization) can be as simple as ordering risks from worst to least unfavorable or sorting ALEs from largest to smallest. However, complex and integrated risk analysis methods may integrate qualitative and quantitative elements together, making the prioritization process a less than simple process.

Risk Responses

Whether a quantitative or qualitative risk assessment was performed, there are many elements of risk response that apply equally to both approaches. Once the risk analysis is complete, management must address each specific risk. There are several possible responses to risk:

- Mitigation or reduction
- Assignment or transfer
- Deterrence
- Avoidance
- Acceptance
- Reject or ignore

These risk responses are all related to an organization's risk appetite and risk tolerance. *Risk appetite* is the total amount of risk that an organization is willing to shoulder in aggregate across all assets. *Risk capacity* is the level of risk an organization is able

to shoulder. An organization's desired risk appetite may be greater than its actual capacity. *Risk tolerance* is the amount or level of risk that an organization will accept per individual asset-threat pair. This is often related to a risk target, which is the preferred level of risk for a specific asset-threat pairing. A *risk limit* is the maximum level of risk above the risk target that will be tolerated before further risk management actions are taken.

You need to know the following information about the possible risk responses:

Risk Mitigation *Reducing risk, or risk mitigation*, is the implementation of safeguards, security controls, and countermeasures to reduce and/or eliminate vulnerabilities or block threats. Deploying encryption and using firewalls are common examples of risk mitigation or reduction. Elimination of an individual risk can sometimes be achieved, but typically, some risk remains even after mitigation or reduction efforts.

Risk Assignment *Assigning risk or transferring risk* is the placement of the responsibility of loss due to a risk onto another entity or organization. Purchasing cybersecurity insurance or traditional insurance and outsourcing are common forms of assigning or transferring risk. Aka assignment of risk and transference of risk.

Risk Deterrence *Risk deterrence* is the process of implementing deterrents to would-be violators of security and policy. The goal is to convince a threat agent not to attack. Some examples include implementing auditing, security cameras, and warning banners; using security guards; and making it known that the organization is willing to cooperate with authorities and prosecute those who participate in cybercrime.

Risk Avoidance *Risk avoidance* is the process of selecting alternate options or activities that have less associated risk than the default, common, expedient, or cheap option. For example, choosing to fly to a destination instead of driving to it is a form of risk avoidance. Another

example is to locate a business in Arizona instead of Florida to avoid hurricanes. The risk is avoided by eliminating the risk cause. A business leader terminating a business endeavor because it does not align with organizational objectives and that has a high risk-versus-reward ratio is also an example of risk avoidance.

Risk Acceptance *Accepting risk*, or acceptance of risk, is the result after a cost/benefit analysis shows countermeasure costs would outweigh the possible cost of loss due to a risk. It also means that management has agreed to accept the consequences and the loss if the risk is realized. In most cases, accepting risk requires a clearly written statement that indicates why a safeguard was not implemented, who is responsible for the decision, and who will be responsible for the loss if the risk is realized, usually in the form of a document signed by senior management.

Risk Rejection An unacceptable possible response to risk is to *reject risk* or *ignore risk*. Denying that a risk exists and hoping that it will never be realized are not valid or prudent due care/due diligence responses to risk. Rejecting or ignoring risk may be considered negligence in court.

Inherent risk is the level of natural, native, or default risk in an environment, system, or product before any risk management efforts are performed. Inherent risk can exist due to the supply chain, developer operations, design and architecture of a system, or an organization's knowledge and skill base. Inherent risk is also known as *initial risk* or *starting risk*. This is the risk that is identified by the risk assessment process.

Once safeguards, security controls, and countermeasures are implemented, the risk that remains is known as residual risk. *Residual risk* consists of threats to specific assets against which upper management chooses not to implement a response. In other words, residual risk is the risk that management has chosen to accept rather than mitigate. In most cases, the presence of residual risk indicates that the cost/benefit analysis showed that the available safeguards were not cost-effective deterrents.

Total risk is the amount of risk an organization would face if no safeguards were implemented. A conceptual formula for total risk is as follows:

$$\text{threats and vulnerabilities and asset value} = \text{total risk}$$

The difference between total risk and residual risk is known as the controls gap. The *controls gap* is the amount of risk that is reduced by implementing safeguards. A conceptual formula for residual risk is as follows:

$$\text{total risk} - \text{controls gap} = \text{residual risk}$$

As with risk management in general, handling risk is not a onetime process. Instead, security must be continually maintained and reaffirmed. In fact, repeating the risk assessment and risk response processes is a necessary function to assess the completeness and effectiveness of the security program over time. Additionally, it helps locate deficiencies and areas where change has occurred. Since security changes over time, reassessing on a periodic basis is essential to maintaining reasonable security.

Control risk is the risk that is introduced by the introduction of the countermeasure to an environment. Most safeguards, security controls, and countermeasures are themselves some sort of technology. No technology is perfect, and no security is perfect, so some vulnerability exists in regard to the control itself. Although a control may reduce the risk of a threat to an asset, it may also introduce a new risk of a threat that can compromise the control itself. Thus, risk assessment and response must be an iterative operation that looks back on itself to make continuous improvements.

Cybersecurity Insurance

Cybersecurity insurance, also known as cyber insurance or cyber risk insurance, is a type of insurance policy that provides coverage and financial protection to organizations or individuals in the event of cyber-related incidents, data breaches, or

cyberattacks. This form of insurance is designed to help mitigate the financial and legal consequences of cybersecurity breaches, which can result in data loss, financial loss, legal liabilities, and reputational damage. It is a form of risk assignment response.

Key features and aspects of cybersecurity insurance include:

- *Coverage for data breaches.* Cybersecurity insurance typically covers the costs associated with data breaches, including expenses related to notifying affected individuals, credit monitoring services, and the costs of investigating and mitigating the breach.
- *Financial loss protection.* It provides coverage for financial losses resulting from cyberattacks, such as theft of funds, fraudulent transactions, and extortion payments demanded by cybercriminals.
- *Legal liabilities.* Cyber insurance can cover legal expenses and liability costs associated with cybersecurity incidents, including lawsuits, regulatory fines, and penalties for noncompliance with data protection regulations.
- *Reputation management.* Some policies include coverage for expenses related to reputation management and public relations efforts to rebuild trust with customers and stakeholders after a data breach.
- *Business interruption.* Cyber insurance may offer coverage for losses related to business interruption caused by a cyber incident. This can include income loss due to system downtime.
- *Ransomware protection.* Many policies include coverage for ransomware attacks and may cover ransom payments, investigation costs, and remediation.
- *Forensic services.* Insurers often provide access to cybersecurity experts and forensic services to investigate and assess the extent of a breach.
- *Incident response.* Cyber insurance may include support for incident response planning and coordination, helping

organizations navigate the complexities of managing a cyber incident.

- *Regulatory compliance.* Policies may cover expenses related to regulatory compliance and fines, particularly in cases where data protection laws have been violated.
- *Third-party liability.* Coverage may extend to liabilities arising from third parties, such as vendors, partners, and customers, who are affected by a cybersecurity incident involving the insured organization.

Cybersecurity insurance is often an essential component of an organization's risk management strategy, especially as cyberthreats continue to evolve and pose significant financial and operational risks. It helps businesses and individuals transfer some of the financial risks associated with cybersecurity incidents to insurance providers, reducing the potential financial burden and providing peace of mind in the face of cyberthreats. However, it's crucial for policyholders to carefully review the terms, coverage limits, and conditions of their cyber insurance policies to ensure they meet their specific needs and risk profile.

Cost vs. Benefit of Security Controls

Often, additional calculations are involved in risk response when a quantitative risk assessment is performed. These relate to the mathematical evaluation of the cost/benefit of a safeguard. For each identified risk in criticality priority order, safeguards are considered in regard to their potential loss reduction and benefit potential. For each asset-threat pairing (i.e., identified risk), an inventory of potential and available safeguards must be made. This may include investigating the marketplace, consulting with experts, and reviewing security frameworks, regulations, and guidelines. Once a list of safeguards is obtained or produced for each risk, those safeguards should be evaluated as to their benefit and their cost relative to the asset-threat pair. This is the cost/benefit evaluation of safeguards.

Legal and in Compliance

Every organization needs to verify that its operations and policies are legal and in compliance with its stated security policies, industry obligations, contracts, and regulations. Auditing is necessary for compliance testing, also called compliance checking. Verification that a system complies with laws, regulations, baselines, guidelines, standards, best practices, contracts, and policies is an important part of maintaining security in any environment. Compliance testing ensures that all necessary and required elements of a security solution are properly deployed and functioning as expected. These are all important considerations when selecting risk response strategies.

Safeguards, security controls, and countermeasures will primarily reduce risk through a reduction in the potential rate of compromise (i.e., ARO). However, some safeguards will also reduce the amount or severity of damage (i.e., EF). For those safeguards that only reduce the ARO, the amount of loss of a single realized event (i.e., SLE) is the same with or without the safeguard. But for those safeguards that also reduce the EF, any single realized event will cause less damage than if the safeguard was not present. Either way, a reduction of the ARO and potentially a reduction of the EF will result in a smaller ALE with the safeguard than without. Thus, this potential ALE with the safeguard should be calculated ($ALE = AV * EF * ARO$). We can then consider the original asset-threat pair risk ALE as ALE1 (or ALE pre-safeguard) and the safeguard-specific ALE as ALE2 (or ALE post-safeguard). An ALE2 should be calculated for each potential safeguard for each asset-threat pair. The best of all possible safeguards would reduce the ARO to 0, although this is extremely unlikely.

Any safeguard that is selected to be deployed will cost the organization something. It might not be purchase cost; it could be costs in terms of productivity loss, retraining, changes in

business processes, or other opportunity costs. An estimation of the yearly costs for the safeguard to be present in the organization is needed. This estimation can be called the *annual cost of the safeguard (ACS)*. Several common factors affect ACS:

- Cost of purchase, development, and licensing
- Cost of implementation and customization
- Cost of annual operation, maintenance, administration, and so on
- Cost of annual repairs and upgrades
- Productivity improvement or loss
- Changes to environment
- Cost of testing and evaluation

The value of the asset to be protected determines the maximum expenditures for protection mechanisms. Security should be cost-effective, and thus it is not prudent to spend more (in terms of cash or resources) protecting an asset than its value to the organization. If the cost of the countermeasure is greater than the value of the asset (i.e., the cost of the risk), that safeguard should not be considered a reasonable option. Also, if the ACS is greater than the ALE₁ (i.e., the potential annual loss of an asset due to a threat), then the safeguard is not a cost-effective solution. If no safeguard options are cost-effective, then accepting the risk may be the only remaining option.

Once you know the potential annual cost of a safeguard, you can then evaluate the benefit of that safeguard if applied to an infrastructure. The final computation in this process is the *cost/benefit calculation*, or *cost/benefit analysis*. This calculation is used to determine whether a safeguard actually improves security without costing too much. To determine whether the safeguard is financially equitable, use the following formula:

$$[\text{ALE pre-safeguard} - \text{ALE post-safeguard}] - \text{annual cost of safeguard (ACS)} = \text{value of the safeguard to the company}$$

If the result is negative, the safeguard is not a financially responsible choice. If the result is positive, then that value is the annual savings your organization may reap by deploying the safeguard because the rate of occurrence is not a guarantee of occurrence. If multiple safeguards seem to have a positive cost/benefit result, then the safeguard with the largest benefit is the most cost-effective option.

The annual savings or loss from a safeguard should not be the only consideration when evaluating safeguards. You should also consider the issues of legal responsibility and prudent due care/due diligence. In some cases, it makes more sense to lose money in the deployment of a safeguard than to risk legal liability in the event of an asset disclosure or loss.

In review, to perform the cost/benefit analysis of a safeguard, you must calculate the following three elements:

- The pre-safeguard ALE for an asset-threat pairing
- The potential post-safeguard ALE for an asset-threat pairing
- The ACS (annual cost of the safeguard)

With those elements, you can finally obtain a value for the cost/benefit formula for this specific safeguard against a specific risk against a specific asset:

$$(\text{pre-safeguard ALE} - \text{post-safeguard ALE}) - \text{ACS}$$

or, even more simply:

$$(\text{ALE}_1 - \text{ALE}_2) - \text{ACS}$$

The countermeasure with the greatest resulting value from this cost/benefit formula makes the most economic sense to deploy against the specific asset-threat pairing.

It is important to realize that with all the calculations used in the quantitative risk assessment process ([Table 2.2](#)), the end values are used for prioritization and selection. The values themselves do not truly reflect real-world losses or costs due to security

breaches. This should be obvious because of the level of guesswork, statistical analysis, and probability predictions required in the process.

TABLE 2.2 Quantitative risk analysis formulas

Concept	Formula or meaning
Asset value (AV)	\$
Exposure factor (EF)	% Loss
Single loss expectancy (SLE)	$SLE = AV * EF$
Annualized rate of occurrence (ARO)	# / year
Annualized loss expectancy (ALE)	$ALE = SLE * ARO$ or $ALE = AV * EF * ARO$
Annual cost of the safeguard (ACS)	\$ / year
Value or benefit of a safeguard (i.e., cost/benefit equation)	$(ALE_1 - ALE_2) - ACS$

Once you have calculated a cost/benefit for each safeguard for each asset-threat pair, you must then sort these values. In most cases, the cost/benefit with the highest value is the best safeguard to implement for that specific risk against a specific asset. But as with all things in the real world, this is only one part of the decision-making process. Although very important and often the primary guiding factor, it is not the sole element of data. Other items include actual cost, security budget, compatibility with existing systems, skill/knowledge base of IT staff, availability of products, political issues, partnerships, market trends, fads, marketing, contracts, and favoritism. As part of senior management or even the IT staff, it is your responsibility to either obtain or use all available data and information to make the best security decision for your organization. For further discussion of safeguard, security control, and countermeasure selection issues, see the “Countermeasure Selection and Implementation” section later in this chapter.

Most organizations have a limited and all-too-finite budget to work with. Thus, obtaining the best security for the cost is an essential part of security management. To effectively manage the security function, you must assess the budget, the benefit and performance metrics, and the necessary resources of each security control. Only after a thorough evaluation can you determine which controls are essential and beneficial not only to security, but also to your bottom line. Generally, it is not an acceptable excuse that the reason the organization did not protect against an unacceptable threat or risk was solely because of a lack of funds. The entirety of safeguard selections needs to be considered in relation to the current budget. Compromise or adjustments of priorities may be necessary in order to reduce overall risk to an acceptable level with available resources. Keep in mind that organizational security should be based on a business case, be legally justifiable, and be reasonably in line with security frameworks, regulations, and best practices.

Countermeasure Selection and Implementation

Selecting a countermeasure, safeguard, or control (short for *security control*) within the realm of risk management relies heavily on the cost/benefit analysis results. However, you should consider several other factors when assessing the value or pertinence of a security control:

- The cost of the countermeasure should be less than the value of the asset.
- The cost of the countermeasure should be less than the benefit of the countermeasure.
- The result of the applied countermeasure should make the cost of an attack greater for the perpetrator than the derived benefit from an attack.
- The countermeasure should provide a solution to a real and identified problem. (Don't install countermeasures just

because they are available, are advertised, or sound appealing.)

- The benefit of the countermeasure should not be dependent on its secrecy. Any viable countermeasure can withstand public disclosure and scrutiny and thus maintain protection even when known.
- The benefit of the countermeasure should be testable and verifiable.
- The countermeasure should provide consistent and uniform protection across all users, systems, protocols, and so on.
- The countermeasure should have few or no dependencies to reduce cascade failures.
- The countermeasure should require minimal human intervention after initial deployment and configuration.
- The countermeasure should be tamperproof.
- The countermeasure should have overrides accessible to privileged operators only.
- The countermeasure should provide fail-safe and/or fail-secure options.

Keep in mind that security should be designed to support and enable business tasks and functions. Thus, countermeasures and safeguards need to be evaluated in the context of a business process. If there is no clear business case for a safeguard, it is probably not an effective security option.

Security controls, countermeasures, and safeguards can be implemented administratively, logically/technically, or physically. These three categories of security mechanisms should be implemented in a conceptual layered defense-in-depth manner in order to provide maximum benefit (see [Figure 2.4](#)). This idea is based on the concept that policies (part of administrative controls) drive all aspects of security and thus form the initial protection layer around assets. Next, logical and technical controls provide protection against logical attacks and exploits.

Then, the physical controls provide protection against real-world physical attacks against the facility and devices.




FIGURE 2.4 The categories of security controls in a defense-in-depth implementation

Administrative

The category of *administrative controls* includes the policies and procedures defined by an organization's security policy and other regulations or requirements. They are sometimes referred to as *management controls*, *managerial controls*, or *procedural controls*. These controls focus on personnel oversight and business practices. Examples of administrative controls include policies, procedures, hiring practices, background checks, data classifications and labeling, security awareness and training efforts, reports and reviews, work supervision, personnel controls, and testing.

Technical or Logical

The category of *technical controls* or *logical controls* involves the hardware or software mechanisms used to manage access and provide protection for IT resources and systems. Examples of logical or technical controls include authentication methods (such as passwords, smartcards, and biometrics), encryption, constrained interfaces, access control lists, protocols, firewalls, routers, and intrusion detection systems (IDSs).

Physical

Physical controls are security mechanisms focused on providing protection to the facility and real-world objects. Examples of physical controls include guards, fences, motion detectors, locked doors, sealed windows, lights, cable protection, laptop locks, badges, swipe cards, guard dogs, video cameras, access control vestibules, and alarms.

Applicable Types of Controls

The term *security control* refers to a broad range of controls that perform such tasks as ensuring that only authorized users can log on and preventing unauthorized users from gaining access to resources. Controls mitigate a wide variety of information security risks.

Whenever possible, you want to prevent any type of security problem or incident. Of course, this isn't always possible, and unwanted events occur. When they do, you want to detect the events as soon as possible. And once you detect an event, you want to correct it.

As you read the control descriptions, notice that some are listed as examples of more than one access control type. For example, a fence (or perimeter-defining device) placed around a building can be a preventive control (physically barring someone from gaining access to a building compound) and/or a deterrent control (discouraging someone from trying to gain access).

Preventive

A *preventive control* (aka *preventative control*) is deployed to thwart or stop unwanted or unauthorized activity from occurring. Examples of preventive controls include fences, locks, authentication, access control vestibules, alarm systems, separation of duties, job rotation, data loss prevention (DLP), penetration testing, access control methods, encryption, auditing, security policies, security-awareness training, antimalware software, firewalls, and intrusion prevention systems (IPSs).



Keep in mind that there are no perfect security mechanisms or controls. They all have issues that can allow a threat agent to still cause harm. Controls may have vulnerabilities, can be turned off, may be avoided, can be overloaded, may be bypassed, can be tricked by impersonation, may have backdoors, can be misconfigured, or have other issues. Thus, this known imperfection of individual security controls is addressed by using a defense-in-depth strategy.

Detection

A *detection control* (aka *detective control*) is deployed to discover or detect unwanted or unauthorized activity. Detection controls operate after the fact and can discover the activity only after it has occurred. Examples of detection controls include security guards, motion detectors, recording and reviewing of events captured by security cameras or CCTV, job rotation, mandatory vacations, audit trails, honeypots or honeynets, intrusion detection systems (IDSs), violation reports, supervision and review of users, and incident investigations.

Corrective

A *corrective control* modifies the environment to return systems to normal after an unwanted or unauthorized activity has occurred. It attempts to correct any problems resulting from a

security incident. Corrective controls can be simple, such as terminating malicious activity or rebooting a system. They also include antimalware solutions that can remove or quarantine a virus, backup and restore plans to ensure that lost data can be restored, and intrusion prevention systems (IPSs) that can modify the environment to stop an attack in progress. The control is deployed to repair or restore resources, functions, and capabilities after a violation of security policies. Examples include installing a spring on a door so that it will close and relock, and using file integrity-checking tools, such as `sigverif` from Windows, which will replace corrupted boot files upon each boot event to protect the stability and security of the booted OS.

Recovery

Recovery controls are an extension of corrective controls but have more advanced or complex abilities. A recovery control attempts to repair or restore resources, functions, and capabilities after a security policy violation. Recovery controls typically address more significant damaging events compared to corrective controls, especially when security violations may have occurred. Examples of recovery controls include backups and restores, fault-tolerant drive systems, system imaging, server clustering, antimalware software, and database or virtual machine shadowing. In relation to business continuity and disaster recovery, recovery controls can include hot, warm, and cold sites; alternate processing facilities; service bureaus; reciprocal agreements; cloud providers; rolling mobile operating centers; and multisite solutions.

Deterrent

A *deterrent control* is deployed to discourage security policy violations. Deterrent and preventive controls are similar, but deterrent controls often depend on individuals being convinced not to take an unwanted action. Some examples include policies, security-awareness training, locks, fences, security badges, guards, access control vestibules, and security cameras.

Directive

A *directive control* is deployed to direct, confine, or control the actions of subjects to force or encourage compliance with security policies. Examples of directive controls include security policy requirements or criteria, posted notifications, guidance from a security guard, escape route exit signs, monitoring, supervision, and procedures.

Compensating

A *compensating control* (aka *compensation control*) is deployed to provide various options to other existing controls to aid in the enforcement and support of security policies. They can be any controls used in addition to, or in place of, another control. They can be a means to improve the effectiveness of a primary control or as the alternate or failover option in the event of a primary control failure. For example, if a preventive control fails to stop the deletion of a file, a backup can be a compensating control, allowing for the restoration of that file. Here's another example: if a building's fire prevention and suppression systems fail and the building is damaged by fire so that it is not inhabitable, a compensating control would be having a disaster recovery plan (DRP) with an alternate processing site available to support work operations.

Security Control Assessment

A *security control assessment (SCA)* is the formal evaluation of a security infrastructure's individual mechanisms against a baseline or reliability expectation. The SCA can be performed in addition to or independently of a full security evaluation, such as a penetration test or vulnerability assessment.

The goals of an SCA are to ensure the effectiveness of the security mechanisms, evaluate the quality and thoroughness of the risk management processes of the organization, and produce a report of the relative strengths and weaknesses of the deployed security infrastructure. The results of an SCA may confirm that a security mechanism has sustained its previous level of verified

effectiveness or that action must be taken to address a deficient security control. In addition to verifying the reliability of security controls, an assessment should consider whether security controls affect privacy. Some controls may improve privacy protection, whereas others may in fact cause a breach of privacy. The privacy aspect of a security control should be evaluated in light of regulations, contractual obligations, and the organization's privacy policy/promise.

Generally, an SCA is a process implemented by federal agencies based on NIST SP 800-53 Rev. 5, titled "Security and Privacy Controls for Information Systems and Organizations." However, though defined as a government process, the concept of evaluating the reliability and effectiveness of security controls should be adopted by every organization that is committed to sustaining a successful security endeavor.

Monitoring and Measurement

Security controls should provide benefits that can be continuously monitored and measured. If a security control's benefits cannot be quantified, evaluated, or compared, then it does not actually provide any security. A security control may provide native or internal monitoring, or external monitoring may be required. You should take this into consideration when making initial countermeasure selections.

Measuring the effectiveness of a countermeasure is not always an absolute value. Many countermeasures offer degrees of improvement rather than specific hard numbers as to the number of breaches prevented or attack attempts thwarted. Often to obtain countermeasure success or failure measurements, monitoring and recording of events both prior to and after safeguard installation are necessary. Benefits can only be accurately measured if the starting point (i.e., the normal point or initial risk level) is known. Part of the cost/benefit equation takes countermeasure monitoring and measurement into account. Just because a security control provides some level of increased security does not necessarily mean that the benefit gained is cost-effective. A significant improvement in security should be

identified to clearly justify the expense of a new countermeasure deployment.

Risk Reporting and Documentation

Risk reporting is a key task to perform at the conclusion of a risk analysis. Risk reporting involves the production of a risk report and a presentation of that report to the interested/relevant parties. For many organizations, risk reporting is an internal concern only, whereas other organizations may have regulations that mandate third-party or public reporting of their risk findings. A risk report should be accurate, timely, comprehensive of the entire organization, clear and precise to support decision-making, and updated on a regular basis.

Internal and external reporting in risk management are processes through which organizations communicate information about their risk-related activities, assessments, and strategies to different stakeholders. These two forms of reporting serve distinct purposes and audiences:

- *Internal reporting* in risk management is primarily intended for an organization's internal stakeholders, including executives, management, employees, and relevant departments. The primary purpose is to support informed decision-making, risk mitigation, and the overall management of risks within the organization. Internal reports typically contain detailed information about the organization's risk assessments, risk exposures, risk control measures, and the effectiveness of risk management strategies. These reports may include risk registers, risk heat maps, key risk indicators (KRIs), and the results of risk assessments.
- *External reporting* in risk management is intended for external stakeholders, including regulatory bodies, shareholders, investors, creditors, customers, and the general public. The primary purpose is to provide transparency and disclosure of an organization's risk profile, risk exposure, and risk management practices to external

parties. External reports typically focus on high-level information about the organization's risk exposure, its policies and practices for risk management, and the potential impact of risks on the organization's financial health and operations. These reports may include annual reports, financial statements, disclosures in compliance with accounting standards, and regulatory filings.

It's important for organizations to maintain a clear distinction between internal and external reporting in risk management. Balancing these two forms of reporting is essential for effective risk management and maintaining transparency and trust with both internal and external audiences.

A *risk register* or *risk log* is a document that inventories all the identified risks to an organization or system or within an individual project. A risk register is used to record and track the activities of risk management, including the following:

- Identifying risks
- Evaluating the severity of and prioritizing those risks
- Prescribing responses to reduce or eliminate the risks
- Tracking the progress of risk mitigation

A risk register can serve as a project management document to track completion of risk response activities as well as a historical record of risk management over time. The contents of a risk register could be shared with others to facilitate a more realistic evaluation of real-world threats and risks through the amalgamation of risk management activities by other organizations.

A *risk matrix* or *risk heat map* is a form of risk assessment that is performed on a basic graph or chart. It is sometimes labeled as a qualitative risk assessment. The simplest form of a risk matrix is a 3×3 grid comparing probability and damage potential. This was covered in [Chapter 1](#).

Continuous Improvement

Risk analysis is performed to provide upper management with the details necessary to decide which risks should be mitigated, which should be transferred, which should be deterred, which should be avoided, and which should be accepted. The result is a cost/benefit comparison between the expected cost of asset loss and the cost of deploying safeguards against threats and vulnerabilities. Risk analysis identifies risks, quantifies the impact of threats, and aids in budgeting for security. It helps integrate the needs and objectives of the security policy with the organization's business goals and intentions. The risk analysis/risk assessment is a “point-in-time” metric. Threats and vulnerabilities constantly change, and the risk assessment needs to be redone periodically in order to support continuous improvement.

Security is always changing. Thus, any implemented security solution requires updates and changes over time. If a continuous improvement path is not provided by a selected countermeasure, it should be replaced with one that offers scalable improvements to security.

An *enterprise risk management (ERM)* program can be evaluated using the *Risk Maturity Model (RMM)*. An RMM assesses the key indicators and activities of a mature, sustainable, and repeatable risk management process. There are several RMM systems, each prescribing various means to achieve greater risk management capability. They generally relate the assessment of risk maturity against a five-level model (similar to that of the Capability Maturity Model [CMM]; see [Chapter 20](#), “Software Development Security”). The typical RMM levels are as follows:

1. *Ad hoc*. A chaotic starting point from which all organizations initiate risk management.
2. *Preliminary*. Loose attempts are made to follow risk management processes, but each department may perform risk assessment uniquely.

3. *Defined*. A common or standardized risk framework is adopted organization-wide.
4. *Integrated*. Risk management operations are integrated into business processes, metrics are used to gather effectiveness data, and risk is considered an element in business strategy decisions.
5. *Optimized*. Risk management focuses on achieving objectives rather than just reacting to external threats, increased strategic planning is geared toward business success rather than just avoiding incidents, and lessons learned are reintegrated into the risk management process.

To learn more about RMM, see “Developing a Generic Risk Maturity Model (GRMM) for Evaluating Risk Management in Construction Projects.” This is an interesting study of numerous RMM systems and the attempt to derive a generic RMM from the common elements.

Legacy Risk

An often-overlooked area of risk is that of legacy devices, which may be EOL and/or EOS/EOSL:

- *End of life (EOL)* is the point at which a manufacturer no longer produces a product. Service and support may continue for a period of time after EOL, but no new versions will be made available for sale or distribution. An EOL product should be scheduled for replacement before it fails or reaches end of support (EOS) or end of service life (EOSL). EOL is sometimes perceived or used as the equivalent of EOSL.
- *End of service-life (EOSL)* or *end of support (EOS)* are those systems that are no longer receiving updates and support from the vendor. If an organization continues to use an EOSL system, then the risk of compromise is high because any future exploitation will never be patched or fixed. It is of utmost importance to move off EOSL systems in order to maintain a secure environment. It might not seem initially

cost-effective or practical to move away from a solution that still works just because the vendor has terminated support. However, the security management efforts you will expend will likely far exceed the cost of developing and deploying a modern system-based replacement. For example, Windows 10 will reach its EOSL on October 14, 2025. Microsoft recommends moving on to Windows 11 by that deadline.

Risk Frameworks

A *risk framework* is a guideline or recipe for how risk is to be assessed, resolved, and monitored. NIST established the Risk Management Framework (RMF) and the Cybersecurity Framework (CSF). These are both U.S. government guides for establishing and maintaining security, but the CSF is designed for critical infrastructure and commercial organizations, whereas the RMF establishes mandatory requirements for federal agencies. RMF was established in 2010, and the CSF was established in 2014.



Exam Outline objective 1.9.9 includes a list of risk frameworks. All of these risk frameworks are also listed in objective 1.3.4 as security control frameworks. It is common for a security control framework to be either directly used as a risk framework or have a subset of elements that are a risk framework. The following concepts are covered in [Chapter 1](#) as security control frameworks, but they are also relevant to the concepts here in [Chapter 2](#) as risk frameworks:

- International Organization for Standardization (ISO)
- National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)
- Control Objectives for Information and Related Technologies (COBIT)
- Sherwood Applied Business Security Architecture (SABSA)
- Payment Card Industry (PCI)

The *Cybersecurity Framework (CSF) 2.0* (released in early 2024) is based on a framework core that consists of six functions:

- *Identify*. Understand and catalog assets, risks, and vulnerabilities.
- *Protect*. Implement safeguards to protect assets and data.
- *Detect*. Develop and deploy mechanisms for identifying and detecting security incidents.
- *Respond*. Define strategies and processes for responding to and mitigating cybersecurity incidents.
- *Recover*. Develop and implement strategies for recovery and resilience after a cybersecurity incident.
- *Govern*. Establish, communicate, and oversee roles, responsibilities, and policies that ensure a proactive and adaptive approach to cybersecurity.

The CSF is not a checklist or procedure—it is a prescription of operational activities that are to be performed on an ongoing basis for the support and improvement of security over time. The CSF is more of an improvement system rather than its own specific risk management process or security infrastructure. The CSF provides a structured approach for organizations to assess, develop, and enhance their cybersecurity posture and resilience against cyberthreats.

The *Risk Management Framework (RMF)*, defined by NIST in SP 800-37 Rev. 2, is a structured and comprehensive framework used by the U.S. federal government and other organizations to manage and mitigate information security and cybersecurity risks associated with their information systems and networks. RMF establishes mandatory security requirements for U.S. federal agencies. The RMF has seven phases (six of which are used cyclically) (see [Figure 2.5](#)):

Prepare to execute the RMF from an organization- and system-level perspective by establishing a context and priorities for managing security and privacy risk.

Categorize the system and the information processed, stored, and transmitted by the system based on an analysis of the impact of loss.

Select an initial set of controls for the system and tailor the controls as needed to reduce risk to an acceptable level based on an assessment of risk.

Implement the controls and describe how the controls are employed within the system and its environment of operation.

Assess the controls to determine if the controls are implemented correctly, operating as intended, and producing the desired outcomes with respect to satisfying the security and privacy requirements.

Authorize the system or common controls based on a determination that the risk to organizational operations and assets, individuals, other organizations, and the Nation is acceptable.

Monitor the system and the associated controls on an ongoing basis to include assessing control effectiveness, documenting changes to the system and environment of operation, conducting risk assessments and impact analyses, and reporting the security and privacy posture of the system.

[From NIST SP 800-37 Rev. 2]




FIGURE 2.5 The elements of the risk management framework (RMF) (from NIST SP 800-37 Rev. 2, Figure 2)

The later six phases are to be performed in order and repeatedly throughout the life of the organization. RMF is intended as a risk management process to identify and respond to threats. Use of the RMF will result in the establishment of a security infrastructure and a process for ongoing improvement of the secured environment.

There is significantly more detail about RMF in the official NIST publication; we encourage you to review this publication in its entirety for a complete perspective on the RMF.

Another important risk framework or guide to risk management is the ISO/IEC 31000 document “Risk Management — Guidelines.” This is a high-level overview of the idea of risk management that many will benefit from reading. This ISO guideline is intended to be useful to any type of organization, whether government or private sector. Another related guideline is ISO/IEC 27005, “Information Security, Cybersecurity and Privacy Protection: Guidance on Managing Information Security Risks.”



A companion guide, ISO/IEC 31004 “Risk Management — Guidance for the Implementation of ISO 31000” (www.iso.org/standard/56610.html), might also be of interest. However, ISO 31004 has been withdrawn and had not been replaced as of Q1 2024.

While the NIST RMF is a common focus of the CISSP, you might want to review other risk management frameworks for use in the real world. Please consider the following for future research:

- The Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) of the Treadway Commission's Enterprise Risk Management — Integrated Framework
- ISACA's Risk IT Framework
- Operationally Critical Threat, Asset, and Vulnerability Evaluation (OCTAVE)
- Factor Analysis of Information Risk (FAIR)
- Threat Assessment and Remediation Analysis (TARA)

Understanding that there are a number of well-recognized frameworks and that selecting one that fits your organization's requirements and style is important.

Social Engineering

Social engineering is a form of attack that exploits human nature and human behavior. People are a weak link in security because they can make mistakes, be fooled into causing harm, or intentionally violate company security. Social engineering attacks exploit human characteristics such as a basic trust in others, a desire to provide assistance, or a propensity to show off. It is important to consider the risks that personnel represent to your organization and implement security strategies to minimize and handle those risks.

Social engineering attacks take two primary forms: convincing someone to perform an unauthorized operation or convincing someone to reveal confidential information. In just about every case, the social engineering attacker tries to convince the victim to perform some activity or reveal a piece of information that they shouldn't. The result of a successful attack is information leakage or the attacker being granted logical or physical access to a secure environment.

Here are some example scenarios of common social engineering attacks:

- A website claims to offer free temporary access to its products and services, but it requires web browser and/or firewall alterations in order to download the access software. These alterations may reduce the security protections or encourage the victim to install malicious browser helper objects (also known as plug-ins, extensions, or add-ons).
- The help desk receives a call from someone claiming to be a department manager who is currently involved in a sales meeting in another city. The caller claims to have forgotten their password and needs it to be reset so that they can log in remotely to download an essential presentation.
- Someone who looks like a repair technician claims a service call was received for a malfunctioning device in the building. The “technician” is sure the unit can be accessed from inside your office work area and asks to be given access to repair the system.
- If a worker receives a communication from someone asking to talk with a coworker by name, and there is no such person currently or previously working for the organization, this could be a ruse to either reveal the names of actual employees or convince you to “provide assistance” because the caller has incorrect information.
- When a contact on a discussion forum asks personal questions, such as your education, history, and interests,

they could be focused on learning the answers to password reset questions.

Some of these examples may also be legitimate and benign occurrences, but you can see how they could mask the motives and purposes of an attacker. Social engineers attempt to mask and hide their true intentions by crafting their attacks to seem as normal and typical as possible.

Whenever a security breach occurs, an investigation should be performed to determine what was affected and whether the attack is ongoing. Personnel should be retrained to detect and avoid similar social engineering attacks in the future. Although social engineering attacks primarily focus on people, the results of an attack can be a disclosure of private or confidential materials, physical damage to a facility, or remote access to an IT environment. Therefore, any attempted or successful social engineering breach should be thoroughly investigated and responded to.

Methods to protect against social engineering include the following:

- Training personnel about social engineering attacks and how to recognize common signs
- Requiring authentication when performing activities for personnel over the phone
- Defining restricted information that is never communicated over the phone or through plaintext communications such as standard email
- Always verifying the credentials of a repair person and verifying that a real service call was placed by authorized personnel
- Never following the instructions of an email without verifying the information with at least two independent and trusted sources
- Always erring on the side of caution when dealing with anyone you don't know or recognize, whether in person, over

the phone, or over the Internet/network

If several workers report the same odd event, such as a call or email, an investigation should look into what the contact was about, who initiated it, and what the intention or purpose was.

The most important defense against social engineering attacks is user education and awareness training. A healthy dose of paranoia and suspicion will help users detect or notice more social engineering attack attempts than without such preparation. Training should include role-playing and walking through numerous examples of the various forms of social engineering attacks. However, keep in mind that attackers are constantly altering their approaches and improving their means of attack. So, keeping current with newly discovered means of social engineering attacks is also necessary to defend against this human-focused threat.

Users should receive training when they first enter an organization, and they should receive periodic refresher training, even if it's just an email from the administrator or training officer reminding them of the threats.

Social Engineering Principles

Social engineering works so well because we're human. The principles of social engineering attacks are designed to focus on various aspects of human nature and take advantage of them. Although not every target succumbs to every attack, most of us are vulnerable to one or more of the following common social engineering principles.

Authority

Authority is an effective technique because most people are likely to respond to authority with obedience. The trick is to convince the target that the attacker is someone with valid internal or external authority. Some attackers claim their authority verbally, and others assume authority by wearing a costume or uniform.

An example is an email sent using the spoofed email of the CEO in which workers are informed that they must visit a specific universal resource locator (URL)/universal resource indicator (URI) to fill out an important HR document. This method works when the victims blindly follow instructions that claim to be from a person of authority.

Intimidation

Intimidation can sometimes be seen as a derivative of the authority principle. Intimidation uses authority, confidence, or even the threat of harm to motivate someone to follow orders or instructions. Often, intimidation is focused on exploiting uncertainty in a situation where a clear directive of operation or response isn't defined.

An example is expanding on a previous CEO and HR document email to include a statement claiming that employees will face a penalty if they do not fill out the form promptly. The penalty could be a loss of casual Friday, exclusion from Taco Tuesday, a reduction in pay, or even termination.

Consensus

Consensus or social proof is the act of taking advantage of a person's natural tendency to mimic what others are doing or are perceived as having done in the past. For example, bartenders often seed their tip jar with money to make it seem as if previous patrons were appreciative of the service. As a social engineering principle, the attacker attempts to convince the victim that a particular action or response is necessary to be consistent with social norms or previous occurrences.

An example is an attacker claiming that a worker who is currently out of the office promised a large discount on a purchase and that the transaction must occur now with you as the salesperson.

Scarcity

Scarcity is a technique used to convince someone that an object has a higher value based on the object's scarcity. This could relate to the existence of only a few items produced or limited

opportunities, or that the majority of stock is sold and only a few items remain.

An example is an attacker claiming that there are only two tickets left to your favorite team's final game, and it would be a shame if someone else enjoyed the game rather than you. If you don't grab them now, the opportunity will be lost. This principle is often associated with the principle of urgency.

Familiarity

Familiarity or liking, as a social engineering principle, attempts to exploit a person's native trust in that which is familiar. The attacker often tries to appear to have a common contact or relationship with the target, such as mutual friends or experiences, or uses a facade to take on the identity of another company or person. If the target believes a message is from a known entity, such as a friend or their bank, they're much more likely to trust the content and even act or respond.

An example is an attacker using a vishing attack while falsifying the caller ID as their doctor's office.

Trust

Trust as a social engineering principle involves an attacker working to develop a relationship with a victim. This may take seconds or months, but eventually, the attacker attempts to use the value of the relationship (the victim's trust in the attacker) to convince the victim to reveal information or perform an action that violates company security.

An example is an attacker approaching you as you walk along the street, when they appear to pick up a \$100 bill from the ground. The attacker asks you to hold the money while they ask around to find someone who lost it. When they return, the attacker says that since the two of you were close when the money was found, you two should split it. They ask if you have change to split the found money. Since the attacker had you hold the money while they went around to find the person who lost it, this might have caused you to have trust in this stranger so that you are willing to

take cash out of your wallet and give it to them. But you won't realize until later that the \$100 was counterfeit and you've been robbed.

Urgency

Urgency often dovetails with scarcity, because the need to act quickly increases as scarcity indicates a greater risk of missing out. Urgency is often used as a method to get a quick response from a target before they have time to carefully consider or refuse compliance.

An example is an attacker using an invoice scam through business email compromise (BEC) to convince you to pay an invoice immediately because either an essential business service is about to be cut off or the company will be reported to a collection agency.

Eliciting Information

Eliciting information is the activity of gathering or collecting information from systems or people. In the context of social engineering, it is used as a research method in order to craft a more effective pretext. A *pretext* is a false statement crafted to sound believable in order to convince you to act or respond in favor of the attacker. Any and all of the social engineering techniques covered in this chapter can be used both as a weapon to harm the target victim and as a means to obtain more information (or access). Thus, social engineering is a tool of both reconnaissance and attack. Data gathered via social engineering can be used to support a physical or logical/technical attack.

Any means or method by which a social engineer can gather information from the target is eliciting information. Any fact, truth, or detail that can be collected, gathered, or gleaned from the target can be used to form a more complete and believable pretext or false story, which in turn may increase the chance of success of the next level or stage of an attack.

Consider that many cyberattacks are similar to actual warfare attacks. The more the attacker knows about the targeted enemy,

the more effectively a plan of attack can be crafted.

Defending against eliciting information events generally involves the same precautions as those used against social engineering. Those include classifying information, controlling the movement of sensitive data, watching for attempted abuses, training personnel, and reporting any suspicious activity to the security team.

Prepending

Prepending is the adding of a term, expression, or phrase to the beginning or header of some other communication. Often, prepending is used in order to further refine or establish the pretext of a social engineering attack, such as spam, hoaxes, and phishing. An attacker can precede the subject of an attack message with RE: or FW: (which indicates “in regard to” and “forwarded,” respectively) to make the receiver think the communication is the continuance of a previous conversation rather than the first contact of an attack. Other often-used prepending terms are EXTERNAL, PRIVATE, and INTERNAL.

Prepending attacks can also be used to fool filters, such as spam filters, antimalware, firewalls, and intrusion detection systems (IDSs). This could be accomplished with SAFE, FILTERED, AUTHORIZED, VERIFIED, CONFIRMED, or APPROVED, among others. It might even be possible to interject alternate email header values, such as “X-Spam-Category: LEGIT” or “X-Spam-Condition: SAFE,” which could fool spam and abuse filters.

Phishing

Phishing is a form of social engineering attack focused on stealing credentials or identity information from any potential target. It is derived from “fishing” for information. Phishing can be waged in numerous ways using a variety of communication media, including email and the web; in face-to-face interactions or over the phone; and even through more traditional communication mediums, such as the post office or couriered packages.

Attackers send phishing emails indiscriminately as spam, without knowing who will get them but in the hope that some users will respond. Phishing emails sometimes inform the user of a bogus problem and say that if the user doesn't take action, the company will lock the user's account. The From email address is often spoofed to look legitimate, but the Reply To email address is an account controlled by the attacker. Sophisticated attacks include a link to a bogus website that looks legitimate, but that captures credentials and passes them to the attacker.

Sometimes, the goal of phishing is to install malware on user systems. The message may include an infected file attachment or a link to a website that installs a malicious drive-by download without the user's knowledge.



A drive-by download is a type of malware that installs itself without the user's knowledge when the user visits a website. Drive-by downloads take advantage of vulnerabilities in browsers or plug-ins.

To defend against phishing attacks, end users should be trained to do the following:

- Be suspicious of unexpected email messages or email messages from unknown senders.
- Never open unexpected email attachments.
- Never share sensitive information via email.
- Avoid clicking any link received via email, instant messaging, or a social network message.

If a message claims to be from a known source, such as a website commonly visited, the user should visit the supposed site by using a preestablished bookmark or by searching for the site by name. If, after accessing their account on the site, a duplicate message does not appear in the online messaging or alert system, the original message is likely an attack or a fake. Any such false

communications should be reported to the targeted organization, and then the message should be deleted. If the attack relates to your organization or employer, it should be reported to the security team there as well.

Organizations should consider the consequences and increased risk that granting workers access to personal email and social networks through company systems pose. Some companies have elected to block access to personal Internet communications while using company equipment or through company-controlled network connections. This reduces the risk to the organization even if an individual succumbs to a phishing attack on their own.

A *phishing simulation* is a tool used to evaluate the ability of employees to resist or fall for a phishing campaign. A security manager or penetration tester crafts a phishing attack so that any clicks by victims are redirected to a notification that the phishing message was a simulation and they may need to attend additional training to avoid falling for a real attack.

Smishing

Short Message Service (SMS) phishing or *smishing* (spam over instant messaging [SPIM]) is a social engineering attack that occurs over or through standard text messaging services. There are several smishing threats to watch out for, including these:

- Text messages asking for a response or reply. In some cases, replies could trigger a cramming event. Cramming is when a false or unauthorized charge is placed onto your mobile service plan.
- Text messages could include a hyperlink/URI/URL to a phishing or scam website or trigger the installation of malicious code.
- Text messages could contain pretexts to get you involved in a conversation.
- Text messages could include phone numbers. Always research a phone number before calling it, especially from an unknown source. There are phone numbers with the same

structure as local or domestic numbers, but that may actually be long distance and not included in your calling service or plan, and calling them could cause a connection charge and a high per-minute toll charge.

Although smishing refers to SMS-based attacks, it can sometimes be used to refer to similar attacks occurring through Multimedia Messaging Service (MMS), Rich Communication Services (RCS), Google Chat, Android Messages (i.e., SMS), Facebook Messenger, WeChat, Apple/iPhone iMessage, WhatsApp, Slack, Discord, Microsoft Teams, and so on.

Vishing

Vishing (i.e., voiced-based phishing) or SpIT (spam over internet telephony) is phishing done over any telephony or voice communication system. This includes traditional phone lines, voice-over-IP (VoIP) services, and mobile phones. Most of the social engineers waging vishing campaigns use VoIP technology to support their attacks. VoIP allows the attacker to be located anywhere in the world, make free phone calls to victims, and be able to falsify or spoof their origin caller ID.

Vishing calls can display a caller ID or phone number from any source the attacker thinks might cause the victim to answer the call. Some attackers just duplicate your area code and prefix in order to trick the victim into thinking the call is from a neighbor or other local entity. Vishing is simply another form of phishing attack. Vishing involves the pretexting of the displayed caller ID and the story the attacker spouts. Always assume caller ID is false or at least incorrect.

Spear Phishing

Spear phishing is a more targeted form of phishing where the message is crafted and directed specifically to a group of individuals. Often, attackers use a stolen customer database to send false messages crafted to seem like a communication from the compromised business but with falsified source addresses and incorrect URI/URLs. The hope of the attacker is that

someone who already has an online/digital relationship with an organization is more likely to fall for the false communication.

All of the concepts and defenses discussed in the previous section, “Phishing,” apply to spear phishing.

Spear phishing can also be crafted to seem as if it originated from a CEO or other top office in an organization. This version of spear phishing is called *business email compromise (BEC)*. BEC is often focused on convincing members of accounting or financial departments to transfer funds or pay invoices based on instructions seeming to originate from a boss, manager, or executive. BEC has defrauded organizations of billions of dollars in the last few years. BEC is also known as *CEO fraud* or *CEO spoofing*.

As with most forms of social engineering, defenses for spear phishing require the following:

- Labeling information, data, and assets with their value, importance, or sensitivity
- Training personnel on proper handling of those assets based on their labels
- Requesting clarification or confirmation on any actions that seem abnormal, off-process, or otherwise overly risky to the organization

Some abusive concepts to watch out for are requests to pay bills or invoices using prepaid gift cards, changes to wiring details (especially at the last minute), or requests to purchase products that are atypical for the requester and that are needed in a rush. When seeking to confirm a suspected BEC, do not use the same communication medium that the BEC used. Make a phone call, go to their office, text-message their cell phone, or use the company-approved internal messaging service. Establishing a second “out-of-band” contact with the requester will further confirm whether the message is legitimate or false.

Whaling

Whaling is a form of spear phishing that targets specific high-value individuals (by title, by industry, from media coverage, and so forth), such as the CEO or other C-level executives, administrators, or high-net-worth clients. Whaling attacks require significantly more research, planning, and development on the part of the attackers in order to fool the victim. That is because these high-level personnel are often well aware that they are a high-value target.

Spam

Spam is any type of email that is undesired and/or unsolicited. But spam is not just unwanted advertisements; it can also include malicious content and attack vectors as well. Spam is often used as the carrier of social engineering attacks.

Spam is a problem for numerous reasons:

- Some spam carries malicious code such as viruses, logic bombs, ransomware, or Trojan horses.
- Some spam carries social engineering attacks (also known as hoax messages).
- Unwanted email wastes your time while you sort through it, looking for legitimate messages.
- Spam wastes internet resources: storage capacity, computing cycles, and throughput.

The primary countermeasure against spam is an email spam filter. These email filters can examine the header, subject, and contents of a message to look for keywords or phrases that identify it as a known type of spam, and then take the appropriate actions to discard, quarantine, or block the message.

Antispam software is a variation on the theme of antimalware software. It specifically monitors email communications for spam and other forms of unwanted email in order to stop hoaxes, identity theft, waste of resources, and possible distribution of

malicious software. Antispam software can often be installed on email servers to protect an entire organization as well as on local client systems for supplemental filtering by the user.

In addition to client application or client-side spam filters, there are enterprise spam tools, including Sender Policy Framework (SPF), Domain Keys Identified Mail (DKIM), and Domain Message Authentication Reporting and Conformance (DMARC) (see [Chapter 12](#), “Secure Communications and Network Attacks”).

Another important issue to address when managing spam is spoofed email. A *spoofed email* is a message that has a fake or falsified source address. DMARC is used to filter spoofed messages.

Spam is most commonly associated with email, but spam also exists in instant messaging (IM), SMS, USENET (Network News Transfer Protocol [NNTP]), social media apps, and web content (such as threaded discussions, forums, comments, and blogs). Failing to block spam allows it to waste resources, consume bandwidth, distract workers from productive activities, and potentially expose users and systems to malware.

Shoulder Surfing

Shoulder surfing is often a physical world or in-person form of social engineering. Shoulder surfing occurs when someone is able to watch a user's keyboard or view their display. Often, shoulder surfing is stopped by dividing worker groups by sensitivity levels and limiting access to certain areas of the building by using locked doors. Additionally, users should not orient their displays to be visible through windows (from outside) or walkways/doorways (for internal issues). And they should not work on sensitive data while in a public space. Password fields should mask characters as they are typed. Another defense against shoulder surfing is the use of screen filters, which limit the field of view to mostly a perpendicular orientation.

Invoice Scams

Invoice scams are social engineering attacks that often attempt to steal funds from an organization or individuals through the presentation of a false invoice, often followed by strong inducements to pay. Attackers often try to target members of financial departments or accounting groups. Some invoice scams are actually spear phishing scams in disguise. It is also possible for a social engineer to use an invoice scam approach over a voice connection.

This attack is similar to some forms of the BEC concept. In fact, some invoice scams are combined with BEC so that the invoice sent to an accounting worker is seemingly sent from the CEO. This intertwining of attack elements adds more legitimacy to the invoice, thus potentially convincing the target to pay the invoice.

To protect against invoice scams, workers must be informed of the proper channels through which they should receive invoices and the means by which to confirm that any invoices are actually valid. One such method is the use of assigned purchase order numbers from authorized work orders, which must then be included on all related invoices. When invoices arrive, they should be compared against the expected bills based on approved acquisitions. Any invoice that is not expected or otherwise abnormal should trigger a face-to-face discussion with the supervisor or other financial executive.

Separation of duties should exist between workers who place orders for products and services and those who pay invoices. These two groups should also have a third group that audits and governs their activities. All potential acquisitions should be reviewed and approved by a supervisor, and then notice of the acquisition should be sent to the accounts payable department by that supervisor.

Discovery of any fraudulent invoices must be reported to the authorities. Digital transmission and postal delivery of invoice scams are considered a crime of fraud and potential theft. The sending of false invoices through the U.S. Postal Service may be considered postal fraud as well.

Hoax

A *hoax* is a form of social engineering designed to convince targets to perform an action that will cause problems or reduce their IT security. A hoax can be an email that proclaims some imminent threat is spreading across the Internet and that you must perform certain tasks in order to protect yourself. The hoax often claims that taking no action will result in harm. Victims may be instructed to delete files, change configuration settings, or install fraudulent security software, which results in a compromised OS, a nonbooting OS, or a reduction in their security defenses. Additionally, hoax emails often encourage the victim to forward the message to all their contacts in order to “spread the word.” Hoax messages are often spoofed without a verifiable origin.

Whenever you encounter a potential hoax or just are concerned that a claimed threat is real, do the research. A couple of great places to check for hoax information or to look up your suspected hoax message are snopes.com and phishtank.com.

Impersonation and Masquerading

Impersonation is the act of taking on the identity of someone else. This can take place in person, over the phone, through email, by logging into someone's account, or through any other means of communication. Impersonation can also be known as *masquerading*, spoofing, and even identity fraud. In some circumstances, impersonation is defined as a more sophisticated and complex attack, whereas masquerading is amateurish and simpler. This distinction is emphasized in the difference between renting an Elvis costume (i.e., masquerading) for a party versus being a career Elvis impersonator.

Defenses against physical location impersonation can include the use of access badges and security guards, and requiring the presentation and verification of ID at all entrances. If nontypical personnel are to visit a facility, the visit should be prearranged and the security guards provided with reasonable and confirmed notice that a nonemployee will be visiting. The organization from

which the visitor hails should provide identification details, including a photo ID. When the person arrives, their identity should be compared against the provided credentials. In most secure environments, visitors are not allowed to roam free. Instead, an escort must accompany the visitor for their entire time within the company's security perimeter.

Tailgating and Piggybacking

Tailgating occurs when an unauthorized entity gains access to a facility under the authorization of a valid worker but without their knowledge. This attack can occur when a worker uses their valid credentials to unlock and open a door, then walks into the building as the door closes, granting the attacker the opportunity to stop the door from closing and to sneak in without the victim realizing. Tailgating is an attack that does not depend on the consent of the victim—just their obliviousness to what occurs behind them as they walk into a building.

Each and every time a user unlocks or opens a door, they should ensure that it is closed and locked before walking away. This action alone eliminates tailgating, but it does require that workers change their behavior. There is also social pressure to hold open a door for someone who is walking up behind you, but this courtesy should not be extended to include secure entry points, even if you think you know the person walking up behind.

Company policy should be focused on changing user behavior toward more security, but realize that working against human nature is very hard. Therefore, other means of enforcing tailgating protections should be implemented. These can include the use of access control vestibules (previously known as mantraps), security cameras, and security guards. Security cameras act as a deterrent more than a prevention, but having a recording of tailgating events can help track down the perpetrators as well as pinpoint the workers who need more security training. A security guard can watch over an entrance to ensure that only valid personnel are let through a security checkpoint.

A problem similar to tailgating is piggybacking. *Piggybacking* occurs when an unauthorized entity gains access to a facility under the authorization of a valid worker by tricking the victim into providing consent. This could happen when the intruder feigns the need for assistance by holding a large box or lots of paperwork and asks someone to “hold the door.” The goal of the intruder is to distract the victim while the attacker gains access in order to prevent the victim from realizing that the attacker did not provide their own credentials. This ploy depends on the good nature of most people to believe the pretext, especially when the intruder seems to have “dressed the part.”

When someone asks for assistance in holding open a secured door, users should ask for proof of authorization or offer to swipe the person's access card on their behalf. Or, the worker should redirect the person to the main entrance controlled by security guards or call over a security guard to handle the situation. Also, the use of access control vestibules, turnstiles, and security cameras is useful in response to piggybacking. These controls reduce the chance of an outsider bluffing their way into your secured areas.

Baiting

When direct physical entry isn't possible or attempts fail, adversaries may use a baiting technique to deposit malware onto internal systems. Baiting is when the attacker drops USB sticks, optical discs, or even wallets in a location where a worker is likely to encounter it. The hope is the worker will plug the USB drive or insert the disc into a work computer where the malware will auto-infect the system. The wallet often has a note in it with a URL or IP address along with credentials. The hope is the victim will visit the site from a work computer and be infected by a drive-by-download event or be tricked by a phishing site.

Dumpster Diving

Dumpster diving is the act of digging through trash, discarded equipment, or abandoned locations in order to obtain information about a target organization or individual. Typical collected items include old calendars, calling lists, handwritten meeting notes, discarded forms, product boxes, user manuals, sticky notes, printed reports, or the test sheet from a printer. Just about anything that is of any minor internal value or sensitivity is a treasure to be discovered through dumpster diving. The materials gathered via dumpster diving can be used to craft a more believable pretext.

To prevent dumpster diving, or at least reduce its value to an attacker, all documents should be shredded and/or incinerated before being discarded. Additionally, no storage media should ever be discarded in the trash; use a secure disposal technique or service. Secure storage media disposal often includes incineration, shredding, or chipping.

Identity Fraud

Identity fraud and *identity theft* are terms that are often used interchangeably. In fact, the U.S. Department of Justice (DoJ) states that “Identity theft and identity fraud are terms used to refer to all types of crime in which someone wrongfully obtains and uses another person's personal data in some way that involves fraud or deception, typically for economic gain.” Identity fraud and identity theft can be both the purpose of a social engineering attack (i.e., to steal PII) as well as a tool used to further the success of a social engineering attack.

However, it is important to recognize that while we can use the terms as synonyms (especially in casual conversation), there is more value to be gained by understanding how they are different.

Identity theft is the act of stealing someone's identity. Specifically, this can refer to the initial act of information gathering or elicitation where usernames, emails, passwords, answers to secret questions, credit card numbers, Social Security

numbers, healthcare services numbers, and other related and relevant facts are stolen or otherwise obtained by the attacker. So, the first definition of identity theft is the actual theft of the credentials and information for someone's accounts or financial positions.

A second definition of identity theft is when those stolen credentials and details are used to take over someone's account. This could include logging into their account on an online service; making false charges to their credit card, ATM card, or debit card; writing false checks against their checking account; or opening a new line of credit in the victim's name using their Social Security number. When an attacker steals and uses a victim's credentials, this is known as *credential hijacking*.

This second definition of identity theft is also very similar to the definition of identity fraud. Fraud is when you claim something that is false to be true. Identity fraud is when you falsely claim to be someone else through the use of stolen information from the victim. Identity fraud is criminal impersonation or intentional deception for personal or financial gain. Examples of identity fraud include taking employment under someone else's Social Security number, initiating phone service or utilities in someone else's name, or using someone else's health insurance to gain medical services.

You can consider identity theft and identity fraud to be a form of spoofing. *Spoofing* is any action to hide a valid identity, often by taking on the identity of something else. In addition to the concept of human-focused spoofing (i.e., identity fraud), spoofing is a common tactic for malicious hackers against technology. Attackers often spoof email addresses, IP addresses, media access control (MAC) addresses, Address Resolution Protocol (ARP) communications, Wi-Fi networks, websites, mobile phone apps, and more. These and other spoofing-related topics are covered elsewhere in this book.

Identity theft and identity fraud are also related to impersonation. *Impersonation* is the act of taking on someone's identity. This might be accomplished by logging into their account with stolen credentials or claiming to be someone else

when on the phone. These and other impersonation concepts were covered earlier in the “Impersonation and Masquerading” section.

As a current or future victim of identity theft/fraud, you should take actions to reduce your vulnerability, increase the chance of detecting such attacks, and improve your defenses against this type of injustice.

Typosquatting

Typosquatting is a practice employed to capture and redirect traffic when a user mistypes the domain name or IP address of an intended resource. This is a social engineering attack that takes advantage of a person's potential to mistype a fully qualified domain name (FQDN) or address. A malicious site squatter predicts URL typos and then registers those domain names to direct traffic to their own site. This can be done for competition or for malicious intent. The variations used for typosquatting include common misspellings (such as [googel.com](#)), typing errors (such as [gooogle.com](#)), variations on a name or word (for example, plurality, as in [googles.com](#)), and different top-level domains (TLDs) (such as [google.edu](#)).

URL hijacking can also refer to the practice of displaying a link or advertisement that looks like that of a well-known product, service, or site but, when clicked, redirects the user to an alternate location, service, or product. This may be accomplished by posting sites and pages and exploiting search engine optimization (SEO) to cause your content to occur higher in search results, or through the use of adware that replaces legitimate ads and links with those leading to alternate or malicious locations.

Clickjacking is a means to redirect a user's click or selection on a web page to an alternate, often malicious target instead of the intended and desired location. This can be accomplished through several techniques. Some alter the code of the original web page in order to include a script that will automatically replace the valid URL with an alternate URL at the moment the mouse click

or selection occurs. Another means is to add an invisible or hidden overlay, frame, or image map over the displayed page. The user sees the original page, but any mouse click or selection will be captured by the floating frame and redirected to the malicious target. Clickjacking can be used to perform phishing attacks, hijacking, and Adversary-in-the-Middle ([AitM], aka on-path, previously known as Man-in-the-Middle [MitM]) attacks.

Influence Campaigns

Influence campaigns are social engineering attacks that attempt to guide, adjust, or change public opinion. Although such attacks might be undertaken by attackers against individuals or organizations, most influence campaigns seem to be waged by nation-states against their real or perceived foreign enemies.

Influence campaigns are linked to the distribution of false or misleading content, including:

- *Disinformation.* Intentionally false or misleading information spread with the purpose of deceiving or manipulating people. It is often used as a tool for political, ideological, or malicious agendas.
- *Misinformation.* Inaccurate or misleading information that is spread without malicious intent. It can be the result of errors, misunderstandings, or the unintentional sharing of false information.
- *Propaganda.* A systematic effort to spread ideas, information, or opinions, often of a biased or misleading nature, to promote a particular cause, political viewpoint, or ideology. It aims to shape public perception and behavior.
- *False information.* Any information that is factually incorrect or inaccurate. It can be created or spread unintentionally or intentionally and may or may not have a specific agenda.
- *“Fake news.”* A term used to describe deliberately fabricated news stories or hoaxes presented as genuine journalism. It often serves to misinform or deceive readers, and it may be

politically motivated or created for profit. It can also be used to label genuine journalism as false.

- *Doxing*. Short for “document tracing” or “dropping documents.” Involves researching and publishing private or personally identifiable information about an individual, such as their real name, address, contact details, or other sensitive data, often with malicious intent, such as harassment or public shaming. Doxing can also refer to the release of false and fabricated information. Doxing can also be against organizations.

Misleading, incomplete, crafted, and altered information can be used as part of an influence campaign to adjust the perception of readers and viewers to the concepts, thoughts, and ideologies of the influencer. These tactics have been used by invaders for centuries to turn a population against their own government. In the current digital information age, influence campaigns are easier to wage than ever before and some of the perpetrators are domestic. Modern influence campaigns don't need to rely on the distribution of printed materials but can digitally transmit the propaganda directly to the targets.

Hybrid Warfare

Nations no longer limit their attacks against their real or perceived enemies using traditional, kinetic weaponry. Now they combine classical military strategy with modern capabilities, including social engineering, digital influence campaigns, psychological warfare efforts, political tactics, and cyberwarfare capabilities. This is known as *hybrid warfare*. Some entities use the term *nonlinear warfare* or *irregular warfare* to refer to this concept.

It is important to realize that nations will use whatever tools or weapons are available to them when they feel threatened or decide they must strike first. With the use of hybrid warfare tactics, there is far greater risk to every individual than in battles of the past. Now with cyberwar and influence campaigns, every person can be targeted and potentially harmed. Keep in mind that

harm is not just physical in hybrid warfare; it can also damage reputation, finances, digital infrastructure, and relationships.

For a more thorough look at hybrid warfare, read the U.S. Government Accountability Office's "Hybrid Warfare" report.



"Cyberwarfare: Origins, Motivations and What You Can Do in Response" is a helpful paper you can find at

www.globalknowledge.com/us-en/resources/resource-library/white-papers/cyberwarfare-origins-motivations-and-what-you-can-do-in-response.

Social Media

Social media has become a weapon in the hands of nation-states as they wage elements of hybrid warfare against their targets. In the last decade, we have seen evidence of several nations, including our own, participating in social media-based influence campaigns. You should realize that you cannot just assume that the content you see on a social network is accurate, valid, or complete. Even when quoted by your friends, when referenced in popular media, when seemingly in line with your own expectations, you have to be skeptical of everything that reaches you through your digital communication devices. The use and abuse of social media by adversaries, foreign and domestic, brings the social engineering attack concept to a whole new level.



A great resource for learning how not to fall for false information distributed through the Internet is the "Navigating Digital Information" series presented by the YouTube channel CrashCourse: www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL8dPuuaLjXtN07XYqqWSKpPrtNDiCHTzU.

Workers can easily waste time and system resources by interacting with social media when that task is not part of their

job description. The company's acceptable user policy (AUP) should indicate that workers need to focus on work while at work rather than spending time on personal or non-work-related tasks.

Social media can be a means by which workers intentionally or accidentally distribute internal, confidential, proprietary, or PII data to outsiders. This may be accomplished by typing in messages or participating in chats in which they reveal confidential information. This can also be accomplished by distributing or publishing sensitive documents. Responses to social media issues can include blocking access to social media sites by adding IP blocks to firewalls and resolution filters to Domain Name System (DNS) queries. Violating workers need to be reprimanded or even terminated.

Establish and Maintain a Security Awareness, Education, and Training Program

The successful implementation of a security solution requires changes in user behavior. These changes primarily consist of alterations in normal work activities to comply with the standards, guidelines, and procedures mandated by the security policy. *Behavior modification* involves some level of learning on the part of the user. To develop and manage security education, training, and awareness, all relevant items of knowledge transference must be clearly identified and programs of presentation, exposure, synergy, and implementation crafted.

Awareness

A prerequisite to security training is *awareness*. The goal of creating awareness is to bring security to the forefront and make it a recognized entity for users. Awareness establishes a common baseline or foundation of security understanding across the entire organization and focuses on key or basic topics and issues related to security that all employees must understand.

Awareness is not exclusively created through a classroom type of

presentation but also through the work environment reminders such as posters, newsletter articles, and screen savers.



Instructor-led awareness, training, and education provide the best opportunity for real-time feedback from attendees.

Awareness establishes a minimum standard common denominator or foundation of security understanding. All personnel should be fully aware of their security responsibilities and liabilities. They should be trained to know what to do and what not to do.

The issues that users must be aware of include avoiding waste, fraud, and unauthorized activities. All members of an organization, from senior management to temporary interns, need the same level of awareness. The awareness program in an organization should be tied in with its security policy, incident-handling plan, business continuity, and disaster recovery procedures. For an awareness-building program to be effective, it must be fresh, creative, and updated often. The awareness program should also be tied to an understanding of how the corporate culture will affect and impact security for individuals as well as the organization as a whole. If employees do not see enforcement of security policies and standards among the C-level executives, especially at the awareness level, then they may not feel obligated to abide by them either.

Training

Training is teaching employees to perform their work tasks and to comply with the security policy. Training is typically hosted by an organization and is targeted to groups of employees with similar job functions. All new employees require some level of training so they will be able to comply with all standards, guidelines, and procedures mandated by the security policy. Training is an ongoing activity that must be sustained throughout

the lifetime of the organization for every employee. It is considered an administrative security control.

Methods and techniques to present awareness and training should be revised and improved over time to maximize benefits. This will require that training metrics be collected and evaluated. Improved awareness and training programs may include post-learning testing as well as monitoring for job consistency improvements and reductions in downtime, security incidents, or mistakes. This can be considered a program effectiveness evaluation.

Awareness and training are often provided in-house. That means these teaching tools are created and deployed by and within the organization itself. However, the next level of knowledge distribution is usually obtained from an external third-party source.

Education

Education is a detailed endeavor in which students and users learn much more than they actually need to know to perform their work tasks. Education is most often associated with users pursuing certification or seeking job promotion. It is typically a requirement for personnel seeking security professional positions. A security professional needs extensive knowledge of security and the local environment for the entire organization and not just for their specific work tasks.



A new development in the security education arena is micro-training (aka micro-learning, micro-education, or fast learning). Micro-training is a training and learning approach that involves delivering short, focused, and bite-sized learning modules or content to learners. These brief learning units are typically designed to be highly specific, addressing a single learning objective or a small set of related objectives in a concise and easily digestible format. Micro-training is characterized by its brevity and effectiveness in conveying information, making it well suited for the fast-paced and attention-challenged digital age. Often, micro-training is delivered via mobile apps.

Improvements

The following are techniques for improving security awareness and training:

- Change the target focus of the training. Sometimes you want to focus on the individual, sometimes on customers and clients, and other times on the organization.
- Change around topic orders or emphasis; maybe focus on social engineering during one training, then next time focus on mobile device security, and then family and travel security after that.
- Use a variety of presentation methods, such as in-person instruction, prerecorded videos, computer software/simulations, virtual reality (VR) experiences, off-site training, interactive websites, or assigned reading of either prepared courseware or off-the-shelf books (such as *Scam Me If You Can: Simple Strategies to Outsmart Today's Rip-off Artists* or *The Art of the Con: How to Think Like a Real Hustler and Avoid Being Scammed*, both by Frank Abagnale).

- Use role-playing by providing attendees with parts in a reenactment both as attacker and defender, but allow various people to offer ideas related to defending or responding to the attacks.

Develop and encourage *security champions*. These are people who take the lead in a project, such as development, leadership, or training, to enable, support, and encourage the adoption of security knowledge and practices through peer leadership, behavior demonstration, and social encouragement. Often a security champion is a member of a group who decides (or is assigned) to take charge of leading the adoption and integration of security concepts into the group's work activities. Security champions are often non-security employees who take up the mantle to encourage others to support and adopt more security practices and behaviors. Security champions are often found in software development, but this concept can be useful in any group of employees in any department.

Security awareness and training can often be improved through gamification. *Gamification* is a means to encourage compliance and engagement by integrating common elements of gameplay into other activities, such as security compliance and behavior change. This can include rewarding compliance behaviors and potentially punishing violating behaviors. Many aspects of gameplay (derived from card games, board games, sports, video games, and so on) can be integrated into security training and adoption, such as scoring points, earning achievements or badges, competing/cooperating with others, following a set of common/standard rules, having a defined goal, seeking rewards, developing group stories/experiences, and avoiding pitfalls or negative game events. Well-applied game dynamics can result in improved worker engagement with training, an increase in organizational application of lessons, expansion of the comprehension of application of concepts, more efficient workflow, integration of more group activities such as crowdsourcing and brainstorming, increased knowledge retention, and a reduction of worker apathy. In addition to gamification, ways to improve security training include capture-

the-flag drills, phishing simulations, computer-based training (CBT), and role-based training, among many others.

Effectiveness Evaluation

It is also important to perform periodic content reviews of all training materials. Reviews help ensure that the training materials and presentation stay in line with business goals, organizational mission, and security objectives. This periodic evaluation of training materials also provides the opportunity to adjust focus, add/remove topics (especially related to emerging technologies and trends), and integrate new training techniques into the courseware.



The Exam Outline objective 1.12.2 gives examples of emerging technologies and trends that should be integrated into training materials. These examples are cryptocurrency and blockchain (which are covered in [Chapters 7 and 9](#)) and artificial intelligence (AI) (which is covered in [Chapter 17](#)). These are only a few of the new concepts that every organization should be addressing as part of their security management processes, including training personnel on how to use, handle, avoid, or detect.

Additionally, new bold and subtle methods and techniques to present awareness and training should be implemented to keep the content fresh and relevant. Without periodic reviews for content relevancy, materials will become stale and workers will likely resort to making up their own guidelines and procedures. It is the responsibility of the security governance team to establish security rules as well as provide training and education to further the implementation of those rules.

Troubleshooting personnel issues should include verifying that all personnel have attended awareness training on standard foundational security behaviors and requirements, evaluating the access and activity logs of users, and determining whether

violations were intentional, coerced, accidental, or due to ignorance.

A policy violation occurs when a user breaks a rule. Users must be trained on the organization's policies and know their specific responsibilities with regard to abiding by those security rules. If a violation occurs, an internal investigation should evaluate whether it was an accident or an intentional event. If accidental, the worker should be trained on how to avoid the accident in the future, and new countermeasures may need to be implemented. If intentional, the severity of the issue may dictate a range of responses, including retraining, reassignment, and termination.

An example of a policy violation is the distribution of an internal company memo to external entities via a social network posting. Depending on the content of the memo, this could be a minor violation (such as posting a memo due to humorous or pointless content according to the worker) or a major issue (such as posting a memo that discloses a company secret or private information related to customers).

Company policy violations are not always the result of an accident or oversight on the part of the worker, nor are they always an intentional malicious choice. In fact, many internal breaches of company security are the result of intentional manipulation by malicious third parties.

Training and awareness program effectiveness evaluation should take place on an ongoing or continuous basis. Never assume that just because a worker was marked as attending or completing a training event they actually learned anything or will be changing their behavior. Some means of verification should be used to measure whether the training is beneficial or a waste of time and resources. In some circumstances, a quiz or test can be administered to workers immediately after a training session. A follow-up quiz should be performed three to six months later to see if they retained the information presented in the training. Event and incident logs should be reviewed for the rate of occurrences of security violations due to employee actions and behaviors to see if there is any noticeable difference in the rate of occurrence or trends of incidents before and after a training

presentation. Good training (and teachable employees) would be confirmed with a marked difference in user behaviors, especially a reduction of security infractions. High scores on subsequent security quizzes months later demonstrate that security concepts are retained. A combination of these processes of evaluation can help determine if a training or awareness program is being effective and is reducing the security incident rate and related response and management costs. A well-designed, engaging, and successful security training program should result in a measurable reduction in employee-related security incident management costs, hopefully far exceeding the cost of the training program itself. This would, therefore, be a good return on security investment.

Summary

When designing and deploying security solutions, you need to protect your environment from potential human threats. The aspects of secure hiring practices, defining roles, setting policies, following standards, reviewing guidelines, detailing procedures, performing risk management, providing awareness training, and cultivating management planning all contribute to protecting assets.

Secure hiring practices require detailed job descriptions. Job descriptions are used as a guide for selecting candidates and properly evaluating them for a position. Job responsibilities are the specific work tasks an employee is required to perform on a regular basis.

Employment candidate screening, background checks, reference checks, education verification, and security clearance validation are essential elements in proving that a candidate is adequate, qualified, and trustworthy for a secured position.

Onboarding involves integrating a new hire into the organization, which includes organizational socialization and orientation. When a new employee is hired, they should sign an employment agreement/contract and possibly a nondisclosure agreement (NDA). These documents define the responsibilities and legal

liabilities of the relationship between the employee and the organization.

Throughout the employment lifetime of personnel, managers should regularly review or audit the job descriptions, work tasks, privileges, and responsibilities for every staff member. For some industries, mandatory vacations may be needed. Collusion and other privilege abuses can be reduced through strict monitoring of special privileges.

Offboarding is the removal of an employee's identity from the IAM system, or it may be a part of the process of employee transfer to another division of the organization. A termination policy is needed to protect an organization and its remaining employees. The termination procedure should include an exit interview, reminder of NDAs, return of company property, and disabling of network access.

Vendor, consultant, and contractor controls (i.e., an SLA) are used to define the levels of performance, expectation, compensation, and consequences for external entities, persons, or organizations.

Compliance is the act of conforming to or adhering to rules, policies, regulations, standards, or requirements. Compliance is an important concern for security governance.

The primary goal of risk management is to reduce risk to an acceptable level. Determining this level depends on the organization, the value of its assets, and the size of its budget. Risk analysis/assessment is the process by which risk management is achieved and includes inventorying assets, analyzing an environment for threats, and evaluating each risk as to its likelihood of occurring and the cost of the resulting damage. Risk response is the assessing of the cost of various countermeasures for each risk and creating a cost/benefit report for safeguards to present to upper management.

Social engineering is a form of attack that exploits human nature and human behavior. Social engineering attacks take two primary forms: convincing someone to perform an unauthorized operation or convincing someone to reveal confidential

information. The most effective defense against social engineering attacks is user education and awareness training.

The common social engineering principles are authority, intimidation, consensus, scarcity, familiarity, trust, and urgency. Eliciting information is the activity of gathering or collecting information from systems or people. Social engineering attacks include phishing, spear phishing, business email compromise (BEC), whaling, smishing, vishing, spam, shoulder surfing, invoice scams, hoaxes, impersonation, masquerading, tailgating, piggybacking, baiting, dumpster diving, identity fraud, typosquatting, and influence campaigns.

For a security solution to be successfully implemented, user behavior must change. Behavior modification involves some level of learning on the part of the user. There are three commonly recognized learning levels: awareness, training, and education.

Security-focused awareness and training programs should be reassessed and revised regularly. Some security awareness and training programs can benefit from security champions or gamification.

Study Essentials

Understand the security implications of hiring new employees. To properly plan for security, you must have standards in place for job descriptions, job classification, work tasks, job responsibilities, prevention of collusion, candidate screening, background checks, security clearances, employment agreements, and nondisclosure agreements. By deploying such mechanisms, you ensure that new hires are aware of the required security standards, thus protecting your organization's assets.

Understand onboarding and offboarding. Onboarding is the process of adding new employees to the organization using socialization and orientation. Offboarding is the removal of an employee's identity from the IAM system once that person has left the organization.

Know the principle of least privilege. The principle of least privilege states that users should be granted the minimum amount of access necessary for them to complete their required work tasks or job responsibilities.

Know about employee oversight. Throughout the employment lifetime of personnel, managers should regularly review or audit the job descriptions, work tasks, privileges, and responsibilities for every staff member.

Know why mandatory vacations are necessary. Mandatory vacations of one to two weeks are used to audit and verify the work tasks and privileges of employees. This often results in easy detection of abuse, fraud, or negligence.

Know about UBA and UEBA. User behavior analytics (UBA) and user and entity behavior analytics (UEBA) are the concepts of analyzing the behavior of users, subjects, visitors, customers, etc. for some specific goal or purpose.

Understand employee transfers. Personnel transfers may be treated as a termination/rehire rather than a personnel move. This depends on the organization's policies and the means they have determined to best manage this change. Some of the elements that go into making the decision as to which procedure to use include whether the same user account will be retained, if their clearance will be adjusted, if their new work responsibilities are similar to the previous position, and if a “clean slate” account is required for auditing purposes in the new job position.

Be able to explain proper termination policies. A termination policy defines the procedure for terminating employees. It should include items such as always having a witness, disabling the employee's network access, and performing an exit interview. A termination policy should also include escorting the terminated employee off the premises and requiring the return of security tokens and badges and company property.

Be able to define overall risk management. The process of identifying factors that could damage or disclose data, evaluating those factors in light of data value and countermeasure cost, and implementing cost-effective solutions for mitigating or reducing

risk is known as risk management. By performing risk management, you lay the foundation for reducing risk overall.

Understand risk analysis and the key elements

involved. Risk analysis is the process by which upper management is provided with details to make decisions about which risks are to be mitigated, which should be transferred, and which should be accepted. To fully evaluate risks and subsequently take the proper precautions, you must analyze the following: assets, asset valuation, threats, vulnerability, exposure, risk, realized risk, safeguards, countermeasures, attacks, and breaches.

Know how to evaluate threats. Threats can originate from numerous sources, including IT, humans, and nature. Threat assessment should be performed as a team effort to provide the widest range of perspectives. By fully evaluating risks from all angles, you reduce your system's vulnerability.

Understand qualitative risk analysis. Qualitative risk analysis is based more on scenarios than calculations. Exact dollar figures are not assigned to possible losses; instead, threats are ranked on a scale to evaluate their risks, costs, and effects. Such an analysis assists those responsible for creating proper risk management policies.

Understand quantitative risk analysis. Quantitative risk analysis focuses on hard values and percentages. A complete quantitative analysis is not possible because of intangible aspects of risk. The process involves valuing assets and identifying threats and then determining a threat's potential frequency and the resulting damage, which leads to the risk response tasks of the cost/benefit analysis of safeguards.

Know what single loss expectancy (SLE) is and how to calculate it. SLE is an element of quantitative risk analysis that represents the cost associated with a single realized risk against a specific asset. The formula is $SLE = \text{asset value (AV)} * \text{exposure factor (EF)}$.

Know what annualized loss expectancy (ALE) is and how to calculate it. ALE is an element of quantitative risk analysis

that represents the possible yearly cost of all instances of a specific realized threat against a specific asset. The formula is $ALE = \text{single loss expectancy (SLE)} * \text{annualized rate of occurrence (ARO)}$.

Know the formula for safeguard evaluation. In addition to determining the annual cost of a safeguard, you must calculate the ALE for the asset if the safeguard is implemented. Use this formula: $ALE \text{ before safeguard} - ALE \text{ after implementing the safeguard} - \text{annual cost of safeguard} = \text{value of the safeguard to the company, or } (ALE_1 - ALE_2) - ACS$.

Know the options for handling risk. Reducing risk, or risk mitigation, is the implementation of safeguards and countermeasures. Assigning risk or transferring a risk places the cost of loss a risk represents onto another entity or organization. Purchasing insurance is one form of assigning or transferring risk. Risk deterrence is the process of implementing deterrents to would-be violators of security and policy. Risk avoidance is the process of selecting alternate options or activities that have less associated risk than the default, common, expedient, or cheap option. Accepting risk means management has evaluated the cost/benefit analysis of possible safeguards and has determined that the cost of the countermeasure greatly outweighs the possible cost of loss due to a risk. It also means that management has agreed to accept the consequences and the loss if the risk is realized.

Understand security control assessment (SCA). An SCA is the formal evaluation of a security infrastructure's individual mechanisms against a baseline or reliability expectation.

Understand security monitoring and measurement. Security controls should provide benefits that can be monitored and measured. If a security control's benefits cannot be quantified, evaluated, or compared, then it does not actually provide any security.

Understand risk reporting. Risk reporting involves the production of a risk report and a presentation of that report to the interested/relevant parties. A risk report should be accurate,

timely, comprehensive of the entire organization, clear and precise to support decision-making, and updated on a regular basis.

Understand the Risk Maturity Model (RMM). The Risk Maturity Model (RMM) is a means to assess the key indicators and activities of a mature, sustainable, and repeatable risk management process. The RMM levels are ad hoc, preliminary, defined, integrated, and optimized.

Know about legacy system security risk. Legacy systems are often a threat because they may not be receiving security updates from their vendors. End of life (EOL) is the point at which a manufacturer no longer produces a product. End of service life (EOSL) or end of support (EOS) are those that are no longer receiving updates and support from the vendor.

Understand social engineering. Social engineering is a form of attack that exploits human nature and human behavior. The common social engineering principles are authority, intimidation, consensus, scarcity, familiarity, trust, and urgency. Such attacks may be used to elicit information or gain access through the use of pretexting and/or prepending. Social engineering attacks include phishing, spear phishing, business email compromise (BEC), whaling, smishing, vishing, spam, shoulder surfing, invoice scams, hoaxes, impersonation, masquerading, tailgating, piggybacking, baiting, dumpster diving, identity fraud, typosquatting, and influence campaigns.

Know how to implement security awareness training and education. Before actual training can take place, awareness of security as a recognized entity must be created for users. Once this is accomplished, training, or teaching employees to perform their work tasks and to comply with the security policy, can begin. All new employees require some level of training so that they will be able to comply with all standards, guidelines, and procedures mandated by the security policy. Education is a more detailed endeavor in which students/users learn much more than they actually need to know to perform their work tasks. Education is most often associated with users pursuing certification or seeking job promotion.

Know about the need for periodic content reviews and effectiveness evaluations. It is important to perform periodic content reviews of all training materials. This is to ensure that the training materials and presentation stays in line with business goals, organizational mission, and security objectives. Some means of verification should be used to measure whether the training is beneficial or a waste of time and resources.

Written Lab

1. Name six different administrative controls used to secure personnel.
2. What are the basic formulas or values used in quantitative risk assessment?
3. Describe the process or technique used to reach an anonymous consensus during a qualitative risk assessment.
4. Discuss the need to perform a balanced risk assessment. What are the techniques that can be used and why is this necessary?
5. What are the main types of social engineering principles?
6. Name several types or methods of social engineering.

Review Questions

1. You have been tasked with overseeing the security improvement project for your organization. The goal is to reduce the current risk profile to a lower level without spending considerable amounts of money. You decide to focus on the largest concern mentioned by your CISO. Which of the following is likely the element of the organization that is considered the weakest?
 - A. Software products
 - B. Internet connections