Evaluation of Assignment Set III

CS 431: Programming Languages Lab

Group Name	Students' Name & Roll No	Comments of the Evaluator(s)	Marks
Group-1	N Rajendhar Reddy 150101040	Question #4 is not implemented. (Deducting 50 marks) Additional Question 1) – 5 marks Additional Question 2)- 0 marks (Not properly justified) Report – (Not all functions are pure)- Deducting 2 marks.	53
	S Bharath Chandra 150101066	Question #4 is not implemented. (Deducting 50 marks) Additional Question 1) – 5 marks Additional Question 2)- 0 marks (Not properly justified) Report – (Not all functions are pure)- Deducting 2 marks.	53
Group-2	Abhishek Goyal 150101002	Every questions are nicely implemented. Boundary conditions in question #2 are not correct. (Deducting 3 marks) Report – Deducting 2 marks. Additional Questions – 10 marks **Seems some less contribution – Deducting 5 marks**	100
	Roopansh Bansal 150101053	Every questions are nicely implemented. Boundary conditions in question #2 are not correct. (Deducting 3 marks) Report – Deducting 2 marks. Additional Questions – 10 marks	105
Group-3	Anirudh sharma 150101006	Every questions are nicely done. Additional Questions – 5 marks Not properly commented – (Deducting 4 marks) Algorithm – Deducting 5 marks	96
	Saket Agrawal 150101054	Every questions are nicely done. Additional Questions – 5 marks Not properly commented – (Deducting 4 marks) Algorithm – Deducting 5 marks	96
Group-4	SATYA PRAKASH 150101060	Every questions are nicely done. Poor commenting in code. (Deducting 5 marks) Algorithm in #3 – (Not proper) – Deducting 5 marks Additional Questions – nicely written	100
	ABHAY KUMAR 150101001	Every questions are nicely done. Poor commenting in code. (Deducting 5 marks) Algorithm in #3 – (Not proper) – Deducting 5 marks	100

		Additional Questions – nicely written	
	Gaurav Nakum 150101084	Every questions are nicely done. Poor commenting in code. (Deducting 5 marks) Algorithm in #3 – (Not proper) – Deducting 5 marks Additional Questions – nicely written	100
Group-5	Neel Mittal 150101042	Boundary conditions in question #2 are not correct. (Deducting 3 marks) Report is very good with additional questions answered correctly. Very well documented code.	107
	Prashansi Kamdar 150101047	Boundary conditions in question #2 are not correct. (Deducting 3 marks) Report is very good with additional questions answered correctly. Very well documented code. **Seems less contribution- Deducting 10 marks**	97
Group-6	Deshmukh Udayraj 150101021	Question #4 is not implemented. (Deducting 50 marks) Boundary conditions in question #2 are not correct. (Deducting 3 marks). Rest everything is fine. Additional Question – 0 marks.	47
	Anurag Ramteke 150101010	Question #4 is not implemented. (Deducting 50 marks) Boundary conditions in question #2 are not correct. (Deducting 3 marks). Rest everything is fine. Additional Question – 0 marks.	47
Group-7	Gourika Bang 150101025	Problem 1: Report fine Problem 2: (-3) Boundary conditions not checked. Report fine Problem 3: Report fine Problem 4: (-35) test cases failed Report fine Short notes: Fine	72
	Saurabh 150101062	Problem 1: Report fine Problem 2: (-3) Boundary conditions not checked. Report fine Problem 3: Report fine Problem 4: (-35) test cases failed	72

		Report fine Short notes: Fine	
Group-8	Joy Chopra 150101083	Problem 1: Worked on all test cases. Problem 2: (-3) Boundary conditions not checked. Problem 3: Worked on all test cases Problem 4: (-10) Some test case failed. Short notes: Explained nicely.	97
	Satya Prakash 150101087	Problem 1: Worked on all test cases. Problem 2: (-3) Boundary conditions not checked. Problem 3: Worked on all test cases Problem 4: (-10) Some test case failed. Short notes: Explained nicely.	97
Group-9	K Y Mouli 150101029	Problem 1: Worked on all test cases. Problem 2: (-3) Boundary conditions not checked. Problem 3: Worked on all test cases Problem 4: (-50) Not done. Short notes: (-10) not submitted	47
	S N V S R K Prudhvi 150101055	Problem 1: Worked on all test cases. Problem 2: (-3) Boundary conditions not checked. Problem 3: Worked on all test cases Problem 4: (-50) Not done. Short notes: (-10) not submitted	47
Group-10	Chinmoy Jyoti Kathar 150101019	Problem 1: Worked on all test cases. (-1) Incorrect explanation for question 4 in report. Problem 2: (-3) Boundary conditions not checked. (-2) Proper justification is not given in question 3 of report.	52

		Problem 3: Worked on all test cases. (-2) Proper justification is not given in question 3 of report. Problem 4: (-50) Not done. Short notes: Explained nicely.	
	Chinmoy Kachari 150101020	Problem 1: Worked on all test cases. (-1) Incorrect explanation for question 4 in report. Problem 2: (-3) Boundary conditions not checked. (-2) Proper justification is not given in question 3 of report. Problem 3: Worked on all test cases. (-2) Proper justification is not given in question 3 of report. Problem 4: (-50) Not done. Short notes: Explained nicely.	52
Group-11	SARTHAK TRIPATHI 150101057	Problem 1: Report fine Problem 2: Report fine Problem 3: Report fine Problem 4: (-7) Algorithm not proper Short notes: (-3) 2nd question not properly explained w.r.t. lack of side effects	100
	SAURABH SABHARWAL 150101061	Problem 1: Report fine Problem 2: Report fine Problem 3: Report fine Problem 4: (-7) Algorithm not proper Short notes: (-3) 2nd question not properly explained w.r.t. lack of side effects	100
Group-12	shailendra bramhe 150101065	Problem 1: (-3) No report Problem 2: (-5) No report Problem 3: (-5) No report	37

		Problem 4: Not Done(-35) (-15) No report Short notes: (-10) No short notes [Report consists of questions only]	
	ankit prajapati 150101007	Problem 1: (-3) No report Problem 2: (-5) No report Problem 3: (-5) No report Problem 4: Not Done(-35) (-15) No report Short notes: (-10) No short notes [Report consists of questions only]	37
Group-13	IRALA NARASIMHA REDDY DILIP KUMAR 150101027	Q1) Program working for both cases where input is already palindrome and where input is non-palindrome. Explanation and basics were good. Answer for Question no. 3 could have been explained better (-1). Q2) The boundary conditions where the value (z) or value (y) is zero is not handled properly (-2). In report question 3 is not done (-2). Q3) Concepts and way of presentation was good. In report answer for question 4 was missing. (-1) Q4) Incomplete question (-30). In report algorithm was not clear and way near to solution. (-8). Solutions to other questions were also not complete (-2). Short Notes: Incomplete part 1 (-4). Answer to part 2 was also not complete (-3). Just wrote the basic definition as answer.	57
	REDDI HAREESH 150101051	Q1) Program working for both cases where input is already palindrome and where input is non-palindrome. Explanation and basics were good. Answer for Question no. 3 could have been explained better (-1). Q2) The boundary conditions where the value (z) or value (y) is zero is not handled properly (-2). In report question 3 is not done (-2). Q3) Concepts and way of presentation was good. In report answer for question 4 was missing. (-1) Q4) Incomplete question (-30). In report algorithm was not clear and way near to solution. (-8). Solutions to other questions were also not complete (-2). Short Notes: Incomplete part 1 (-4). Answer to part 2	57

		was also not complete (-3). Just wrote the basic definition as answer.	
Group-14	ABOTHULA RAKESH 150101004	Q1) Program working for both cases where input is already palindrome and where input is non-palindrome. Explanation and basics were good. Report was fine. Could have elaborated at least the name of functions in question 1. Q2) The boundary conditions where the value (z) or value (y) is zero is not handled properly (-2). Q3) Concepts and way of presentation was good. Report was fine. Just try to elaborate for simplicity. Q4) Not done/submitted. Short Notes: Incomplete (-10)	48
	BOPPANA AKHILESH 150101018	Q1) Program working for both cases where input is already palindrome and where input is non-palindrome. Explanation and basics were good. Report was fine. Could have elaborated at least the name of functions in question 1. Q2) The boundary conditions where the value (z) or value (y) is zero is not handled properly (-2). Q3) Concepts and way of presentation was good. Report was fine. Just try to elaborate for simplicity. Q4) Not done/submitted. Short Notes: Incomplete (-10)	48
Group-15	Pulak Kuli 150101050	Q1) Program working for both cases where input is already palindrome and where input is non-palindrome. Explanation and basics were good. Report was fine. Q2) The boundary conditions where the value (z) or value (y) is zero is not handled properly (-2). Q3) Concepts and way of presentation was good. In report: in solution to question 1, there was no answer for why the particular worker should be chosen. (-2) Q4) Output was not in the format asked in question (-5) although concept and way of presentation was correct. Report was good. Could have elaborated your algorithm. (-2) Short notes were fine. Part 2 needs more details (-1). Good explanation although.	98
	Nayanjyoti Kakati 150101041	Q1) Program working for both cases where input is already palindrome and where input is non-palindrome. Explanation and basics were good. Report was fine. Q2) The boundary conditions where the value (z) or value (y) is zero is not handled properly (-2). Q3) Concepts and way of presentation was good. In report: in solution to question 1, there was no answer for why the particular worker should be chosen. (-2)	98

		Q4) Output was not in the format asked in question (-5) although concept and way of presentation was correct. Report was good. Could have elaborated your algorithm. (-2) Short notes were fine. Part 2 needs more details (-1). Good explanation although.	
Group-16	Ritveeka Vashistha 150101082	Q1) Program working for both cases where input is already palindrome and where input is non-palindrome. Explanation and basics were good. Report was fine. Q2) The boundary conditions where the value (z) or value (y) is zero is not handled properly (-2). At least report could be formatted as PDF file. Q3) Concepts and way of presentation was good. Q4) Presented a good way of handling the problem. Report was good. Algorithm could have elaborated to show the work actually you did. Short notes were fine. Part 2 went out of context (-2). It was asked w.r.t lack of side effect. Part 1 was explained nicely with example.	106
	Rohan Aggarwal 150101052	Q1) Program working for both cases where input is already palindrome and where input is non-palindrome. Explanation and basics were good. Report was fine. Q2) The boundary conditions where the value (z) or value (y) is zero is not handled properly (-2). At least report could be formatted as PDF file. Q3) Concepts and way of presentation was good. Q4) Presented a good way of handling the problem. Report was good. Algorithm could have elaborated to show the work actually you did. Short notes were fine. Part 2 went out of context (-2). It was asked w.r.t lack of side effect. Part 1 was explained nicely with example.	106
Group-17	Mukul Verma 150101038	Q1) Program working for both cases where input is already palindrome and where input is non-palindrome. Explanation and basics were good. Report was fine. Q2) The boundary conditions where the value (z) or value (y) is zero is handled properly. Good thinking and execution. Q3) Concepts were fine. Explained nicely. Q4) Not submitted. (-50) Short notes: Part 1 needs more explanation. Intuition was good but it is incomplete (-3). Explanation of part 2 is fine. Further giving specific could have cleared more.	57
	Shubhanshu Verma	Q1) Program working for both cases where input is	57

	150101073	already palindrome and where input is non-palindrome. Explanation and basics were good. Report was fine. Q2) The boundary conditions where the value (z) or value (y) is zero is handled properly. Good thinking and execution. Q3) Concepts were fine. Explained nicely. Q4) Not submitted. (-50) Short notes: Part 1 needs more explanation. Intuition was good but it is incomplete (-3). Explanation of part 2 is fine. Further giving specific could have cleared more.	
Group-18	Saswata De 150101058	Q1) Program working for both cases where input is already palindrome and where input is non-palindrome. Explanation and basics were good. Explanation for question 4 needs more details (-1) Q2) The boundary conditions where the value (z) or value (y) is zero is not handled properly (-2). Report was fine. Q3) Concepts were fine. Question no. 1 explained nicely. Rest questions could have been elaborated more for more clarity. Q4) Address using brute force. Could optimize it. Used some functions like 'main' which is an impure function. Didn't mentioned in report (-4) Short Notes: Part 1 needs more explanation (-2).	101
	Gourav 150101024	Q1) Program working for both cases where input is already palindrome and where input is non-palindrome. Explanation and basics were good. Explanation for question 4 needs more details (-1) Q2) The boundary conditions where the value (z) or value (y) is zero is not handled properly (-2). Report was fine. Q3) Concepts were fine. Question no. 1 explained nicely. Rest questions could have been elaborated more for more clarity. Q4) Address using brute force. Could optimize it. Used some functions like 'main' which is an impure function. Didn't mentioned in report (-4) Short Notes: Part 1 needs more explanation (-2).	101
Group-19	Satti Sai Chandan Reddy 150101059	**Problem 1:** I/P is not restricted for only alphabets (-1) Lack of clarity in report (-1) **Problem 2:** For boundary condition zero values of x, y, z are not checks (-2) For –Ve values of x it is shown –ve number of aloo	47

		paratha (-2) Lack of clarity in report (-1) **Problem 3:** The explanation was good. Report and code documentation (-3). **Problem 4:** (-50) Short Note- Lack of clarity in part-b (-3)	
	Srikar Paruchuru 150101044	**Problem 1:** I/P is not restricted for only alphabets (-1) Lack of clarity in report (-1) **Problem 2:** For boundary condition zero values of x, y, z are not checks (-2) For –Ve values of x it is shown –ve number of aloo paratha (-2) Lack of clarity in report (-1) **Problem 3:** The explanation was good. Report and code documentation (-3). **Problem 4:** (-50) Short Note- Lack of clarity in part-b (-3)	47
Group-20	Kushal K S V S 150101031	Problem 1: I/P is not restricted for only alphabets (-1) Comments and report (-2). Problem 2: For boundary condition zero values of x, y, z are not checks (-2) For –Ve values of x it is shown –ve number of aloo paratha (-2) Report and code documentation (-3). Problem 3: The explanation was good. Report and code documentation (-3). Problem 4: Only one static test case generated (-25) Report and other question (-5) Short Note- Explain clearly	67
	Abhinav Reddy N 150101039	Problem 1: I/P is not restricted for only alphabets (-1) Comments and report (-2). Problem 2: For boundary condition zero values of x, y, z are not checks (-2)	67

		For –Ve values of x it is shown –ve number of aloo paratha (-2) Report and code documentation (-3). Problem 3: The explanation was good. Report and code documentation (-3). Problem 4: Only one static test case generated (-25) Report and other question (-5) Short Note- Explain clearly	
Group-21	Abhishek Kumar 150101003	**Problem 1:** I/P is not restricted for only alphabets (-1) Lack of clarity in report (-1) **Problem 2:** For boundary condition zero values of x, y, z are not checks (-2) For –Ve values of x it is shown –ve number of aloo paratha (-2) Lack of clarity in report (-1) **Problem 3:** The explanation was good. Report and code documentation (-3). **Problem 4:** (-50) Short Note missing in your report (-10)	40
	Vaibhav Pratap Singh 150101081	**Problem 1:** I/P is not restricted for only alphabets (-1) Lack of clarity in report (-1) **Problem 2:** For boundary condition zero values of x, y, z are not checks (-2) For –Ve values of x it is shown –ve number of aloo paratha (-2) Lack of clarity in report (-1) **Problem 3:** The explanation was good. Report and code documentation (-3). **Problem 4:** (-50) Short Note missing in your report (-10)	40
Group-22	Shivram N Gowtham 150101069	**Problem 1:** Cases were working fine Explanation and basics were good **Problem 2:**	106

		Boundary conditions were properly handled. E.g. when either token value (z) or cost of paratha is zero program was giving 'invalid input'. Properly explained and answered the questions nicely. **Problem 3:** Test cases were running fine. Little bit fumbled while explaining (-1) **Problem 4:** All constraints were satisfied. Explanation and basics were good. Tried to optimize the solution by utilizing the given area in best way (positive point). Way of handling the solution was very good Short Note Example could have been given to address properly. More detail was required (-2) More details was required rather than rewriting one sentence in different format(-1)	
	Suhas Kantekar 150101077	**Problem 1:** Cases were working fine Explanation and basics were good **Problem 2:** Boundary conditions were properly handled. E.g. when either token value (z) or cost of paratha is zero program was giving 'invalid input'. Properly explained and answered the questions nicely. **Problem 3:** Test cases were running fine. Little bit fumbled while explaining (-1) **Problem 4:** All constraints were satisfied. Explanation and basics were good. Tried to optimize the solution by utilizing the given area in best way (positive point). Way of handling the solution was very good Short Note Example could have been given to address properly. More detail was required (-2) More details was required rather than rewriting one sentence in different format(-1)	106
Group-23	S Sai Harshavardhan 150101075	**Problem 1:** I/P is not restricted for only alphabets (-1) Standard coding style and comments (-1). Lack of clarity in report (-1) **Problem 2:** Standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). For boundary condition zero values of x, y, z are not checks (-2)	44

		Problem 3: Report and code documentation (-3). **Problem 4:** (-50) Short Note- Lack of clarity in part- a and b (-6)	
	Dharmesh Chourasiya 150101022	**Problem 1:** I/P is not restricted for only alphabets (-1) Standard coding style and comments (-1). Lack of clarity in report (-1) **Problem 2:** Standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). For boundary condition zero values of x, y, z are not checks (-2) **Problem 3:** Report and code documentation (-3). **Problem 4:** (-50) Short Note- Lack of clarity in part- a and b (-6)	44
Group-24	Piyush Jain 150101046	**Problem 1:** Code documentation and poor writing style, clarity of reason are missing in the report (-2) **Problem 2:** For boundary condition zero values of x, y, z are not checks (-2) -Ve values are also taken as I/P for X and results is shown -Ve (-2) **Problem 3:** The output is shown are ok but logic is not as per problem statement (-12) **Problem 4:** O/p is ok but one test case is not as per output expected (-5) Code documentation and poor writing style, clarity of reason are missing in the report (-5) Short Note- Lack of clarity in part- a (-3)	79
	Shivam Gupta 150101068	**Problem 1:** Code documentation and poor writing style, clarity of reason are missing in the report (-2) **Problem 2:** For boundary condition zero values of x, y, z are not checks (-2)	79

		-Ve values are also taken as I/P for X and results is shown -Ve (-2) **Problem 3:** The output is shown are ok but logic is not as per problem statement (-12) **Problem 4:** O/p is ok but one test case is not as per output expected (-5) Code documentation and poor writing style, clarity of reason are missing in the report (-5) Short Note- Lack of clarity in part- a (-3)	
Group-25	Sudhanshu Ranjan 150101076	**Problem 1:** OK **Problem 2:** 1 boundary test case failed **(-1)** **Problem 3:** commenting could be improved **(-1)** **Problem 4:** Algorithm is not in pseudo code **(-8)** **Additional Questions:** No satisfactory answers **(-4)**	96
	Ankit Kumar Singh 150101086	**Problem 1:** OK **Problem 2:** 1 boundary test case failed **(-1)** **Problem 3:** commenting could be improved **(-1)** **Problem 4:** Algorithm is not in pseudo code **(-8)** **Additional Questions:** No satisfactory answers **(-4)**	96
Group-26	Priyankar Jain 150101088	**Problem 1:** OK **Problem 2:** How print function can be impure? **(-2)** **Problem 3:** Not commented well **(-2)** **Problem 4:** Algorithm is not written in appropriate format **(-4)** **Additional Questions:** Part a - wrong answer - questions have been misunderstood **(-6)**	96
	Shashank Anil Huddedar 150101085	**Problem 1:** OK **Problem 2:** How print function can be impure? **(-2)** **Problem 3:** Not commented well **(-2)** **Problem 4:** Algorithm is not written in appropriate format **(-4)** **Additional Questions:** Part a - wrong answer - questions have been misunderstood **(-6)**	96
Group-27	Patoliya Meetkumar Krushnadas 150101045	**Problem 1:** OK **Problem 2:** OK **Problem 3:** named 2 functions while mentioning 3 **(-1)** Comments could be improved, particularly the function used is not clear unless explained **(-2)** **Problem 4:** Algorithm written is not in pseudocode and not any of the algorithmic form **(-8)**	93

		<u>Additional Questions</u>: First question has been answered partially correctly, second question has been misunderstood. **(-6)**	
	Shubham Singhal 150101072	**<u>Problem 1</u>:** OK **<u>Problem 2</u>:** OK **<u>Problem 3</u>:** named 2 functions while mentioning 3 **(-1)** Comments could be improved, particularly the function used is not clear unless explained **(-2)** **<u>Problem 4</u>:** Algorithm written is not in pseudocode and not any of the algorithmic form **(-8)** **<u>Additional Questions</u>:** First question has been answered partially correctly, second question has been misunderstood. **(-6)**	93
Group-28	Bishwenrda choudhary 150101017	Q1) Program working for both cases where input is already palindrome and where input is non-palindrome. Explanation and basics were good. In report answer to question 4 required more details (-1). Q2) The boundary conditions where the value (z) or value (y) is zero is not handled properly (-3). Report was fine Q3) Concepts and way of presentation was good. Way of handling the problem was nice. In report answer to question 1 required more details (-2). Also question 4 is not addressed properly (-1) Q4) some test cases failed when there is no design possible (-10). Algorithm given was not in proper way (-8). Answer to question 4 is incomplete (-1). Short Note: Part 1 is not properly explained (-3). Explanation for part 2 is also not proper (-3)	78
	Tamil selvan 150101079	Q1) Program working for both cases where input is already palindrome and where input is non-palindrome. Explanation and basics were good. In report answer to question 4 required more details (-1). Q2) The boundary conditions where the value (z) or value (y) is zero is not handled properly (-3). Report was fine Q3) Concepts and way of presentation was good. Way of handling the problem was nice. In report answer to question 1 required more details (-2). Also question 4 is not addressed properly (-1) Q4) some test cases failed when there is no design possible (-10). Algorithm given was not in proper way (-8). Answer to	78

		question 4 is incomplete (-1). Short Note: Part 1 is not properly explained (-3). Explanation for part 2 is also not proper (-3)	
Group-29	Anup Agarwal 150101009	**Problem 1:** Codes do not have comment **(-2)** **Problem 2:** Codes do not have comment **(-2)** **Problem 3:** Codes do not have comment **(-2)** **Problem 4:** Codes do not have comment **(-2)** Algorithm written is neither in appropriate pseudo code nor any standard algorithmic format **(-5)** **Additional Questions:** Answers are not clear enough **(-3)**	94
	Surabhi Gupta 150101078	**Problem 1:** Codes do not have comment **(-2)** **Problem 2:** Codes do not have comment **(-2)** **Problem 3:** Codes do not have comment **(-2)** **Problem 4:** Codes do not have comment **(-2)** Algorithm written is neither in appropriate pseudo code nor any standard algorithmic format **(-5)** **Additional Questions:** Answers are not clear enough **(-3)**	94
Group-30	Shradha Pruthi 150101070	**Problem 1:** OK **Problem 2:** One test case did not run properly **(-5)** 3rd & 4th answer is not clear **(-2)** **Problem 3:** OK **Problem 4:** 4th answer is not clear **(-2)** Algorithm written is neither in pseudocode nor in any standard algorithmic format **(-10)** **Additional Questions:** First part has not been answered satisfactorily, second part has been misunderstood **(-6)**	85
	Bhavya Bansal 150101015	**Problem 1:** OK **Problem 2:** One test case did not run properly **(-5)** 3rd & 4th answer is not clear **(-2)** **Problem 3:** OK **Problem 4:** 4th answer is not clear **(-2)** Algorithm written is neither in pseudocode nor in any standard algorithmic format **(-10)** **Additional Questions:** First part has not been answered satisfactorily, second part has been misunderstood **(-6)**	85
Group-31	V Sony Venkatesh 150101080	**Problem-1** a) OK b) OK c) OK	98

		Problem-2 a) Boundary condition partially working -2 b) OK c) OK **Problem-3** a) OK b) OK c) Ok **Problem-4** a) OK b) Not a proper way of writing the algorithm -5 **Short Notes** a) No answer to the first question -2 b) Answer in not specific to the questions -3	
	Sai Shobith 150101032	**Problem-1** a) OK b) OK c) OK **Problem-2** a) Boundary condition partially working -2 b) OK c) OK **Problem-3** a) OK b) OK c) Ok **Problem-4** a) OK b) Not a proper way of writing the algorithm -5 **Short Notes** a) No answer to the first question -2 b) Answer in not specific to the questions -3 **Not able to explain the code completely -10**	88
Group-32	Ayush singh 150101013	Not submitted the assignment	0
	Aman agarwal 150101005	Not submitted the assignment	0
Group-33	Mukkoti Anil Kumar 150101037	**Problem-1** a) OK b) OK c) OK **Problem-2** a) Boundary condition is partially correct -2 b) OK c) OK **Problem-3**	52

		a) OK b) OK **Problem-4** Not attempted -50 **Short Notes** a) Partial answer -3 b) Answer in not specific to the questions -3	
	Muddada Nitesh Kamal 150101036	**Problem-1** d) OK e) OK f) OK **Problem-2** d) Boundary condition is partially correct -2 e) OK f) OK **Problem-3** c) OK d) OK **Problem-4** Not attempted -50 **Short Notes** c) Partial answer -3 d) Answer in not specific to the questions -3	52
Group-34	Shashank Garewal 150101067	**Problem-1** Not done -10 **Problem-2** a) Boundary condition is partially correct -2 b) OK c) No proper comment -1 **Problem-3** a) OK b) Ok **Problem-4** Not done -50 **Short Notes** Not done -10	37
	Jignyasu Chasmawala 150101028	**Problem-1** Not done -10 **Problem-2** a) Boundary condition is partially correct -2 b) OK c) No proper comment -1 **Problem-3**	37

		a) OK b) Ok **Problem-4** Not done -50 **Short Notes** Not done -10	
Group-35	SAMRAT YADAV 150101056	**Problem-1** Not done -10 **Problem-2** a) Boundary condition is partially correct -2 b) OK c) OK **Problem-3** Not done -20 **Problem-4** Not done -50 **Short Notes** Not done -10	18
	G SHARATH KUMAR 150101023	**Problem-1** Not done -10 **Problem-2** a) Boundary condition is partially correct -2 b) OK c) OK **Problem-3** Not done -20 **Problem-4** Not done -50 **Short Notes** Not done -10	18
Group-37	mayank agrawal 150101033	**Problem-1** Not done -10 **Problem-2** Not done -20 **Problem-3** Not done -20 **Problem-4** Not done -50 **Short Notes** Not done -10	0
	ayush soni 150101014	**Problem-1** Not done -10	0

		Problem-2 Not done -20 **Problem-3** Not done -20 **Problem-4** Not done -50 **Short Notes** Not done -10	
Group-38	Shubham Jindal 150101071	Problem-1: Output as expected and proper implementation (7) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (2) **[code not documented (-1)]** Problem-2: Input and output is proper(12) **[Boundary conditions are not handled (-3)]** Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (4) **[code not documented (-1)]** Problem-3: Input and output is proper(15) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (4) **[explanation of Q1 is not to the point(-1)]** Problem-4: Proper input/ output in most of the cases; Implementation is satisfactory (30) **[Output (like 'no. of kitchen') are not proper in certain cases (-5)]** Report (Algorithm; Q&A) and code documentation: (15) Additional Questions: (5) **[Explanations not clear and is unsatisfactory (-5)]**	94
	Saurav Kejriwal 150101063	Problem-1: Output as expected and proper implementation (7) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (2) **[code not documented (-1)]** Problem-2: Input and output is proper(12) **[Boundary conditions are not handled (-3)]** Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (4) **[code not documented (-1)]** Problem-3: Input and output is proper(15) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (4) **[explanation of Q1 is not to the point(-1)]** Problem-4: Proper input/ output in most of the cases; Implementation is satisfactory (30) **[Output (like 'no. of kitchen') are not proper in certain cases (-5)]** Report (Algorithm; Q&A) and code documentation: (15) Additional Questions: (5) **[Explanations not clear and is unsatisfactory (-5)]**	79

		Seems to have less contribution (-10) **Lacks confidence in certain topics related to Haskell (-5)**	
Group-39	Ankit Vyas 150101008	Problem-1: Output as expected and proper implementation (7) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (2)**[code not documented (-1)]** Problem-2: Input and output is proper(13) **[All boundary conditions are not handled (-2)]** Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (4)**[code not documented (-1)]** Problem-3: Input and output is proper(15) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (2) **[explanation of Q1 is incorrect (-2); code not documented(-1)]** Problem-4: (0) **[Not done (-50)]** Additional Questions: (0) **[Not reported(-10)]** **Seems to have less contribution(-15); Does not have proper understanding of Haskell (-10)**	18
	Ayush Jain 150101012	Problem-1: Output as expected and proper implementation (7) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (2)**[code not documented (-1)]** Problem-2: Input and output is proper(13) **[All boundary conditions are not handled (-2)]** Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (4)**[code not documented (-1)]** Problem-3: Input and output is proper(15) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (2) **[explanation of Q1 is incorrect (-2); code not documented(-1)]** Problem-4: (0) **[Not done (-50)]** Additional Questions: (0) **[Not reported(-10)]**	43
Group-40	Mohit Kumar 150101035	Problem-1: Output as expected and proper implementation (7) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (3) Problem-2: Input and output is proper(12) **[Boundary conditions are not handled (-3)]** Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (4) **[code not documented (-1)]** Problem-3: Input and output is proper(15) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (3) **[explanation of Q1 is not correct (-2)]** Problem-4: Proper input/ output in most of the cases; Implementation is satisfactory (25) **[Seemed to have used codes without understanding;**	72

		Not confident in the code (-10)] Report (Algorithm; Q&A) and code documentation: (3) **[Algorithm in pseudo code not written (-10); answer to Q4 is meaningless (-2)]** Additional Questions: (0) **[Not reported(-10)]**	
	Nikunj Mittal 150101043	Problem-1: Output as expected and proper implementation (7) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (3) Problem-2: Input and output is proper(12) **[Boundary conditions are not handled (-3)]** Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (4) **[code not documented (-1)]** Problem-3: Input and output is proper(15) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (3) **[explanation of Q1 is not correct (-2)]** Problem-4: Proper input/ output in most of the cases; Implementation is satisfactory (25) **[Seemed to have used codes without understanding; Not confident in the code (-10)]** Report (Algorithm; Q&A) and code documentation: (3) **[Algorithm in pseudo code not written (-10); answer to Q4 is meaningless (-2)]** Additional Questions: (0) **[Not reported(-10)]**	72
Group-41	Harshit Bansal 150101026	Problem-1: Output as expected and proper implementation (7) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (2) **[answers to Q2 and 3 are contradictory (-1)]** Problem-2: Input and output is proper(12) **[Boundary conditions are not handled (-3)]** Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (4)**[answer to Q3 not proper (-1)]** Problem-3: Input and output is proper(15) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (3) **[explanation of Q4 is improper (-2)]** Problem-4: (0) **[Not done (-50)]** Additional Questions: (4) **[Answers not to the point (-6)]**	47
	Mayank Yadav 150101034	Problem-1: Output as expected and proper implementation (7) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (2) **[answers to Q2 and 3 are contradictory (-1)]** Problem-2: Input and output is proper(12) **[Boundary conditions are not handled (-3)]** Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (4)**[answer to Q3 not proper (-1)]** Problem-3: Input and output is proper(15) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (3)	47

		[explanation of Q4 is improper (-2)] Problem-4: (0) **[Not done (-50)]** Additional Questions: (4) **[Answers not to the point (-6)]**	
Group-42	SHAHWAR FATIMA 150101064	Problem-1: Output as expected and proper implementation (7) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (0) **[code not documented (-1); answers in the report could not be understood (-2)]** Problem-2: Input and output is proper(12) **[Boundary conditions are not handled (-3)]** Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (4) **[code not documented (-1)]** Problem-3: Input and output is proper(15) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (4) **[code not documented (-1)]** Problem-4: Improper implementation and input/output (15) **[unnecessarily complicating the problem (-5); code runs for undefined time and output could not be seen (-15)]** Report (Algorithm; Q&A) and code documentation: (12) **[Algorithm (pseudo code) is not properly written(-2); code not documented (-1)]** Additional Questions: (0) **[Not reported (-10)]** **Seemed to have less contribution (-10)**	59
	Soumik Roy 150101074	Problem-1: Output as expected and proper implementation (7) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (0) **[code not documented (-1); answers in the report could not be understood (-2)]** Problem-2: Input and output is proper(12) **[Boundary conditions are not handled (-3)]** Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (4) **[code not documented (-1)]** Problem-3: Input and output is proper(15) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (4) **[code not documented (-1)]** Problem-4: Improper implementation and input/output (15) **[unnecessarily complicating the problem (-5); code runs for undefined time and output could not be seen (-15)]** Report (Algorithm; Q&A) and code documentation: (12) **[Algorithm (pseudo code) is not properly written(-2); code not documented (-1)]**	69

		Additional Questions: (0) **[Not reported (-10)]**	
Group-43	B HITESH VAMSHI 130101013	Absent and did not contribute	0
	BHOLA SHANKAR RATHIA 150101016	Problem-1: Output as expected and proper implementation (7) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (2) **[code not documented (-1)]** Problem-2: Input and output is proper(13) **[All boundary conditions are not handled (-2)]** Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (4)**[answers not explained properly (-1)]** Problem-3: Input and output is proper(15) Report (Q&A) and code documentation: (5) Problem-4: (0) **[Not done (-50)]** Additional Questions: (0) **[Incorrect answers(-10)]**	46
Group-44	KRISHNA KUMAR 150101030	Absent and did not submit	0
	PRAVEEN JANGID 150101048	Absent and did not submit	0