Evaluation of Assignment Set II
CS 431: Programming Languages Lab

Group Name	Student Name & Roll No	Comments of the Evaluator(s)	Marks
Group-1	N Rajendhar Reddy 150101040	Q5 and Q3 Not Done, Not able to answer Basic question on prolog (-10).	40
	S Bharath Chandra 150101066	Q5 and Q3 Not Done, Not able to answer Basic question on prolog (-10).	40
Group-2	Abhishek Goyal 150101002	Not able to answer Basic question on prolog (-10) (-5 for less comments).	85
	Roopansh Bansal 150101053	Not able to answer Basic question on prolog (-10) (-5 for less comments).	85
Group-3	Anirudh sharma 150101006	Not able to answer some Basic question on prolog (-10) (-5 for test case fail for ques question no 5).	85
	Saket Agrawal 150101054	Saket Agarwal 150101054(85) Not able to answer some Basic question on prolog (-10) (-5 for test case fail for ques question no 5)	85
Group-4	SATYA PRAKASH 150101060	Not able to answer some Basic question on prolog (-10)	90
	ABHAY KUMAR 150101001	Not able to answer Basic question on prolog (-10)	80
	Gaurav Nakum 150101084	Not able to answer Basic question on prolog (-10)(less contribution -10)	90
Group-5	Neel Mittal 150101042	Not able to answer some Basic question on prolog (-10)	90
	Prashansi Kamdar 150101047	Not able to answer some Basic question on prolog (-10)	90
Group-6	Deshmukh Udayraj 150101021	Q5 Not done , -5 failing test case for Q4, -5 Failing test case for problem 2 and less commenting in code, Not able to answer some Basic question on prolog (-10)	50
	Anurag Ramteke 150101010	Q5 Not done , -5 failing test case for Q4, -5 Failing test case for problem 2 and less commenting in code , Not able to answer some Basic question on prolog (-10)	50
Group-7	Gourika Bang 150101025	All questions are properly implemented. Way of presentation and knowledge about general concepts of logic programming and prolog is good. Were able to handle logical questions and distortions in the code.	100
	Saurabh 150101062	All questions are properly implemented. Way of presentation and knowledge about	100

		general concepts of logic programming and prolog is good. Were able to handle logical questions and distortions in the code.	
Group-8	Joy Chopra 150101083	All questions are implemented. Explanation of code is good. Less Code Documentation and commenting in #2 and #5 (Deducting 6 marks). Lagging somewhat in general concepts of logic programming (Horn Clause, What happens in the backend when we execute Prolog Program?) – (Deducting 15 marks)	79
	Satya Prakash 150101087	All questions are implemented. Explanation of code is good. Less Code Documentation and commenting in #2 and #5 (Deducting 6 marks). Somewhat less conceptual about logic programming (Horn Clause) – (Deducting 5 marks)	89
Group-9	K Y Mouli 150101029	Question #5 is not done. (Deducting 30 marks) Code is explained and presented properly. (Seems less contribution) (Deducting 10 marks), (Missing some basic concepts about Prolog (What happens in the backend when we execute Prolog Program?) (Deducting 5 marks)	55
	S N V S R K Prudhvi 150101055	Question #5 is not done. (Deducting 30 marks) Code is explained and presented properly. (Missing some basic concepts about Prolog (What happens in the backend when we execute Prolog Program?) - (Deducting 5 marks)	65
Group-10	Chinmoy Jyoti Kathar 150101019	Third Case is not working in #5 (Deducting 10 marks) Able to handle distortions in the code (Good) Basic Coding standards missing in #4 and #5 (Deducting 4 marks) Code Documentation is poor in #2 and #5 (Deducting 4 marks) Lagging somewhat in basic Prolog and Logical Programming (Data-Types in Prolog, What happens in the backend when we execute Prolog Program?) (Deducting 10 marks)	72
	Chinmoy Kachari 150101020	Third Case is not working in #5 (Deducting 10 marks) Able to handle distortions in the code (Good) Basic Coding standards missing in #4 and #5	82

		(Deducting 4 marks) Code Documentation is poor in #2 and #5 (Deducting 4 marks) Good Prolog and logical Programming Knowledge	
Group-11	SARTHAK TRIPATHI 150101057	Understanding of questions and way of presentation is nice. Able to explain code distortions. (Good) Used # for commenting in Prolog. (Had to edit programs to make them working by removing comments) (Deducting 15 marks) (Less contribution) (Deducting 15 marks) Lacks basic knowledge of Prolog (Data types, What happens in the backend when we execute Prolog Program?) (Deducting 10 marks)	60
	SAURABH SABHARWAL 150101061	Understanding of questions and way of presentation is nice. Able to explain code distortions. (Good) Used # for commenting in Prolog. (Had to edit programs to make them working by removing comments) (Deducting 15 marks) Lacks basic knowledge of Prolog (Data types, What happens in the backend when we execute Prolog Program?) (Deducting 10 marks)	75
Group-12	shailendra bramhe 150101065	Question #5 is not done. (Deducting 30 marks) Question #2 is not properly understood (Deducting 5 marks) No Comments and no coding standards in any question (Deducting 15 marks) Less knowledge about Prolog (Datatypes of Prolog) (Deducting 5 marks)	45
	ankit prajapati 150101007	Question #5 is not done. (Deducting 30 marks) Question #2 is not properly understood (Deducting 5 marks) No Comments and no coding standards in any question (Deducting 15 marks) Less knowledge about Prolog (Datatypes of Prolog) (Deducting 5 marks)	45
Group-13	IRALA NARASIMHA REDDY DILIP KUMAR 150101027	**Problem 1:** standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). Problem 2: standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). **Problem 3:** Standard coding style and comments are followed in coding.	72

		The explanation was good. **Problem 4:** standard coding style and comments are followed in coding. The explanation was good. **Problem 5:** Knowledgebase for Buses formed but route finding is not working (-24).	
	REDDI HAREESH 150101051	**Problem 1:** standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). Problem 2: standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). **Problem 3:** Standard coding style and comments are followed in coding. The explanation was good. **Problem 4:** standard coding style and comments are followed in coding. The explanation was good. **Problem 5:** Knowledgebase for Buses formed but route finding is not working (-24).	72
Group-14	ABOTHULA RAKESH 150101004	**Problem 1:** standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). **Problem 2:** standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). Finding jealous people fails (-3). **Problem 3:** standard coding style and comments are followed in coding. The explanation was good. **Problem 4:** standard coding style and comments are followed in coding. Test case fails for NTerm based on SequencName to find a position (-5) **Problem 5:** standard coding style and comments are followed in coding. The test case for optimum time fails for route finding (-5)	83
	BOPPANA AKHILESH 150101018	**Problem 1:** standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2).	83

		Problem 2: standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). Finding jealous people fails (-3). **Problem 3:** standard coding style and comments are followed in coding. The explanation was good. **Problem 4:** standard coding style and comments are followed in coding. Test case fails for NTerm based on SequencName to find a position (-5) **Problem 5:** standard coding style and comments are followed in coding. The test case for optimum time fails for route finding (-5)	
Group-15	Pulak Kuli 150101050	**Problem 1:** standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). One case fails in increasing subsequence (-3). **Problem 2:** standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). One test case fails for finding jealous people (-2) **Problem 3:** standard coding style and comments are followed in coding The explanation was good. **Problem 4:** standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). There is a problem of finding nth sequence term for all three sequences' (-3, -3 and -4). **Problem 5:** The knowledge base is not in proper format (-4)	75
	Nayanjyoti Kakati 150101041	**Problem 1:** standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). One case fails in increasing subsequence (-3). **Problem 2:** standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). One test case fails for finding jealous people (-2)	75

		Problem 3: standard coding style and comments are followed in coding The explanation was good. **Problem 4:** standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). There is a problem of finding nth sequence term for all three sequences' (-3, -3 and -4). **Problem 5:** The knowledge base is not in proper format (-4)	
Group-16	Ritveeka Vashistha 150101082	**Problem 1:** standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). Problem 1: standard coding style and comments are followed but not satisfactory (-1). There is a problem in finding Tribonacci sequence position (-2) **Problem 2:** standard coding style and comments are followed but not satisfactory (-1). Finding jealous people (-3). Overall concepts (-2) **Problem 3:** standard coding style and comments are followed but not satisfactory (-1). **Problem 4:** standard coding style and comments are followed but not satisfactory (-1). **Problem 5:** standard coding style and comments are followed but not satisfactory (-1). Overall concepts (-3)	85
	Rohan Aggarwal 150101052	**Problem 1:** standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). Problem 1: standard coding style and comments are followed but not satisfactory (-1). There is a problem in finding Tribonacci sequence position (-2) **Problem 2:** standard coding style and comments are followed but not satisfactory (-1). Finding jealous people (-3). Overall concepts (-2) **Problem 3:** standard coding style and comments are	85

		followed but not satisfactory (-1). **Problem 4:** standard coding style and comments are followed but not satisfactory (-1). **Problem 5:** standard coding style and comments are followed but not satisfactory (-1). Overall concepts (-3)	
Group-17	Mukul Verma 150101038	**Problem 1:** standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). Longest increasing subsequence (-5) **Problem 2:** standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). Finding people are in rocks are partially works (-3) **Problem 3:** There is a problem for reading and writing to file when the first input in the file is a character. (-10) **Problem 4:** standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). Finding nth Tribonacci query fails (-4) **Problem 5:** The knowledge base is not in the proper format (-4) Finding a route with optimum time not working properly (-4)	64
	Shubhanshu Verma 150101073	**Problem 1:** standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). Longest increasing subsequence (-5) **Problem 2:** standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). Finding people are in rocks are partially works (-3) **Problem 3:** There is a problem for reading and writing to file when the first input in the file is a character. (-10) **Problem 4:** standard coding style and comments are not followed in coding (-2). Finding nth Tribonacci query fails (-4) **Problem 5:**	64

		The knowledge base is not in the proper format (-4) Finding a route with optimum time not working properly (-4)	
Group-18	Saswata De 150101058	**Problem 1:** standard coding style and comments are followed but not satisfactory (-1). One case fails in increasing subsequence (-3). Overall concepts (-2) **Problem 2:** standard coding style and comments are followed but not satisfactory (-1). Finding people are in rocks are partially works (-3) Finding jealous people are partially works (-3) Overall concepts (-2) **Problem 3:** Overall concepts (-2) **Problem 4:** standard coding style and comments are followed but not satisfactory (-1). Overall concepts (-2) **Problem 5:** Not implemented (-30)	50
	Gourav 150101024	**Problem 1:** standard coding style and comments are followed but not satisfactory (-1). One case fails in increasing subsequence (-3). Overall concepts (-2) **Problem 2:** standard coding style and comments are followed but not satisfactory (-1). Finding people are in rocks are partially works (-3) Finding jealous people are partially works (-3) Overall concepts (-2) **Problem 3:** Overall concepts (-2) **Problem 4:** standard coding style and comments are followed but not satisfactory (-1). Overall concepts (-2) **Problem 5:** Not implemented (-30) **[overall contribution is less (-7)]**	43
Group-19	Satti Sai Chandan Reddy 150101059	part 1 exceuted correctly. Part 2 is not completed (-10). Represtion by facts was correct. Rules	86

		implements correctly. good concepts. Output was not in given(specified format) i.e. the results should be comma separated (-2) Good concepts. Output is correct for all the three sub problems. Concepts and execution was very clear. Database was also healthy. Commetns were missing in code (-2).	
	Srikar Paruchuru 150101044	part 1 exceuted correctly. Part 2 is not completed (-10). Represtion by facts was correct. Rules implements correctly. good concepts. Output was not in given(specified format) i.e. the results should be comma separated (-2) Good concepts. Output is correct for all the three sub problems. Concepts and execution was very clear. Database was also healthy. Commetns were missing in code (-2).	86
Group-20	Kushal K S V S 150101031	Part 1 and Part 2 executed successfully. Good concepts. Concepts and facts and rule representation was good. Duplicate answers for jealous list were not eliminated (-1) Encoding not properly implemented. (-8) Output is correct for all the three sub problems. Clear concepts. Comments were missing in code (-2) Concepts are clear. Output and database is good. Partial comments in the code (-1)	88
	Abhinav Reddy N 150101039	Part 1 and Part 2 executed successfully. Good concepts. Concepts and facts and rule representation was good. Duplicate answers for jealous list were not eliminated (-1) Encoding not properly implemented. (-8) Output is correct for all the three sub problems. Clear concepts. Comments were missing in code (-2) Concepts are clear. Output and database is good. Partial comments in the code (-1)	88
Group-21	Abhishek Kumar 150101003	Part 1 and Part 2 executed successfully. Good concepts. Minor modification required in rule for rocky (rocky(X,Y) where not(love(X,Y), not(love(Y,X)) is missing (-1). Also rocky(Y,X) is not working.	73

		E.g. on_the_rocks(amit,suchi) is true while on_ the rocks(suchi,amit) is coming false. (-1) Input not taken by input file Although output is written into text file (-5). Proper format is not followed as instructed in question (-5) Output is correct for all the three sub problems. Clear concepts. Concepts were fine but failed in implementation for all the sub problems. Also database needs to be improved. (-15)	
	Vaibhav Pratap Singh 150101081	Part 1 and Part 2 executed successfully. Good concepts. Minor modification required in rule for rocky (rocky(X,Y) where not(love(X,Y), not(love(Y,X)) is missing (-1). Also rocky(Y,X) is not working. E.g. on_the_rocks(amit,suchi) is true while on_ the rocks(suchi,amit) is coming false. (-1) Input not taken by input file Although output is written into text file (-5). Proper format is not followed as instructed in question (-5) Output is correct for all the three sub problems. Clear concepts. Concepts were fine but failed in implementation for all the sub problems. Also database needs to be improved. (-15)	73
Group-22	Shivram N Gowtham 150101069	Part 1 and Part 2 executed successfully. Good concepts. Minor modification required in rule for rocky (rocks(X,Y) where not(love(X,Y), not(love(Y,X)) is missing (-1). Also getRocks(Y,X,Y-X) is not working. E.g. getRocks(amit,suchi,amit-suchi) is true while on_ the getRocks(suchi,amit,suchi-amit) is coming false. (-1) Input and output handles from file. Encoding implementation is correct. Comments were missing in this particular section of your code. (-2) Output is correct for all the three sub problems. Clear concepts. Clear concepts and implementation was good. Only correct output format as mentioned in question was missing (-1 x 3 = -3)	93
	Suhas Kantekar 150101077	Part 1 and Part 2 executed successfully. Good concepts. Minor modification required in rule for rocky (rocks(X,Y) where not(love(X,Y), not(love(Y,X)) is missing (-1). Also getRocks(Y,X,Y-X) is not	93

		working. E.g. getRocks(amit,suchi,amit-suchi) is true while on_ the getRocks(suchi,amit,suchi-amit) is coming false. (-1) Input and output handles from file. Encoding implementation is correct. Comments were missing in this particular section of your code. (-2) Output is correct for all the three sub problems. Clear concepts. Clear concepts and implementation was good. Only correct output format as mentioned in question was missing (-1 x 3 = -3)	
Group-23	S Sai Harshavardhan 150101075	Part 1 and Part 2 executed successfully. Good concepts. Concepts and facts and rule representation was good. Duplicate answers for jealous list were not eliminated (-1) Encoding not properly implemented. (-8) Output is correct for all the three sub problems. Clear concepts. not working for multiple paths between a source and destination (-9). Database desing needs to improved (-3)	79
	Dharmesh Chourasiya 150101022	Part 1 and Part 2 executed successfully. Good concepts. Concepts and facts and rule representation was good. Duplicate answers for jealous list were not eliminated (-1) Encoding not properly implemented. (-8) Output is correct for all the three sub problems. Clear concepts. not working for multiple paths between a source and destination (-9). Database desing needs to improved (-3)	79
Group-24	Piyush Jain 150101046	Part 1 and Part 2 executed successfully. Good concepts. Concepts and facts and rule representation was good. Duplicate answers for jealous list were not eliminated (-1) In each iteration answer gets append to output.txt instead of rewriting it (-2) Output is correct for all the three sub problems. Clear concepts. Concepts and execution was very clear. Database was also healthy.	97
	Shivam Gupta 150101068	Part 1 and Part 2 executed successfully. Good concepts.	97

		Concepts and facts and rule representation was good. Duplicate answers for jealous list were not eliminated (-1) In each iteration answer gets append to output.txt instead of rewriting it (-2) Output is correct for all the three sub problems. Clear concepts. Concepts and execution was very clear. Database was also healthy.	
Group-25	Sudhanshu Ranjan 150101076	In Q 5, knowledge base is not proper. Unrealistic assignment of bus timing makes the knowledge base irrelevant (-5), Waiting time in a route not considered (-5)	90
	Ankit Kumar Singh 150101086	In Q 5, knowledge base is not proper. Unrealistic assignment of bus timing makes the knowledge base irrelevant (-5), Waiting time in a route not considered (-5), Not fully confident on topics related to logical programming (-5)	85
Group-26	Priyankar Jain 150101088	In the codes,some directives has been copied and pasted carelessly (without removing/editing irrelevant comments)(-5) Codes are not documented (-2) In Q 4, value of n is not calculated if nth term is given (-5) In Q 5, knowledge base is not proper. No network can be formed from the given knowledge base(-6). Multiple hops in a route is not possible (-2). In the output, optimized output is not shown according to the buses (-10)Not fully confident on topics related to logical programming/prolog (-5)	65
	Shashank Anil Huddedar 150101085	In the codes, some directives has been copied and pasted carelessly (without removing/editing irrelevant comments)(-5) Codes are not documented (-2) In Q 4, value of n is not calculated if nth term is given (-5) In Q 5, knowledge base is not proper. No network can be formed from the given knowledge base (-6). Multiple hops in a route is not possible (-2). In the output, optimized output is not shown according to the buses (-10)Not fully confident on topics related to logical programming/prolog (-5)	65
Group-27	Patoliya Meetkumar Krushnadas	In Q 5, Waiting time in a route not considered (-5) Seemed to have relatively lesser	80

	150101045	contribution(-10) Not fully confident on topics related to logical programming/prolog (-5)	
	Shubham Singhal 150101072	In Q 5, Waiting time in a route not considered (-5)	95
Group-28	Bishwenrda choudhary 150101017	In Q5, knowledge base is improper. It does not represent a proper network of routes(-5), Seemed to have relatively lesser contribution (-10), Lacks proper understanding of logical programming/prolog(-5)	80
	Tamil selvan 150101079	In Q5, knowledge base is improper. It does not represent a proper network of routes(-5)	95
Group-29	Anup Agarwal 150101009	In Q 4, value of n is not calculated if nth term is given (-5) In Q 5, the knowledge base created is constrained (-2)	93
	Surabhi Gupta 150101078	In Q 4, value of n is not calculated if nth term is given (-5) In Q 5, the knowledge base created is constrained (-2)	93
Group-30	Shradha Pruthi 150101070	In Q 5, Knowledge base is limited (-2), The output is not generated in proper format and no routes are shown(-10), Seemed to have relatively lesser contribution (-10), Lacks proper understanding of logical programming/prolog(-5)	73
	Bhavya Bansal 150101015	In Q 5, Knowledge base is limited (-2), The output is not generated in proper format and no routes are shown(-10), Lacks proper understanding of logical programming/prolog(-5)	83
Group-31	V Sony Venkatesh 150101080	**ABSENT** - Did not come to be evaluated Did not submit anything in the MOODLE	0
	Sai Shobith 150101032	**ABSENT** - Did not come to be evaluated Did not submit anything in the MOODLE	0
Group-32	Ayush singh 150101013	In problem-1, longest subsequence is not found while tested with characters **(-5)**. In problem-3, file is not handled **(-20)**. In problem-4, Series not generated **(-10)**; Unable to find the value of 'n' when nth term is given for a series **(-5)**. Problem-5 not implemented **(-30)**. Did not follow the instruction while submitting the code in moodle **(-2)**. Codes are not well documented **(-2)**.	26
	Aman agarwal 150101005	In problem-1, longest subsequence is not found while tested with character **(-5)**. In problem-3, file is not handled **(-20)**.	26

		In problem-4, Series not generated **(-10)**; Unable to find the value of 'n' when nth term is given for a series **(-5)**. Problem-5 not implemented **(-30)**. Did not follow the instruction while submitting the code in moodle. **(-2)**. Codes are not well documented **(-2)**.	
Group-33	Mukkoti Anil Kumar 150101037	Additional (unexpected) output was shown in problem-2 as well as in problem-4 **(-2)** List of pairwise jealous persons were not shown appropriately (however they shown how those can be done) **(-3)** For none of the series it has been shown the value of n when the nth term is given as input **(-5)** = [-1.5-1.5-2] Problem-5 is not implemented **(-30)** Less contribution **(-5)** *Coding style is good and codes are well documented*	55
	Muddada Nitesh Kamal 150101036	Additional (unexpected) output was shown in problem-2 as well as in problem-4 **(-2)** List of pairwise jealous persons were not shown appropriately (however they shown how those can be done) **(-3)** For none of the series it has been shown the value of n when the nth term is given as input **(-5)** = [-1.5-1.5-2] Problem-5 is not implemented **(-30)** *Coding style is good and codes are well documented*	60
Group-34	Shashank Garewal 150101067	1(a) not done **(-5)**. In problem-2(b), some of the test cases were not passed appropriately **(-2)**. In problem-2(c), some of the test cases were not passed appropriately **(-1)**. Problem-3 not implemented **(-20)**. In problem 4, no test case ran correctly **(20)**. Problem-5 is not implemented **(30)**. Codes are not documented properly and no good coding style is followed **(-4)**. [came late for the evaluation without any prior information]	18
	Jignyasu Chasmawala 150101028	1(a) not done **(-5)**. In problem-2(b), some of the test cases were not passed appropriately **(-2)**. In problem-2(c), some of the test cases were not passed appropriately **(-1)**. Problem-3 not implemented **(-20)**.	18

		In problem 4, no test case ran correctly **(20)**. Problem-5 not implemented **(30)**. Codes are not documented properly and no good coding style is followed **(-4)**. [came late for the evaluation without any prior information]	
Group-35	SAMRAT YADAV 150101056	In problem-3, files are not handled **(-20)**. In problem-4, Sequence is shown up to a certain number (e.g., up to ten elements) **(-2)**. Problem-5 not implemented **(-30)**.	48
	G SHARATH KUMAR 150101023	In problem-3, files are not handled **(-20)**. In problem-4, Sequence is shown up to a certain number (e.g., up to ten elements) **(-2)**. Problem-5 not implemented **(-30)**.	48
Group-37	mayank agrawal 150101033	In problem 3, file is not handled **(-20)** In problem-4, the sequences are shown up to a certain limit (e.g., up to 10 elements) although inputs (for the number of elements in the list) were given more than that (e.g., 20) **(-3)** Problem-5 is not implemented **(-30)** *Coding style and documentation seem to OK*	47
	ayush soni 150101014	In problem 3, file is not handled **(-20)** In problem-4, the sequences are shown up to a certain limit (e.g., up to 10 elements) although inputs (for the number of elements in the list) were given more than that (e.g., 20) **(-3)** Problem-5 is not implemented **(-30)** *Coding style and documentation seem to OK*	47
Group-38	Shubham Jindal 150101071	**Problem-1** OK **Problem-2** Ok **Problem-3** Ok **Problem-4** IntNumSequence not working for all three: -10 NTerm(seriesname,X,value): Not working : -5 **Problem-5** Only knowledge base format was right except that everything is wrong. -24	61
	Saurav Kejriwal 150101063	**Problem-1** OK **Problem-2** Ok **Problem-3** Ok **Problem-4** IntNumSequence not working for all three: -10 NTerm(seriesname,X,value): Not working : -5 **Problem-5** Only knowledge base format was	61

		right except that everything is wrong. -24	
Group-39	Ankit Vyas 150101008	**Problem-1** Ok **Problem-2** Ok **Problem-3** Ok **Problem-4** 0th place is not taken care in all three cases -3 **Problem-5** Completely wrong -30 Not able to explain some code snippet -5	62
	Ayush Jain 150101012	**Problem-1** Ok **Problem-2** Ok **Problem-3** Ok **Problem-4** 0th place is not taken care in all three cases -3 **Problem-5** Completely wrong -30	67
Group-40	Mohit Kumar 150101035	**Problem-1** OK **Problem-2** OK **Problem-3** OK **Problem-4** NTerm(seriesname,X,value): Not working : -5 **Problem-5** Not done -30	65
	Nikunj Mittal 150101043	**Problem-1** OK **Problem-2** OK **Problem-3** OK **Problem-4** NTerm(seriesname,X,value): Not working : -5 **Problem-5**: Not done -30	65
Group-41	Harshit Bansal 150101026	**Problem-1** Ok **Problem-2** Rock pair is not right for one case -2 **Problem-3** Ok **Problem-4** Ok **Problem-5** Not done -30	68
	Mayank Yadav 150101034	**Problem-1** Ok **Problem-2** Rock pair is not right for one case -2 **Problem-3** Ok **Problem-4** Ok **Problem-5** Not done -30	68
Group-42	SHAHWAR FATIMA 150101064	**Problem-1** OK **Problem-2** Rocks(Amit, Priya)->wrong result -1 **Problem-3** OK **Problem-4** NTerm (seriesname, X, value): Not working : -5 **Problem-5** Not done -30	64
	Soumik Roy 150101074	**Problem-1** OK **Problem-2** Rocks(Amit, Priya)->wrong result -1 **Problem-3** OK	64

		Problem-4 NTerm (seriesname, X, value): Not working : -5 **Problem-5** Not done -30	
Group-43	B HITESH VAMSHI 130101013	Absent and not participated in coding	0
	BHOLA SHANKAR RATHIA 150101016	**Problem-1** part-a wrong -5 **Problem-2** part-b wrong for one case -2 Part-c wrong -5 **Problem-3** wrong -20 **Problem-4** All three series give wrong output for Nth term(series, X, value) -5 **Problem-5** wrong implementation -30	33
Group-44	KRISHNA KUMAR 150101030	Absent and not summited the code.	0
	PRAVEEN JANGID 150101048	Absent and not summited the code.	0